# SÉMINAIRE DE PROBABILITÉS (STRASBOURG)

## MASATO KIKUCHI

# A note on the energy inequalities for increasing processes

Séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg), tome 26 (1992), p. 533-539 <a href="http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS">http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS</a> 1992 26 533 0>

© Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1992, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg) (http://portail. mathdoc.fr/SemProba/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.



Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

#### Masato KIKUCHI

Department of Mathematics, Toyama University Gofuku, Toyama 930, Japan.

#### 1. Introduction.

Let  $(\Omega, F, P)$  be a complete probability space with a filtration  $(F_t)_{t\geq 0}$  which satisfies the usual conditions. It is well-known that if  $A = (A_t)_{t\geq 0}$  is an adapted right continuous increasing process whose left potential is bounded by a constant c>0, then

$$\mathbb{E}[A_{\infty}^{n}] \leq n!c^{n-1}\mathbb{E}[A_{\infty}] \leq n!c^{n}$$

holds for every integer  $n \ge 0$ . This is called the "energy inequality". In this short note, we establish the more general inequality

(1) 
$$\mathbb{E}[\Phi(A_{\infty})] \leq \frac{1}{c} \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} \Phi(cs) e^{-s} ds \right) \mathbb{E}[A_{\infty}]$$

where  $\Phi$  is a convex function on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  such that  $\lim_{t \to 0} \Phi(t) = \Phi(0) = 0$  (which is not necessarily positive). (1) is used for an alternative proof of the theorem on the equivalence of BMO $_{\Phi}$  - norms of martingales given in [1].

Dellacherie and Meyer in [3, p.189] investigated the case where  $\Phi(t)=t^p$ , p>0: their proof of the inequality

(2) 
$$\mathbb{E}[A_{m}^{p}] \leq \Gamma(p+1) c^{p}$$

contains an unavoidable error. In fact, (2) is valid only for  $p \ge 1$ , though they asserted otherwise: for p < 1, the constant process  $A_t = c$  does not satisfy (2). Their proof is based on the following assertion: if g is a convex function on the interval  $[1, +\infty[$  such that

(3) 
$$g(n) = \log \Gamma(n)$$
 for every integer  $n \ge 1$ ;

(4) 
$$g(x+1) - g(x) \le \log \Gamma(x)$$
 for every  $x \in [1, +\infty[$ ,

then  $g(x) \le \log \Gamma(x)$  holds for every  $x \ge 1$ . But the following example shows

that this is false. For  $x \ge 1$ , we set

$$g(x) = (\log[x])(x - [x]) + \log \Gamma([x]),$$

where [x] denotes the integer part of x. It is clear that g is convex and satisfies (3) and (4), but unless x is an integer, we have  $g(x) > \log \Gamma(x)$ . Actually, if f is a convex function satisfying (3) and (4), then  $\log \Gamma \le f \le g$  (cf. [2, Chap.7, §1, no.1, Prop.1]).

### 2. Analytic results.

In this section, we shall give an upper bound of the integral of  $\Phi \circ f$ , where f is an increasing BMO-function on the interval [0, 1[ and  $\Phi$  is as in (1).

For each right continuous integrable function f on [0, 1[ (which is not necessarily increasing), we define the function  $f^{\#}$  by

$$f^{\#}(t) = \frac{1}{1-t} \int_{t}^{1} (f(s) - f(t)) ds$$
,  $t \in [0, 1[$ .

Note that f is uniquely determined by  $f^{\#}$  and  $\int_0^1 f(t)dt$ . In fact, if  $f_1^{\#} = f_2^{\#}$ , then the function

$$F(t) = \int_{t}^{1} (f_{1}(s) - f_{2}(s)) ds, \qquad t \in [0, 1[$$

is the unique solution of the equation  $F(t) = F(0) - \int_0^t (1-s)^{-1} F(s) ds$  and hence we have F(t) = F(0)(1-t). It follows that  $f_1 - f_2 = F(0)$  and therefore  $f_1 = f_2$  if  $\int f_1 dt = \int f_2 dt$ .

Furthermore f can be expressed by  $f^{\#}$  as follows:

Lemma 1. Let f be a right continuous integrable function on [0, 1[. If  $f^{\#}$  is integrable over [0, 1[, then

(5) 
$$f(t) = \int_{0}^{t} (1-s)^{-1} f^{\#}(s) ds + \alpha - f^{\#}(t), \quad t \in [0, 1[,$$

where  $\alpha = \int_0^1 f(t)dt$ . In particular, if  $\log(\frac{1}{1-t}) f(t)$  is integrable or  $f^p$  is integrable for some p > 1, then  $f^{\#}$  is integrable and (5) holds.

<u>Proof.</u> Let g(t) be the function defined by the right-hand side of (5). Using Fubini's theorem, we easily verify that  $g^\# = f^\#$  and  $\int_0^1 g(t) dt = \int_0^1 f(t) dt$ . Hence we obtain f = g, as noted above.

If the function  $log(\frac{1}{1-t})$  f(t) is integrable, then

$$\int_{0}^{1} |f^{\#}(t)| dt \le \int_{0}^{1} \log(\frac{1}{1-t}) \cdot |f(t)| dt + \int_{0}^{1} |f(t)| dt < +\infty .$$

If  $f^p$  is integrable for some p > 1, then  $log(\frac{1}{1-t}) f(t)$  is also integrable by Hölder's inequality. Thus the proof is complete. []

Now let  $\Phi$  be a convex function on  $[0,+\infty[$  such that  $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \Phi(t) = \Phi(0) = 0$  and let  $\Phi$  be its right-hand derivative. Note that if  $\Phi$  is a <u>positive</u> convex function such that  $\Phi(0) = 0$ , it is necessarily right continuous at 0, that is, the required condition is satisfied. In general, we cannot affirm that  $\Phi(0) > -\infty$ , but in the following lemma, we assume this.

<u>Lemma 2</u>. Let  $\Phi$  and  $\phi$  be as above and let f and g be positive Borel functions on [0, 1[. If  $\phi(0)$ (=  $\lim_{t\to 0} \phi(t)$ ) >  $-\infty$  and f, g satisfy the conditions

$$\int_{0}^{1} f(t) \, \phi \circ f(t) dt \leq \int_{0}^{1} g(t) \, \phi \circ f(t) dt, \qquad \int_{0}^{1} f(t) \, \phi \circ f(t) dt < + \infty$$

then

(6) 
$$\int_0^1 \Phi \circ f(t) dt \le \int_0^1 \Phi \circ g(t) dt .$$

This is a well-known lemma for <u>positive</u> convex functions  $\Phi$  ([3, p.180]). The proof is almost the same as that of the case where  $\Phi$  is positive. By the formula for integration by parts, we have for u,  $v \ge 0$ ,

$$v\phi(u) \leq \int_{]0, u]} t d\phi(t) + \Phi(v)$$
;

the equality holds if u = v. From this it follows that

$$\int_0^1 f(t) \varphi \circ f(t) dt = \int_0^1 dt \left( \int_0^\infty s I_{\{f(t) \ge s\}} d\varphi(s) \right) + \int_0^1 \varphi \circ f(t) dt ;$$

$$\int_0^1 g(t) \, \phi \circ f(t) dt \leq \int_0^1 dt \left( \int_0^\infty s \, I_{\{f(t) \geq s\}} \, d\phi(s) \right) + \int_0^1 \Phi \circ g(t) dt \quad .$$

To prove (6), we may assume that  $\int_0^1 \Phi \circ f(t) dt > -\infty$  and  $\int_0^1 \Phi \circ g(t) dt < +\infty$ . Then all the integrals in the preceding inequalities are finite, and (6) follows.

<u>Proposition 3.</u> Let  $\Phi$  be as in (1) and f be a positive right continuous increasing function on [0, 1[. If  $f^{\#} \le 1$ , then

(7) 
$$\int_0^1 \Phi \circ f(t) dt \leq \left( \int_0^\infty \Phi(t) e^{-t} dt \right) \left( \int_0^1 f(t) dt \right).$$

Note. As  $\Phi$  is negative or bounded to the below on [0, 1[, integrals in (7) make sense.

<u>Proof.</u> First let  $\psi$  be a bounded increasing function on [0, 1[. From (5) and Fubini's theorem, it follows that

Now let g be an integrable function on [0, 1[ such that  $f^{\#} \le g^{\#}$ ,  $g^{\#}$  is integrable, and  $\int_0^1 g(t)dt = \int_0^1 f(t)dt$ . Since (8) is also valid for g, we have

$$\int_0^1 f(t)\psi(t)dt \le \int_0^1 g(t)\psi(t)dt .$$

If the right-hand derivative  $\phi$  of  $\Phi$  is bounded, we can set  $\psi$  =  $\phi \circ f$  . Then by Lemma 2 we have

(9) 
$$\int_0^1 \Phi \circ f(t) dt \leq \int_0^1 \Phi \circ g(t) dt .$$

By the monotone convergence theorem, we obtain (9) for any  $\Phi$  as in (1).

To prove (7), it is sufficient to show that (9) applies to the function  $g(t) = \log^+ \{\alpha(1-t)^{-1}\}$ , where  $\alpha = \int_0^1 f(t) dt$ . So we must verify that  $\int_0^1 g(t) dt = \alpha$  and  $f^\#(t) \le g^\#(t) = \alpha(1-t)^{-1} \wedge 1$ . But the first condition is obvious and the other follows from the definition of  $f^\#$  and the inequality  $f^\# \le 1$ . Thus the proof is complete. []

### 3. Application.

The following theorem is an easy consequence of Proposition 3, and as remarked later, they are equivalent.

Theorem 4. Let  $A = (A_t)_{t \ge 0}$  be an adapted, right continuous, incrasing process (resp. predictable, right continuous, increasing process which is zero at t = 0), and let  $\Phi$  be a convex function on  $[0, +\infty[$  such that  $\lim_{t \to 0} \Phi(t) = \Phi(0) = 0$ . If

(10) 
$$E[A_{\infty} - A_{T_{-}} | F_{T}] \leq c$$
 a.s. (resp.  $E[A_{\infty} - A_{T} | F_{T}] \leq c$  a.s.)

holds for every stopping time (resp. predictable stopping time) T, then

(1) 
$$\mathbb{E}[\Phi(A_{\infty})] \leq \frac{1}{c} \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} \Phi(ct) e^{-t} dt \right) \mathbb{E}[A_{\infty}] .$$

Corollary. Let  $A = (A_{+})$  be as in Theorem 4. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[A_{\infty}^{p}] \leq c^{p-1} \Gamma(p+1) \mathbb{E}[A_{\infty}] \leq c^{p} \Gamma(p+1) \qquad (1 \leq p < +\infty);$$

$$E[A_{\infty}^{p}] \ge c^{p-1} \Gamma(p+1) E[A_{\infty}]$$
 (0 < p < 1);

$$\mathbb{E}[\exp(\alpha A_{\infty})] \leq \frac{\alpha}{1-c\alpha} \mathbb{E}[A_{\infty}] + 1 \leq \frac{1}{1-c\alpha} \qquad (0 \leq \alpha < 1/c).$$

Previous to proving Theorem 4, we note that for every  $A = (A_t)$  as in the statement, we have

(11) 
$$\int_{\{A_{\infty} > \lambda\}} (A_{\infty} - \lambda) dP \le c P\{A_{\infty} > \lambda\} \quad \text{for all } \lambda > 0 ,$$

(for the proof see [4, p.346]). We use only this inequality to prove (1), so (1) is true for every random variable  $A_{\infty}$  satisfying (11).

<u>Proof of Theorem 4.</u> Without loss of generality, we can assume that c=1. Let f be the (unique) right continuous increasing function on [0,1[ with the same distribution as  $A_{\infty}$ , with respect to the Lebesgue measure. We set, for each  $t \in [0,1[$ ,

$$\tau(t) = \inf\{s \in [0, 1[; f(s) > f(t)] \land 1.$$

It is obvious that  $]\tau(t)$ ,  $1[\subset \{s: f(s) > f(t)\}\subset [\tau(t), 1[$  and hence that

$$P\{A_{\infty} > f(t)\} = 1 - \tau(t) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \int_{\{A_{\infty} > f(t)\}} A_{\infty} dP = \int_{\tau(t)}^{1} f(s) ds .$$

Since the function  $t \longrightarrow \frac{1}{1-t} \int_t^1 f(s) ds$  is increasing and  $\tau(t) \ge t$ , we have

$$f^{\#}(t) \leq \frac{1}{1 - \tau(t)} \int_{\tau(t)}^{1} (f(s) - f(t)) ds$$

$$= \frac{1}{P\{A_{\infty} > f(t)\}} \int_{\{A_{\infty} > f(t)\}} (A_{\infty} - f(t)) dP \leq 1,$$

where the last inequality follows from (11) with c=1. In order to obtain (1), it only remains to apply Proposition 3 to this f. []

Remark. Although Theorem 4 is probabilistic, it is equivalent to Proposition 3, which is purely analytic. To see this, let  $\Omega$  = [0, 1[, dP be the Lebesgue measure on  $\Omega$ , and  $F_t$  be the augmentation of the  $\sigma$ -field generated by the set ]tAl, 1[ and the Borel subsets of [0, tAl]. If f is a function as in Proposition 3, the increasing process  $A_t(\omega) = f(t \wedge \omega)$  satisfies (10), and hence (7) follows from (1). Furthermore, if we set  $f(t) = c \log^+(\alpha(1-t)^{-1})$  for  $\alpha \in ]0, 1]$  and c > 0 and if we define  $A_t$  as above, then  $A_t$  satisfies (10) and the equality holds in (1). Therefore (1) cannot be improved any more. There is a more interesting such example in [3].

We now give an application of Theorem 4. Using general Young functions, Bassily and Mogyoródi in [1] introduced the  ${\rm BMO}_{\bar\Phi}$ -norm corresponding to  $\Phi$ , and proved that it is equivalent to the usual  ${\rm BMO}_1$ -norm, if  $\Phi$  has a finite power. Their proof is elementary, but somewhat complicated. We give a more straightforward proof of it.

Let  $\Phi$  be an increasing convex function on  $[0, +\infty[$  such that  $\Phi(0) = 0$ , and let  $M = (M_t)_{t \ge 0}$  be a right continuous, uniformly integrable martingale. We set

$$\left|\left|\mathbf{M}\right|\right|_{BMO_{\widetilde{\Phi}}} = \inf\{\lambda \geq 0 : \sup_{T} \left|\left|\mathbf{E}\left[\Phi\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\left|\mathbf{M}_{\infty} - \mathbf{M}_{T-}\right|\right) \left|\mathbf{F}_{T}\right|\right]\right|\right|_{L^{\infty}} \leq 1\} \text{ ,}$$

where the supremum is taken over all stopping times. The original definition of the  ${\rm BMO}_{\bar{\Phi}}$ -norm is seemingly different from this definition, but they are identical; see the proof of Theorem 6 in [1].

Theorem 5 (Bassily and Mogyoródi). Let  $\Phi$  be an increasing convex function on  $[0, +\infty[$  such that  $\Phi(0)=0$ . If  $\int_0^\infty \Phi(ct) e^{-t} dt < +\infty$  for some constant

c > 0, then, for every right continous uniformly integrable martingale M = (M<sub>t</sub>) we have

$$c_{\Phi} ||M||_{BMO_{1}} \le ||M||_{BMO_{\Phi}} \le c_{\Phi} ||M||_{BMO_{1}}$$

where  $c_{\bar{\Phi}} > 0$  and  $C_{\bar{\Phi}} > 0$  depend only on  $\Phi$ , and  $\|\cdot\|_{BMO_1}$  denotes the norm corresponding to the function  $\Psi(t) = t$ .

<u>Proof.</u> We prove the right-hand inequality only: the left-hand inequality is an easy consequence of Jensen's inequality. We set  $M_t^* = \sup_{s \le t} \left| M_s \right|$ ,  $t \ge 0$ . It is well-known (e.g. [3, p.193]) that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{M}_{\infty}^{\bigstar}-\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{T}^{-}}^{\bigstar}\big|\,\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{T}}^{\phantom{\dagger}}\right]\,\leq\,4\,\,\left|\left|\,\mathbf{M}\,\right|\right|_{\,\mathbf{BMO}_{\,\mathbf{1}}}\quad.$$

Let  $C_{\Phi}^{-1} = \inf\{c > 0: \int_{0}^{\infty} \Phi(ct) e^{-t} dt > 1\}$ . It then follows from the hypotheses that  $0 < C_{\Phi} < +\infty$ . By Theorem 4, setting  $\beta = 4 \|M\|_{BMO_1}$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\Phi\left(\frac{1}{\beta C_{\Phi}}M_{\infty}^{\star}\right)\right] \leq \frac{1}{\beta} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \Phi\left(\frac{t}{C_{\Phi}}\right) e^{-t} dt\right) \mathbb{E}\left[M_{\infty}^{\star}\right] \leq 1 \quad .$$

We put this inequality in conditional form in the usual manner (cf. [3, p.190]). Then we have

$$\text{E}[\Phi(\frac{1}{\beta C_{\Phi}}\sup_{\textbf{t}}\left|\textbf{M}_{\textbf{T+t}}-\textbf{M}_{\textbf{T-}}\right|)\left|\textbf{F}_{\textbf{T}}] \leq 1 \qquad \text{a.s.}$$

and hence  $\|\mathbf{M}\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\bar{\Phi}}} \le \beta \, \mathrm{C}_{\bar{\Phi}} = 4 \, \mathrm{C}_{\bar{\Phi}} \, \|\mathbf{M}\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\bar{1}}}$ . This completes the proof. []

#### REFERENCES

- [1] N.L. Bassily and J. Mogyoródi, On the BMO $_{\Phi}$  spaces with general Young function, Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest, Sec. Math., 27 (1984), 225 227.
- [2] N. Bourbaki, Fonctions d'une variable réelle, Chap. 4-7, 2 nd ed. Hermann, Paris, 1961.
- [3] C. Dellacherie and P.A. Meyer, Probabilités et Potentiel, chap. V à VIII, Hermann, Paris, 1980.
- [4] P.A. Meyer, Un cours sur les intégrales stochastiques, Séminaire de Probabilités X, Lecture Notes in Math. 511, Springer Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1976, 245 400.