Séminaire Équations aux dérivées partielles - École Polytechnique

I. EKELAND H. HOFER Symplectic topology and hamiltonian dynamics

Séminaire Équations aux dérivées partielles (Polytechnique) (1987-1988), exp. nº 23, p. 1-4

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SEDP_1987-1988____A23_0>

© Séminaire Équations aux dérivées partielles (Polytechnique) (École Polytechnique), 1987-1988, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire Équations aux dérivées partielles (http://sedp.cedram.org) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.



Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

CENTRE DE MATHEMATIQUES

Unité associée au C.N.R.S. nº 169

Séminaire 1987-1988

ECOLE POLYTECHNIQUE F-91128 PALAISEAU Cedex (France) Tél. (1) 69.41.82.00 Télex ECOLEX 691.596 F

ÉQUATIONS AUX DÉRIVÉES PARTIELLES

SYMPLECTIC TOPOLOGY AND HAMILTONIAN DYNAMICS

I. EKELAND and H. HOFER

Exposé n°XXIII

23 Février 1988

Consider in \mathbb{R}^{2n} the linear operator J with matrix:

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$$

It defines a two-form ω by:

$$\omega(x,y) := (Jx,y)$$

 $(\mathbf{R}^{2n}, \omega)$ is the standard symplectic space.

A linear map $M \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ will be called symplectic if it preserves ω ; that is:

$$\omega(Mx, My) = \omega(x, y) \quad \forall (x, y)$$

This leads us to the characterization:

$$M^*JM = M$$

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{2n}$ be an open subset. A nonlinear map $\varphi \in C^1(\Omega; \mathbf{R}^{2n})$ will be called symplectic if its derivative $\varphi'(x)$ is symplectic for every $x \in \Omega$. Traditionally, such maps were called canonical. Note the requirement that φ be at least C^1 .

Symplectic geometry starts with the simplest possible question: given two open subsets \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} in \mathbb{R}^{2N} , is it possible to send \mathcal{U} into \mathcal{V} by a symplectic transformation? In other words, does there exist a symplectic φ such that $\varphi(\mathcal{U}) \subset \mathcal{V}$?

A necessary condition has long been known. Since ω^n is the standard measure on \mathbf{R}^{2n} , symplectic transformations must preserve volumes (Liouville's theorem). So, if \mathcal{U} can be sent into \mathcal{V} by a symplectic transformation, we must have:

$$\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{U}) \leq \operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{V})$$

For n = 1, this condition is almost sufficient. For n > 1 however, this is very for from being the case. Gromov [G] startled the mathematical world by proving:

Theorem 1.— Consider in $\mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ the unit ball

$$B := \{(p,q) | \sum_{i=1}^{n} (p_i^2 + q_i^2) < 1\}$$

the vertical cylinder

$$C_1 := \{(p,q) | p_1^2 + q_1^2 < 1\}$$

and assume rB can be sent into RC_1 by a symplectic transformation. Then:

 $r \leq R$.

Here x = (p,q), so the vertical cylinder C_1 is to be distinguished from horizontal cylinders such as:

$$C^1 := \{(p,q)|p_1^2 + p_2^2 < 1\}$$

Note that $vol(B) < \infty$ while $vol(C) = \infty$, so that, if one relied on volume considerations, one would have concluded that rB can always be sent into RC.

To understand Gromov's result, and more like it, we introduce a definition.

Définition 2. A symplectic capacity is a map $c : \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2n}) \to [0,\infty) \cup \{+\infty\}$ with the following properties:

conformal invariance: if $\varphi \in C^1(\mathbf{R}^{2n}, \mathbf{R}^{2n})$ and a > 0 are such that $\varphi^* \omega = a \omega$, then

$$c(\varphi(A)) = a \ c(A) \quad \forall A \subset \mathbf{R}^{2n}$$

monotonicity if $A \subset B \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, then

$$c(A) \le c(B)$$

scaling

$$c(B) = \pi = c \subset (C_1) \quad \bullet$$

Once we have a symplectic capacity we can prove Gromov's theorem:

Proof Assume there is $\varphi \in C^{\hat{1}}(B, \mathbb{R}^{2n})$ which is symplectic and $\varphi(rB) \subset RC_1$. It is wellknown that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $\tilde{\varphi} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \mathbb{R}^{2n})$ which coincides with φ on $(1-\varepsilon)rB$. So henceforth we assume that φ is defined on all of \mathbb{R}^{2n} . We have $\varphi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \mathbb{R}^{2n})$ and $\varphi(rB) \subset RC_1$. Then

$$\begin{split} c(\varphi(rB)) &\leq c(RC_1) \quad (\text{monotonicity}) \\ c(rB) &\leq c(RC_1) \quad (\text{symplectic invariance}) \\ r^2 c(B) &\leq R^2 \subset C(C_1) \quad (\text{conformal invariance}) \\ r^2 \pi &\leq R^2 \pi \quad \text{scaling} \\ r^2 &\leq R^2 \quad \text{as desired} \quad \bullet \end{split}$$

Of course the main problem is to show that symplectic capacities exist at all. The firstone to do so was Gromov [G] who defined "symplectic width" using holomorphic disks. His definition makes sense in any symplectic manifold. In [EH], we give an existence and representation theorem for a symplectic capacity in \mathbb{R}^{2n} .

Theorem 3.— There exists a symplectic capacity c with the following property. Let $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbf{R}^{2n}$ be a bounded open set, such that its boundary $\partial \mathcal{U}$ is a C^1 hypersurface of contact type. Then ∂U carries a closed C^1 curve γ such that

(1)
$$-J \dot{\gamma}(t)$$
 is normal to $\partial \mathcal{U}$ at $\gamma(t)$

(2)
$$c(\mathcal{U}) = \oint (\gamma, -J \mathring{\gamma}) dt.$$

To say that $\partial \mathcal{U}$ has contact type means that the restriction of ω to $\partial \mathcal{U}$ has a primitive Ω such that $\Omega \wedge (\omega)^{n-1}$ is a volume form on $\partial \mathcal{U}$. This will be the case if for instance \mathcal{U} is star-shaped with respect to some point.

Conditions (1) and (2) do not depend on the time parametrization of γ . If for instance we choose a non-vanishing continuous section n(x) of the normal bundle, we can rewrite (1) as follows:

$$\mathring{\gamma} = Jn(\gamma)$$

and this equation defines a flow on $\partial \mathcal{U}$ if n(x) is locally Lipschitz. This is the Hamiltonian flow naturally associated with $\partial \mathcal{U}$. Theorem 3 then asserts that the capacity of \mathcal{U} is equal to the action integral along some particular closed trajectory of the Hamiltonian flow.

Note that this particular trajectory may be run around several times ; that is, the right hand side of formula (2) is defined up to multiplication by an integer.

Let us try the representation formula on B. The Hamiltonian flow on ∂B is wellknown; all its trajectories are closed and the action along them is π . So we get

$$c(B)=\oint(\gamma,-J\stackrel{\circ}{\gamma})dt=k\pi$$

for some integer $k \ge 1$. Direct arguments show that $c(B) < 2\pi$ so k = 1, and we have proved half of the scaling formula.

Now for C_1 . The Hamiltonian flow on ∂C_1 also has only closed trajectories, all of which have action π . We get $c(C_1) = k\pi$, and we show that the integer k must be 1. Hence the scaling formula.

What about the horizontal cylinder C^1 ? The Hamiltonian flow runs along generatrices and there are no closed trajectories. We find therefore that

$$c(C^1) = \infty.$$

So the capacity is able to distinguish between vertical cylinder $(c(C_1) = \pi)$ and horizontal ones $(c(C_1) = \infty)$. What is relevant here is clearly the axis of the cylinder, that is the two-planes

$$(p_1, 0, \dots, 0, q_1, 0, \dots, 0) \quad ext{for} \quad C_1$$

 $(p_1, p_2, 0, \dots, 0) \quad ext{for} \quad C^1.$

The second one is isotropic which means that the restriction of ω vanishes. We can exploit this property. Define an ellipsoid to be the set where q(x) < 1, for some positive definite quadratic form q.

Proposition 4.— Assume a linear map $M \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ preserves the capacity of ellipsoids.

$$c(M(E)) = c(E)$$

Then M is symplectic or antisymplectic:

$$M^*\omega = \pm \omega \quad \bullet$$

Indeed, such a map will change an isotropic 2-plane into an isotropic 2-plane. Some linear algebra then gives the result. It carries over to the nonlinear case.

Theorem 5.— Assume a nonlinear map $\varphi \in C^0(B, \mathbb{R}^{2n})$ preserves capacities. If $\varphi'(0)$ exists, then $\varphi'(0)$ is symplectic or antisymplectic.

This is a remarkable result because it enables us to extend the notion of symplecticity to the C^0 category. It also enables us to prove a C^0 -rigidity theorem.

Theorem 6.— Let $\varphi_n \in C^1(B, \mathbb{R}^{2n})$ be a sequence of C^1 symplectic embeddings converging uniformly to φ . If $\varphi'(0)$ exists, then it is symplectic or antisymplectic.

In fact, since the φ_n are symplectic they preserve c. Their C^0 -limit φ must also preserve c, and by theorem 5 it will be symplectic or antisymplectic at any point of diffrentiability.

As a consequence, we get a celebrated result of Eliashberg and Gromov [G].

Corollary 7.— Let P be a compact symplectic manifold and φ_n a sequence of symplectic diffeomorphisms, converging uniformly to a diffeomorphism φ . Then φ is symplectic.

Proofs will be found in [E-H]. The starting point of this investigation is the theorem of Viterbo [V].

Bibliography

- [E-H] I. Ekeland and H. Hofer, Symplectic topology and Hamiltonian dynamics, to appear in Math. Zeitschrift.
 - [G] M. Gromov, Hard and soft symplectic geometry, Proc. I.C.M. Berkeley 86.
 - [V] C. Viterbo, A proof of the Weinstein conjecture in \mathbb{R}^{2n} , Annales IHP, Analyse non lineaire, 87.

I. EKELAND CEREMADE Université Paris-Dauphine Place du Maréchal de Lattre de Tassigny 75775 PARIS CEDEX 16

H. HOFER Rutgers University New-Brunswick New-Jersey U.S.A.