

# SÉMINAIRE DE PROBABILITÉS (STRASBOURG)

THOMAS J. RANSFORD

## **Predictable sets and set-valued processes**

*Séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg)*, tome 24 (1990), p. 41-45

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS\\_1990\\_\\_24\\_\\_41\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS_1990__24__41_0)

© Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1990, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg) (<http://portail.mathdoc.fr/SemProba/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

# PREDICTABLE SETS AND SET-VALUED PROCESSES

by T.J.Ransford

## Introduction.

Throughout this article, we suppose that we are given a complete probability space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, P)$ , together with a filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_0, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq \infty})$  satisfying the usual conditions of right continuity, completeness, and left continuity at  $\infty$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{P}$  the *predictable*  $\sigma$ -field, namely the  $\sigma$ -field on  $[0, \infty] \times \Omega$  generated by all sets of the form

$$\{0\} \times A \quad (A \in \mathcal{F}_{0-}) \quad \text{and} \quad (t, \infty] \times B \quad (B \in \mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0)$$

together with the evanescent sets (which are always to be treated as negligible). Our purpose is to establish analogues of the classical ‘analytic implies measurable’ and projection theorems for  $\mathcal{P}$ , even though  $\mathcal{P}$  is *not* complete relative to any probability measure. The last section explores some connections with set-valued processes.

We follow the notation of [3] throughout, except for the minor change that our time interval is  $[0, \infty]$  rather than  $[0, \infty)$  (however, see [3, IV.61(b)]). Finally, we remark that, with obvious modifications to the proofs, all the results below remain valid if  $\mathcal{P}$  is replaced throughout by  $\mathcal{O}$ , the optional  $\sigma$ -field.

## 1. A Measurability Theorem.

Given a measurable space  $(E, \mathcal{E})$ , denote by  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{E})$  the class of  $\mathcal{E}$ -analytic sets (see [3, III.7]). Then  $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{E})$ , with equality if  $(E, \mathcal{E})$  is complete relative to some probability measure ([3, III.33(a)]), though not however in general. In particular, it is *never* true that  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{P}$ : for if  $Z$  is any analytic subset of  $[0, \infty]$  which is not Borel, then  $Z \times \Omega \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{P}) \setminus \mathcal{P}$ . Instead, writing  $\mathcal{B}$  for the Borel sets, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $H \subset [0, \infty] \times \Omega$ . Then  $H \in \mathcal{P}$  if and only if  $H \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{P})$  and  $H \in \mathcal{B}[0, \infty] \otimes \mathcal{F}_\infty$ .*

*Proof.* The ‘only if’ is clear. For the ‘if’, suppose that  $H \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{P}) \cap (\mathcal{B}[0, \infty] \otimes \mathcal{F}_\infty)$ . Then the set  $H \cap (\{\infty\} \times \Omega)$  belongs to  $\{\infty\} \times \mathcal{F}_\infty$ , and hence to  $\mathcal{P}$ , so subtracting it off we may assume that  $H \subset [0, \infty) \times \Omega$ . Let  $X = \mathcal{P}(1_H)$ , the predictable projection of  $1_H$  (see [3, VI.43]). As  $\mathcal{P}(\cdot)$  is order-preserving we certainly have  $0 \leq X \leq 1$ , and proving that  $H \in \mathcal{P}$  is equivalent to showing that  $X = 1_H$ , which we now proceed to do.

First we show that  $X \geq 1_H$ . Suppose, if possible, that this is false. Then there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $H \cap \{X \leq 1 - \delta\}$  is not evanescent. As this set belongs to  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{P})$ , the (proof of) the predictable section theorem ([3, IV.85]) shows that there exists a predictable time  $T$ , with  $P(T < \infty) > 0$ , such that

$$\llbracket T \rrbracket \subset (H \cap \{X \leq 1 - \delta\}) \cup \llbracket \infty \rrbracket.$$

By the defining property of predictable projections we have

$$E[1_H(T)1_{(T<\infty)}|\mathcal{F}_{T-}] = X(T)1_{(T<\infty)} \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Therefore

$$P(T < \infty) = E[1_H(T)1_{(T<\infty)}] = E[X(T)1_{(T<\infty)}] \leq (1 - \delta) \cdot P(T < \infty),$$

which gives the desired contradiction.

Now we show that  $X \leq 1_H$ . Again, suppose, if possible, that this is false. Then there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\{X \geq \delta\} \setminus H$  is not evanescent. As this set belongs to  $\mathcal{B}[0, \infty) \otimes \mathcal{F}_\infty$ , the (ordinary) section theorem ([3, III.44]) shows that there exists a random time  $T$ , with  $P(T < \infty) > 0$ , such that

$$[[T] \subset (\{X \geq \delta\} \setminus H) \cup [\infty].$$

Define a measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{P}$  by

$$\mu(Q) = E[1_Q(T)1_{(T<\infty)}] \quad (Q \in \mathcal{P}),$$

and then a  $\mathcal{P}$ -outer measure  $\mu^*$  on  $[0, \infty) \times \Omega$  by

$$(1) \quad \mu^*(R) = \inf\{\mu(Q) : Q \in \mathcal{P}, Q \supset R\} \quad (R \subset [0, \infty) \times \Omega).$$

A standard argument shows that  $\mu^*$  is a  $\mathcal{P}$ -capacity (see [3, III.32]). As  $H \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{P})$ , it follows by Choquet's theorem ([3, III.28]) that

$$(2) \quad \mu^*(H) = \sup\{\mu(Q) : Q \in \mathcal{P}, Q \subset H\}.$$

Now on the one hand, if  $Q \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $Q \supset H$ , then  $1_Q = {}^p(1_Q) \geq {}^p(1_H) = X$ , so

$$\mu(Q) \geq E[X(T)1_{(T<\infty)}] \geq \delta \cdot P(T < \infty),$$

and hence by (1),

$$\mu^*(H) \geq \delta \cdot P(T < \infty) > 0.$$

On the other hand, if  $Q \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $Q \subset H$ , then  $1_Q \leq 1_H$ , so

$$\mu(Q) \leq E[1_H(T)1_{(T<\infty)}] = 0,$$

and hence by (2),

$$\mu^*(H) = 0.$$

This gives the desired contradiction, and completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark.** The proof of Theorem 1 was influenced by [2] and by [3, IV.76(c)].

## 2. A Projection Theorem.

To exploit Theorem 1 we use a little topology. Throughout this section, let  $C$  be a compact metrizable space. Denote by  $\mathcal{P}(C)$  the collection of all subsets  $J$  of  $C \times [0, \infty] \times \Omega$  such that

- (i)  $J$  belongs to  $\mathcal{B}(C) \otimes \mathcal{P}$ , and
- (ii)  $J_\omega$  is compact almost surely, where

$$J_\omega = \{(x, t) \in C \times [0, \infty] : (x, t, \omega) \in J\} \quad (\omega \in \Omega).$$

The class  $\mathcal{P}(C)$  is stable under finite unions and countable intersections.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $J \in \mathcal{P}(C)$ . If  $\pi : C \times [0, \infty] \times \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty] \times \Omega$  denotes the canonical projection map, then  $\pi(J) \in \mathcal{P}$ .*

*Proof.* As  $J \in \mathcal{B}(C) \otimes \mathcal{P}$ , it follows by [3, III.13] that  $\pi(J) \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{P})$ . We claim that also  $\pi(J) \in \mathcal{B}[0, \infty] \otimes \mathcal{F}_\infty$ . If so, then applying Theorem 1 yields the desired conclusion that  $\pi(J) \in \mathcal{P}$ .

To prove the claim, put  $H = \pi(J)$ . Given  $B \in \mathcal{B}[0, \infty]$ , set

$$\Omega_B = \pi'((B \times \Omega) \cap H),$$

where  $\pi' : [0, \infty] \times \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  is the canonical projection. Then

$$\Omega_B = \pi' \pi((C \times B \times \Omega) \cap J),$$

so since  $(C \times B \times \Omega) \cap J \in \mathcal{B}(C \times [0, \infty]) \otimes \mathcal{F}_\infty$ , it follows by [3, III.13] again that  $\Omega_B \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_\infty)$ . As  $\mathcal{F}_\infty$  is  $P$ -complete, we therefore have  $\Omega_B \in \mathcal{F}_\infty$ . In particular, taking  $B_{k,n} = [k/n, (k+1)/n]$ , we deduce that each of the sets

$$H_n = \bigcup_{k \geq 0} (B_{k,n} \times \Omega_{B_{k,n}}) \cup (\{\infty\} \times \Omega_{\{\infty\}}) \quad (n \geq 1)$$

belongs to  $\mathcal{B}[0, \infty] \otimes \mathcal{F}_\infty$ . Also, since almost every  $\omega$ -section of  $J$  is compact, the same is true of  $H$ , and this easily implies that  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} H_n = H$ . Hence  $H \in \mathcal{B}[0, \infty] \otimes \mathcal{F}_\infty$ , justifying the claim.  $\square$

We now give an application to the predictability of an uncountable supremum of processes. Note that by this is meant the *actual* supremum, not just an essential supremum in the sense of [1] for example.

**Corollary.** *Let  $\Psi : C \times [0, \infty] \times \Omega \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$  be a map such that*

- (i)  $\Psi$  is  $\mathcal{B}(C) \otimes \mathcal{P}$ -measurable, and
- (ii) the map  $(x, t) \mapsto \Psi(x, t, \omega)$  is upper semicontinuous almost surely.

*Then the process  $\Phi : [0, \infty] \times \Omega \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$  is predictable, where*

$$\Phi(t, \omega) = \sup_{x \in C} \Psi(x, t, \omega) \quad ((t, \omega) \in [0, \infty] \times \Omega).$$

*Proof.* By upper semicontinuity, the supremum in the definition of  $\Phi$  is always attained. Hence, given  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ , we have

$$\{\Phi \geq \alpha\} = \pi(\{\Psi \geq \alpha\}),$$

where  $\pi$  is as in Theorem 2. The hypotheses on  $\Psi$  guarantee that  $\{\Psi \geq \alpha\} \in \mathcal{P}(C)$ , so by Theorem 2 it follows that  $\{\Phi \geq \alpha\} \in \mathcal{P}$ . Thus  $\Phi$  is predictable.  $\square$

### 3. Set-Valued Processes.

One way to extend the last corollary is to allow the supremum to be taken over a set which itself varies, namely, a set-valued stochastic process. Set-valued processes arise in a number of contexts, and in [4] at least, such suprema play a fundamental rôle.

As before, let  $C$  be a compact metrizable space, and now denote by  $\mathcal{K}(C)$  the collection of all compact subsets of  $C$ . A set-valued map  $K : [0, \infty] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(C)$  is:

(i) *predictable* if for every  $F \in \mathcal{K}(C)$

$$\{(t, \omega) \in [0, \infty] \times \Omega : K(t, \omega) \cap F \neq \emptyset\} \in \mathcal{P};$$

(ii) *upper semicontinuous* if, for almost all  $\omega$ , for every  $F \in \mathcal{K}(C)$

$$\{t \in [0, \infty] : K(t, \omega) \cap F \neq \emptyset\} \in \mathcal{K}[0, \infty].$$

These two properties can be characterized very simply in terms of the graph of  $K$ .

**Theorem 3.** *A map  $K : [0, \infty] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(C)$  is predictable and upper semicontinuous if and only if  $\Gamma(K) \in \mathcal{P}(C)$ , where*

$$\Gamma(K) = \{(x, t, \omega) \in C \times [0, \infty] \times \Omega : x \in K(t, \omega)\}.$$

*Proof.* First suppose that  $\Gamma(K) \in \mathcal{P}(C)$ . Then given  $F \in \mathcal{K}(C)$ , we have

$$\{(t, \omega) : K(t, \omega) \cap F \neq \emptyset\} = \pi(\Gamma(K) \cap (F \times [0, \infty] \times \Omega)),$$

so by Theorem 2 it follows that  $K$  is predictable. As almost every  $\omega$ -section of  $\Gamma(K)$  is compact, it is plain that  $K$  is upper semicontinuous.

Conversely, suppose that  $K$  is predictable and upper semicontinuous. In particular it then follows that for each  $F \in \mathcal{K}(C)$  we have  $J(F) \in \mathcal{P}(C)$ , where

$$J(F) = ((C \setminus \text{int}(F)) \times [0, \infty] \times \Omega) \cup (C \times \{(t, \omega) : K(t, \omega) \cap F \neq \emptyset\}).$$

Now as  $C$  is compact metrizable, we may choose a sequence  $(F_n)$  in  $\mathcal{K}(C)$  with the following property: given  $C' \in \mathcal{K}(C)$  and  $x \in C \setminus C'$ , there exists  $n$  such that  $x \in \text{int}(F_n)$  and  $C' \cap F_n = \emptyset$ . With this sequence it is then elementary to check that  $\Gamma(K) = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} J(F_n)$ . Hence  $\Gamma(K) \in \mathcal{P}(C)$ .  $\square$

Finally we can read off the result that was hinted at earlier.

**Corollary.** *Let  $\Psi : C \times [0, \infty] \times \Omega \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$  be a map satisfying the same conditions as in the Corollary to Theorem 2. Let  $K : [0, \infty] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(C)$  be a predictable, upper semicontinuous process. Then  $\Phi : [0, \infty] \times \Omega \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$  is a predictable process, where*

$$\Phi(t, \omega) = \sup_{x \in K(t, \omega)} \Psi(x, t, \omega) \quad ((t, \omega) \in [0, \infty] \times \Omega).$$

*Proof.* This time, given  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ , we have

$$\{\Phi \geq \alpha\} = \pi(\{\Psi \geq \alpha\} \cap \Gamma(K)).$$

Using Theorem 3,  $(\{\Psi \geq \alpha\} \cap \Gamma(K)) \in \mathcal{P}(C)$ , so as before  $\{\Phi \geq \alpha\} \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $\Phi$  is predictable.  $\square$

**References.**

- [1] C.Dellacherie, 'Sur l'existence de certains ess. inf et ess. sup de familles de processus mesurables', *Séminaire de Probabilités XII*, pp.512–514, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 649, Springer, Berlin, 1978.
- [2] C.Dellacherie, 'Supports optionels et prévisibles d'une  $P$ -mesure et applications', *Séminaire de Probabilités XII*, pp.515-522, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 649, Springer, Berlin, 1978.
- [3] C.Dellacherie and P.A.Meyer, *Probabilities and Potential*, volumes A and B, North-Holland Mathematical Studies Nos. 29 and 72, Amsterdam, 1978 and 1982.
- [4] T.J.Ransford, 'Holomorphic, subharmonic and subholomorphic processes', *to appear*.

Department of Pure Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics,  
16 Mill Lane,  
Cambridge CB2 1SB,  
United Kingdom.