# SÉMINAIRE DE PROBABILITÉS (STRASBOURG)

### SHENG-WUHE

## The representation of Poisson functionals

Séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg), tome 17 (1983), p. 349-352

<a href="http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS\_1983\_\_17\_\_349\_0">http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS\_1983\_\_17\_\_349\_0</a>

© Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1983, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg) (http://portail. mathdoc.fr/SemProba/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.



Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

#### THE REPRESENTATION OF POISSON FUNCTIONALS

#### by S.W. He

1. Let  $(W_t)$  be a Brownian motion and  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$  be its natural filtration. Dudley [3] showed that every  $\mathcal{F}_1$ -measurable random variable  $\xi$  can be represented as a stochastic integral  $\int_0^1 h_s^d W_s$  of a predictable process such that  $\int_0^1 h_s^2 ds < \infty$  a.s.. Of course if  $\xi \in L^2$  and  $E[\xi]=0$ , this reduces to the well known representation property of Brownian motion, but for arbitrary random variables the problem is quite different. For instance, let S be an exponential random variable, and let  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$  be the smallest filtration, satisfying the usual conditions, with respect to which S is a stopping time. It is well known that  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$  has the predictable representation property, and still Emery, Stricker and Yan show that there is no local martingale  $M_t$  such that  $M_S=1/S$ . They also show that similar negative results hold at totally inaccessible stopping times in any filtration ( and on the other hand get positive results of the Dudley type, urder the assumption that all martingales are continuous, but without predictable representation ).

The purpose of this note is to extend Dudley's result to the case of Poisson processes. We prove that if  $(\mathcal{F}_{t})$  is the natural filtration of a standard Poisson process  $(X_{t})$ :

- 1) For every  $\mathcal{F}_{\infty}$  -measurable random variable § , there is some local martingale (L<sub>t</sub>) such that L<sub>O</sub>=0 and  $\lim_{t\to\infty}$  L<sub>t</sub> = § a.s..
- 2) For every predictable stopping time T with 0<T< $\infty$  a.s. and every  $\mathfrak{F}_{\rm T}$  measurable random variable  $\xi$  , there exists a local martingale (L<sub>t</sub>) such that L<sub>O</sub>=0 and L<sub>m</sub>= $\xi$  a.s. .

We recall that every local martingale (L<sub>t</sub>) with L<sub>O</sub>=0 is a stochastic integral with respect to the fundamental martingale X<sub>t</sub>-t . Both results may be viewed as satisfactory extensions of Dudley's theorem and ( due to the remark of Emery, Stricker and Yan mentioned above ) the predictability restriction is quite reasonable. On the other hand, there is no obvious reason for the restriction that  $P\{T<\infty\}=0$  ( case 1 ) or 1 ( case 2 ), but we haven't been able to prove the theorem without it.

We denote by  $T_n$  the successive jump times of  $(X_t)$ , while  $T_0=0$  by convention;  $M_t=X_t-t$  denotes the fundamental martingale. For all necessary properties of  $(\mathfrak{F}_t)$  which aren't proved here, see Jacod [5].

2. In this section we are going to deal with the first case. The main argument is very simple, and contained in the following lemma:

LEMMA 1. For every n, there exists a local martingale  $J_t^n = \int_t^t j_s^n dM_s$ with the following properties

- $\{ \ j_s^n \neq 0 \ \} \subset \ ] \mathbb{T}_n, \mathbb{T}_{n+1} ] \quad ( \ \text{hence J}_t^n = 0 \ \text{for t} \leq \mathbb{T}_n \ \text{and J}^n \ \text{is stopped at time } \mathbb{T}_{n+1} \ )$
- $J_{T}^n = 1$   $|J_t^n| \le e^{\Delta_n}$  for all t, where  $\Delta_n$  denotes  $T_{n+1}^{-T}$ .

PROOF. We take  $j_s^n = e^{s-T} n \mathbf{1}_{\{T_n < s \le T_{n+1}\}}$  . Considering only n=0 for simplicity of notation, we have

$$J_{t}^{n} = \int_{0}^{t} j_{s}^{0} dM_{s} = -\int_{0}^{t} e^{s} ds = 1 - e^{t}$$
 for  $t < T_{1}$ ,  $J_{t}^{n} = 1$  for  $t \ge T_{1}$ .

Of course, if  $~\eta$  is some  $\textbf{\textit{F}}_{T_n}\text{-measurable random variable, }\eta \textbf{\textit{J}}_t^n$  is also a local martingale with initial value 0.

We can now prove :

PROPOSITION 1. If  $\xi$  is any (a.s. finite)  $\mathcal{F}_{\infty}$  -measurable random variable, there exists a local martingale  $L_t = \int_0^t h_s dM_s$  such that  $\lim_t L_t$ exists and is equal to \$ a.s. .

PROOF. We first construct a sequence of random variables  $\xi_n$  such that

 $\mathbf{5}_{\mathrm{n}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{5}$  a.s. ,  $\mathbf{5}_{\mathrm{n}}$  is  $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}}\text{-measurable for every n}$  .

Then, using the Borel-Cantelli lemma, we construct a strictly increasing sequence (nk) such that

 $|\xi-\xi_{n_k}| \leq \frac{1}{2}e^{-k^2}$  for k large enough (a.s.)  $k < n_k$ ,

and we set

 $L_{t} = \xi_{n_0} J_{t}^{n_0} + (\xi_{n_1} - \xi_{n_0}) J_{t}^{n_1} + \dots$ 

Since the sequence is strictly increasing, the supports of the  $j_s^{n_k}$  are all disjoint, and this is a stochastic integral of the locally bounded process  $h_t = \mathbf{\xi}_{n_0} \mathbf{j}_t^{n_0} + (\mathbf{\xi}_{n_1} - \mathbf{\xi}_{n_0}) \mathbf{j}_t^{n_1} + \cdots$ 

At time  $T_{n_k+1}$  , the value of  $L_t$  is  $\mathbf{s}_{n_k}$  and doesn't change again until time  $T_{n_{k+1}}$  . So to prove that  $L_t$  converges to § , we need only show that the oscillation between times  $T_{n_k}$  and  $T_{n_k+1}$  tends a.s. to 0. According to the lemma, this oscillation is at most  $|\xi_{n_k} - \xi_{n_k}| e^{\Delta n_k}$ , which for k large is a.s. smaller than  $e^{-k^2}e^{\Delta n_k}$ . The random variables  $\Delta_n$  are independent, identically distributed and integrable. So  $\Delta_n/k$  is a.s. bounded, and  $e^{-k}e^{\Delta k} \to 0$  a.s.. This concludes the pro 3. In this section, we deal with the second case, that of a predictable stopping time T. The principle of the proof is also contained in the following simple lemma:

LEMMA 2. Let T be a predictable stopping time, with  $P\{T_n < T \le T_{n+1}\} > 0$ , and let S be an  $T_T$ -measurable random variable. Then there exists a local martingale  $K_t^n = \int_0^t k_s^n dM_s$  with the following properties:

-  $\{k_s^n \neq 0\} \subset ]T_n, T_{n+1} \wedge T]$  (therefore  $K_t^n = 0$  for  $t \leq T_n$  and  $K_t^n$  is stopped at time  $T_{n+1} \wedge T$ ) -  $K_T^n = \S$  a.s. on  $\{T_n < T < T_{n+1}\}$ 

PROOF. We remark that, T being predictable,  $P\{T=T_{n+1}\}=0$ . So we may replace everywhere  $\{T_n < T < T_{n+1}\}$  by  $\{T_n < T \le T_{n+1}\}$ , which we denote by  $A_n$  for simplicity. For clarity, we begin with the case n=0. It is well known that there exists a constant c>0, a constant  $\gamma$  such that

T=c a.s. ,  $\S=\gamma$  a.s. on  $\{0 < T \le T_1\} = A_0$ . and on  $[0,T_1[$  we have  $\int_0^t h_s dM_s = -\int_0^t h_s ds$ . Thus we need only take  $k_s^0 = -\frac{\gamma}{c} I_{0 < s \le T \wedge T_1}$  to get the desired value at time T=c on  $A_0$ . The general case just requires slight changes : on  $A_n$  we have  $T = T_n + c(T_1, \dots, T_n)$  ,  $\S = \gamma(T_1, \dots, T_n)$  a.s.

where c and  $\gamma$  are Borel functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  , and c is strictly positive. Then we define

$$\mathbf{k}_{s}^{n} = -\mathbf{I}_{T_{n} \leq s \leq T \wedge T_{n+1}} \mathbf{Y}(T_{1}, \dots, T_{n}) / c(T_{1}, \dots T_{n}).$$

We now prove a slightly better result than statement 2) in the introduction. We don't know anything about the convergence of  $L_{\rm t}$  at infinity: if we knew, it would be possible to apply proposition 1 to get a given value at infinity too.

PROPOSITION 2. Let T be a strictly positive predictable stopping time, and let § be an  ${}^{3}T$ -measurable random variable (a.s. finite). There exists a local martingale  $L_{t} = \int\limits_{0}^{t} k_{s} dM_{s}$  with initial value 0, such that  $L_{T} =$ \$ a.s. on  $\{T < \infty \}$ .

PROOF. We are going to construct  $L_t$  as a sum of local martingales  $L^n_t = \int_0^t k^n_s dM_s$ , each predictable process  $k^n$  being equal to 0 outside of  $T_n, T \land T_{n+1}$  (consideded as empty if  $T \leq T_n$ ), and the sum hence being convergent.

We start the construction by n=0: if  $P\{T \le T_1\} = 0$ , we set  $k^0 = 0$ . Otherwise,  $k^0$  is given by lemma 2 applied to n=0, and the r.v.  $\xi$  itself. Then we proceed by induction. Assuming  $k^0, \ldots, k^{n-1}$  are constructed,

Then we proceed by induction. Assuming  $k^0,\dots,k^{n-1}$  are constructed, we set  $\lambda^n_t = \Sigma_{i < n} \int_0^t k^i_s dM_s$ . If  $P\{T_n < T \le T_{n+1}\} = 0$  we define  $k^n = 0$ . Otherwise  $k^n$  is given by lemma 2 applied to the random variable  $\xi - \lambda^n_T I_{A_n}$ . It is clear that this construction gives the desired result.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS . The author wishes to thank M. Emery for many fruitful discussions, and P.A. Meyer for comments on preliminary versions of the manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] CHOU (C.S.) et MEYER (P.A.). Sur la représentation des martingales comme intégrales stochastiques dans les processus ponctuels. Sém. Prob. IX, 1975, p. 226-236. LN 465.
- [2] DAVIS (M.H.A.). The representation of martingales of jump processes SIAM J. of Control and Optim. 14, 1976, p. 623-638.
- [3] DUDLEY (R.M.). Wiener functionals as Itô integrals. Ann. Prob. 5, 1977, p. 140-141.
- [4] EMERY (M.), STRICKER (C.) et YAN (J.A.). Valeurs prises par les martingales locales continues en un temps d'arrêt. A paraître. (Preprint: Publications IRMA, Strasbourg 1982).
- [5] JACOD (J.). Multivariate point processes: predictable projection, Radon Nikodym derivatives, representation of martingales. ZW 31, 1976, p. 235-253.

HE Sheng Wu
Mathematics Department
East China Normal University
SHANGHAI ( China) et
Institut de Recherche Mathématique Avancée
STRASBOURG ( France ).