SÉMINAIRE N. BOURBAKI

FRIEDRICH HIRZEBRUCH

The topology of normal singularities of an algebraic surface

Séminaire N. Bourbaki, 1964, exp. nº 250, p. 129-137

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SB_1962-1964_8_129_0

© Association des collaborateurs de Nicolas Bourbaki, 1964, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire Bourbaki (http://www.bourbaki. ens.fr/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.



Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

THE TOPOLOGY OF NORMAL SINGULARITIES OF AN ALGEBRAIC SURFACE

by Friedrich HIRZEBRUCH

(d'après un article de D. MUMFORD [4])

We shall study MUMFORD's results in the complex-analytic case.

1. Regular graphs of curves.

Let X be a complex manifold of complex dimension $2 \cdot A$ regular graph Γ of curves on X is defined as follows.

i.
$$\Gamma = \{E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n\}$$
.

ii. Each $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{i}}$ is a compact connected complex submanifold of \mathbf{X} of complex dimension $\mathbf{1}$.

iii. Each point of X lies on at most two of the E_i .

iv. If $x \in E_i \cap E_j$ and $i \neq j$, then E_i , E_j intersect regularly in x and $E_i \cap E_j = \{x\}$.

 Γ defines a graph $\Gamma^{!}$ in the usual sense (i. e. a one-dimensional finite simplicial complex) by associating to each E_{i} a vertex e_{i} and by joining e_{i} and e_{j} by an edge if and only if $E_{i} \cap E_{j}$ intersect. $\Gamma^{!}$ becomes a "weighted graph" by attaching to each e_{i} the self-intersection number $E_{i} \cdot E_{i}$, i. e. the Euler number of the normal bundle of E_{i} in X. We have the symmetric matrix

$$S(\Gamma) = ((E_{i} \cdot E_{i}))$$

where $E_{\mathbf{i}} \cdot E_{\mathbf{j}}$ ($\mathbf{i} \neq \mathbf{j}$) equals 1 if $E_{\mathbf{i}} \cap E_{\mathbf{j}} \neq \emptyset$ and equals 0 if $E_{\mathbf{i}} \cap E_{\mathbf{j}} = \emptyset$. This matrix is called the intersection matrix of Γ and defines a bilinear symmetric form S over the Z-module $V = Ze_1 + Ze_2 + \cdots + Ze_n$. The matrix $S(\Gamma)$ depends (up to the ordering of the e_1) only on the weighted tree and may be denoted by $S(\Gamma)$. The subset A of X is called a tubular neighbourhood of Γ if

$$i. \quad A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_{i},$$

where A is a (compact) tubular neighbourhood of E;

ii.
$$E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset$$
 implies $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$

iii. $E_i \cap E_j = \{x\}$ implies the existence of a local coordinate system (z_1, z_2) with center x and a positive number ϵ such that the open neighbourhood

$$U = \{p \middle| p \in X \land |z_1(p)| < 2 \epsilon \land |z_2(p)| < 2 \epsilon\}$$

is defined in this coordinate system and

$$\begin{array}{c} A_{\mathbf{i}} \, \cap \, \mathbf{U} = \{\, \mathbf{p} \big| \quad \mathbf{p} \, \in \, \mathbf{U} \, \cap \, \big| \, \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{p}) \, \big| \, \leqslant \, \epsilon \, \} & \quad \text{,} \\ \\ A_{\mathbf{j}} \, \cap \, \mathbf{U} = \{\, \mathbf{p} \big| \quad \mathbf{p} \, \in \, \mathbf{U} \, \cap \, \big| \, \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{p}) \, \big| \, \leqslant \, \epsilon \, \} & \quad \text{,} \\ \\ A_{\mathbf{i}} \, \cap \, A_{\mathbf{j}} \, \subset \, \mathbf{U} & \quad \text{.} \end{array}$$

Such tubular neighbourhoods always exist.

A is a compact 4-dimensional manifold (differentiable except "corners") whose boundary M is a 3-dimensional manifold (without boundary). It is easy to see that A has $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} E_i$ as deformation retract. Thus

(1)
$$H_{\mathbf{j}}(A) \sim H_{\mathbf{j}}(E) \qquad \bullet$$

Suppose that the graph $\Gamma^{!}$ is connected. This is the case if M is connected. If, moreover, $\Gamma^{!}$ has no cycles, then E is homotopically equivalent to a wedge of n compact oriented topological surfaces with the genera $\mathbf{g_i} = \mathrm{genus}(\mathbf{E_i})$. If $\Gamma^{!}$ has p linearly independent cycles, then the homotopy type of E is the wedge of n surfaces as above and p one-dimensional spheres. The first Betti number of E is given by the formula

(2)
$$b_1(E) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i + p$$

We have the exact sequence (rational cohomology)

(3)
$$H^{1}(A, M) \rightarrow H^{1}(A) \rightarrow H^{1}(M)$$

By Poincaré duality $H^1(A, M) \cong H_3(A)$ which vanishes by (1).

Therefore $H^1(A)$ maps injectively into $H^1(M)$ which proves in virtue of (1) and (2):

IEMMA. — If the regular graph of curves $\Gamma := \{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$ has a tubular neighbourhood A whose boundary M is a rational homology sphere, then the graph Γ' is a tree (i. e. Γ' is connected and has no cycles). Furthermore, the genera of the curves are all 0, thus all the E, are 2-spheres.

2. The fundamental group of the "tree manifold" M .

Suppose M is obtained as in Section 1, assume that $\Gamma^{\mathfrak{l}}$ is a tree and all the E are 2-spheres. By the lemma of Section 1 this is true-if M is a rational homology sphere. The fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$ is presented by the following theorem.

THEOREM. - Put $S(\Gamma) = ((E_j \cdot E_j)) = s_{ij} \cdot \frac{\text{Then, with the above assumptions,}}{s_1(M)}$ is isomorphic with the free group generated by the vertices e_1 , ..., e_n of Γ^* modulo the relations

(a)
$$e_{i} e_{j}^{s_{ij}} = e_{j}^{s_{ij}} e_{i}$$

(b)
$$1 = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n} e_j^{s_{ij}} ,$$

the product in (b) being ordered from left to right by increasing j • Recall that the exponents s_{ij} are all 1 or 0 (for $i \neq j$).

Remark. - Each weighted tree with a numbering of its vertices defines by this recipe a group. A change of the numbering gives an isomorphic group. This is not difficult to prove. Thus it makes sense to speak (up to an isomorphism) of $\pi_1(\Gamma^t)$ where Γ^t is any weighted tree.

We sketch a proof of the theorem. The boundary of A_i , denoted by ∂A_i , is a circle bundle over S^2 with Euler number s_{ii} . A generator e_i of $\pi_1(\partial A_i)$ is represented by a fibre. The only relation is

$$e_{i}^{s} = 1$$
 .

Recall M = ∂A and put B_i = $\partial A \cap A_i$ which is a 3-dimensional manifold obtained from ∂A_i by removing for each j with $j \neq i$ and $s_{ij} \neq 0$ a fibre preserving neighbourhood of some fibre. This neighbourhood to be removed has in local coordinates (Section 1, (iii)) the description ($|z_1| < \epsilon$, $|z_2| = \epsilon$) and thus is of the type $D^2 \times S^1$. The boundary of B_i consists of a certain number of 2-dimensional tori (one for each j with $j \neq i$ and $s_{ij} \neq 0$). The fundamental group $\pi_1(B_i)$ has generators e_j (j = i or $s_{ij} \neq 0$) with the only relations

$$e_{i} e_{j} = e_{j} e_{i}$$

(b)
$$e_{\mathbf{i}}^{-\mathbf{s}} = \prod e_{\mathbf{j}},$$

the product is in increasing order of j (over those e_j with $j \neq i$ and $s_{ij} \neq 0$). Here e_i is representable by any fibre, thus also by a fibre on the

E HIRZEBRUCH

 \mathbf{j}^{th} torus. $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{j}}$ is represented on the \mathbf{j}^{th} torus by ($\mathbf{z}_{1} = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{2\pi\mathrm{i}\mathbf{t}}$, $\mathbf{z}_{2} = \mathrm{cons}$ tant of absolute value $\ ^1$). It becomes a fibre in $\ ^B$. Since $\ ^M=\ U\ ^B$, we can use van Kampen's theorem to present $\pi_1(M)$ as the free product of the $\pi_1(B_1)$ modulo amalgamation of certain subgroups $\pi_1(S^1 \times S^1)$. This gives the theorem. Our notation takes automatically care of the amalgamation because for $s_{ij} \neq 0$ and $i \neq j$ the symbols e_i , e_i denote elements of $\pi_1(B_i)$ and of $\pi_1(B_i)$. Of course, there is all the trouble with the base point which we have neglected in this sketch. The trouble is not serious, mainly because Γ^{t} is a tree. A further remark to visualize the relations: B_{i} , as a circle bundle over S^{2} - (disjoint union of small disks), is trivial. Thus e_i lies in the center of $\pi_1(B_i)$. There is a section of ∂A_i over the oriented S^2 with one singular point. This gives an "oriented disk-like 2-chain" in ∂A_i with $e_i^{-s_{ii}}$ as boundary (characteristic class = negative transgression!). The small disks lift to disks in that 2-chain. They have to be removed and have the e_{j} ($j \neq i$, $s_{ij} \neq 0$) as boundary. Knowledge of the fundamental group of a lisk with small disks removed gives (b).

COROLLARY. - The determinant of the matrix (s_{ij}) is different from 0 if and only if $H_1(M; Z)$ is finite. If this is so, then $|\det(s_{ij})|$ equals the order of $H_1(M; Z)$.

<u>Proof.</u> - Recall that $H_1(M; \underline{Z})$ is the abelianized $\pi_1(M)$. The corollary follows from relation (b) of the theorem. The result can also be obtained directly from the exact homology sequence of the pair (A, M) which identifies $H_1(M; \underline{Z})$ with the cokernel of the homomorphism $V \to V^*$ defined by the quadratic form S (for the notation see Section 1). $H_2(A; \underline{Z})$ may be identified with V and $H_2(A, M; \underline{Z})$ by Poincaré duality with $V^* = \operatorname{Hom}(V, \underline{Z})$.

3. Elementary trees.

In this section we shall prove a purely algebraic result.

A weighted tree is a finite tree with an integer associated to each vertex.

An elementary transformation (of the first kind) of a weighted tree adds a new vertex x, joins it to an old vertex y by a new edge, gives x the weight — 1 and y the old weight diminished by 1. Everything else remains unchanged.

An elementary transformation (of the second kind) adds a new vertex x, joins it to the two vertices y_1 , y_2 of an edge k by edges k_1 , k_2 , removes k,

TOPOLOGY OF NORMAL SINGULARITIES

gives x the weight -1 and y_i (i = 1, 2) the old weight of y_i diminished by 1. The following proposition is easy to prove.

PROPOSITION. - If Γ^{i} is a weighted tree and Γ^{ii} obtainable from Γ by an elementary transformation, then $S(\Gamma^{ii})$ is negative definite if and only if $S(\Gamma^{i})$ is. Furthermore $\pi_{1}(\Gamma^{i}) \sim \pi_{1}(\Gamma^{ii})$ (for the notation see Section 1 and the Remark in Section 2).

An elementary tree is a weighted tree obtainable from the one-vertex-tree with weight - 1 by a finite number of elementary transformations.

THEOREM. - Let $\Gamma^{!}$ be a weighted tree. Suppose that $\pi_{1}(\Gamma^{!})$ is trivial and that the matrix (integral quadratic form) $S(\Gamma^{!})$ is negative definite. Then $\Gamma^{!}$ is an elementary tree.

For the proof a group theoretical lerma is essential whose proof we omit.

IEMMA. - Let G_1 , G_2 , G_3 be non-trivial groups, and $a_1 \in G_1$. Then the free product $G_1 \star G_2 \star G_3$ modulo the relation a_1 a_2 $a_3 = 1$ is a non-trivial group.

Inductive proof of the theorem. Suppose it is proved if the number of vertices in the weighted tree is less than n . Let Γ^{\dagger} have n vertices e_1 , ..., e_n .

First case. - There is no vertex in Γ^{\dagger} which is joined by edges with at least three vertices.

Then Γ^1 is linear

where a_i is the associated weight. It follows that one of the a_i must be -1, if not det $S(\Gamma^*)$ would be up to sign the numerator of the continued fraction

$$|a_1| - \frac{1}{|a_2|} - \frac{1}{|a_n|}$$
 $(a_1 \le -2)$

which is not 1 • This contradicts the corollary in Section 2• Thus Γ^{\bullet} is an elementary transform of a tree Γ^{\parallel} with n-1 vertices• By the proposition and the induction assumption Γ^{\bullet} is elementary•

Second case. - There is a vertex e_1 , say, joined with e_2 , ..., e_m $(m \ge 4)$. We may choose this notation since the numbering plays no rôle for the fundamental group (see the Remark in Section 2).

E HIRZEBRUCH

Take Γ^{\bullet} remove e_1 and the edges joining it to e_2 , ... , e_m . The remaining one-dimensional complex is a union of m - 1 trees T2, ..., Tm where Ti has ei as edge. The free product of the $\pi_1(T_i)$, i=2, ..., m, modulo the relation e_2 e_3 ••• $e_m = 1$ gives obviously (see Section 2) the group $\pi_1(\Gamma^{\bullet})$ modulo $e_1 = 1$ • By assumption $\pi_1(\Gamma^*)$ is trivial. By the lemma at least one of the groups $\pi_1(T_i)$, say $\pi_1(\mathbf{T}_2)$, is trivial. By induction assumption \mathbf{T}_2 is elementary and thus can be reduced by removing a vertex x with weight - 1 to give a weighted tree T_2^I of which T_2 is an elementary transform of first or second kind. If $x \neq e_2$ or if $x = e_2$ and joined only with one vertex in T_2 , then Γ^* is elementary transform of the tree consisting of the T_{i} (i = 3, ..., m), T_{2}^{i} , and e_{1} (with the weight unchanged or increased by 1 respectively). By induction and the proposition, Γ^{\dagger} would be elementary. In the remaining case $x = e_2$ and e_2 is joined with exactly three vertices in Γ^{\dagger} , namely e_1 and, say, e_{m+1} , e_{m+2} of T_2 • Again, either Γ^{t} would be elementary transform of a smaller tree, or the weight of e_1 or e_{m+1} or e_{m+2} would be -1 . But the latter case cannot occur, since the quadratic form takes on $e_r + e_s \in V$ (see Section 1) the value 0, if e, e, have weight - 1 and are joined by an edge, and this would be true for r = 2 and s = 1, m + 1 or m + 2 and contradict the negative definiteness of $S(\Gamma^{!})$.

4. A blowing-down theorem.

THEOREM. — Let X be a complex manifold of complex dimension 2 and $\Gamma = \{E_1 \ , E_2 \ , \cdots \ , E_n\} \ \text{a regular graph of curves on X . Suppose the boundary of some tubular neighbourhood of } \Gamma \ \text{be simply-connected and the matrix } S(\Gamma^!)$ negative-definite. Then the topological space X/E (i. e. X with E = 0 E i=1 i collapsed to a point) is a complex manifold in a natural way: The projection X \rightarrow X/E is holomorphic and the bijection X \rightarrow E \rightarrow X/E is biholomorphic.

<u>Proof.</u> By the lemma in Section 1 and the theorem in Section 3 all curves $E_{\bf i}$ are 2-spheres and $\Gamma^!$ is an elementary tree. If $\Gamma^!$ has only one vertex, then the above theorem is due to GRAUERT or, in the classical algebraic geometric case, to CASTELNUOVO-ENRIQUES. By the very definition of an elementary tree and easy properties of "quadratic transformations" the result follows.

5. Resolution of singularities.

Let Y be a complex space of complex dimension 2 in which all points are non-singular except possibly the point y which is supposed to be normal. The theorem

TOPOLOGY OF NORMAL SINGULARITIES

on desingularization states that there exist a complex manifold X, a regular (see Section 1) graph Γ of curves E_1 , ..., E_n on X, a holomorphic map $\pi: X \to Y$ with

$$\pi(E) = \{y_0\}, \text{ where } E = \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i,$$

$$\pi[X - E : X - E \to Y - \{y_0\}] \text{ biholomorphic}$$

Thus the topological investigation of A and M (Section 1) which we have carried through so far contains as special case the investigation of singularities. A theorem, which we do not prove here, states that $S(\Gamma)$ is negative—definite if Γ comes from desingularizing a singularity.

6. The Main theorem of Mumford.

THEOREM. - Let Y, y₀ be as in Section 5. Suppose that y₀ has in Y a neighbourhood U homeomorphic to R^4 by local coordinates t_1 , ..., t_4 . Then y_0 is non-singular.

"Desingularize" y_0 as in Section 5. Take a tubular neighbourhood A of Γ . We can find a positive number δ such that $K = \pi^{-1} \{p \mid p \in U \land \sum_i t_i^2(p) < \delta\} \subset A$. There exists a tubular A^i with

and such that A' is obtained from A just by multiplying the "normal distances" by a fixed positive number r < 1. Any path in A - E is homotopic to a path in A' - E which is nullhomotopic in A - E because $\pi_1(K-E) = \pi_1(R^4 - \{0\})$ is trivial. The theorem in Section 4 together with the theorem mentioned at the end of Section 5 completes the proof.

7. Further remarks.

For any weighted tree $\Gamma^{!}$ the construction in Section 1 can be topologized (assume genus $(E_{\underline{i}}) = 0$). In this way we may attach to each weighted tree $\Gamma^{!}$ a 3-dimensional manifold $M(\Gamma^{!})$ (see von RANDOW [5]) which, as can be shown, depends only on $\Gamma^{!}$ (up to a homeomorphism).

We have $\pi_1(M(\Gamma^i)) = \pi_1(\Gamma^i)$ (See Section 2). Von RANDOW [5] has investigated the tree manifold $M(\Gamma^i)$ and shown in analogy to Mumford's theorem (Section 6) that $M(\Gamma^i)$ is homeomorphic S^3 if $\pi_1(\Gamma^i)$ is trivial. Thus there is no counterexample to Poincaré's conjecture in the class of tree manifolds $M(\Gamma^i)$. Von Randow's investigations and also the topological part of Mumford's paper are in

F. HIRZEBRUCH

close connection to the classical paper of SEIFERT [6]. The oriented Seifert manifolds (fibred in circles over S^2 with a finite number of exceptional fibres) are special tree manifolds [5].

Interesting trees (always with genus $(E_i) = 0$) occur when desingularizing the singularities

$$(z_1^2 + z_2^n)^{1/2}$$
, $(n \ge 2)$, $(z_1(z_2^2 + z_1^n))^{1/2}$, $(n \ge 2)$, $(z_1^3 + z_2^4)^{1/2}$, $(z_1(z_1^2 + z_2^3))^{1/2}$, $(z_1^3 + z_2^5)^{1/2}$.

Each of these algebroid function elements generates a complex space with a singular point at the origin.

These singularities give rise to the well known trees A_{n-1} , D_{n+2} , E_6 , E_7 , E_8 of Lie group theory (all vertices weighted by -2). The corresponding manifolds M are homeomorphic to S^3/G where G is a finite subgroup of S^3 (cyclic, binary dihedral, binary tetrahedral, binary octahedral, binary pentagondodecahedral). Up to inner automorphisms these are the only finite subgroups of S^3 . The manifold $M(E_8)$ is specially interesting. Since det $S(E_8) = 1$, it is by the corollary in Section 2 a Poincaré manifold, i. e. a 3-dimensional manifold with non-trivial fundamental group and trivial abelianized fundamental group. $M(E_8)$ was constructed by "plumbing" 8-copies of the circle bundle over S^2 with Euler number -2. By replacing this basic constituent by the tangent bundle of S^{2k} one obtains a manifold $M^{4k-1}(E_8)$ of dimension 4k-1. This carries a natural differentiable structure. For $k \geqslant 2$ it is homeomorphic to S^{4k-1} , but not diffeomorphic (Milnor sphere).

The above mentioned singularities are classical (e. g. DU VAL [1]). For the preceding remarks see also [3].

For quadratic transformations, desingularization, etc. see the papers of ZARISKI and also [2]. We have only been able to sketch some aspects of Mumford's paper, leaving others aside, e. g. the local Picard variety, etc.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] DU VAL (P.). On isolated singularities of surfaces which & not affect the conditions of adjunction, Proc. Cambr. phil. Soc., t. 30, 1934, p. 453-459.
- [2] HIRZEBRUCH (Friedrich). Über vierdimensionale Riemannsche Flächen mehrdeutiger analytischer Funktionen von zwei komplexen Veränderlichen, Math. Annalen, t. 126, 1953, p. 1-22.

TOPOLOGY OF NORMAL SINGULARITIES

- [3] HIRZEBRUCH (Friedrich). Differentiable manifolds and quadratic forms,
 Lectures delivered at the University of California, Notes by Sebastian
 S. KOH. Berkeley, University of California, 1962.
- [4] MUMFORD (D.). The topology of normal singularities of an algebraic surface and a criterion for simplicity. Paris, Presses universitaires de France, 1961 (Institut des Hautes Etudes Scientifiques, Publications mathématiques, 9).
- [5] RANDOW (R. von). Zur Topologie von dreidimensionalen Baummannigfaltigkeiten.
 Bonn, 1962 (Bonner mathematische Schriften, 14).
- [6] SEIFERT (H.). Topologie dreidimensionaler gefaserter Raume, Acta Mathematica, t. 60, 1933, p. 147-238.
- [7] ZARISKI (Oscar). Algebraic surfaces. Berlin, J. Springer, 1935 (Ergebnisse der Mathematik, Band 3, n° 5).