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# Zero-One Matrices with an Application to Abelian Groups. 

Ulrich F. Albrecht - H. Pat Goeters - Charles Megibben (*)

Summary - An $n \times n$ matrix $E$ is called a 0,1 -matrix if each entry of $E$ is either a
0 or a 1 . In this case we can view $E$ as either an integer valued matrix, or a matrix over $Z_{2}$, the integers mod 2. Matrices of this type, enjoying other properties as well, have recently cropped up in the study of torsion-free abelian group theory. Our aim is to study properties of these matrices in a setting unencumbered by this group theory. As a consequence we are able to answer a question posed in [FM].

1. A 0,1 -matrix $E$ is called admissible in [FM] provided $\left|E_{k}\right|=$ $=\operatorname{det} E_{k} \neq 0$ for each $k$, where $E_{k}$ is $E$ with its $k^{\text {th }}$ colums replaced by the vector $\overline{1}$ containing only 1 's. We will say that $F$ is equivalent to $E$ if one can complement (by interchanging 1's and 0's) certain columns of $E$ to get $F$. It is easy to check that admisibility is preserved under this equivalence. This is because if $E^{\prime}$ is equivalent to $E$ after the $i^{\text {th }}$ column only of $E$ was complemented, then $\left|E_{j}^{\prime}\right|=-\left|E_{j}\right|$ when $j \neq i$, and $\left|E_{i}^{\prime}\right|=\left|E_{i}\right|$. The admissible matrices play a significant role in abelian group theory, a role which will be summarized in the second section.

We will consider two conditions imposed on a matrix $E$ over $Z_{2}$ :
( $\alpha$ ) Each row sum of $E$, computed in $Z_{2}$, is the same, and
( $\beta$ ) $E$ is equivalent to an invertible matrix over $Z_{2}$.
Clearly, both conditions are preserved under our equivalence relation. We will compare these conditions to the property of being admis-
(*) Indirizzo degli AA.: U. F. Albrecht and H. P. Goeters: Mathematics Department, 228 Parker Hall, Auburn University, Alabama 36849; C. Megibben: Mathematics Department, Venderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee 37240.
sible. We will call a matrix $E$ over $Z_{2}$, admissible $\bmod 2$, if for all $k$ the $Z_{2}$-determinant of $E_{k},\left|E_{k}\right|_{2}$, is not zero where $E_{k}$ is as defined above. Of course, if $E$ is admissible $\bmod 2$ then $E$ is admissible when viewed as a matrix with integer entries.

Proposition 1. Let $E$ be an $n \times n$ matrix over $Z_{2}$ and $E^{*}$ the classical adjoint of $E$ (over $Z_{2}$ ). Then $E$ is admissible mod 2 if and only if $E^{*} \overline{1}=\overline{1}$.

Proof. The $k^{\text {th }}$ entry of $E * \overline{1}$ is $M_{1 k}+M_{2 k}+\ldots+M_{n k}$ where $M_{i k}=$ $=i, k^{\text {th }}$ cofactor ( $=$ minor) of $E$. But this sum is just the cofactor expansion of $\left|E_{k}\right|_{2}$ along its $k^{\text {th }}$ column. Hence, $\left|E_{k}\right|_{2}=1$, (i.e. $\left|E_{k}\right|_{2} \neq 0$ ) for all $k$ if and only if $E^{*} \overline{1}=\overline{1}$.

We will show that $E$ satisfies both ( $\alpha$ ) and ( $\beta$ ) if and only if $E$ is admissible $\bmod 2$. In case $E$ satisfies ( $\alpha$ ) we often refer to $E$ as having row parity. Clearly $E$ has row parity if and only if $\overline{1}$ is an eigenvector for $E$ over $Z_{2}$. In case $E \overline{1}=\overline{0}, E$ has even row parity, and if $E \overline{1}=\overline{1}$, then $E$ has odd row parity. We will use $\bar{n}$ to denote $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ when no confusion is possible.

Theorem 2. E is admissible mod 2 if and only if ( $\alpha$ ) and ( $\beta$ ) hold for $E$.

Proof. The $j^{\text {th }}$ column of $E$ is the characteristic function on some index set $I \subseteq \bar{n}$. As such we will call the support of the $j^{\text {th }}$ column of $E, I$.

If $E$ is admissible mod 2 and $I$ is the support of the $1^{\text {st }}$ column of $E$, let $E^{\prime}$ be the matrix resulting from complementing the $1^{\text {st }}$ column of $E$. Then, the support of the $1^{\text {st }}$ column of $E^{\prime}$ is $I^{\prime}=\bar{n} \backslash I$. By performing cofactor expansion of $\left|E_{1}\right|_{2},|E|_{2}$ and $\left|E^{\prime}\right|_{2}$ along their first columns, we see that $\left|E_{1}\right|_{2}=1=|E|_{2}+\left|E^{\prime}\right|_{2}$. If $|E|_{2}=0$ then $\left|E^{\prime}\right|_{2}=1$ so that $E$ is equivalent to an invertible matrix. Also, by Proposition 1, $E E^{*} \overline{1}=E \overline{1}=(\operatorname{det} E) \overline{1}$, so that $E$ has row parity.

Conversely, it is enough to assume that $\underline{E}$ is invertible. From this and because of $(\alpha), E \overline{1}=\overline{1}$. Then $E^{*} E \overline{1}=E^{*} \overline{1}=(\operatorname{det} E) \overline{1}=\overline{1}$, and $E$ is admissible mod 2 by Proposition 1.

Example 3. It can be checked that $E=\left[\begin{array}{llll}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right]$ is admissible, but $E$ does not have row parity so it is not admissible $\bmod 2$.

Row parity is easily checked. Any $n \times n 0,1$-matrix $E$ is equivalent
to a matrix $E^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ I & F\end{array}\right]$ where $F$ is an $(n-1) \times(n-1) 0$, 1-matrix and $I \in Z_{2}^{n-1}$. Hence to check that $(\beta)$ holds for $E$ we need only compute $|F|_{2}$, which is clearly preferable to the computation of $n$ determinants for admissiblity $\bmod 2$.

LEMMA 4. There are $\prod_{j=0}^{m-1}\left(2^{m}-2^{j}\right)$ invertible $m \times m$ matrices over

Proof. To form an invertible $m \times m$ matrix, we must select $X_{1} \in Z_{2}^{m} \backslash\{0\}$ for the first column, $X_{2} \in Z_{2}^{m} \backslash \operatorname{span}\left\{X_{1}\right\}$ for the second, $X_{3} \in Z_{2}^{m} \backslash \operatorname{span}\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}\right\}$ for the third, and so on. There are $\left(2^{m}-1\right) \cdot$ $\cdot\left(2^{m}-2\right) \ldots\left(2^{m}-2^{m-1}\right)$ ways for this selection to occur.

It is desiderable to know just how many admissible mod 2 matrices there are. Let $\mathcal{E}=\{E \mid E$ is $n \times n$, admissible $\bmod 2$ and invertible $\}$. Since $\mathcal{\delta}$ is finite and is closed under multiplication, $\mathcal{E}$ is a group. Let $\mathscr{F}$ be the subgroup of $\mathcal{E}$ consisting of those $E \in \mathcal{E}$ with $E=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ I & F\end{array}\right]$, as
above.

THEOREM 5. (i) $|\mathscr{F}|=\prod_{j=0}^{n-2}\left(2^{n-1}-2^{j}\right)$.
(ii) $|\delta|=2^{n-1} \prod_{j=0}^{n-2}\left(2^{n-1}-2^{j}\right)$.
(iii) There are $2^{n} \prod_{j=0}^{n-2}\left(2^{n-1}-2^{j}\right)$ admissible $\bmod 2$ matrices.

Proof. Any $E \in \mathscr{F}$ can be expressed as $E=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ I & F\end{array}\right]$ with $F$ an $(n-1) \times(n-1)$ invertible matrix uniquely determined by $E$. Since $E$ has row parity, $I+F \overline{1}=\overline{1}$, since the first row of $E$ has parity 1 , so that $I=(F \overline{1})^{\prime}$ (the complement of $F \overline{1}$ ) is determined by $F$. Conversely, any $(n-1) \times(n-1)$ invertible matrix $F$ determines the matrix $\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ I & F\end{array}\right] \in \mathscr{F}$ where $I=(F 1)^{\prime}$, so the computation of $|\mathfrak{F}|$ follows from lemma 4.

Any $E \in \mathcal{E}$ is equivalent to a matrix in $\mathscr{F}$. Now suppose that $E \in \mathscr{F}$, and that $E^{\prime}$ is a matrix equivalent to $E$ as the result of complementing the $j^{\text {th }}$ column (only) of $E$. An in the proof of Theorem $2,1=|E|_{2}+$ $+\left|E^{\prime}\right|_{2}$ so that $E^{\prime} \notin 8$. If $E^{\prime \prime}$ is matrix resulting from complimenting only one column of $E^{\prime}$, then as before $\left|E^{\prime \prime}\right|_{2}+\left|E^{\prime}\right|_{2}=1$ and $E^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{E}$. It fol-
lows that if $E^{(s)}$ results from $E$ by complimenting some $s$ columns of $E$, then $E^{(s)} \in \mathcal{E}$ if and only if $s$ is even.

Let $a_{n}=$ number of subsets of $2^{\bar{n}}$ containing an even number of elements. We hage just shown that $|\varepsilon|=a_{n}|\mathscr{F}|$. Set $b_{n}=2^{n}-a_{n}$, and define $\delta: 2^{\bar{n}} \rightarrow Z_{2}$ by letting $\delta(T)=$ remainder of $\operatorname{card}(T) \bmod 2$. Let $S+T$ denote the symmetric difference of $S$ and $T$ so that $2^{\bar{n}}$ is an abelian group under + . Since $\operatorname{card}(S+T)=\operatorname{card}(S)+$ $+\operatorname{card}(T)-2 \operatorname{card}(S \cap T), \delta(S+T)=\delta(S)+\delta(T)$ and $\delta$ is a homomorphism. Hence $a_{n}=b_{n}=2^{n} / 2=2^{n-1}$.

If $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ is the set of admissible mod 2 matrices with zero determinant, then the map sending $E \in \mathcal{E}$ to the matrix $E^{\prime}$ formed by complimenting the first column of $E$, is a bijection. Thus, there are $2|\delta|$ admissible $\bmod 2$ matrices.
2. In this section we will attempt to convey the role that the matrices $E \in \mathcal{E}$ play in abelian group theory without involving the group theory.

The use of admissible matrices in classifying a certain class of Butlwe groups (specifically, the $B(1)$-groups) was initiated in [FM], and investigated further in [GM]. Other results concerning the same class of groups were obtained earlier in [AV] and [Ri]. For a deeper involvement of the group theory, see the listed references.

The set of isomorphism classes of subgroups of the rationals form a distributive lattice $\Delta$. Moreover, any finite distributive lattice $T$ is isomorphic to a sublattice of $\Delta$ ([R] or [GU]). Let us fix an isomorphism. Then for any collection $\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{n} \in T$, the $n$-tuple $\tau=\left(\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{n}\right)$ determines a certain abelian group $G=G\left[\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{n}\right]$. The description of $G$ is not relevant here but the interested reader should consult the cited references (in fact, $G$ is only determined up to quasi-isomorphism: see below).

Given an $n$-tuple $\tau=\left(\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{n}\right)$ with $\tau_{i} \in T$, and a 0,1 -matrix $E$ we can let $E$ operate on $\tau$ as follows: Set $\tau_{I}=\bigwedge_{i \in I} \tau_{i}$ for any $\phi \neq I \subseteq \bar{n}$. If $I_{i}$ is the support of the $i^{\text {th }}$ column of $E$, define $\tau E=\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}\right)$ where $\sigma_{i}=$ $=\tau_{I_{i}} \vee \tau_{I_{i}^{\prime}}$ and $I_{i}^{\prime}=\bar{n} \backslash I_{i}$.

We will now summarize some of the results concerning the groups $G\left[\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{n}\right]$ in terms of $\tau$ and our operation $\tau E$. Two abelian groups $G$ and $H$ are called quasi-isomorphic if each is isomorphic to a subgroup of finite index in the other, in which case we write $G \sim H$.

THEOREM 6. Let $\tau=\left(\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{n}\right)$ and $\sigma=\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}\right)$ with $\tau_{i}, \sigma_{j}$, $\in T$ for all $i, j$. Furthermore, assume that $\tau \nless \tau_{I} \vee \tau_{I^{\prime}}$ for any proper $I \subset \bar{n}$ except $I=\{i\}$ or $\{i\}^{\prime}$, and $\sigma_{j} \nLeftarrow \sigma_{J} \vee \sigma_{J^{\prime}}$, for any proper $J \subset \bar{n}$ except, $J=\{j\}$ or $\{j\}^{\prime}$. Let $G=G\left[\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{n}\right]$ and $H=G\left[\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}\right]$.
(1) [FM] $G \sim H$ if and only if $\tau E \geqslant \sigma$, and $\sigma F \geqslant \tau$ for some admissible matrices $E$ and $F$
(2) [GM] $G \sim H$ if and only if $\tau E \geqslant \sigma$ and $\sigma F \geqslant \tau$ for some matrices $E$ and $F$ which are admissible mod 2. In this case, if we choose $E \in \&$, then $F=E^{-1}$ works.

Given $\tau=\left(\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{n}\right)$ and $G=G\left[\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{n}\right]$, we will say that $\tau$ is strongly indecomposable if $\tau_{i} \ngtr \tau_{I} \vee \tau_{I^{\prime}}$ for all $0 \neq I \subseteq \bar{n}$ except $I=\{i\}$ or $\{i\}^{\prime}$ for each $i$. Following [FM], $\tau$ will be called regular if $\tau_{i}=\tau_{i} \bigvee$ $\vee \bigwedge_{j \neq i} \tau_{j}$ for each $i$, so that $\tau_{i}=\tau_{I} \vee \tau_{I^{\prime}}$ when $I=\{i\}$ or $\{i\}^{\prime}$. Assuming that $\tau$ is regular and strongly indecomposable, they say that $\sigma=$ $=\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}\right)$ is a representation type of $G$ if $\sigma$ is regular, strongly indecomposable, they say that $\sigma=\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}\right)$ is a representation type of $G$ if $\sigma$ is regular, strongly indecomposable, and $G\left[\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{n}\right] \sim$ $\sim G\left[\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}\right]$. By Theorem 6(2), and a mild computation, we may replace this last condition with the condition that $\tau E=\sigma$ and $\sigma F=\tau$ for two admissible mod 2 matrices $E$ and $F$.

Two representation types $\sigma=\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}\right)$ and $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}\right)$ are called equivalent if $\sigma=\left(\gamma_{f(1)}, \ldots, \gamma_{f(n)}\right)$ for some $f$ in the permutation group $S_{n}$. Fuchs and Metelli ask for an upper bound on the number of nonequivalent representation types of $G\left[\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{n}\right]$ given $\tau=$ $=\left(\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{n}\right)$, in terms on $n$ (problem 3 in [FM]).

THEOREM 7. Let $\tau=\left(\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{n}\right)$ be strongly indecomposable and regular and let $G=G\left[\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{n}\right]$. There are at most $\prod_{i=0}^{n-2}\left(2^{n-1}-2^{i}\right) / n$ ! nonequivalent representation types of $G$.

Proof. Let $\mathscr{R}_{\tau}$ denote the collection of representation types of $G$. If $\sigma \in \mathscr{R}_{\tau}$ then $\sigma=\tau E$ for some admissible $\bmod 2$ matrix $E$. If $I$ is the support of the $i^{\text {th }}$ column of $E$ and $E^{\prime}$ is formed by complementing the $i^{\text {th }}$ column of $E$, then the support of the $i^{\text {th }}$ column of $E^{\prime}$ is $I^{\prime}$, and for $\delta=\tau E^{\prime}$, and for $\delta=\tau E^{\prime}, \delta_{i}=\tau_{I^{\prime}} \bigvee \tau_{\left(I^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}}=\tau_{I} \vee \tau_{I^{\prime}}=\sigma_{i}$. Therefore we may assume that $E \in \mathcal{F}$, and theorem $5(\mathrm{i})$ implies that $\mathscr{R}_{\tau}$ has at most $\prod_{i=0}^{n-2}\left(2^{n-1}-2^{i}\right)$ members.

Let $\mathscr{P} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ be the collection of all $n \times n$ permutation matrices. The assignment of $f \in S_{n}$ to $P_{f} \in \mathcal{P}$ whose $i, j^{\text {th }}$ entry is 1 if and only $f(j)=i$, is a group isomorphism. We will show that $\mathscr{P}$ acts on $\mathcal{R}_{\tau}$.

If $\sigma \in \mathscr{R}_{\tau}$, then $\sigma=\tau E$ for some $E \in \delta$. Set $\delta=\tau(E P)$ and $\mu=\sigma P$ for $P=P_{f} \in \mathscr{P}$. For each $j$, since $\sigma$ is regular, $\mu_{j}=\sigma_{f(j)} \vee \sigma_{\{f(j)\}^{\prime}}=\sigma_{i} \vee$ $\vee \bigwedge_{k \neq i} \sigma_{k}=\sigma_{i}$ where $f(j)=i$. But if the $i^{\text {th }}$ column of $E$ is $I_{i}$, then
$\delta_{j}=\tau_{I_{i}} \vee \tau_{I_{i}^{\prime}}=\sigma_{i}$, so $\delta=\sigma P=\left(\sigma_{f(1)}, \ldots, \sigma_{f(n)}\right)$. Note that $\delta$ is strongly indecomposable and regular, and that $\delta P^{-1}=\sigma$.

Now suppose that $\tau=\sigma F$ for some $F \in \mathcal{E}$. We must show that $\delta\left(P^{-1} F\right)=\tau=\left(\delta P^{-1}\right) F$. Let $\rho=\delta\left(P^{-1} F\right)$ and suppose that the support of the $k^{\text {th }}$ column if $F$ is $J_{k}$. Now $P^{-1}$ has a 1 in the $i, j^{\text {th }}$ entry if and only if $f^{-1}(j)=i$, so the support of the $k^{\text {th }}$ column of $P^{-1} F$ is $\left\{i \mid i=f^{-1}(j)\right.$ for some $\left.j \in J_{k}\right\}=f^{-1}\left(J_{k}\right)$. Hence $\rho_{k}=\delta_{f^{-1}\left(J_{k}\right)} \vee \delta_{f^{-1}\left(J_{k}\right)^{\prime} .}$ Also, $\tau=\left(\delta P^{-1}\right) F=\left(\delta_{f^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, \delta_{f^{-1}(n)}\right) F$ has $\tau_{k}=\bigwedge_{i \in J_{k}} \delta_{f^{-1}(i)} \vee \bigwedge_{i \in J_{k}} \delta_{f}^{-1}(i)=$ $=\delta_{f^{-1}\left(J_{k}\right)} \vee \delta_{f^{-1}\left(J_{k}\right)^{\prime}}=\rho_{k}$. Thus, if $\sigma P=\delta$, then $\delta\left(P^{-1} F^{\prime}\right)=\tau$ and $\tau(E P)=\delta$ so that $\delta \in \mathscr{R}_{\tau}$. If $P=P_{f}$ and $Q=P_{g}$ then mimicking the computation given above, we can show that $(\sigma P) Q=\sigma(P Q)=\left(\sigma_{g f(1)}, \ldots, \sigma_{g f(n)}\right)$ so that $\mathscr{P}$ acts on $\mathscr{R}_{\tau}$.

If $\sigma \in \mathscr{R}_{\tau}$ with $\sigma_{i} \leqslant \sigma_{j}$, and $i \neq j$, then $\sigma_{i} \leqslant \sigma_{j} \vee \bigwedge_{k \neq j} \sigma_{k}=\sigma_{\{j\}} \vee \sigma_{\{j\}^{\prime}}$, which contradicts the strong indecomposability of $\sigma$. Therefore, $\sigma P=\sigma$ for $P \in \mathscr{P}$ if and only if $P$ is the identity matrix. Since $\mathscr{P}$ acts on $\mathscr{R}_{\tau}$ and the orbit of $\sigma$ is the equivalent class of $\sigma$ which contains $n$ ! representation types, there are $\left|\mathscr{R}_{\tau}\right| / n$ ! inequivalent representation types.

One could show that $\prod_{i=0}^{n-2}\left(2^{n-1}-2^{i}\right) / n!$ is an integer by looking at the representation $\mathscr{P}_{0}$ of $\mathscr{P}$ in $\mathscr{F}$. Then show that $\mathscr{P}_{0}$ acts on $\mathscr{F}$. Clearly this bound is achieved if and only if $\tau E$ is a representation type of $\tau$ for any $E \in \mathcal{E}$, which is an intrinsic property of $T$ and does not depend, in general, solely on $n$. Of course when $n=3, \prod_{i=0}^{1}\left(2^{2}-2^{i}\right) / 6=1$ so the bound is tight in this case, regardless of $T$.

Example 8. Let $\tau_{1}=\{1,2,3\}, \tau_{2}=\{2,3,4\}, \tau_{3}=\{1,5,6\}$ and $\tau_{4}=\{4,5,6\}$ in $T=2^{\overline{6}}$ the power set of $\overline{6}$. It is easy to see that $\tau=$ $=\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \tau_{3}, \tau_{4}\right)$ is regular and strongly indecomposable. However

$$
\left.\tau_{\{2,3\}} \vee \tau_{\{1,4\}}=(\{2,3,4\}) \cap\{1,5,6\}\right) \cup(\{1,2,3\} \cap\{4,5,6\})=\emptyset
$$

while $\left[\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0\end{array}\right]$ is the column of an admissible $\bmod 2$ matrix $E \in \mathscr{F}$. For
example, $E_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right]$. But $\tau E_{1}=\sigma$ cannot be a representation
type of $\tau$ since $\sigma_{2} \leqslant \sigma_{i}$ for all $i$ so $\sigma$ cannot be strongly indecomposable. In this case, there are less than $\prod_{i=0}^{2}\left(2^{3}-2^{i}\right) / 24=7$ representation types of $\sigma$.

Three are 7 pertinent matrices from $\mathfrak{F}: E_{0}=$ identity,

$$
\begin{gathered}
E_{1}, E_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad E_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad E_{4}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \\
E_{5}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad E_{6}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

These are the matrices of concern because no complementing and/or interchanging of columns will transform one into the other. Set $\tau_{5}=$ $=\{1,4\}$ and $\tau_{6}=\{2,3,5,6\}$. Of the vectors $\tau E_{i}, i=0, \ldots, 6$, only $\tau E_{0}=$ $=\tau, \sigma=\tau E_{2}=\left(\tau_{6}, \tau_{5}, \tau_{1}, \tau_{4}\right)$ and $\gamma=\tau E_{4}=\left(\tau_{5}, \tau_{6}, \tau_{2}, \tau_{3}\right)$ are representation types of $\tau$. One easily checks that $\sigma$ and $\gamma$ are strongly indecomposable and regular, and that

$$
\sigma\left[\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]=\tau=\gamma\left[\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right],
$$

so that there are 3 representation types of $\tau$.
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