

SÉMINAIRE D'ANALYSE FONCTIONNELLE ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE

S. KAIJSER

An application of Grothendieck's inequality to a problem in harmonic analysis

Séminaire d'analyse fonctionnelle (Polytechnique) (1975-1976), exp. n° 5, p. 1-7

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SAF_1975-1976___A5_0

© Séminaire Maurey-Schwartz
(École Polytechnique), 1975-1976, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire d'analyse fonctionnelle implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE

CENTRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES

PLATEAU DE PALAISEAU - 91120 PALAISEAU

Téléphone : 941.81.60 - Poste N°

Télex : ECOLEX 69 15 96 F

S E M I N A I R E M A U R E Y - S C H W A R T Z 1 9 7 5 - 1 9 7 6

AN APPLICATION OF GROTHENDIECK'S INEQUALITY

TO A PROBLEM IN HARMONIC ANALYSIS

by S. KAIJSER
(Uppsala)

§ 1. TENSOR PRODUCTS OF $C(X)$ -SPACES

Let X_i , $i = 1, 2$, be compact Hausdorff spaces. We shall denote then by

$C(X_i)$ the Banach algebra of continuous complex-valued functions on X_i (point-wise multiplication, uniform norm),
 $S(X_i)$ the group of unimodular functions in $C(X_i)$, i.e.
 $S(X_i) = \{f \mid f \in C(X_i) \text{ and for all } x_i \in X_i, |f(x_i)| = 1\}$,
 $V(X_1 \times X_2) = C(X_1) \hat{\otimes} C(X_2)$ is the projective tensor product of the Banach spaces $C(X_1)$ and $C(X_2)$.

We recall the following well-known and/or easily established facts, concerning the above spaces :

- (i) $V = V(X_1 \times X_2)$ is a semi-simple Banach algebra with Gelfand space $X_1 \times X_2$,
- (ii) the convex hull of $S(X_i)$ is uniformly dense in the unit ball of $C(X_i)$ and therefore
- (iii) every element $F \in V(X_1 \times X_2)$ has a representation $F = \sum a_k f_k \otimes g_k$, with $\sum |a_k| < \infty$, $f_k \in S(X_1)$, $g_k \in S(X_2)$.

We finally recall the following theorem, sometimes called "the fundamental theorem in the metric theory of tensor products",

Theorem G (Grothendieck [1]) : Let X_i , $i = 1, 2$, be compact Hausdorff spaces and let H be a complex Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$. Let further $\varphi_i \in C(X_i, H)$ and let $\Phi \in C(X_1 \times X_2)$ be defined by

$$\Phi(x_1, x_2) = \langle \varphi_1(x_1) | \varphi_2(x_2) \rangle .$$

Then $\Phi \in V(X_1 \times X_2)$ and $\|\Phi\|_\Lambda = \|\Phi\|_{V(X_1 \times X_2)}$ satisfies the inequality

$$\|\Phi\|_\Lambda \leq K_C \cdot \|\varphi_1\|_{C(X_1, H)} \cdot \|\varphi_2\|_{C(X_2, H)}$$

where K_C is a universal constant (the complex Grothendieck constant) for which the bound $K_C < 1.607$ is known [2].

Remark : $C(X_i, H)$ is the space of H -valued continuous functions and is a Banach space if we define $\|f\|_{C(X_i, H)} = \max_{x \in X_i} \|f(x)\|_H$.

§ 2. A PROBLEM IN HARMONIC ANALYSIS

Let G be a compact Abelian group with dual group Γ , and let K be a closed subset of G . We shall say that the set K is a

- (i) Kronecker set if $\Gamma|_K$ is uniformly dense in $S(K)$ ($S(K)$ being as above the group of unimodular continuous functions on K).
- (ii) Helson(α) set if the convex hull of $\Gamma|_K$ is uniformly dense in the ball of radius α in $C(K)$.

It follows from the Hahn-Banach theorem that K is a Helson(α) set if for every measure μ on G supported by K , we have

$$\sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \left| \int_G (g, \gamma) d\mu(g) \right| \geq \alpha \cdot \|\mu\|_M ,$$

where $\|\mu\|_M$ is the total variation of μ .

We recall that $\ell^1(\Gamma)$ is a commutative semi-simple Banach algebra with unit, having G as its Gelfand space, so that $\ell^1(\Gamma)$ may be identified with a Banach algebra $A(G)$ of continuous functions on G . If K is a closed subset of G we shall write $I(K)$ to denote the ideal in $A(G)$ of all functions vanishing on K , and we shall write $A(K)$ to denote the quotient algebra $A(G)/I(K)$. It is clear from this definition that if $f \in C(K)$, then $f \in A(K)$ iff there exists $\tilde{f} \in A(G)$ such that $\tilde{f}|_K = f$.

It is clear from the above definitions that K is a Helson(α) set if for every $f \in C(K)$, $\|f\| < 1$, there exists $\tilde{f} \in A(G)$, $\|\tilde{f}\|_{A(G)} =$ (by definition) $\|\hat{\tilde{f}}\|_{\ell^1(\Gamma)} < \alpha$.

Let now K_i , $i=1,2$, be closed subsets of G , such that K_i is Helson(α_i) and let $K = K_1 \times K_2$ be the cartesian product which is a closed subset of $G \times G$. Since $A(G \times G) \approx A(G) \hat{\otimes} A(G)$ (isometrically) it follows from standard properties of the projective tensor norm that

$$A(G \times G)/I(K_1 \times K_2) \approx C(K_1) \hat{\otimes} C(K_2)$$

in the sense that they are algebraically isomorphic, even though the isomorphism is not isometric.

We use now the fact that since G is abelian, the addition map $s : G \times G \rightarrow G$ (defined by $s(g_1, g_2) = g_1 + g_2$) is a group homomorphism with adjoint $\hat{s} : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma \times \Gamma$, where $\hat{s}(\gamma) = \gamma \otimes \gamma$. (This is clear since $((g_1, g_2), \hat{s}(\gamma)) = (s(g_1, g_2), \gamma) = (g_1 + g_2, \gamma) = (g_1, \gamma)(g_2, \gamma) = ((g_1, g_2), \gamma \otimes \gamma)$.)

The map \hat{s} extends by linearity to an algebra homomorphism of $\ell^1(\Gamma)$ into $\ell^1(\Gamma \times \Gamma)$, i.e. of $A(G)$ into $A(G \times G)$, and if K_1 and K_2 are closed subsets of G , we obtain by restricting \hat{s} to $K_1 \times K_2$, an algebra homomorphism $\hat{s}_{(K_1, K_2)}$ of $A(K_1 + K_2)$ into $A(K_1 \times K_2)$.

Suppose now that K_1 and K_2 are disjoint closed subsets of G , such that the union $K_1 \cup K_2$ is a Kronecker set. It was observed then by Varopoulos that not only is $\Gamma \times \Gamma|_{K_1 \times K_2}$ uniformly dense in $S(K_1) \times S(K_2)$, but in fact this is already true for $\hat{s}(\Gamma)|_{K_1 \times K_2}$. This implies that the map $\hat{s} : A(K_1 + K_2) \rightarrow A(K_1 \times K_2)$ is an isometric algebra homomorphism of $A(K_1 + K_2)$ onto $A(K_1 \times K_2)$ and since as we saw above $A(K_1 \times K_2) \approx A(K_1) \hat{\otimes} A(K_2) \approx C(K_1) \hat{\otimes} C(K_2)$ it follows that $A(K_1 + K_2)$ is isometrically and algebraically isomorphic to $C(K_1) \hat{\otimes} C(K_2)$ [4].

In the rest of this note we shall now study the following

Problem : Does there exist a number α_0 , $0 < \alpha_0 < 1$, such that whenever K_1 and K_2 are disjoint closed subsets of G such that $K_1 \cup K_2$ is a Helson(α), $\alpha > \alpha_0$, set, then $A(K_1 + K_2)$ is algebraically isomorphic to $C(K_1) \hat{\otimes} C(K_2)$?

Remark : The purpose of posing the problem as a search for a number α_0 , means that when proving that $A(K_1 + K_2)$ is isomorphic to $C(K_1) \hat{\otimes} C(K_2)$ we are not allowed to use any additional assumptions on the sets K_1 and K_2 besides the assumption that $K_1 \cup K_2$ is Helson(α) with $\alpha > \alpha_0$.

§ 3.

Using theorem G above we shall presently show that the answer to the problem raised above is yes, by proving the following

Theorem : Let K_1 and K_2 be disjoint compact subsets of the compact abelian group G , such that $K_1 \cup K_2$ is a Helson($1 - \beta$) set in G , where $\beta \cdot (2 + 2K_C) < 1$. Then $A(K_1 + K_2)$ is algebraically isomorphic to $C(K_1) \hat{\otimes} C(K_2)$.

Before attempting to prove the theorem we shall see that there is an a priori lower bound for the possible values of α_0 for which the answer to the problem could be positive, by proving the following

Proposition : There exist closed subsets K_1 and K_2 of the circle group T , such that $K_1 \cup K_2$ is Helson ($2^{-1/2}$) while $A(K_1 + K_2)$ is not algebraically isomorphic to $C(K_1) \hat{\otimes} C(K_2)$.

Proof : A simple necessary condition for two Banach algebras to be algebraically isomorphic is that they have the same Gelfand space. In the present case the Gelfand space of $C(K_1) \hat{\otimes} C(K_2)$ is $K_1 \times K_2$ while the Gelfand space of $A(K_1 + K_2)$ is $K_1 + K_2$. These spaces are connected by the function s mapping $K_1 \times K_2$ onto $K_1 + K_2$. Since s is a continuous map of a compact space onto a Hausdorff space, s is bicontinuous whenever it is injective. We shall now first show that if the map $s: K_1 \times K_2 \rightarrow K_1 + K_2$ is not injective then $K_1 \cup K_2$ cannot be Helson(α) for any $\alpha > 2^{-1/2}$. We assume thus that $k_1, k'_1 \in K_1$, $k_2, k'_2 \in K_2$ and that

$$k_1 + k_2 = k'_1 + k'_2 \quad .$$

We define then the measure $\mu \in M(K_1 \cup K_2)$ as

$$\delta_{k_1} + \delta_{k_2} + \delta_{k'_1} - \delta_{k'_2} \quad ,$$

and we see that for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{\mu}(\gamma)| &= |(k_1, \gamma) + (k_2, \gamma) + (k'_1, \gamma) - (k'_2, \gamma)| \\ &= |z + w + u - zw\bar{u}| = |u(z\bar{u} + w\bar{u} + 1 - (z\bar{u})(w\bar{u}))| \\ &= |1 + z' + w' - z'w'| \leq 8^{1/2} \quad , \end{aligned}$$

as is easily verified by direct calculation of $|\hat{\mu}(\gamma)|^2$.

The subset of T that satisfies the condition of the proposition is obtained by choosing 4 points in the circle, satisfying the above algebraic relation over the integers, but no other relation. It is a matter of elementary calculus (though not simple calculus) to prove that such a set is then Helson($2^{-1/2}$).

To prove the theorem we shall need the following

Lemma : Let X and Y be compact spaces, let $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be positive numbers, let $f_i \in C(X)$, $g_i \in C(Y)$, $\|f_i\|_{\infty} \leq 1$, $\|g_i\|_{\infty} \leq 1$, and let t be a positive number such that

$$\sum a_i = 1$$

$$\|1 - \sum a_i f_i\|_{\infty} \leq t, \quad \|1 - \sum a_i g_i\|_{\infty} \leq t \quad .$$

Then

$$\|1 - \sum a_i f_i g_i\|_{\wedge} \leq (2 + 2K_C)t \quad .$$

Proof : Using the identity

$$(1 - f_i g_i) = (1 - f_i) + (1 - g_i) - (1 - f_i)(1 - g_i)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \sum a_i f_i g_i) &= \sum a_i (1 - f_i g_i) = (1 - \sum a_i f_i) + (1 - \sum a_i g_i) \\ &\quad - \sum a_i (1 - f_i)(1 - g_i) \quad . \end{aligned}$$

We have therefore

$$\|1 - \sum a_i f_i g_i\|_{\wedge} \leq t + t + \|\sum a_i (1 - f_i)(1 - g_i)\|_{\wedge} \quad .$$

Using now theorem G we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sum a_i (1 - f_i)(1 - g_i)\|_{\wedge} &\leq K_C \max_X \{(\sum a_i |1 - f_i|^2)^{1/2}\} \\ &\quad \times \max_Y \{(\sum a_i |1 - g_i|^2)^{1/2}\} \quad . \end{aligned}$$

Now $\sum a_i |1 - f_i|^2 \leq |2(\sum a_i (1 - f_i))| \leq 2t$, and we get the same estimate for $\sum a_i |1 - g_i|^2$.

We have thus $\|1 - \sum a_i f_i g_i\|_{\wedge} \leq 2t + K_C (2t)^{1/2} (2t)^{1/2} = (2 + 2K_C)t$, so the lemma is proved.

To prove the theorem we now let K_1 and K_2 be compact subsets of G , such that $K_1 \cup K_2$ is a Helson $(1-\beta)$ set, with $\beta < (2+2K_C)^{-1}$. We choose now $\delta > \beta$, such that $\delta(2+2K_C) < 1$. To prove the theorem it suffices to find, for each $f \in C(K_1)$, $|f| = 1$, and $g \in C(K_2)$, $|g| = 1$, a function $F \in A(K_1 + K_2)$, such that $\|f \otimes g - F\|_{\wedge} \leq \delta(2+2K_C)$. Towards this we define $\varphi \in C(K_1 \cup K_2)$ by

$$\varphi|_{K_1} = f, \quad \varphi|_{K_2} = g.$$

By the assumptions on $K_1 \cup K_2$, φ has a representation

$$\varphi = \sum b_i \gamma_i, \quad \gamma_i \in \mathbb{F}, \quad \sum |b_i| = A \leq (1-\delta)^{-1}.$$

We write $b_i = r_i \cdot \exp(i \alpha_i)$, with $r_i > 0$. We then write

$$F = A^{-1} \sum r_i \exp(2i \alpha_i) \gamma_i \in A(K_1 + K_2).$$

Putting now $a_i = A^{-1} \cdot r_i$, $f_i = \bar{f} \cdot \exp(i \alpha_i) \gamma_i$, $g_i = \bar{g} \cdot \exp(i \alpha_i) \gamma_i$. We see that the assumptions of the lemma are satisfied, so

$$\|1 - \sum a_i f_i g_i\|_{\wedge} \leq (2+2K_C)\delta,$$

and therefore also

$$\|f \otimes g - F\|_{\wedge} = \|(f \otimes g)(1 - \sum a_i f_i g_i)\|_{\wedge} \leq (2+2K_C)\delta.$$

This proves the theorem, and using our estimate of K_C , we see that $A(K_1 + K_2)$ is algebraically isomorphic to $C(K_1) \hat{\otimes} C(K_2)$ if $K_1 \cup K_2$ is a Helson (α) set with $\alpha > 0.81$.

For a more extensive study of the problem considered in this note, including in particular a study of "the real case" which is somewhat different, and algebras of type $A(K_1 + K_2 + K_3)$ etc. we have to refer to [3].

REFERENCES
=====

- [1] A. Grothendieck, Résumé de la théorie métrique des produits tensoriels topologiques, Bol. Soc. Matem. Sao Paolo 8 (1956) 1-79.
- [2] S. Kaijser, A note on the Grothendieck constant with an application to Harmonic Analysis, UUDM Report No 1973:10, (Uppsala University, mimeographed).
- [3] S. Kaijser, Representations of tensor algebras as quotients of group algebras, Ark. f. Mat. 10 (1972) 107-141.
- [4] N. Th. Varopoulos, Tensor algebras and Harmonic Analysis, Acta Math. 119, (1967) 51-112.
