# SÉMINAIRE DE PROBABILITÉS (STRASBOURG)

### FREDDY DELBAEN

## A remark on Slutsky's theorem

<a href="http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS">http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS</a> 1998 32 313 0>

Séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg), tome 32 (1998), p. 313-315

© Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1998, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg) (http://portail. mathdoc.fr/SemProba/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.



Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

#### A REMARK ON SLUTSKY'S THEOREM

#### FREDDY DELBAEN

Departement für Mathematik, ETH Zürich

#### 1. Introduction and Notation.

In Theorem 1 of the paper by [BEKSY] a generalisation of a theorem of Slutsky is used. In this note I will present a necessary and sufficient condition that assures that whenever  $X_n$  is a sequence of random variables that converges in probability to some random variable X, then for each Borel function f we also have that  $f(X_n)$  tends to f(X) in probability. The abstract way of formulating the result has the advantage that it shows how to decompose the problem. The key result is the Dunford-Pettis characterisation of relatively weakly compact subsets of the space  $L^1$ . Because of this immediate relationship I believe that the result is known. However I could not find a reference.

In the sequel  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$  is a fixed probability space and  $(E, \mathcal{E})$  is a measurable space. The sequence  $(X_n)_{n\geq 1}$  denotes a sequence of measurable functions of  $\Omega$  into E. Also X denotes a measurable function of  $\Omega$  into E. The distributions (image measures) of  $X_n$ , resp. X are denoted by  $\mu_n$ , resp.  $\mu$ .

The symbol  $\mathcal{H}$ , a subset of the space of measurable functions from E into  $\mathbb{R}$ , denotes the set which consists of those functions g such that  $g(X_n)$  tends to g(X) in probability. It is clear that  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfies some stability properties. First of all it is clear that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a vector space stable for multiplication, i.e. an algebra. Also if  $\phi \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ , then  $\phi(f) \in \mathcal{H}$ . It follows that for each  $m \geq 0$  and  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ , the truncation  $f^m$  of f is also in  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $f^m$  is defined as  $f^m(x) = f(x)$  if  $|f(x)| \leq m$ ,  $f^m(x) = m$  if f(x) > m and  $f^m(x) = -m$  if f(x) < -m. Conversely if all the truncations  $f^m$  are in  $\mathcal{H}$ , then also  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ . It is also obvious that  $\mathcal{H}$  is closed for uniform convergence.

Let  $M(E,\mathcal{E})$ , M for short, be the space of all signed measures defined on the space  $(E,\mathcal{E})$ . A subset K of  $M(E,\mathcal{E})$  is said to be relatively weakly compact if it is relatively weakly compact for the weak topology (i.e.  $\sigma(M,M^*)$ ) on M. The Dunford-Pettis theorem states that K is relatively weakly compact if and only if there is a probability measure  $\lambda \in M$  such that every element  $\nu \in K$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\lambda$  and such that the set  $\left\{\frac{d\nu}{d\lambda} \mid \nu \in K\right\}$  of Radon-Nikodym derivatives, is uniformly integrable in  $L^1(\lambda)$ . For information on weak compactness and related topics I refer to [G], last chapter.

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 28A20, 28A33 60B10, 60B12. Key words and phrases. Slutsky's Theorem, weak compactness.

**Theorem 1.** Let us assume that the set  $(\mu_n)_{n\geq 1}$  of distributions of  $X_n$  is relatively weakly compact. If  $(f_k)_{k\geq 1}$  is a sequence of functions in  $\mathcal H$  that converges pointwise to a function f then also  $f\in \mathcal H$ , i.e.  $\mathcal H$  is stable for taking pointwise convergent limits.

Proof. Let  $K = \{\mu_n \mid n \geq 1\} \cup \{\mu\}$ . Clearly K is relatively weakly compact. Because of the stability properties of  $\mathcal{H}$ , we may and do assume that the sequence  $f_k$  is uniformly bounded, e.g. for each k, we have  $|f_k| \leq 1$ . Since the measures in K have uniformly integrable RN derivatives, we immediately obtain that  $\sup_{\nu \in K} \int_E |f - f_k| \, d\nu$  tends to zero. For given  $\epsilon > 0$  we now take  $k_0$  big enough to assure that  $\sup_{\nu \in K} \int_E |f - f_{k_0}| \, d\nu < \epsilon$ . Now we take  $n_0$  so that for  $n \geq n_0$ ,  $\int_{\Omega} |f_{k_0}(X_n) - f_{k_0}(X)| \, d\mathbb{P} < \epsilon$ . For  $n \geq n_0$  we then have  $\int_{\Omega} |f(X_n) - f(X)| \, d\mathbb{P} < \epsilon$ . This reasoning shows that  $f(X_n)$  tends to f(X) in  $L^1(\mathbb{P})$  and hence in probability.  $\square$ 

By a standard argument on monotone classes we can now deduce the next theorem, which I give without proof.

**Theorem 2.** If the set  $(\mu_n)_{n\geq 1}$  of distributions of  $X_n$  is relatively weakly compact and if  $\mathcal{H} \supset \mathcal{G}$ , then  $\mathcal{H}$  contains all measurable functions with respect to the sigma algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ , generated by  $\mathcal{G}$ .

In the paper by [BEKSY], the functions  $X_n$  take values in a separable metric space S and  $X_n$  tend to X in probability. Since in their case, all the  $X_n$  have the same distribution, it immediately follows that for every Borel function h on S, we have that  $h \circ X_n$  tend to  $h \circ X$  in probability. More precisely we have the following.

Theorem 3. Let S be a metric space and suppose that the sequence of S-valued random variables  $X_n$  converges to X in probability. In order that for each Borel measurable function f, the sequence  $f(X_n)$  converges to f(X) in probability, it is necessary and sufficient that the sequence of distributions  $(\mu_n)_{n\geq 1}$  is relatively weakly compact.

*Proof.* The sufficency is dealt with in Theorem 1 and 2 above. The necessity of the weak compactness condition is rather trivial. Suppose that the sequence of distributions,  $(\mu_n)_{n\geq 1}$ , is not weakly compact. Then there is a bounded measurable function such that  $\int g \, d\mu_n$  does not converge to  $\int g \, d\mu$ . It follows that  $g(X_n)$  cannot converge to g(X) in probability.  $\square$ 

If in the previous theorem we replace convergence in probability by convergence almost surely, then the statement is wrong. To see this we will give a counterexample. We start with the circle  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  equipped with the usual normalised Lebesgue measure m. Let O be an open subset of  $\mathbb{T}$  such that m(O) < 1/2 and such that O is dense in  $\mathbb{T}$ . I will construct a sequence  $X_n$ , defined on some probability space, such that  $X_n$  converges to a random variable X almost surely. All the variables will be distributed uniformly on  $\mathbb{T}$ , i.e.  $\mu_n = m$  for all n. However it will turn out that the almost sure covergence of  $\mathbf{1}_O(X_n)$  to  $\mathbf{1}_O(X)$  is false. The construction goes as follows. For each  $\delta > 0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{T}$ , we put  $g(\delta, x) = \frac{1}{2\delta}m(O \cap I_{\delta}^x)$ , where  $I_{\delta}^x$  is the symmetric interval around x with length  $2\delta$ . Since

O is dense we obtain that  $g(\delta, x) > 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{T}$  and all  $\delta > 0$ . It is now easy to find integers  $(k_l)_{l>1}$  such that for almost every  $x \in \mathbb{T}$  we have that

$$\sum_{l>1} k_l g\left(x, \frac{1}{l+1}\right) = \infty.$$

To construct the variables  $X_n$ , we need a sequence of independent variables  $(V_n)_{n\geq 1}$ , uniformly distributed on [-1,1]. The variable X is taken to be independent of the sequence  $V_n$  and to have a distribution equal to m. Let us put  $K_0=0$  and  $K_{l+1}=K_l+k_{l+1}$ . For each n,  $K_l< n\leq K_{l+1}$ , we define  $X_n=X+\frac{1}{l+1}V_n$ . The distributions of the  $X_n$  are easily seen to be equal to m. Since for almost every  $x\in \mathbb{T}$  we have that

$$\sum_{n} \mathbb{P}[X_n \in O \mid X = x] = \sum_{l>1} k_l g\left(x, \frac{1}{l+1}\right) = \infty,$$

it follows from independence and the Borel Cantelli lemma that for almost every  $\omega \in X^{-1}(O^c)$ ,  $X_n(\omega) \in O$  infinitely often. The construction of the counterexample is therefore complete.

Acknowledgement. The author thanks the participants in the Mathematical Finance workshop in Oberwolfach, September 97 for discussions on this topic.

#### REFERENCES

[BEKSY] M.T. Barlow, M.Émery, F.B. Knight, S. Song, M. Yor, Autour d'un théorème de Tsirelson sur des filtrations browniennes et non browniennes, Séminaire de Probabilités XXXII (1998).

[G] A. Grothendieck, EVT, Publicação da Sociedade de Matemática e S. Paulo (1954).

EIDGENÖSSISCHE TECHNISCHE HOCHSCHULE ZÜRICH, CH-8092 ZÜRICH, SWITZERLAND E-mail address: delbaen@math.ethz.ch