## SÉMINAIRE DE PROBABILITÉS (STRASBOURG)

## ALOK GOSWAMI B. V. RAO

## On filtrations of brownian polynomials

*Séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg)*, tome 25 (1991), p. 349-353 <a href="http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS\_1991\_25\_349\_0">http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS\_1991\_25\_349\_0</a>

© Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1991, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg) (http://portail. mathdoc.fr/SemProba/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.



On Filtrations of Brownian polynomials

A. Goswami and B.V. Rao Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta

In [3] Lane considered, among other things, the filtrations of the processes  $\int_0^t h(B_s)dB_s$  for a certain class of functions h on the real line. He showed that in many instances the filtration of such a process is either that of the Brownian motion itself or that of an appropriate reflected Brownian motion. In this note we make a rather curious observation regarding the filtrations of the processes  $H_n(B_t,t)$ . This also helps us to describe the filtrations of a large class of polynomials in  $(B_t,t)$ . We conclude with an extremal property of these martingales.

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a complete probability space and  $(B_t)_{t\geq 0}$  be a standard Brownian motion defined on the space. For each  $n\geq 1$  recall that the nth Hermite polynomial in (x,t) is defined by

$$H_n(x,t) = \frac{(-t)^n}{n!} e^{x^2/2t} D_x^n(e^{-x^2/2t})$$

It is wellknown and easily verifiable that  $D_xH_n=H_{n-1}$  and  $(D_t+\frac{1}{2}D_{xx})H_n=0$  for each  $n\geq 1$ .

Let  $Y_n(t) = H_n(B_t, t)$  and  $(\mathcal{G}_t^n)$  its canonical filtration. Here and in what follows canonical filtration of a process means the right continuous modification of the natural filtration of the process augmented by P-null sets of the process. In particular  $(\mathcal{G}_t^n)$  is the Brownian filtration and  $(\mathcal{G}_t^n)$  is the filtration of the reflected Brownian motion |B|.

Theorem 1: 1. For each  $n \ge 1$ ,  $(\mathcal{G}_t^n) = (\mathcal{G}_t^1)$  or  $(\mathcal{G}_t^2)$  according as n is odd or even.

2. Let P(x,t) be any nonconstant polynomial in (x,t) satisfying  $(D_t + \frac{1}{2}D_{xx}) P = 0$ . Assume that the coefficient of  $x^{n-1}$  is zero where n is the degree of P in x. Then the canonical filtration of  $P(B_t,t)$  is either  $(\mathcal{G}_t^1)$  or  $(\mathcal{G}_t^2)$  according as an odd power of x is present in P or not.

The following simple lemma will be used repeatedly in the proof of the theorem.

<u>Lemma</u>. Let  $(M_t)$  be a continuous martingale. Then, (i)  $\langle M \rangle$  is adapted to the canonical filtration of |M|. (ii) if moreover  $M_t = \int_{0}^{\infty} h_s dB_s$  then |h| is |M| adapted.

<u>Proof of the Lemma</u>. (i) is a direct consequence of the fact that in the Doob-Meyer decomposition of a submartingale, the increasing process is adapted to the canonical filtration of the submartingale. To prove (ii) note that by (i)  $(\int_{0}^{t} h_{s}^{2} ds)$  is adapted to |M| and hence so also is  $(h_{+}^{2})$ .

Proof of the Theorem: 1. By Ito's formula  $Y_n(t) = \int_0^t Y_{n-1}(s) dB_s$ . By the lemma it follows that  $|Y_{n-1}|$  is  $(\mathcal{G}_t^n)$  adapted. In turn  $Y_{n-1}(t) = \int_0^t Y_{n-2}(s) dB_s$ , so that  $|Y_{n-2}|$  is  $|Y_{n-1}|$  adapted and hence  $(\mathcal{G}_t^n)$  adapted. Proceeding in this way we observe that |B| is  $(\mathcal{G}_t^n)$  adapted. In other words  $(\mathcal{G}_t^2) \subset (\mathcal{G}_t^n)$ . In case n is even the proof is complete since  $H_n(x,t)$  involves only even powers of x. In case n is odd,  $Y_n(t) = B_t \cdot Q(B_t,t)$  where Q is a polynomial in (x,t) involving only even powers of  $x \cdot (Q(B_t,t))$  is adapted to  $(\mathcal{G}_t^2)$  and hence to  $(\mathcal{G}_t^n)$ . But for fixed t,  $Q(B_t,t)$  is nonzero almost surely so that B is  $(\mathcal{G}_t^n)$  adapted. The proof is complete.

2. Denote by  $(\mathcal{G}_t)$  the canonical filtration of the process  $(P(B_t,t))$ . Let the degree of P in x be n. Denoting derivative w.r.t. x by ', we see that P', P'',... are all solutions of  $(D_t + \frac{1}{2} D_{xx})$  u = 0, so that  $P^{(k)}(B_t,t) = \int_0^t P^{(k+1)}(B_s,s) dB_s$ . Further  $P^{(n-1)}(x,t) = c.x$  by the assumption on P where c is a nonzero constant. Now proceeding as in 1 above we get that  $(\mathcal{G}_t^2) \subset (\mathcal{G}_t)$ . In case P has only even powers of x, the proof is complete. Otherwise, write  $P = Q_1 + x.Q_2$  where both  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  involve only even powers of x to complete the proof.

Remark 1. For each  $n \geq 1$ , if  $(\mathcal{G}_t^n)$  is the natural filtration of the  $(Y_n)$  process augmented by P -null sets of  $\mathcal{G}_t^n$  then  $(\mathcal{G}_t^n) = (\mathcal{G}_t^n)$ . In other words  $(\mathcal{G}_t^n)$  is itself right continuous. This is because the same is known to be true for n=1 and 2. A routine argument now completes the proof.

Remark 2. The assumption in the second part of the theorem - namely, that the coefficient of  $x^{n-1}$  be zero - is essential. To see this let  $P(x,t)=x^2-x-t$ . Clearly the canonical filtration of  $(P(B_t,t))$  can not be  $(\mathcal{G}_t^2)$ . It is not  $(\mathcal{G}_t^1)$  either. The quickest way to see this is to take  $\Omega$  to be  $C[0,\infty)$  and B the coordinate process. If  $\mathcal{T}$  is the hitting time of  $\frac{1}{2}$  by B then  $P(B_t,t)$  does not distinguish between the paths  $\omega$  and  $\omega^*$  where  $\omega^*$  is the usual reflection of  $\omega$  at  $\mathcal{T}$ . The measure preserving nature of the map  $\omega \mapsto \omega^*$  can now be used to complete the proof.

Remark 3. It is curious to note that the theorem is not valid for arbitrary nonconstant solutions u of  $(D_t + \frac{1}{2}D_{xx})u = 0$ . The function  $u(x,t) = e^{t/2} \sin x$  is such a function and it also has a series expansion in terms of Hermite polynomials

given by  $u = \Sigma(-1)^k H_{2k+1}$ . This is verified by using the generating function for Hermite polynomials [1]. Of course, the filtration of  $(u(B_t,t))$  is same as that of the process  $\sin B$ , which is neither  $(\mathcal{G}_t^1)$  nor  $(\mathcal{G}_t^2)$ . However it is of interest to note that its canonical filtration is a Brownian filtration. Indeed if  $M_t = e^{t/2} \sin B_t$  then  $\int_0^t \frac{e^{-s/2}}{1-e^{-s}M_s^2} \, dM_s$ 

is a Brownian motion and its canonical filtration is same as that of  $\, M \,$  .

Remark 4. The theorem is a truely infinite time dimensional theorem. That is to say, for n odd (resp. even) the  $\sigma$ -field  $\sigma(B_{t_1},\ldots,B_{t_k})$  (resp.  $\sigma(|B_{t_1}|,\ldots,|B_{t_k}|)$ ) is strictly larger than  $\sigma(Y_n(t_1),\ldots,Y_n(t_k))$  for any finite set of time points  $t_1 < t_2 < \ldots < t_k$  and for any  $n \geq 3$ .

As a consequence of Theorem 1, we have the following result which is perhaps known, but we have not found in the literature.

Theorem 2. 1.  $Y_n$  has martingale representation property. That is, every  $(\mathcal{G}_t^n)$  martingale is a stochastic integral w.r.t.  $Y_n$ .

- 2.  $Y_n$  is an extremal martingale. That is, the law  $\mu_n$  of  $Y_n$  is an extreme point of the convex set of all probabilities on  $C[0,\infty)$  making the coordinate process a martingale.
  - 3. For  $n \neq m$ ,  $\mu_n \perp \mu_m$ .

 $\begin{array}{lll} & \underbrace{Proof}_{}, & 1. & \text{Let } n & \text{be odd.} & \text{Then } Y_{n-1} & \text{is } ( \not\ni_{} \overset{n}{t} ) & \text{adapted and} \\ & dB & = \frac{1}{Y_{n-1}} & dY_{n} & \text{so that any } ( \not\ni_{} \overset{n}{t} ) & \text{martingale, being an integral} \\ & \text{w.r.t.} & B & \text{is also an integral w.r.t.} & Y_{n}. & \text{Let } n & \text{be even.} \\ & \text{Then, } dY_{n} & = Y_{n-1} dB & = Z_{n-1} dY_{2} & \text{where } Z_{n-1}(s) & = P(B_{s},s) & \text{and} \\ & P(x,t) & = \frac{1}{x} H_{n-1}(x,t). & \text{Since } H_{n-1} & \text{involves only odd powers of} \\ & x, P & \text{is a polynomial involving only even powers of } x. & Z_{n-1} \end{array}$ 

being  $(\mathcal{G}_t^n)$  adapted, we deduce that  $dY_2 = \frac{1}{Z_{n-1}} dY_n$ . Now, any  $(\mathcal{G}_t^n)$  martingale is a  $(\mathcal{G}_t^2)$  martingale and hence an integral w.r.t.  $Y_2$  and so in turn is an integral w.r.t.  $Y_n$ . Incidentally, the fact that any  $(\mathcal{G}_t^2)$  martingale is an  $Y_2$  integral follows from observing that  $M_t = \int sgn \ (B_s)dB_s$  is a Brownian motion, its canonical filtration is  $(\mathcal{G}_t^2)$  and  $dM = \frac{1}{|B|} dY_2$ .

- 2. can be deduced using Theorem 11.2, p.338 and
- 3. using Theorem 11.4, p.340 of Jacod [2].

## References

- 1. Hida, T. (1979): Brownian motion, Springer-Verlag.
- 2. Jacod, J. (1979): <u>Calcul Stochastique et Problemes de</u>

  Martingales. Springer LNM 714.
- Lane, D.A.(1978): On the fields of some Brownian martingales, <u>Ann. Prob.</u> 6 p.499-508.