

SÉMINAIRE ÉQUATIONS AUX DÉRIVÉES PARTIELLES – ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE

C. H. WILCOX

**A coerciveness inequality for a class of nonelliptic
operators and its applications**

Séminaire Équations aux dérivées partielles (Polytechnique) (1970-1971), exp. n° 30,
p. 1-10

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SEDP_1970-1971___A30_0

© Séminaire Équations aux dérivées partielles (Polytechnique)
(École Polytechnique), 1970-1971, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire Équations aux dérivées partielles (<http://sedp.cedram.org>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE

CENTRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES

17, RUE DESCARTES - PARIS V

Téléphone : MÉDICIS 11-77
(633)

S E M I N A I R E G O U L A O U I C - S C H W A R T Z 1 9 7 0 - 1 9 7 1

A COERCIVENESS INEQUALITY FOR A CLASS OF NONELLIPTIC OPERATORS

AND ITS APPLICATIONS

by C. H. WILCOX

§ 0 INTRODUCTION.

This exposition describes a coerciveness inequality for a class of nonelliptic operators due to J. R. Schulenberger and C. H. Wilcox [3], [5], its proof and some of its applications.

The nonelliptic operators considered here occur in the study of symmetric hyperbolic systems of the form

$$(0.1) \quad E(x) D_t u + \sum_{j=1}^n A_j D_j u = 0$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^1$, $D_j = \partial/\partial x_j$, $D_t = \partial/\partial t$, $u = u(x, t)$ is an $m \times 1$ (column) matrix over \mathbb{C} , the coefficients $E(x)$, A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n are $m \times m$ Hermitian matrices over \mathbb{C} , $E(x)$ is positive definite and A_1, \dots, A_n are constant. This class of equation provides a unified description of the wave equations of classical physics. Examples include the elastic waves in a variety of inhomogeneous anisotropic media [7].

The systems (0.1) can be written in the Schrödinger form

$$(0.2) \quad i D_t u = \Lambda u$$

where

$$(0.3) \quad \Lambda = -i E(x)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n A_j D_j$$

is formally selfadjoint with respect to the inner product

$$(0.4) \quad (u, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x)^* E(x) v(x) dx .$$

(Here u^* denotes the Hermitian adjoint of u). It will be assumed that $E(x)$ is Lebesgue measurable, bounded and uniformly positive definite on \mathbb{R}^n . It follows that

$$(0.5) \quad \mathcal{K} = \{u : u(x) \text{ is } L \text{ measurable, } (u, u) < \infty\}$$

is a Hilbert space and if

$$(0.6) \quad D(\Lambda) = \mathcal{K} \cap \{u : \Lambda u \in \mathcal{K}\}$$

then $\Lambda : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ is selfadjoint [7]. This implies that

$$(0.7) \quad U(t) = \exp(-it\Lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^1} \exp(-it\lambda) d\pi(\lambda)$$

is a solution operator for the Cauchy problem for (0.1).

For the study of the properties of $U(t)$ it is important to know whether Λ is coercive on $D(\Lambda)$. It is well known that if Λ is coercive on $D(\Lambda)$ then Λ must be elliptic; cf. [3]. This means that if

$$(0.8) \quad \Lambda(p, x) = E(x)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n A_j p_j$$

denotes the symbol of Λ then

$$(0.9) \quad \text{rank } \Lambda(p, x) = m \text{ for all } p \in \mathbb{R}^n - \{0\} \text{ and } x \in \mathbb{R}^n .$$

Unfortunately, the operators Λ that arise in classical physics are not elliptic [3]. However, most of them have the weaker property

$$(0.10) \quad \text{rank } \Lambda(p, x) = m - k \text{ for all } p \in \mathbb{R}^n - \{0\} \text{ and } x \in \mathbb{R}^n ,$$

where k is an integer [3]. Such operators will be said to have constant deficit k . This property replaces ellipticity in the coerciveness theorem described below.

§ 1. THE COERCIVENESS THEOREM.

Theorem 1.1 : Assume that

$$(1.1) \quad E(x) \text{ and } D_j E(x) \text{ are continuous and bounded on } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

$$(1.2) \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} E(x) = E_0 \text{ exists, uniformly in } x/|x|.$$

$$(1.3) \quad \text{rank } \sum_{j=1}^n A_j p_j = m - k \text{ for all } p \in \mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}.$$

Then Λ is coercive on $N(\Lambda)^\perp$, the orthogonal complement in \mathcal{K} of the nullspace $N(\Lambda)$. This means that

$$(1.4) \quad D(\Lambda) \cap N(\Lambda)^\perp \subset \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}^1 \equiv \mathcal{K} \cap \{u : D_j u \in \mathcal{K}, j=1, \dots, n\}$$

and there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$(1.5) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \|D_j u\|^2 \leq c^2 (\|\Lambda u\|^2 + \|u\|^2) \text{ for all } u \in D(\Lambda) \cap N(\Lambda)^\perp.$$

Theorem 1.2 : If the hypotheses of theorem 1.1 hold and

$$(1.6) \quad D^\alpha E(x) \text{ is continuous and bounded on } \mathbb{R}^n \text{ for } 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq q$$

then

$$(1.7) \quad D(\Lambda^q) \cap N(\Lambda)^\perp \subset \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}^q \equiv \mathcal{K} \cap \{u : D^\alpha u \in \mathcal{K} \text{ for } |\alpha| \leq q\}$$

and there exists a constant $c_q > 0$ such that

$$(1.8) \quad \sum_{|\alpha| \leq q} \|D^\alpha u\|^2 \leq c_q^2 (\|\Lambda^q u\|^2 + \|u\|^2) \text{ for all } u \in D(\Lambda^q) \cap N(\Lambda)^\perp.$$

The proofs of these results are given in [3] and [5]. The idea of these proofs are sketched below.

§ 2. A PROOF OF THE COERCIVENESS THEOREM.

The idea of the proof in [3] is to construct an augmental operator

$$(2.1) \quad \Lambda'' = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda' \\ \Lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$(2.2) \quad \Lambda' : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$$

is chosen so that

$$(2.3) \quad \Lambda'' \text{ is elliptic ,}$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad \Lambda' \Lambda = 0 .$$

Condition (2.3) implies that Λ'' is coercive on its domain ; that is

$$(2.5) \quad D(\Lambda'') = D(\Lambda') \cap D(\Lambda) \subset \overset{1}{\mathcal{K}}$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \|D_j u\|^2 \leq c^2 (\|\Lambda'' u\|^2 + \|u\|^2) \\ = c^2 (\|\Lambda' u\|^2 + \|\Lambda u\|^2 + \|u\|^2) \text{ for all } u \in D(\Lambda'') .$$

Moreover, (2.4) implies

$$(2.7) \quad \overline{R(\Lambda)} \subset N(\Lambda') .$$

Also $N(\Lambda) = R(\Lambda^*)^\perp = R(\Lambda)^\perp$, whence $R(\Lambda) = N(\Lambda)^\perp$. Thus

$$(2.8) \quad N(\Lambda)^\perp \subset N(\Lambda') .$$

Combining (2.5), (2.6) and (2.8) gives the coerciveness of Λ on $N(\Lambda)^\perp$; i.e. (1.4), (1.5)

The difficulty with the program outlined above is that, in general, there is no first order differential operator Λ' which satisfies (2.3) and (2.4). In [5] Λ' is constructed as follows.

Define

$$(2.9) \quad \widehat{P}_0(p) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} (\Lambda_0(p) - \zeta)^{-1} d\zeta, \quad \Lambda_0(p) = E_0^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n A_j p_j,$$

where Γ is a circle about $\zeta = 0$ which contains no nonzero eigenvalue of $\Lambda_0(p)$. The possibility of doing this follows from the constant deficit condition (1.3) ; see [5]. Then

$$(2.10) \quad \Lambda_0(p) \widehat{P}_0(p) = \widehat{P}_0(p) \Lambda_0(p) = 0.$$

Define

$$(2.11) \quad P_0 = \widehat{\phi} * \widehat{P}_0 \widehat{\phi}, \quad \widehat{\phi} = \text{Fourier transform},$$

so that

$$(2.12) \quad P_0 \Lambda_0 = 0.$$

Define

$$(2.13) \quad \Lambda' = (-\Delta)^{1/2} P_0 E_0^{-1} E$$

with symbol

$$(2.14) \quad \Lambda'(p, x) = |p| \widehat{P}_0(p) E_0^{-1} E(x).$$

In general, Λ' is not a differential operator. However, $\text{rank } \Lambda'(p, x) = \text{rank } \widehat{P}_0(p) = k$ for $p \neq 0$ and $\text{rank } \Lambda''(p, x) = m$ for $p \neq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, $\Lambda' \Lambda = (-\Delta)^{1/2} P_0 \Lambda_0 = 0$. The proof of theorem 1.1 in [3] is based on these two properties and follows the general plan of the usual proof of Gårding's inequality.

The proof of theorem 1.2 is based on theorem 1.1 and an induction of q . The details are given in [3, §6].

L. Sarason [2] has recently given another proof of theorem 1.1 which is shorter and technically easier than the one outlined above. An outline of his proof is given below.

§ 3. A SECOND PROOF DUE TO L. SARASON.

Notation : $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ represents an $m \times 1$ matrix-valued function.

$$(3.1) \quad (u, v)_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x)^* v(x) dx .$$

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{L}_{2,m}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{u : u(x) \text{ is L measurable, } (u, u)_0 < \infty\} .$$

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{L}_{2,m}^q(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{L}_{2,m}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \{u : D^\alpha u \in \mathcal{L}_{2,m}(\mathbb{R}^n), 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq q\} .$$

$$(3.4) \quad (u, v)_q = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq q} D^\alpha u(x)^* D^\alpha v(x) dx .$$

$$(3.5) \quad A = -i \sum_{j=1}^n A_j D_j$$

$$(3.6) \quad D(A) = \mathcal{L}_{2,m}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \{u : A u \in \mathcal{L}_{2,m}(\mathbb{R}^n)\} .$$

It follows that $A : \mathcal{L}_{2,m}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{2,m}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is selfadjoint.

$$(3.7) \quad N(A) = \mathcal{L}_{2,m}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \{u : A u = 0\}$$

$$(3.8) \quad N(A)^\perp = \mathcal{L}_{2,m}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \{u : (u, v)_0 = 0 \quad \forall v \in N(A)\}$$

$$(3.9) \quad (EN(A))^\perp = \mathcal{L}_{2,m}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \{u : (u, E v)_0 = 0 \quad \forall v \in N(A)\} .$$

It is evident that

$$(3.10) \quad D(\Lambda) = D(A) \quad , \quad N(\Lambda) = N(A), \quad \text{and}$$

$$(3.11) \quad N(\Lambda)^\perp = (EN(A)) .$$

It follows from these relations and the equivalence of the norms $\|u\|$ and $\|u\|_0$ that theorem 1.1 is equivalent to

Theorem 3.1 : Under the hypotheses of theorem 1.1

$$(3.12) \quad D(A) \cap (EN(A))^\perp \subset \mathcal{L}_{2,m}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

and there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$(3.13) \quad \|u\|_1 \leq c(\|A u\|_0 + \|u\|_0) \quad \text{for all } u \in D(A) \cap (EN(A))^\perp .$$

Sarason's proof of theorem 3.1 is based on the following three lemmas.

Lemma 3.2 : $\text{rank } A(p) = m - k$ for all $p \in \mathbb{R}^n - \{0\} \Rightarrow A$ is coercive on $N(A)^\perp$; that is

$$(3.14) \quad D(A) \cap N(A)^\perp \subset \mathcal{L}_{2,m}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

and there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$(3.15) \quad \|u\|_1 \leq c (\|Au\|_0 + \|u\|_0) \quad \text{for all } u \in D(A) \cap N(A)^\perp .$$

This result can be proved easily by Fourier analysis ; see for example [3].

Lemma 3.3 : Let $u \in \mathcal{L}_{2,m}^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap (EN(A))^\perp$ and let

$$(3.16) \quad u = u_1 + u_2 \quad , \quad u_1 \in N(A)^\perp \quad , \quad u_2 \in N(A) .$$

Then there exists a constant C , depending on $\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} (|E(x)| + \sum_{j=1}^n |D_j E(x)|)$, such that

$$(3.17) \quad \|u_2\|_1 \leq C \|u_1\|_1 .$$

This result expresses the main idea of Sarason's proof. Let

$$(3.18) \quad j_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-n} j(\varepsilon x)$$

be a mollifier in the sense of Friedrichs and

$$(3.19) \quad J_\varepsilon u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} j_\varepsilon(x-y) u(y) dy .$$

Lemma 3.4 : If $u \in D(A) \cap (EN(A))^\perp$ and

$$(3.20) \quad u_\varepsilon = E^{-1} J_\varepsilon E u$$

then $u_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{L}_{2,m}^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap (EN(A))^\perp$ and

$$(3.21) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} u_\varepsilon = u \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} A u_\varepsilon = A u \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{L}_{2,m}^1(\mathbb{R}^n) .$$

Proof of theorem 3.1 : Under the hypotheses of lemma 3.3, $A u_1 = A u$ and $\|u_1\|_0 \leq \|u\|_0$. Thus lemma 3.2 implies

$$(3.22) \quad \|u_1\|_1 \leq c(\|A u_1\|_0 + \|u_1\|_0) \leq c(\|A u\|_0 + \|u\|_0) .$$

Combining this with (3.17) gives

$$(3.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \|u\|_1 &\leq \|u_1\|_1 + \|u_2\|_1 \leq (1+C) \|u_1\|_1 \\ &\leq (1+C) c(\|A u\|_0 + \|u\|_0) ; \end{aligned}$$

that is, (3.13) for $u \in \mathcal{L}_{2,m}^1 \cap (EN(A))^\perp$. It follows from lemma 3.4 that if $u \in D(A) \cap (EN(A))^\perp$ then

$$(3.24) \quad \|u_\varepsilon\|_1 \leq c(\|A u_\varepsilon\|_0 + \|u_\varepsilon\|_0) .$$

The proof of theorem 3.1 is completed by making $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and using (3.21).

§ 4. APPLICATIONS OF THE COERCIVENESS THEOREM.

1°) Regularity theory for the Cauchy problem

$$(4.1) \quad u(x,t) = \exp(-it\Lambda) f(x) = U(t) f(x)$$

is the solution of the Cauchy problem

$$(4.2) \quad D_t u = -i\Lambda u , \quad u(x,0) = f(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n .$$

Problem : Find conditions on f and Λ which guarantee that

$$(4.3) \quad D_t^\alpha u \quad D_1^{\alpha_1} \dots D_n^{\alpha_n} u(x,t)$$

exist (in some sense).

Now

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{K} = N(\Lambda) \oplus N(\Lambda)^\perp \quad \text{reduces } \Lambda .$$

Moreover

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} f \in D(\Lambda^q) & \Rightarrow f = f' + f'' \quad \text{where} \\ f' & \in N(\Lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad f'' \in D(\Lambda^q) \cap N(\Lambda)^\perp. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} u(x, t) &= U(t) f'(x) + U(t) f''(x) \\ &= u'(x, t) + u''(x, t) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} u'(x, t) &= f'(x) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}^1, \text{ and} \\ u''(x, t) &\in D(\Lambda^q) \cap N(\Lambda)^\perp \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}^1. \end{aligned}$$

Application of theorem 1.2 implies that the derivatives (4.3) exist for $t \in \mathbb{R}^1$ and $\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \leq q$. In fact, we can prove

Theorem 4.1 : Under the hypotheses of theorem 1.2, if $f \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{C}}^q$ then

$$(4.8) \quad D_t^{\alpha_0} D_1^{\alpha_1} \dots D_n^{\alpha_n} u(x, t) \in C(\mathbb{R}^1; \mathcal{K}) \quad \text{for } \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \leq q.$$

Corollary 4.2 : If $q \geq [n/2] + 1 + 1$ then

$$(4.9) \quad u(x, t) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}).$$

This follows from (4.8) and the Sobolev imbedding theorems.

2°) Other applications

The coerciveness theorem has been used to study the point spectrum and continuous spectrum of Λ [4] and to prove the existence and completeness of the wave operators in scattering theory for Λ [1,6].

BIBLIOGRAPHIE

- [1] J.A. La Vita, J.R. Schulenberger, C.H. Wilcox : Scattering theory for wave propagation problems of classical physics by the method of Lax and Phillips, ONR Technical Report #13, University of Denver, March 1971.
- [2] L. Sarason : Remarks on an inequality of Schulenberger and Wilcox, preprint, University of Washington, 1971.
- [3] J.R. Schulenberger and C.H. Wilcox : Coerciveness inequalities for nonelliptic systems of partial differential equations, Ann. Mat. pura e appl. (Spring 1971).
- [4] J.R. Schulenberger and C.H. Wilcox : The limiting absorption principle and spectral theory for steady-state wave propagation in inhomogeneous anisotropic media, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. (Spring 1971).
- [5] J.R. Schulenberger and C.H. Wilcox : A coerciveness inequality for a class of nonelliptic operators of constant deficit, Ann. Math. pura e appl. (to appear).
- [6] J.R. Schulenberger and C.H. Wilcox : Completeness of the wave operators for scattering problems of classical physics, Bull. A.M.S. (to appear 1971).
- [7] C.H. Wilcox : Wave operators and asymptotic solutions of wave propagation problems of classical physics, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 22, 37-78 (1966).
