DAVID WILLIAMS On a stopped brownian motion formula of H. M. Taylor

Séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg), tome 10 (1976), p. 235-239 http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS1976 10 235 0>

© Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1976, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg) (http://portail. mathdoc.fr/SemProba/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

\mathcal{N} umdam

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

ON A STOPPED BROWNIAN MOTION FORMULA OF H.M. TAYLOR

by DAVID WILLIAMS

University College, Swansea

1. This note illustrates the usefulness of <u>local time theory</u> and the <u>Cameron-Martin formula</u> by giving two very short proofs of a stopped Brownian motion formula obtained in H.M. Taylor's paper [8] and applied there to problems in process control and in playing the stock market. The reader will easily find in the literature other applied problems for which local time theory may be effectively employed.

Let Ω be the space of continuous functions $\omega : [0,\infty) \rightarrow \underline{R}$. For $t \ge 0$, let X_t be the t-th coordinate function so that $X_t(\omega) = \omega(t)$. Let $\mathcal{F}^0 = \sigma \{X_t : t \ge 0\}$ be the smallest σ -algebra on Ω which 'measures' each X_t . Define

(As usual, we suppress the ω 's.) Fix a > 0 and define

$$T = \inf \{t : M_{+} - X_{+} = a\},$$

so that T is the first time that the process X drops a units below its maximum-to-date. We make the usual convention that $\inf \{ \varphi \} = \infty$. Note that $X_{T} = M_{T} - a$ on the set $\{T < \infty\}$.

On (Ω, \mathcal{J}^0) introduce the measures:

 \underline{W} : Wiener measure, the law of standard Brownian motion starting at 0; \underline{D}_{μ} : the law of a Brownian motion starting at 0 with drift constant μ and variance coefficient 1, so that $\underline{D}_{0} = \underline{W}$ and

$$\{\mathbf{x}_{t}: t \ge \mathbf{0}; \underline{\mathbf{D}}_{\mu}\} \sim \{\mathbf{x}_{t} + \mu t; t \ge \mathbf{0}, \underline{\mathbf{W}}\},\$$

"~" denoting "is identical in law to".

If P denotes any probability measure on (Ω, \mathcal{F}^0) and ξ is (say) a positive random variable on (Ω, \mathcal{F}^0) , we write

$$\mathbf{\underline{p}}\{\boldsymbol{\xi}\} = \int \boldsymbol{\xi} \, d\mathbf{\underline{p}} = \int \boldsymbol{\xi}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathbf{\underline{p}}(d\boldsymbol{\omega})$$

for the <u>P</u>-expectation of ξ . We use <u>E</u> for expection when the appropriate "<u>P</u>" is obvious.

Taylor's formula characterises the joint $\underline{\underline{D}}_{\mu}$ -distribution of $X_{\overline{T}}$ and T as follows:

(1.11)
$$\underline{D}_{\mu} \{ \exp(\alpha X_{T} - \beta T) \} = \frac{\delta \exp[-(\alpha + \mu)a]}{\delta \cosh(\delta a) - (\alpha + \mu)\sinh(\delta a)}$$

which holds for $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta < \theta$, where

(1.11i)
$$\delta = \left[\mu^2 + 2\beta\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \theta = \delta \coth(\delta a) - \mu > 0.$$

(<u>Note</u>. The discussion in Section 3 of Taylor's paper misses the fact that $M_T = X_T + a$ is exactly exponentially distributed. This follows either by an obvious "lack of memory" argument or directly from 1.1(i).)

Notice that because of the Cameron-Martin formula:

(1.2)
$$\mathfrak{D}_{\mu} \{ \exp(\alpha X_{T} - \beta T) \} = \mathfrak{W} [\exp(\alpha X_{T} - \beta T) \exp(\mu X_{T} - \frac{1}{2\mu}^{2} T)]$$

(see §3.7 of McKean [5]), it is enough to establish the " μ = 6" case of (1.1) in the form:

(1.3i)
$$\underbrace{W} \{ \exp(\alpha M_m - \beta T) \} = \delta [\delta \cosh(\delta a) - \alpha \sinh(\delta a)]^{-1}$$

for $\beta > 0$ and $\alpha < \theta$, where, from now on,

(1.3ii)
$$\delta = (2\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \theta = \delta \coth(\delta a).$$

For rigorous justification of (1.2), it is necessary to check that

(1.4)
$$\underbrace{\mathbb{W}}_{T} \{ \mathbf{T} < \infty \} = \underbrace{\mathbb{D}}_{\mu} \{ \mathbf{T} < \infty \} = 1.$$

The validity of (1.4) will become clear in a moment.

Lévy tells us that under \underline{W} , the process Y = M - X is standard reflecting Brownian motion starting at 0 and M is the <u>local time</u> $L(\cdot, 0)$ at 0 for Y:

$$M_{+} = L(t, 0)$$
.

Recall that local time L(t,y) at y before t for Y may be defined as follows:

$$L(t,y) = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} (2\epsilon)^{-1} \max \{ s \le t : Y_s \in [y,y+\epsilon) \}.$$

(We are not bothering about "almost surely", etc..) See McKean [6] for a recent expository article on Brownian local time which contains all of the results which we shall need.

Since $M_{T} = L(T,0)$, we need only prove (1.5) $\underbrace{\mathbb{W}} \{ \exp[\alpha L(T,0) - \beta T] \} = \delta[\delta \cosh(\delta a) - \alpha \sinh(\delta a)]^{-1}$ for $\beta > 0$ and $\alpha < \theta$.

<u>Note</u>. Of course, under \underline{p}_{μ} , M - X is a reflecting Brownian motion with drift constant (- μ) and M is again local time at O. Result (1.4) is absolutely obvious if $\mu < 0$ while for $\mu \ge 0$ it is obvious on the grounds that "what can happen eventually will happen" (after an exponentially distributed <u>local</u> time M_T). But now to return to the case when $\mu = 0, \ldots$

2. First proof of (1.5). Let Y be a standard reflecting Brownian motion. In the case which concerns us, Y starts at O but we are entitled to consider other starting points. Suppose that $\alpha < 0$. Consider the function h on [0,a] where

$$h(y) = \underbrace{\mathbf{E}} \{ \exp[\alpha \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{0}) - \beta \mathbf{T}] \mid \mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{0}} = y \} \qquad (\mathbf{0} \le y \le \mathbf{a})$$

where T is still defined by $T = \inf\{t : Y_t = a\}$. Then, according to the theory of <u>elastic Brownian motion</u> (sketched below - see §2.3 of Itô-McKean [2] for more information),

(2.1i) $h'' = \beta h$, so $h(y) = K_1 \cosh(\delta y) + K_2 \sinh(\delta y)$; (2.1ii) $h^+(0) = -\alpha h(0)$, so $\delta K_2 = -\alpha K_1$ and $K_1 = K\delta$, $K_2 = -K\alpha$; (2.1iii) h(a) = 1, so $K[\delta \cosh(\delta a) - \alpha \sinh(\delta a)] = 1$. (We have written $h^+(0)$ for the right-hand derivative of h at 0.) Hence

$$\underbrace{\mathbb{W}}_{\mathbf{w}} \{ \exp[\alpha L(T, 0) - \beta T] \} = h(0) = K_{1} = K\delta$$
$$= \delta[\delta \cosh(\delta a) - \alpha \sinh(\delta a)]^{-1},$$

as required. That the formula extends to the range $\alpha < \theta$ is just (Abel-Dirichlet) analysis.

<u>Sketched proof of</u> (2.1). The formula (2.1i) is well-known and depends on the fact that for $0 \le y - \varepsilon \le y \le y + \varepsilon \le a$,

$$h(y) = \frac{1}{2}[h(y) - \varepsilon) + h(y + \varepsilon)] \operatorname{sech} (\delta \varepsilon),$$

the term sech ($\delta\epsilon$) arising because (see Problem 2.3.2(a) of McKean [5] for instantaneous proof)

 $\operatorname{sech}(\delta\varepsilon) = \underbrace{W}\{\exp(-\beta\tau_{\varepsilon})\}, \quad \tau_{\varepsilon} = \inf\{t: |X_t| = \varepsilon\}.$ Formula (2.1iii) is obvious. Formula (2.1ii) is true because (for $\varepsilon < -\alpha^{-1}$), $h(\mathbf{0}) = h(\varepsilon) \underbrace{W}\{\exp[\alpha L(\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{0}) - \beta \mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon}]\}$

$$= h(\varepsilon) \underbrace{\mathbb{W} \{\exp[\alpha L(T_{\varepsilon}, 0) - \beta T_{\varepsilon}]\}}_{= h(\varepsilon)} \underbrace{\{1 + \alpha L(T_{\varepsilon}, 0) - \beta T_{\varepsilon} + \dots\}}_{= h(\varepsilon) \{1 + \alpha \varepsilon - \beta \varepsilon^{2} + 0(\varepsilon^{2})\}}_{= h(0) + \varepsilon[\alpha h(0) + h^{+}(0)] + 0(\varepsilon^{2}),$$

because $\underbrace{W}{L(T_{\epsilon}, 0)} = \epsilon$. See Theorem 4.2 of Williams [11] for a simple proof that under \underbrace{W} , $L(T_{\epsilon}, 0)$ is exponentially distributed with mean ϵ . (In particular, under \underbrace{W} , M_{τ} is exponentially distributed with mean a.)

3. <u>Second proof of</u> (1.5). The most profound results on Brownian local time are the Markov properties discovered by Ray ([7]) and Knight ([3]). The basic Markov property which they discovered is the following:

$$(3.1) \qquad \{L(T, a - y) : 0 \le y \le a, \underline{W}\} \sim \{\frac{1}{2}R_y^2 : 0 \le y \le a\},$$

where R is a "2-dimensional" Bessel process starting at O. Thus R is a continuous process identical in law to the radial part of standard 2-dimensional Brownian motion starting at O. Williams ([9]) gave a simple proof of (3.1) and ([10,11]) showed that all the other Ray-Knight properties follow from (3.1) via time-reversal, time-substitution, etc.. See also McKean [6].

We now calculate

$$\begin{split} & \underbrace{\mathbb{W}\left\{\exp\left[\alpha L(T,0)-\beta T\right]\right\}}_{\mathbf{W}} = \underbrace{\mathbb{W}\left\{\exp\left[\alpha L(T,0)-2\beta \int_{0}^{a} L(T,a-y)dy\right]\right\}}_{\mathbf{U}} \\ &= \underbrace{\mathbb{E}\left\{\exp\left[\frac{1}{2}\alpha R_{a}^{2}-\beta \int_{0}^{a} R_{y}^{2} dy\right]\right\}}_{\mathbf{U}} \quad (by \ (3.1)) \\ &= \underbrace{\mathbb{W}\left\{\exp\left[\frac{1}{2}\alpha X_{a}^{2}-\beta \int_{0}^{a} X_{y}^{2} dy\right]\right\}^{2}}_{\mathbf{U}} \quad (Pythagoras) \\ &= \underbrace{\mathbb{E}\left\{\exp\left[\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\delta)U_{a}^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\delta\right]\right\}^{2}} \quad (Cameron-Martin) \end{split}$$

where U is an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process with generator $\frac{1}{2}D^2 - \delta xD$ (D = d/dx) and starting at O. Now (see Chapter 16 of Breiman [1]) U_a is Gaussian with mean O and variance $(2\delta)^{-1}[1-e^{-2\delta a}]$. Let V_a be a variable independent of U_a and with the same distribution as U_a. Then

$$\begin{split} \underbrace{\underline{W}} \left\{ \exp[\alpha L(T, 0) - \beta T] \right\} &= \underbrace{\underline{E}} \left\{ \exp[\frac{1}{2} (\alpha + \delta) (U_a^2 + V_a^2) - \delta a] \right\} \\ &= \delta[\delta \cosh(\delta a) - a \sinh(\delta a)]^{-1} \end{split}$$

because $\frac{1}{2}(U_a^2 + V_a^2)$ is <u>exponentially</u> distributed with mean $(2\delta)^{-1}[1 - e^{-2\delta a}]$. That the range of validity of (1.5) extends to $\alpha < \theta$ is now obvious.

Notes (i). The Ray-Knight theorems and Cameron-Martin formula have been used in conjunction before. See for example Knight [4], Williams [10].

(ii) It is no accident that the proof of the Ray-Knight theorm in [9] depends on the theory of elastic Brownian motion.

REFERENCES

- [1] BREIMAN, L. (1968). Probability. Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass..
- [2] ITÔ, K. and McKEAN, H.P. (1965). <u>Diffusion processes and their sample paths</u>. Springer, Berlin.
- [3] KNIGHT, F.B. (1963). Random walks and a sojourn density process of Brownian motion. <u>Trans. Amer. Math. Soc</u>. 109 56-86.
- [4] ----- (1969). Brownian local times and taboo processes. ibid. 143 173-85.
- [5] McKEAN, H.P. (1969). Stochastic integrals. Academic Press, New York.
- [6] _____ (1975). Brownian local times. <u>Advances in Math</u>. <u>15</u> 91-111.
- [7] RAY, D.B. (1963). Sojourn times of diffusion processes. <u>Illinois J. Math.</u> <u>7</u> 615-30.
- [8] TAYLOR, H.M. (1975). A stopped Brownian motion formula. <u>Ann. Probability</u> <u>3</u> 234-246.
- [9] WILLIAMS, D. (1969). Markov properties of Brownian local time. <u>Bull. Amer</u>. <u>Math. Soc.</u> <u>75</u> 1035-36.
- [10] ----- (1970). Decomposing the Brownian path. ibid. 76 871-73.
- [11] ——— (1974). Path decomposition and continuity of local time for one-dimensional diffusions, I. <u>Proc. London Math. Soc</u>.
 (3) <u>28</u> 738-68.

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY COLLEGE, SINGLETON PARK, SWANSEA SA2 8PP, GREAT BRITAIN.