# SÉMINAIRE DE PROBABILITÉS (STRASBOURG)

# MICHEL MÉTIVIER

# Pathwise differentiability with respect to a parameter of solutions of stochastic differential equations

Séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg), tome 16 (1982), p. 490-502

<a href="http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS">http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS</a> 1982 16 490 0>

© Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1982, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg) (http://portail.mathdoc.fr/SemProba/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.



# PATHWISE DIFFERENTIABILITY WITH RESPECT TO A PARAMETER OF SOLUTIONS OF STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

by

#### Michel METIVIER

Ecole Polytechnique - Palaiseau - France.

#### Abstract

We consider a stochastic differential equation

$$X^{u}(t) = V^{u}(t) + \int_{0}^{t} \sigma(u,s,X_{s-}^{u})dS_{s} + \int_{0}^{t} f(u,s,X_{s-}^{u},x) q(ds,dx)$$

where S is a semimartingale and q a random measure and where the "coefficients" depend on a parameter u. We prove under suitable differentiability-conditions that the solution  $X^U(t,\omega)$  can be chosen for each u in such a way that the mapping  $u \sim X^U(t,\omega)$  is continuously differentiable for every  $(t,\omega)$ .

#### I - INTRODUCTION

The goal of this paper is to prove that under sufficient differentiability conditions on the coefficients, stochastic differential equations of the type

(1.1) 
$$X^{u}(t) = V^{u}(t) + \int_{0}^{t} \sigma(u,s,X_{s}^{u})ds_{s} + \int_{0}^{t} f(u,s,X_{s}^{u},x) q(ds,dx)$$

where S is a semimartingale, q a random measure with zero dual predictable projection and u a parameter taking its values in a bounded open subset G of  ${\bf R}^d$ , admit for each u a solution which can be determined in such a way that P.a.s. the functions u ~  ${\bf X}^U(t,\omega)$  are for every t continuously differentiable.

This is a concept of differentiability different from the one considered by Sikhmann (see [3] and [4]), who studied the differentiability of the mapping  $u \sim X_{t}^{u}(.)$  as a mapping from G into  $L^{p}(\Omega)$  for some p and in the

framework of Ito-equations. Recently Bichteler took the same point of view and considered equations of the type (1.1) with q = u and S and  $X^U$  possibly infinite dimensional. J. Jacod in [6] considered differentiability "in probability".

Pathwise differentiability was considered by P. Malliavin and M. Bismut for the solutions of Ito-Stratonovitch equation as functions of the initial conditions (see [2] and [8]). In [7] H. Kunita proved pathwise differentiability with respect to the initial conditions for the solutions of an equation driven by a continuous martingale. In [11] P.A. Meyer proved the same result for equations driven by a semimartingale (equations of Doleans-Dade-Protter type).

We consider here equations of type (1.1) and of a more general type with coefficients depending on a parameter  $\, u \, . \,$ 

In section II we recall a few facts on the type of equations which are studied here. In section III we give sufficient conditions for the continuity of solutions with respect to u and in section IV we deal with differentiability.

#### II - THE EQUATION UNDER CONSIDERATION

#### 2.1. - Inequalities for stochastic integrals

We assume that the random measure q in (1.1) is of the form  $\mu(\omega;ds;du) = \nu(\omega;ds;du) \quad \text{where} \quad \mu(\omega;]0,t],du) \quad \text{is for each} \quad \omega \quad \text{and} \quad t \quad \text{a borelian}$  measure in an open subset  $\quad \mathbf{E} \quad \text{of} \quad \mathbf{R}^m = \{0\} \quad \text{such that for some} \quad \alpha > 0$   $\int \frac{|\mathbf{x}|^\alpha}{1+|\mathbf{x}|^\alpha} |\mu|(\omega;]0,t],du) < \infty \quad (|\mu| \quad \text{denotes the variation of} \quad \mu \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha \quad \text{does}$  not depend on  $\omega$  and t) and where  $\nu$  is the dual predictable projection of  $\mu$ ).

IH denotes a separable Hilbert space. We have shown in [9] (see also J. Jacod [5]) the existence of an increasing positive adapted process b and of a process  $\{ {}_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\mathbf{Q}}(\omega,s,.) : (\omega,s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \}$  the values of which are measures on  $\mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{E}$  such that :

i) For each H-valued function h on  $\mathbb{E}$  such that  $<h(x),h(y)>_{\mathbb{H}}$  is  $\stackrel{\circ}{q}(\omega,s,dx\otimes dy)$  integrable, the integral  $\int <h(x),h(y)>_{\mathbb{H}} \stackrel{\circ}{q}(\omega,s,dx\otimes dy)$  defines a positive optional process;

ii) If  $\not$  Y is an  $\mathbb{H}$ -valued  $\mathscr{P}\otimes\mathscr{B}_{\mathbb{E}}$  measurable function on  $\mathbb{R}^*\times\Omega\times\mathbb{E}$  and if we denote by  $\lambda_{\mathrm{S}}(Y)$  the  $\mathbb{H}$ -valued positive random variable

$$\lambda_{s}(Y) := \int \langle Y(s, ,x), Y(s, ,y) \rangle_{H} \stackrel{\circ}{q}(.,s,dx \otimes dy)$$

(set to be equal to  $+\infty$  when the integral does not exist) and iii) the following inequality holds for every stopping time  $\tau$ 

$$(2.1) \quad \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t < \tau} \|\int_{]0,t] \times \mathbb{E}} Y(s, x) q(., ds, dx) \|^{2} \right) \leq 4 \ \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{[0,\tau[}^{\lambda} S(Y) db_{s}) dx\right)$$

where  $\left(\int_{]0,t]\times\mathbb{E}} Y(s,.,x)q(.,ds,dx)\right)_{t\geqslant0}$  is the stochastic integral process of Y with respect to q which is defined as soon as the process  $\left(\int_{]0,t]^s} x(Y)db(s)\right)_{t\geqslant0}$  is finite.

If S is a **K**-valued (**K**: separable Hilbert space) right continuous semimartingale we know that there exist two positive increasing adapted processes a and  $\tilde{a}$  such that for every  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{K};\mathbf{H})$ -valued locally bounded predictable process  $\{f(s,\omega);(s,\omega)\in\mathbf{R}^+\times\Omega\}$  and every stopping time  $\tau$ :

(2.2) 
$$E\left(\sup_{t \le \tau} \|\int f(s, \cdot) ds_{s}\|^{2}\right) \le E\left(\tilde{a}_{\tau}^{-} \cdot \int_{[\hat{0}, \tau[} \|f(s)\|^{2} da(s))\right)$$

To simplify the writing we shall call  $Z_t$  the process  $Z_t:=(S_t,q(.,]0,t],dx))$  which takes its values in  $(\pounds(K;H)\times M^{\alpha})$  where  $M^{\alpha}$  is the space of borelian measures  $\nu$  on  $\mathbb{E}$  such that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|x|^{\alpha}}{1+|x|^{\alpha}} |\nu| \ (du) < \infty \ .$ 

Setting 
$$A_t := b(t) + a(t)$$
  $\widetilde{A}_t := 8 + 2\widetilde{a}_t$   $\Phi := (f,Y)$ 

(2.3) 
$$\int_{]0,t]}^{\Phi(s)dZ_s} = \int_{]0,t]}^{f(s,.)dS_s} + \int_{]0,t]\times \mathbb{E}}^{Y(s,.,x)q(.,ds,dx)}$$

and

(2.4) 
$$\lambda_{s}(\Phi) := \|f(s,.)\|^{2} + \lambda_{s}(Y)$$

the following inequality holds for every stopping time

(2.5) 
$$E\left(\sup_{t < \tau} \|\int_{]0,t|} \Phi(s) dZ_s\|^2\right) \leq E\left(\widetilde{A}_{\tau} - \int_{]0,\tau|} \lambda_s(\Phi) dA_s\right)$$

<sup>(\*)</sup>  $\mathscr P$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra of predictable subsets of  $\mathbb R^+ \times \Omega$  and  $\mathscr B_{\mathbb R}$  of Borel subsets of  $\mathbb E$ .

Extending a classical argument on martingales (see [13]) it is also easy to see that for every  $p \ge 2$  exists an increasing positive adapted process  $(\widetilde{A}_{+}^{p})_{+\geqslant 0}$  such that for every stopping  $\tau$ 

$$(2.6) \quad \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t \leq \tau} \left\| \int_{\left\{0, t\right\}} \Phi(s) dZ_{s} \right\|^{p}\right) \leq \mathbb{E}\left(\widetilde{A}_{\tau}^{p} \cdot \int_{\left\{0, \tau\right\}} \left(\lambda_{s}(\Phi)\right)^{p/2} dA_{s}\right)$$

## 2.2. - Hypothesis on equation (1.1)

The space of parameters  $\, u \,$  is an open bounded subset  $\, {\tt G} \,$  of  $\, {\tt I\!R}^d \,$  .

In equation (1.1)  $\sigma$  is a mapping from  $(G \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega \times \mathbb{H})$  into  $L(\mathbb{K}; \mathbb{H})$  which is continuous on  $\mathbb{H}$  and such that for every  $h \in \mathbb{H}$  and  $u \in G$  the process  $\{\sigma(u,s,\omega,h): (s,\omega) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega\}$  is predictable. f is a mapping of  $(G \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega \times \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{E})$  into  $\mathbb{H}$  which if continuous on  $\mathbb{H}$  and such that for every  $u \in G$ ,  $h \in \mathbb{H}$  the mapping  $(s,\omega,x) \sim f(u,s,\omega,h,x)$  is  $\mathscr{P} \otimes \mathscr{B}_{\mathbb{E}}$  measurable

In the sequel we shall call g the couple  $(\sigma,f)$  and according to the notations of (2.1) the equation (1.1) will be written in the abreviated form:

(2.7) 
$$X^{u}(t) = V^{u}(t) + \int_{0}^{t} g(u,s,X_{s-}^{u})dZ_{s}$$

Here  $V^{U}$  is for each  $u \in G$  a given  $\mathbb{H}$ -valued adapted cad-lag process.

#### III - CONTINUITY OF THE SOLUTIONS WITH RESPECT TO u.

# 3.1. - Hypothesis

L is an increasing positive adapted process and p is a positive real number with p  $\geqslant$  d +  $\epsilon$  for some  $\,\epsilon>0$  .

If  $\xi$  is a cad-lag IH-valued adapted process we write  $g(u,\xi)$  for the process  $(t,\omega) \sim g(u,s,\omega,\xi_{s^-}(\omega))$  and  $\lambda_s$  o  $g(u,\xi)$  for the positive functional of this process defined by formula (2.4).

With these notations we formulate the following hypotheses :

(H<sub>2</sub>) (Lipschitz hypotheses): 
$$\forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+ \int_{\substack{0 < t \\ 10 < t \\ 1}} [\lambda_s \circ (g(u, \xi) - g(u, \xi'))]^{p/2} dA_s \leq \int_{\substack{0 < t \\ 10 < t \\ 1}} \sup_{\substack{0 < t \\ 1}} |\xi_r - \xi'||^p dL_s$$

for every couple  $(\xi, \xi')$  of IH-valued adapted cad-lag processes, P.a.s.

$$(H_{3}) \int_{]0,t]} [\lambda_{s} \circ g(u,\xi)]^{\frac{p}{2}} dA_{s} \leq \int_{]0,t]} (1 + \sup_{r \leq s} \|\xi_{s}\|^{p}) dL_{s}$$

for every  $u \in G$  every H-valued adapted called  $\xi$ , P.a.s. (Note that  $(H_3)$  is implied by  $(H_2)$  in most classical cases).

(H<sub>4</sub>)  $\Psi$  being a given positive increasing (possibly constant) function on  $\mathbf{R}^+$ , for every stopping time  $\tau$  the following inequality holds for every  $\mathbb{H}$ -valued cad-lag adapted  $\xi$  every  $\mathbb{U}$  and  $\mathbb{V}$  in  $\mathbb{G}$ :

$$E\left(\sup_{t < \tau} \left[\lambda_t \circ \left[g(u,\xi) - g(v,\xi)\right]\right]^{p/2}\right) \leq \|u - v\|^{d+\epsilon} \Psi\left(E\left(\sup_{t < \tau} \left\|\xi_t\right\|^p\right)\right)$$

#### 3.2. - Theorem

- 1°) Under the above hypotheses (H<sub>1</sub>) to (H<sub>4</sub>), the equation (2.7) has for each u a unique strong solution  $X^U$  on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  and the random function (t, $\omega$ ,u) ~  $X_t^U$ ( $\omega$ ) can be determined in such a way that u ~  $X_t^U$ ( $\omega$ ) is continuous on G for every t and  $\omega$  while the mapping t ~  $X_t^{(.)}$ ( $\omega$ ) is for each  $\omega$  cad-lag from  $\mathbb{R}^+$  into the set  $C_b^{\mathbb{H}}$ (G) of bounded continuous  $\mathbb{H}$ -valued functions on G endowed with the uniform topology.
- 3°) There exists an increasing sequence (  $\sigma_{\text{n}}$  ) of stopping times and constants  $K(\Psi,n,p,Z)$  such that

a) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P\{\sigma_n < T\} = 0$$
 for every  $T > 0$ 

b) 
$$E\left(\sup_{t<\sigma_n} \|X^u(t) - X^v(t)\|^p\right) \leq K(Y,n,p,Z) \|u-v\|^p$$

#### Proof.

The stopping times  $\sigma_n$  are defined as follows:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \sigma_n := \inf \; \{t \; : \; \widetilde{A}_t^p \; v \; L_t \; v \; \underset{u \in G}{\sup} \; \| V_t^u \|^p \; v \; A_t > n \} \end{array}$$

Next we have the following lemmas

#### 3.3. - Lemma 1

$$E\left(\sup_{t < \sigma_{n}} \|X_{t}^{u}\|^{p}\right) \le 2^{p}(n+n^{2}) \sum_{j=0}^{2^{p}n^{2}} (2^{p}n^{2})^{j}$$

#### Proof of Lemma 1

We remark that  $A_{\sigma_{n}}^{p} \leq n, L_{\sigma_{n}}^{-} \leq n, \sup_{t \leq \sigma_{n}} \sup_{u} \|v_{t}^{u}\|^{p} \leq n$ 

We then apply inequality (2.6) to the second member of (2.7) and get

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t<\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}}\|\mathbf{X}_{t}^{\mathbf{u}}\|^{p}\right)\leq 2^{(P-1)} + 2^{(P-1)} \mathbb{E}\left(\widetilde{A}_{\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}}^{p}\right) \left[\left(\lambda_{s} \circ g(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}})\right)^{P/2} dA_{s}\right)$$

and property (H<sub>3</sub>) gives for every stopping time  $\tau \leq \sigma_n$ 

$$E\left(\sup_{t < \sigma_n} \|\boldsymbol{\chi}^{\mathsf{u}}_t\|^p\right) \leq 2^{(P-1)}(\mathsf{n} + \mathsf{n}^2) + 2^{(P-1)} \mathsf{n} \ E\left(\int_{]0,\tau[} (\sup_{s < t} \|\boldsymbol{\chi}^{\mathsf{u}}_s\|^P) \mathsf{dL}_s\right)$$

Applying the "Gronwall stochastic lemma" as in [10] section 7.1 we get the inequality of the lemma.

#### 3.4. - Lemma 2

There exist constants  $K(\Psi,n,p,A,\widetilde{A}^{p})$  such that

$$\forall u,v \in \left(\sup_{t \leq \sigma_n} \|X_t^u - X_t^v\|^p\right) \leq K(\Psi,n,p,A,\widetilde{A}^p) \|u-v\|^p$$

#### Proof of Lemma 2

and using properties (H<sub>1</sub>), (H<sub>2</sub>) and (H<sub>4</sub>) we can write for every stopping time  $\tau \leqslant \sigma_n$  :

$$\begin{split} E\bigg(\sup_{s \leq \tau} \| \, \chi^u(s) - \chi^v(s) \, \|^{\, p} \, \bigg) \leqslant \, 3^{P-1} n^P \| \, u - v \, \|^{\, p} + \, 3^{\, (P-1)} n \, \, \Psi\bigg( E\bigg(\sup_{s \leq \tau} \| \, \chi^u_s \|^{\, p} \, \bigg) \bigg) \\ + \, 3^{\, (P-1)} n \, \, E\bigg( \int_{\, ]0, \, \tau} \big[ \sup_{t \leq s} \| \, \chi^u(s) - \chi^v(s) \|^{\, P} \, \big) \, dL_s \bigg) \end{split}$$

Applying as above the same "Gronwall-inequality" we obtain the lemma.

Theorem 3.2 is now a direct consequence of the following lemma which is a straight—forward extension of a lemma as stated by Neveu in [12] (see also P. Priouret [13] chap. 3. lemme 13:

## 3.5 - Lemma 3

Let  $\{Y(t,\omega,u):t\in \mathbb{R}^+,\omega\in\Omega,\ u\in G\}$  an H-valued random function such that for every  $u:t\sim Y(t,\omega,u)$  is a.s. cad-lag and such that for every  $t:E\left(\sup_{s\leq t}\|Y_{s,u}-Y_{s,v}\|^p\right)\leqslant a_{t,p}\|u-v\|^{d+\epsilon}$ 

Then there exists a mapping  $Y^*$ :  $(t,\omega,u) \sim Y^*(t,\omega,u) \in \mathbb{H}$  such that

- a)  $u \sim Y^*(t,\omega,u)$  is continuous
- b)  $\forall u \in G$ ,  $Y(t,u,.) = Y^*(t,u,.)$  for all t a.s.
- b) t  $\sim \gamma^*(t,.,\omega)$  is for P-almost all  $\omega$  a cad-lag mapping from  $\mathbb{R}^+$  into  $C_b^{\mathbb{H}}(G)$  endowed with the uniform topology.

#### Proof.

We omit the proof which is pretty similar to the one given in [13].

This finishes the proof of theorem 3.2.

#### IV - PATHWISE DIFFERENTIABILITY

## 4.1. - Hypothesis

We consider the same equation (1.1) or in abreviated notation: (2.7).

For a couple  $g := (\sigma, f)$  of "coefficients" as in (1.1) we write to simplify:  $\|g(u,s,\omega,h,.)\|_{\Lambda} := \left[\|\sigma(u,s,\omega,h)\|_{LK}^{2}; H\right] + \int_{\mathbb{E}\times\mathbb{E}} \langle f(u,s,\omega,h,x), f(u,s,\omega,h,y) \rangle_{H}$   $\stackrel{Q}{q}(\omega,s,dx\otimes dy)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ 

We set 
$$v_t^* := \sup_{u \in G} \sup_{s \le t} \|D_u V_s^u\| + \|V_s^u\| + \|D_{u^2}^2 V_s^u\|$$

were  $D_u \Phi$  denotes the/derivative/with respect to u of a function  $\Phi$  on u. full order and  $\Omega^2$   $\Phi$  the second order derivative

In the hypothes  ${\mathfrak E}$  below C is a constant and  $(K_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$  is an increasing positive process.

- [D\_1] For all t and  $\omega$  the derivatives D\_u^U(t,  $\omega$ ) and D\_u^2 V^U(t,  $\omega$ ) exist and v\_t^\* <  $\infty$
- [D<sub>3</sub>] For all x,y u and v:  $\|D_xg(s,u,x) - D_yg(s,v,y)\|_{\Lambda} \le C(\|y-x\| + \|u-v\|)$

#### 4.2. - Theorem

Under the above hypothesis  $[D_1]$  to  $[D_3]$  equation (2.7) has a unique (up to indistinguability) solution  $X^U$  on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  and there exists a version  $(\omega,t,u)\sim X_t^U(\omega)$  of this random function such that for P-almost all  $\omega$  :

- a)  $u \sim X_{+}^{U}(\omega)$  is continuously differentiable for every t
- b)  $t \sim X_t^{(.)}(\omega)$  and  $t \sim D_u X_t^{(.)}(\omega)$  are cad-lag for the uniform norm on  $C_b(G; H)$  and  $C_b(G; L(G; H))$  respectively.
- c) For every u the stochastic process  $(D_u X_t^u)_{t \ge 0}$  is a strong solution of the following stochastic equation (where  $x^u$  is the process solution of 2.7 as in theorem 3.2):

$$(4.1) Y^{u}(t) = D_{u}V_{t}^{u} + \int_{]0,t} \left(D_{u}g(s,u,X_{s-}^{u}) + D_{x}g(s,u,X_{s-}^{u}) \circ Y_{s}^{u}\right) dZ_{s}$$

# Proof.

The proof is in several steps corresponding to lemmas 4 and 5 and section 4.5 bellow:

#### 4.3. - Lemma 4

Under hypothesis  $[D_1]$ ,  $[D_2]$ ,  $[D_3]$ , equations (2.7) and (4.1) satisfy the conditions  $[H_1]$  to  $[H_4]$  of section 3.1 for every  $p \ge 2$  on any interval  $[D,\sigma_p]$  as defined in theorem 1.

#### Proof.

Let us first consider equation (2.7). (H<sub>1</sub>) is trivially implied by [D<sub>1</sub>]. [D<sub>2</sub>] implies also the Lipschitz property (H<sub>2</sub>) and conditions (H<sub>3</sub>) and (H<sub>4</sub>) which is here expressed in the much stronger form  $\|g(s,u,x)-g(s,v,x)\|_{\Lambda} \le C \|u-v\|$ .

We turn now to equation (4.1). The only condition  $(H_i)$  which is not immediately implied by the hypothesis of the lemma is condition  $(H_4)$ . We write

$$\begin{split} &\| \, \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{u}} \mathsf{g}(\mathsf{s},\mathsf{v},\mathsf{X}^{\mathsf{v}}_{\mathsf{t}^{-}}) \, - \, \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{u}} \mathsf{g}(\mathsf{s},\mathsf{u},\mathsf{X}^{\mathsf{u}}_{\mathsf{t}^{-}}) \, + \, \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{X}} \mathsf{g}(\mathsf{s},\mathsf{v},\mathsf{X}^{\mathsf{v}}_{\mathsf{t}^{-}}) \, \circ \, \xi_{\mathsf{t}} \, - \, \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{x}} \mathsf{g}(\mathsf{s},\mathsf{u},\mathsf{X}^{\mathsf{u}}_{\mathsf{t}^{-}}) \, \circ \, \xi_{\mathsf{t}^{-}} \|_{\Lambda}^{\mathsf{p}} \\ & < 4^{\mathsf{P}-1} \, \, \{ \| \, \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{u}} \mathsf{g}(\mathsf{s},\mathsf{v},\mathsf{X}^{\mathsf{v}}_{\mathsf{t}^{-}}) \, - \, \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{u}} \mathsf{g}(\mathsf{s},\mathsf{u},\mathsf{X}^{\mathsf{v}}_{\mathsf{t}^{-}}) \|_{\Lambda}^{\mathsf{p}} \} \, + \\ & + \, 4^{\mathsf{P}-1} \, \, \{ \| \, \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{x}} \mathsf{g}(\mathsf{s},\mathsf{u},\mathsf{X}^{\mathsf{v}}_{\mathsf{t}^{-}}) \, - \, \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{x}} \mathsf{g}(\mathsf{s},\mathsf{u},\mathsf{X}^{\mathsf{v}}_{\mathsf{t}^{-}}) \|_{\Lambda}^{\mathsf{p}} \} \\ & + \, 4^{\mathsf{P}-1} \, \, \{ \| \, [\, \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{x}} \mathsf{g}(\mathsf{s},\mathsf{v},\mathsf{v},\mathsf{X}^{\mathsf{v}}_{\mathsf{t}^{-}}) \, - \, \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{x}} \mathsf{g}(\mathsf{s},\mathsf{u},\mathsf{X}^{\mathsf{v}}_{\mathsf{t}^{-}}) \| \, \circ \, \xi_{\mathsf{t}^{-}} \|_{\Lambda}^{\mathsf{p}} \} \\ & + \, 4^{\mathsf{P}-1} \, \, \{ \| \, [\, \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{x}} \mathsf{g}(\mathsf{s},\mathsf{u},\mathsf{X}^{\mathsf{v}}_{\mathsf{t}^{-}}) \, - \, \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{x}} \mathsf{g}(\mathsf{s},\mathsf{u},\mathsf{X}^{\mathsf{u}}_{\mathsf{t}^{-}}) \| \, \circ \, \xi_{\mathsf{t}^{-}} \|_{\Lambda}^{\mathsf{p}} \} \\ & < 4^{\mathsf{P}-1} \, \, \, c^{\mathsf{P}} (\| \, \mathsf{u} - \mathsf{v} \|^{\mathsf{p}} \, + \, \| \, \mathsf{X}^{\mathsf{v}}_{\mathsf{t}^{-}} \, - \, \mathsf{X}^{\mathsf{u}}_{\mathsf{t}^{-}} \|^{\mathsf{p}} \, + \\ & + \, 4^{\mathsf{P}-1} \, \, c^{\mathsf{P}} \| \, \mathsf{u} - \mathsf{v} \|^{\mathsf{p}} \, \| \, \xi_{\mathsf{t}^{-}} \|^{\mathsf{p}} \, + \, 4^{\mathsf{P}-1} \, \, c^{\mathsf{P}} \| \, (\mathsf{X}^{\mathsf{v}}_{\mathsf{t}^{-}} \, - \, \mathsf{X}^{\mathsf{u}}_{\mathsf{t}^{-}}) \, \circ \, \xi_{\mathsf{t}^{-}} \|^{\mathsf{p}} \end{split}$$

One knows from proposition 2 that there exists an increasing sequence ( $\sigma_n$ ) of stopping times and constants  $\,^{\rm C}_n$  such that

E 
$$\sup_{\Delta < \sigma_n} \| Y^u(s) - Y^v(s) \|^{2p} \le c_n \| u - v \|^{2p}$$

If we write for every stopping time 
$$\tau$$

$$E\left(\sup_{t<\tau\wedge\sigma_n}\|(X_t^V-X_t^U)\circ\xi_{t^-}\|^p\right)\leqslant$$

$$\begin{split} \left[ E \left( \sup_{t < \tau \wedge \sigma_n} \| \, \boldsymbol{x}_t^{\boldsymbol{V}} \, - \, \boldsymbol{x}_t^{\boldsymbol{u}} \, \|^{2p} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ E \left( \sup_{t < \tau \wedge \sigma_n} \| \, \boldsymbol{\xi}_t \, \|^{\frac{2p-1}{2p-1}} \right) \right]^{\frac{2p-1}{2}} \\ & \leq c_n^{\frac{1}{2}} \| \, \boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{v} \|^{p} \, E \left( \sup_{t < \tau \wedge \sigma_n} \| \, \boldsymbol{\xi}_t \, \|^{\alpha} \right)^{p/\alpha} \end{split}$$
 with  $\alpha = \frac{2p}{2p-1}$ 

Therefore

$$\begin{split} E \left( \sup_{\mathbf{A} < \tau \wedge \sigma_{n}} \| \, g(s,u,\xi_{s^{-}}) - g(s,v,\xi_{s^{-}}) \|_{\Lambda}^{p} \right) &\leq 4^{p,1} \, c^{p} \| \, u - v \|_{L^{p}} [1 + c_{n} + E(\sup_{\mathbf{A} \in \tau \wedge \sigma_{n}} \| \, \xi_{\mathbf{A}} \|_{L^{p}})] \\ &+ c_{n}^{\frac{1}{2}} [E(\cdot \sup_{\mathbf{A} \in \tau \wedge \sigma_{n}} \| \, \xi_{t} \|_{L^{q}})] \end{split}$$

If we remark that  $\text{E(}\sup_{t < \tau \wedge \sigma_n} \|\xi_{t^-}\|^p) \ge \left[\text{E(}\sup_{t < \tau \wedge \sigma_n} \|\xi_{t^-}\|^\alpha)\right]^{p/\alpha}$ 

we see that property  $(H_{\underline{\iota}})$  holds with

$$\Psi(\rho) = 1 + C_n + (1 + C_n^{\frac{1}{2}})\rho$$

# 4.4. - <u>Lemma 2</u>

If we define

$$\Phi_{t}(e,u,\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda} [X_{t}^{u+\lambda e} - X_{t}^{u} - \lambda Y_{t}^{u} \circ e]$$

there exists an increasing sequence  $~(\tau_n)~$  of stopping times such that  $\lim_n P\{\tau_u < T\} = 0~$  and a sequence  $~c_n~$  of constants such that

$$E \left\{ \sup_{t < \tau_n} \| \Phi_t(e, .., \lambda) \|_{L^2(G)}^2 \right\} \le c_n \lambda^2$$

#### Proof.

For each u the process  $(\Phi_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{e},\mathbf{u},\lambda))_{\mathbf{t}\leqslant\mathbf{T}}$  is solution of

$$\begin{aligned} (4.2) \quad & \Phi_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{e},\mathbf{u},\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \; (\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{t}}^{\mathbf{u}+\lambda\mathbf{e}} - \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{t}}^{\mathbf{u}} - \lambda \; \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{e}} \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{t}}^{\mathbf{u}}) \; + \\ & \quad + \int_{\left]0,\mathbf{t}\right]^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \left[ \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{u}+\lambda\mathbf{e},\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{s}^{-}}^{\mathbf{u}+\lambda\mathbf{e}}) \; - \; \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{u},\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{s}^{-}}^{\mathbf{u}}) \; - \\ & \quad \quad \lambda \; \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{e}} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{u},\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{s}^{-}}^{\mathbf{u}}) \; - \; \lambda \; \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{u},\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{s}^{-}}^{\mathbf{u}}) \; \circ \; \mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{s}^{-}}^{\mathbf{u}} \; \circ \; \mathbf{e} \right] \; \mathrm{dS}_{\mathbf{s}} \end{aligned}$$

We may write for  $x,y \in \mathbb{H}$  and  $\eta \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H};\mathbb{H})$ 

(4.3) 
$$g(s,u+\lambda e,y) - g(s,u,x) - \lambda D_e g(s,u,x) - \lambda D_x g(s,u,x) \circ \eta \circ e =$$

$$\lambda D_e g(s,u,y) + D_x g(s,u,x) \circ (y-x) - \lambda D_e g(s,u,x) - \lambda D_x g(s,u,x) \circ \eta \circ e +$$

$$+ h(s,u,x,y,\eta,\lambda,e)$$

=  $D_g(s,u,x)$  o  $(y-x-\lambda\eta$  o e) +  $\widetilde{h}(s,u,x,y,\eta,\lambda)$ 

with

(4.4) 
$$\|\widetilde{h}(s,u,x,y,\eta,\lambda)_{\Lambda} \leq \|\lambda\| K (\|y-x\| + |\lambda|)$$

for some constant K

The equation (4.2) can therefore be written

(4.5) 
$$\Phi_{t}(e,u\lambda) = H_{t}(u,\lambda,e) + \int_{10,t} D_{x}g(s,u,X_{s}^{u}) \circ \Phi_{s}(e,u,\lambda) dZ_{s}$$

where the process  $H(u,\lambda,e)$  satisfies

(4.6) 
$$\|H_t(u,\lambda,e)\|_{\mathbf{H}} \le |\lambda| v_t^k + \|\int_{[0,\pm 1]} \frac{1}{\lambda} h(s,u,X_{s^-}^{u+\lambda e},X_{s^-}^u,Y_{s^-}^u \circ e) dZ_s\|$$

Using (4.5) we obtain from (4.6) for every stopping time  $\sigma$ :

$$E\left(\sup_{t < \sigma} \|H_{t}(u,\lambda,e)\|^{2}\right) \leq 2 \lambda^{2} v_{\sigma}^{*} + E\left(\widetilde{A}_{\tau}^{-} \cdot \int_{\left]0,\tau\right[} [\lambda^{2} + c^{2} \|X_{s}^{u+\lambda e} - X_{s}^{u}\|^{2}] dA_{s}\right)$$

Using then theorem we see that there exists a sequence  $(\sigma_n)$  of stopping times and a sequence of constants  $(K_n)$  such that

(4.7) 
$$\sup_{s < \sigma_n} (\widetilde{A}_s \vee A_s) \le n$$
 and

(4.8) E( 
$$\sup_{t < \sigma_n} \|H_t(u,\lambda,e)\|^2$$
)  $\leq K_n \lambda^2$  (use a standard stopping procedure for processes  $v^*, \widetilde{A}$  and  $A$ ).

This implies

$$(4.9) \quad E\left(\sup_{t \leq \sigma_{n}} \int_{G} \|H_{t}(u,\lambda,e)\|^{2} du\right) \leq \int_{G} K_{n} \lambda^{2} du \leq \widetilde{K}_{n} \lambda^{2}$$

We next consider the  $L^2(G)$ -valued process  $(\Phi_t(e,.,\lambda))_{t \leq T}$ 

As D $_{\chi}g$  is bounded by some constant C,inequality (4.6) shows that the L $^2$ (G)-valued process  $\Phi_{t}$  satisfies an inequality of the following type for every stopping time  $\tau \leqslant \sigma_{n}$ 

$$\begin{split} & \left\{\sup_{\mathbf{t}<\tau}\|\Phi_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{e},.,\lambda)\|_{L^{2}(G)}\right\} \leq 2\ \widetilde{K}_{n}\ \lambda^{2} + 2\ \mathbf{E}\left(\widetilde{A}_{\tau}^{-}\int_{\left[0,\tau\right[}\mathbf{c}^{2}\sup_{\mathbf{s}<\mathbf{t}}\|\Phi_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{e},.,\lambda)\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2}\ dA_{\mathbf{s}}\right) \\ & \leq 2\ \widetilde{K}_{n}\ \lambda^{2} + 2n\ \mathbf{c}^{2}\int_{\left[0,\tau\right[}\sup_{\mathbf{s}<\mathbf{t}}\|\Phi_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{e},.,\lambda)\|_{L^{2}(G)}\ dA_{\mathbf{s}} \end{split}$$

The already used "Gronwall inequality" of [10] shows immediately the existence of a constant  $\, {\rm C}_{\rm D} \,$  as in the lemma.

#### 

We make use of the following easily proved property: let  $f \in L^2_{JH}(\overline{G})$ .et  $f \in L^2(JG; JH) \cap C(G; JH)$  and  $\overline{f} \in L^2(G; \mathcal{L}(JH; JH)) \cap C(G; \mathcal{L}(JH; JH))$  such that for all  $e \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , all  $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and some decreasing sequence  $\lambda_k \neq 0$ :

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \|f(u+\lambda_k e) - f(u) - \lambda_k \overline{f}(u) \circ e\|_{L^2(G; \mathbb{H})} = 0$$

Then  $\overline{f}$  is the derivative of f in the sense of distributions and therefore in the ordinary sense in every point  $u \in G$ . Let us consider for each  $\omega$  and  $n \in P$ -negligeable set  $\Omega_n$  and a sequence  $\lambda_k$  such that  $\lambda_k \neq 0$  and  $\lim_{k \to \infty} \sup_{t < \tau_n(\omega)} \| \Phi_t(e, \cdot, \omega, \lambda_k) \|_{L^2(G)} = 0 \quad \text{for every } \omega \notin \Omega_n$ 

The above property shows that for every  $\omega\not\in\Omega_n$  and  $t<\tau_n(\omega)$  is the derivative of  $u\sim X_t^u(\omega)$  at point u. Therefore  $Y_t^u(\omega)$  is the lerivative of  $u\sim X_t^u(\omega)$  for all  $t<\tau_n(\omega)$  and  $\omega\not\in(U\Omega_n)$ .

This proves the theorem. ■

#### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- [ 1 ] S. BICHTELER
  Stochastic Integrations with Stationary Independent increments
  (To appear in Z. Wahr. verw. Geb.)
- [ 2 ] M. BISMUT A generalized formula of Ito and some other properties of stochastic flows Z. Wahr. verw. Geb. 55, 1981, pp. 331-350.
- [ 3 ] I.I. GIKHMAN
  On the theory of differential equations of random processes
  Uhr. Mat. Zb. 2, n° 4, 1950, pp. 37-63.
- [4] I.I. GIKHMAN and A.V. SKOROKHOD Stochastic Differential equations Springer-Verlag, 1972.
- [5] J. JACOD Calcul stochastique et problèmes de martingales Lecture Notes Math. 714, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.
- [6] J. JACOD Equations différentielles stochastiques : continuité et dérivabilité en probabilité (Preprint)
- [7] H. KUNITA On the decomposition of solutions of stochastic differential equations. Proc. of the L.M.S. Symposium on Stoch. Diff. Equations, Durham, juillet 1980, Lecture Notes in Math. Springer-Verlag, 1981.
- [8] P. MALLIAVIN Stochastic Calculus of variations and Hypoelliptic operators. Proc. of the Intern. Symposium on Stochastic Differential Equations of Kyoto, 1976, pp. 195-263. Tokyo, Kinokuniya and New York, Wiley, 1978.
- [ 9 ] M. METIVIER Stability theorems for stochastic Integral Equations driven by random measures and semimartingales J. of Integral Equations, 1980 (to appear).
- [10] M. METIVIER and J. PELLAUMAIL Stochastic Integration Acad. Press. New York, 1980.
- [11] P.A. MEYER
  Flot d'une équation différentielle stochastique
  Séminaire de Probabilité XV. Lecture Notes in Math. 850, SpringerVerlag, 1981.
- [12 ] J. NEVEU Intégrales stochastiques et applications Cours de 3e Cycle. Univ. de Paris VI, 1971-1972.
- P. PRIOURET
  Processus de diffusion et équations différentielles stochastiques
  Ecole d'Eté de Prob. de St-Flour. Lecture Notes in Math. 390,
  Springer-Verlag, 1974.