

SÉMINAIRE D'ANALYSE FONCTIONNELLE ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE

T. FIGIEL

A short proof of Dvoretzky's theorem

Séminaire d'analyse fonctionnelle (Polytechnique) (1974-1975), exp. n° 23, p. 1-5

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SAF_1974-1975__A22_0

© Séminaire Maurey-Schwartz
(École Polytechnique), 1974-1975, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire d'analyse fonctionnelle implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

ECOLE POLYTECHNIQUE
CENTRE DE MATHEMATIQUES
17, rue Descartes
75230 Paris Cedex 05

S E M I N A I R E M A U R E Y - S C H W A R T Z 1 9 7 4 - 1 9 7 5

A SHORT PROOF OF DVORETZKY'S THEOREM

par T. FIGIEL

Exposé n^o XXIII

14 Mai 1975

The theorem of Dvoretzky [2] states that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any positive integer K there exists an $N = N(K, \varepsilon) < \infty$ such that every normed space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ with $\dim X > N$ contains a K -dimensional subspace E which is ε -Euclidean (i.e. there exists a Euclidean norm $|\cdot|$ on E such that $\|x\| \leq |x| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|x\|$ for $x \in E$).

Two proofs of the theorem have already been presented on this seminar (cf. [1], [4], [3]). I am going to show another one based on an idea of Szankowski's [6] but simpler in details. Only the obvious modifications (viz. considering the complex Stiefel and Grassmann manifolds) are needed to obtain a proof of the complex version of the theorem.

In the sequel let K be a fixed integer greater than 1.

We shall need the following consequence of the Dvoretzky-Rogers lemma (cf. [2], [1]).

(D-R) For every integer $n \geq K$ and every normed space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ with $\dim X \geq 4n^2$ there exists an operator $I: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \|x\|_{\ell_\infty^n} \leq \|Ix\| \leq \|x\|_{\ell_2^n} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Let $F = I(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and let $\|x\|_2 = \|I^{-1}x\|_{\ell_2^n}$ for $x \in F$. Since any norm on F can be approximated (uniformly on the unit ball) by smooth ones, we may assume that $\|\cdot\|$ is smooth on F . Thus for each $x \in F \setminus \{0\}$ there is a unique $T_x \in F^*$ such that

$$T_x(x) = \|x\| \|T_x\|_{F^*} = 1.$$

Clearly, T_x depends continuously on x , and $\|x\| T_x$ is simply the Gâteaux derivative of the norm $\|\cdot\|$ at x .

For any linear subspace $E \subseteq F$ with $\dim E \geq 2$, let S_E denote the unit sphere $\{x \in E : \|x\|_2 = 1\}$ and let Σ_E denote the Stiefel manifold of all ordered pairs $\langle x, y \rangle \in S_E \times S_E$ such that $y \in x^\perp = \{f \in F : (I^{-1}f, I^{-1}x)_{\ell_2^n} = 0\}$

The normalized $\|\cdot\|_2$ -rotation invariant measures on S_E and Σ_E will be

denoted by $\lambda_{\mathbf{E}}$ and $\sigma_{\mathbf{E}}$ respectively.

Our basic invariant characterizing the closeness of $\|\cdot\|$ to $\|\cdot\|_2$ on \mathbf{E} is defined as follows

$$v(\mathbf{E}) = \int_{\Sigma_{\mathbf{E}}} T_x(y)^2 d\sigma_{\mathbf{E}}(x,y).$$

We shall check the following facts :

- 1) There exists a subspace $\mathbf{E} \subseteq \mathbf{F}$ such that $\dim \mathbf{E} = K$ and $v(\mathbf{E}) \leq v(\mathbf{F})$;
- 2) $d(\mathbf{E}) = \int_{S_{\mathbf{E}} \times S_{\mathbf{E}}} (\|x\| - \|z\|)^2 d\lambda_{\mathbf{E}}(x) d\lambda_{\mathbf{E}}(z) / \sup_{S_{\mathbf{E}}} \|x\|^2 \leq (\pi/2)^2 v(\mathbf{E})$;
- 3) $b = 1 - \inf_{S_{\mathbf{E}}} \|x\| / \sup_{S_{\mathbf{E}}} \|x\| \leq C d(\mathbf{E})^{1/(K+1)}$, where C depends only on K .

It follows from 1, 2, 3 that $b \leq C_1 v(\mathbf{F})^{1/(K+1)}$, where C_1 is another constant. Since $\varepsilon \leq b/(1-b)$, the proof will be complete, if we also establish :

- 4) There exists a sequence $(C_n)_{n=2}^{\infty}$ tending to zero such that the \mathbf{F} yielded by (D-R) satisfies $v(\mathbf{F}) \leq C_n$.

Proofs : 1) is an immediate consequence of the formula

$$\begin{aligned} v(\mathbf{F}) &= \int_{\Sigma_{\mathbf{F}}} T_x(y)^2 d\sigma_{\mathbf{F}}(x,y) \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} d\gamma(\mathbf{E}) \int_{\Sigma_{\mathbf{E}}} T_x(y)^2 d\sigma_{\mathbf{E}}(x,y) = \int_{\Gamma} v(\mathbf{E}) d\gamma(\mathbf{E}), \end{aligned}$$

where γ is the normalized $\|\cdot\|_2$ -rotation invariant measure on the Grassmann manifold Γ of all K -dimensional linear subspaces of \mathbf{F} . (The second equality is valid when $T_x(y)^2$ is replaced by any function $f(x,y)$ defined and continuous on $\Sigma_{\mathbf{F}}$; it follows from the uniqueness of a normalized invariant measure on $\Sigma_{\mathbf{F}}$).

2) For any $x, z \in S_{\mathbf{E}}$ with $z \neq \pm x$, let $a_{x,z}(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq 2\pi$, be the arc-length parametrization of the great circle of $S_{\mathbf{E}}$ starting at x and passing through $z, -x, -z$ back to x . We have

$$4 \left| \|x\| - \|z\| \right| \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{d}{dt} \|a_{x,z}(t)\| \right| dt \leq \sqrt{2\pi} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \|a_{x,z}(t)\| \right)^2 dt \right)^{1/2}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{S_{\mathbf{E}} \times S_{\mathbf{E}}} (\|x\| - \|z\|)^2 d\lambda(x) d\lambda(z) &\leq (\pi/8) \int_{S \times S} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \|a_{x,z}(t)\| \right)^2 dt d\lambda(x) d\lambda(z) \\
&= (\pi/8) \int_0^{2\pi} du \int_{S \times S} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \|a_{x,z}(t)\| \Big|_{t=u} \right)^2 d\lambda(x) d\lambda(z) \\
&= (\pi/2)^2 \int_{S \times S} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \|a_{x,z}(t)\| \Big|_{t=0} \right)^2 d\lambda(x) d\lambda(z) \\
&= (\pi/2)^2 \int_{S \times S} \left[(D\| \cdot \|)(x) \left(\frac{\partial a_{x,z}(t)}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} \right) \right]^2 d\lambda(x) d\lambda(z) \\
&= (\pi/2)^2 \int_S d\lambda_S(x) \int_{S \cap x^\perp} (D\| \cdot \|)(x)(y)^2 d\lambda_{S \cap x^\perp}(y) \\
&= (\pi/2)^2 \int_{\Sigma_{\mathbf{E}}} \|x\|^2 T_x(y)^2 d\sigma_{\mathbf{E}}(x) \\
&\leq (\pi/2)^2 \sup_{S_{\mathbf{E}}} \|x\|^2 v(\mathbf{E}).
\end{aligned}$$

3) Let us write $P(\varphi(x))$ instead of $\lambda_{\mathbf{E}}(\{x \in S_{\mathbf{E}} : \varphi(x)\})$. Let

$a = \sup_{x \in \mathbf{E}} \|x\|$ and let $t \in (0,1)$ be fixed.

If $P(\|x\| \geq a(1 - \frac{1}{2}b)) \geq \frac{1}{2}$, we pick an x_0 such that

$\|x_0\| = a(1 - b) = \inf_{S_{\mathbf{E}}} \|x\|$. (Otherwise we would take x_0 with $\|x_0\| = a$, and

proceed analogously).

Observe that there is an $s > 0$, depending only on K , such that $P(\|x - x_0\| \geq sb^{K-1})$ for $b \leq 1$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a^2 d(\mathbf{E}) &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2}abt\right)^2 P(\|x\| - \|x_0\| \leq \frac{1}{2}ab(1-t)) P(\|y\| \geq a(1 - \frac{1}{2}b)) \\
&\geq \left(\frac{1}{2}abt\right)^2 2P(\|x - x_0\| \leq \frac{1}{2}b(1-t)) \cdot \frac{1}{2} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{4} a^2 b^{K+1} s t^2 (1-t)^{K-1},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies the desired inequality.

To get 4) observe first that

$$\begin{aligned} v(F) &= \int_{S_F} d\lambda_F(x) \int_{S_{F \cap x^\perp}} (T_x(y))^2 d\lambda_{F \cap x^\perp}(y) \\ &\leq \int_{S_F} d\lambda_F(x) \frac{1}{n-1} (\|T_x\|_2^*)^2 \leq \frac{1}{n-1} \int_{S_F} \|x\|^{-2} d\lambda_F(x) \end{aligned}$$

(recall that $\|T_x\|_2^* \leq \|T_x\|_{F^*} = \|x\|^{-1}$).

$$= \frac{1}{n-1} \int_{S_{\mathbb{R}^n}} \|x\|^{-2} d\lambda_{\mathbb{R}^n}(x) \leq \frac{4}{n-1} \int_{S_{\mathbb{R}^n}} \|x\|_{\ell_\infty}^{-2} d\lambda_{\mathbb{R}^n}(x).$$

The following short reasoning was shown to the author by D. Burkholder. Let X_1, X_2, \dots be independent normalized Gaussian variables on a probability space (Ω, Σ, P) . Then one has

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \int_{S_{\mathbb{R}^n}} (\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |x_i|)^{-2} d\lambda_{\mathbb{R}^n}(x) &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{\Omega} \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{|X_i(\omega)|}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i(\omega)^2 \right)^{1/2}} \right)^2 dP(\omega) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i(\omega)^2}{\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i(\omega)^2} dP(\omega) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{X_1(\omega)^2}{\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i(\omega)^2} dP(\omega) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} b_n. \end{aligned}$$

The fact that ^{the} b_n 's tend to zero is a well-known consequence of the unboundedness of the X_i 's and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem. This is sufficient to establish 4) and complete the proof.

It is easy to prove that in fact $b_n = O((\log n)^{-1})$ which yields an estimate $N(K, \varepsilon) \leq \exp(C_2 \varepsilon^{-K-1})$ for small $\varepsilon > 0$. This bound can be considerably improved by using the p -th powers instead of squares in the definition of $v(E)$ (p being a large number depending on n) and more careful estimates of the appearing integrals. The result seems to be slightly stronger than those found in [2], [5] and [6].

REFERENCES

- [1] Séminaire Maurey-Schwartz 1972-1973, Exposés XXVI et XXVII .
- [2] A. Dvoretzky, Some results on convex bodies in Banach spaces, Proc. Internat. Sympos. Linear Spaces, Jerusalem (1961) 123-160.
- [3] Séminaire Maurey-Schwartz 1974-1975, Exposé XII .
- [4] Séminaire Maurey-Schwartz 1973-1974, Exposé XIX.
- [5] V. D. Milman, New proof of the theorem of A. Dvoretzky on sections of convex bodies, Funkcional Anal. i Ego Priložen, 5 (1971), 28-37.
- [6] A. Szankowski, On Dvoretzky's theorem on almost spherical sections of convex bodies, Israel J. Math. 17 (1974), 325-338.
-