RENDICONTI del SEMINARIO MATEMATICO della UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

Luis M. Ezquerro

A contribution to the theory of finite supersoluble groups

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, tome 89 (1993), p. 161-170

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP 1993 89 161 0>

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1993, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

Numdam

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

A Contribution to the Theory of Finite Supersoluble Groups.

Luis M. Ezquerro (*)

In memory of my father.

1. Introduction.

Throughout this paper the term group always means a finite group. It is well-known that a *supersoluble group* is a group whose chief factors are all cyclic. The class of supersoluble groups lies between nilpotent and soluble groups. In these last years a number of papers have investigated the influence of the embedding properties of some subgroups of a group on its supersolubility (cf. [1], [4] and [6]). Our aim is to continue these investigations analyzing the cover and avoidance property.

DEFINITIONS. Let G be a group, H/K a chief factor of G and M a subgroup H of G. We say that

- i) M covers H/K if $H \leq KM$;
- ii) M avoids H/K if $H \cap M \leq K$;
- iii) M has the cover and avoidance property in G, M is a CAP-subgroup of G for short, if it either covers or avoids every chief factor of G

Normal subgroups are clearly CAP-subgroups. Copious examples of CAP-subgroups in the universe of soluble groups are well-known; amongst them the most remarkable are perhaps the Hall subgroups. By an obvious consequence of the definitions, in a supersoluble group every subgroup is a CAP-subgroup.

(*) Indirizzo dell'A.: Dpto. de Matemática e Informática, Universidad Pública de Navarra, Campus de Arrosadía, 31006 Pamplona (Navarra), Spain.

In Section 3 some characterizations of p-supersoluble groups involving CAP-subgroups are presented. If p is a prime, a p-supersoluble group is a group whose p-chief factors are all cyclic. A p-solubility condition must be imposed. Some examples illustrate the discussion.

In Section 4 we deduce some characterizations of supersoluble groups involving CAP-subgroups; we prove here that a group G is supersoluble if and only if all subgroups of G are CAP-subgroups of G. As a matter of fact, what we really prove is that is enough to impose the cover and evoidance property only on certain subgroups to characterize the supersolubility.

Finally in Section 5 we expose an example to distinguish our contribution from some others.

2. Three preparatory known lemmas.

The following three lemmas are known; we include them here for the sake of completeness.

LEMMA 1 ([5], § 1, Lemma 1.4). Let G be a group, N a normal subgroup of G and M a CAP-subgroup of G. Then MN is a CAP-subgroup of G.

PROOF. Let H/K be a chief factor of G. If N covers H/K, so does NM. Suppose $H \cap N \leq K$. Then HN/KN is a chief factor of G, G-isomorphic to H/K; if M covers HN/KN then $H \leq HN \leq KNM$ and NM covers H/K; if M avoids HN/KN: $KN \cap M = HN \cap M$; then $HN \cap MN = (HN \cap M)N = (KN \cap M)N \leq KN$ and $MN \cap H \leq KN \cap H = K(N \cap H) = K$ and MN avoids H/K.

LEMMA 2 ([2], Proposition 2.3). Let G be a group, N a normal subgroup of G such that G = QN for some subgroup Q of G. Take a maximal subgroup M of G with $N \leq M$. Then $M \cap Q$ is a maximal subgroup of Q.

PROOF. It is clear from the isomorphism between G/N and $Q/(Q \cap N)$ that $(M \cap Q)/(Q \cap N)$ is maximal in $Q/(Q \cap N)$ and therefore $Q \cap M$ is a maximal subgroup of Q.

LEMMA 3 ([1], Lemma 3.1). Let G be a group, p a prime, H a subgroup of G and P a normal p-subgroup of $N_G(H)$. Then F(HP) = F(H)P.

PROOF. Let F = F(HP); then $F \cap H = F(H)$ and $F = F \cap HP = P(F \cap H) = PF(H)$.

3. Characterizations of p-supersoluble groups.

THEOREM A. Let p be a prime, G be a p-soluble group and H a normal subgroup of G such that G/H is p-supersoluble. Suppose that all maximal subgroups of the Sylow p-subgroups of H are CAP-subgroups of G. Then G is p-supersoluble.

PROOF. We prove the theorem by induction on the order of G.

a) If N is a minimal normal subgroup of G then G/N is p-supersoluble.

If $N \leq H$ we check that all hypotheses hold for G/N and H/N. Notice that if Q is a Sylow p-subgroup of H and M is a maximal subgroup of QN with $N \leq M$ then $M = N(Q \cap M)$. By Lemma 2, $Q \cap M$ is a maximal subgroup of Q. By hypothesis, $Q \cap M$ is a CAP-subgroup of G and by Lemma 1 so is M. Thus, M/N is a CAP-subgroup of G/N. By induction, G/N is p-supersoluble.

Otherwise $N\cap H=1$. Take Q a Sylow p-subgroup of HN. If (|Q|,|N|)=1 then there exists a Sylow p-subgroup Q^* of H such that $Q^*=Q^x$ for some $x\in N$; so, $QN=Q^*N$. If $(|Q|,|N|)=p\neq 1$ then $Q=Q^*N$ for some $Q^*\in \operatorname{Syl}_p(H)$. Therefore, in any case, $QN=Q^*N$ for some Sylow p-subgroup Q^* of H. Applying again Lemmas 1 and 2 it is easy to check the hypotheses hold for G/N and HN/N. By induction we have again that G/N is p-supersoluble.

b) We can suppose that G is a primitive group.

If G has two different minimal normal subgroups, say N_1 and N_2 , then G/N_i is p-supersoluble for i=1,2, and $G=G/(N_1\cap N_2)$ is p-supersoluble. So we can assume that G is monolithic.

Denote by N the unique minimal normal subgroup of G. If $N \leq \Phi(G)$ then $G/\Phi(G)$ is supersoluble and so is G. The remaining case is $\Phi(G) = 1$ and G is a primitive group.

c) Conclusion.

If G is not p-supersoluble then N is a p-group for some prime p, and p^2 divides |N|. Let T be a complement of N in G and let $P \in \operatorname{Syl}_p(H)$. Then $T \cap P$ is a complement to N in P. Let M be a maximal subgroup of P containing $T \cap P$. Then $|N: N \cap M| = |P: M| = p$ contrary to the hypothesis that M either covers or avoids N. Thus, N is cyclic and G is p-supersoluble.

Lemma 4. Let p be a prime, G be a p-soluble group and H a normal subgroup of G such that G/H is p-supersoluble. Assume $O_{p'}(G) = \Phi(G) = 1$. Suppose that all maximal subgroups of $O_p(H)$ are CAP-subgroups of G. Then G is supersoluble.

PROOF. Since G is p-soluble and $O_p(G) = 1$ we have $C_G(O_p(G)) \le O_p(G)$. Now $\Phi(G) = 1$ implies that $F(G) = O_p(G) = \operatorname{Soc}(G)$ is an elementary abelian group, by Satz III.4.5 of [3]. Thus $C_G(F(G)) = F(G)$.

Now we claim that all minimal normal subgroups of G are cyclic.

Take N a minimal normal subgroup of G; if $N \cap H = 1$ then NH/H is p-chief factor of G/H and therefore is cyclic; since $N \cong NH/H$, N is cyclic. Otherwise $N \leq H$ and indeed $N \leq O_p(H)$. Since $\Phi(O_p(H)) = 1$ there exists a maximal subgroup of $O_p(H)$, say S, such that N is not contained in S: $O_p(H) = NS$. By hypothesis, S is a CAP-subgroup of G and then $N \cap S = 1$ and therefore we have $p = |O_p(H): S| = |N|$. (Notice that this argument holds even in $N = O_p(H)$; then S = 1). So, our claim is true: every minimal normal subgroup of G is cyclic.

Recall that $F(G) = \operatorname{Soc}(G) = N_1 \times \ldots \times N_r$, where each N_i is a minimal normal subgroup of G. For each minimal normal subgroup N_i of G the quotient group $G/C_G(N_i)$ is a subgroup of the group of automorphisms of a cyclic group and therefore is an abelian group and is indeed a supersoluble group. Therefore $G/\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^r C_G(N_i)\right)$ is supersoluble. In fact, what we really have is that G/F(G) is supersoluble inasmuch as $\bigcap_{i=1}^r C_G(N_i) = C_G(F(G)) = F(G)$. But all chief factors of G below F(G) are cyclic and hence the whole of G is supersoluble.

THEOREM B. Let p be a prime, G a p-soluble group and H a normal subgroup of G such that G/H is p-supersoluble. Suppose that all maximal subgroups of $O_{p'p}(H)$ containing $O_{p'}(H)$ are CAP-subgroups of G. Then G is p-supersoluble.

PROOF. We prove the theorem by induction on |G|.

Denote $R = O_{p'}(G)$ and suppose $R \neq 1$. We check the hypotheses on G/R and HR/R. Denote $T = O_{p'}(H)$ and notice that HR/R is isomorphic to H/T. Given a subgroup $M/R \leq HR/R$, $M = R(H \cap M)$ and under the isomorphism the image of M/R is $(H \cap M)/T$. If M/R is a maximal subgroup of $O_{p'p}(HR/R)$ then $H \cap M$ is a maximal subgroup of $O_{p'p}(H)$ containing T and by hypothesis is a CAP-subgroup of G. Hence M is a CAP-subgroup of G and so is M/R in G/R. By induction

G/R is p-supersoluble and this implies obviously that G is p-supersoluble.

Therefore we assume henceforth that R=1. So, T=1 and $O_{n'n}(H)=O_n(H)=F(H)$.

Suppose $P=O_p(\Phi(G))=\Phi(G)\neq 1$. By Satz III.3.5 of [3], F(HP/P)=F(HP)/P and by Lemma 3, F(HP)=F(H)P; therefore, $O_p(H)P/P=F(H)P/P=F(HP/P)$; hence $O_p(H)P/P=O_p(HP/P)$. On the other hand if we denote $K/P=O_{p'}(HP/P)$ and S is a Hall p'-subgroup of K we have K=SP and by the Frattini argument $G=KN_G(S)=PN_G(S)=N_G(S)$ and S is normal in G. Therefore S=1 and $O_{p'}(HP/P)=1$. This implies $O_{p'p}(HP/P)=O_p(HP/P)=O_p(H)P/P$. If M/P is a maximal subgroup of $O_p(H)P/P$ then $M\cap O_p(H)$ is a maximal subgroup of $O_p(H)$ and, by hypothesis, is a CAP-subgroup of G. Now usual arguments and the induction hypothesis give G/P is p-supersoluble and then so is G.

Hence, we can assume $O_{p'}(G) = \Phi(G) = 1$. Clearly $O_{p'}(H) = 1$ and $O_{p'p}(H) = O_p(H)$ and therefore we are in the hypothesis of Lemma 4 and we are done.

These two theorems give characterizations of p-supersolubility:

COROLLARY 1. Let p be a prime and G a p-soluble group. Then the following are equivalent:

- i) G is p-supersoluble;
- ii) all p-subgroups of G are CAP-subgroups of G;
- iii) all maximal subgroups of the Sylow p-subgroups of G are CAP-subgroups of G;
- iv) all maximal subgroups of $O_{p'p}(G)$ containing $O_{p'}(G)$ are CAP-subgroups of G;
- v) there exists a normal subgroup H of G such that G/H is p-supersoluble and all maximal subgroups of any Sylow p-subgroup of H are CAP-subgroups of G;
- vi) there exists a normal subgroup H of G such that G/H is p-supersoluble and all maximal subgroups of $O_{p'p}(H)$ containing $O_{p'}(H)$ are CAP-subgroups of G.

In Theorems A and B we have restricted ourselves to p-soluble groups. Theorem A does not hold in general.

Example 1. Consider the group G = Alt(5). Clearly G is not 5-supersoluble and 1 is the maximal subgroup of any Sylow 5-subgroup of G.

EXAMPLE 2. Take $C = C_3$. C has an irreducible and faithful module V over GF(2). Construct $A = VC \cong Alt(4)$. A has an irreducible and faithful module W over GF(3). Construct B = WA. If $D = C_2$ consider $G = D \times B$ and $H = D \times WV$.

G is soluble and $G/H \cong C_3$ is 2-supersoluble; $O_{2'}(H) = W$, $O_2(H) = D \neq 1$ and 1 is the maximal subgroup of D: all maximal subgroups of $O_2(H) \neq 1$ are CAP-subgroups of G; however G is a non-2-supersoluble group.

A π -soluble group is π -supersoluble if its π -chief factors are all cyclic, i.e. if it is p-supersoluble for all primes $p \in \pi$. Obviously, results for π -supersolubility can be obtained just by taking the «intersection» of the corresponding results for p-supersolubility for all primes $p \in \pi$. One might ask whether the results of this section can be generalized by changing p by π to obtain results about π -supersolubility, where π is a set of prime numbers with $|\pi| > 1$. The answer is negative.

EXAMPLE 3. Take $\pi=\{2,3\}$. Consider the soluble group $G=\operatorname{Sym}(4)$ and $H=\operatorname{Alt}(4)$. G/H is π -supersoluble; the maximal subgroups of the Hall π -subgroups of $H=O_{\pi}(H)$ are the Sylow subgroups of H and they are CAP-subgroups of G. $O_{\pi'}(G)=\Phi(G)=1$. But G is not π -supersoluble.

4. Characterizations of supersoluble groups.

A particular case of π -supersolubility, when π is the set of all primes dividing the order of G, is the usual supersolubility. In this section we deduce some characterizations of supersolubility.

Theorem C is clearly inspired in Theorem A. However no hypothesis on the solubility is needed here. In fact the solubility is deduced from the other hypothesis.

THEOREM C. Let G be a group and H a normal subgroup of G such that G/H is supersoluble. Suppose that all maximal subgroups of the Sylow subgroups of H are CAP-subgroups of G. Then G is supersoluble.

PROOF. We prove first that, under these conditions, G is soluble. Suppose there exists a nonabelian chief factor of G, say N/K. If H avoids N/K, $H \cap N \leq K$, then NH/KH is a chief factor of the supersoluble group G/H and is G-isomorphic to H/K; this cannot happen and therefore $N \leq KH$. So, H/K is G-isomorphic to $(N \cap H)/(N \cap K)$ and we can suppose without loss of generality that the non-abelian chief factor N/K of G is below H.

Take P a Sylow subgroup of H and M a maximal subgroup of P. By hypothesis M is a CAP-subgroup of G. If M covered N/K, then the chief factor $N/K \cong (N \cap M)/(K \cap M)$ would be nilpotent; therefore N/K must be avoided by every maximal subgroup of every Sylow subgroup of H.

On the other hand |N/K| is not square-free; so, there exists a prime q such that q^2 divides |N/K|; if $Q \in \operatorname{Syl}_q(H)$ then q^2 divides the index $|Q \cap N: Q \cap K|$. Suppose $Q \cap N$ is a strict subgroup of Q and consider a maximal subgroup M of Q with $Q \cap N \leq M$. M avoids N/K and therefore we have $Q \cap N \leq M \cap N = M \cap K \leq Q \cap K$, a contradiction. Then $Q = Q \cap N$ and $Q \leq N$ and for any maximal subgroup M of Q, we have that $M = M \cap N = M \cap K \leq Q \cap K < Q \cap N = Q$ and q^2 divides |Q:M| = q, a contradiction.

So, we conclude that G has no nonabelian chief factors and consequently G is soluble.

Now we are in the hypothesis of Theorem A for all primes p. Consequently G is p-supersoluble for all primes p. That is to say that G is supersoluble.

Notice that Theorem 1 of [6] is a special case of Theorem C.

To obtain an analogue of Theorem B for supersolubility we notice that the condition $O_{p'}(G)=1$ in Lemma 4 is used basically to obtain $C_G(F(G)) \leq F(G)$. If we restrict ourselves to the soluble universe this condition is satisfied and we can obtain the following.

THEOREM D. Let G be a group and H a normal subgroup of G such that H is soluble and G/H is supersoluble. Suppose that all maximal subgroups of the Sylow subgroups of F(H) are CAP-subgroups of G. Then G is supersoluble.

PROOF. We prove the theorem by induction on the order of G. Suppose $\Phi(G) \neq 1$ and consider a prime p such that p divides $|\Phi(G)|$. Denote $P = O_p(\Phi(G)) \neq 1$. By Satz III.3.5 of [3], F(HP/P) = F(HP)/P and by Lemma 3, F(HP) = F(H)P; therefore, F(HP/P) = F(H)P/P; it is easy to check the hypothesis and by induction G/P is supersoluble and then so is G.

Hence, we can assume $\Phi(G) = 1$.

The remainder of the proof repeats the arguments of Lemma 4. First we prove that all minimal normal subgroups of G are cyclic. After that, since G is soluble, $C_G(F(G)) \leq F(G)$ and by Satz III.4.5 of [3], $F(G) = \operatorname{Soc}(G) = N_1 \times \ldots \times N_r$, where each N_i is a minimal normal

subgroup of G. Therefore $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} C_G(N_i) = C_G(F(G)) = F(G)$ and again

G/F(G) is supersoluble. But all chief factors of G below F(G) are cyclic and hence the whole G is supersoluble.

It is clear again that Theorems C and D give indeed characterizations of supersolubility. As a corollary we easily obtain

COROLLARY 2. Given a group G the following are equivalent:

- i) G is supersoluble;
- ii) all subgroups of G are CAP-subgroups of G;
- iii) all maximal subgroups of the Sylow subgroups of G are CAP-subgroups of G;
- iv) there exists a normal subgroup H of G such that G/H is supersoluble and all maximal subgroups of any Sylow subgroup of H are CAP-subgroups of G;
- v) there exists a normal soluble subgroup H of G such that G/H is supersoluble and all maximal subgroups of F(H) are CAP-subgroups of G.

Removing the hypothesis of the solubility of H in v), the characterization does not hold.

Example 4. Take G = H = SL(2, 5); the trivial subgroup is the maximal subgroup of F(G) and G is not supersoluble.

Weakening the hypothesis of Theorem C we obtain a more general result.

THEOREM E. Let G be a group and let p denote the largest prime dividing |G|. Assume that for all prime $q \neq p$, every maximal subgroup of the Sylow q-subgroups of G is a CAP-subgroup of G. Then,

- i) G possesses a Sylow tower,
- ii) $G/O_p(G)$ is supersoluble.

PROOF. i) Consider a minimal counterexample G to the theorem. Repeating some of the arguments of the above proofs, it is not difficult to prove that if N is nontrivial normal subgroup of G then the hypothesis hold in G/N and minimality of G implies that G/N possesses a Sylow tower. Therefore G is a monolithic primitive group such that $G/\operatorname{Soc}(G)$ possesses a Sylow tower (and then is soluble). Denote $S = \operatorname{Soc}(G)$.

Suppose that S is not soluble. If q is the smallest prime dividing |S| then $q \neq p$ and q^2 divides |S| by Satz IV.2.8 of [3]. Take $Q \in \text{Syl}_q(S)$

and $P \in \operatorname{Syl}_q(G)$ with $Q \leq P$. Assume that Q = P; for any maximal subgroup M of P, M is a CAP-subgroup of G and therefore M avoids S; however this means that M = 1 and hence |P| = q, a contradiction. So, Q is a proper subgroup of P and we can consider a maximal subgroup M of P with $Q \leq M$; again M must avoid S and then Q = 1, a contradiction. Thus, S is soluble and so is G.

Let $|S|=q^n$, q prime. Of course $q\neq p$. If n=1 then G would be supersoluble and would possess a Sylow tower; so, n>1. If q does not divide |G/S| then $S\in \operatorname{Syl}_q(G)$ and any maximal subgroup M of S must avoid S, i.e. S is cyclic, a contradiction. Consequently q divides |G/S|. Now if $Q\in\operatorname{Syl}_q(G)$ and M is maximal subgroup of Q avoiding S then |S|=|Q:M|=q and S would be cyclic, a contradiction. Therefore every maximal subgroup of Q covers S and $S\in \Phi(Q)$.

If K is complement of S in G, $Q = (Q \cap K)S = (Q \cap K)\Phi(Q) = Q \cap K$ and $S \leq Q \leq K$. This is the final contradiction.

Hence, the minimal counterexample does not exist and the theorem is true.

ii) Apply the equivalence between i) and iii) in Corollary 2 to the group $G/O_n(G)$.

Notice that Theorem 3.6 of [4] is an special case of Theorem E.

5. Final remark.

In [6] a π -quasinormal subgroup of a group G is defined to be a subgroup which permutes with any Sylow subgroup of G. A number of results involving π -quasinormal subgroups are proved in [1] and [6]. The statements of the Theorem 3.2, 4.1 and 4.2 of [1] are analogous to the theorems presented here replacing the cover and avoidance property by π -quasinormality.

However it is easy to find soluble groups with CAP-subgroups which are not π -quasinormal. Conversely, there are also soluble groups with π -quasinormal subgroups which are not CAP-subgroups.

EXAMPLE 5. Consider $C = \langle a \rangle \cong C_3$ and $A = \mathrm{Alt}(4)$ and construct the wreath product G = C wr A with the natural action. Denote $C^{\#}$ the base group of G; $C^{\#}$ is an elementary abelian 3-group of order 3^4 generated by $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\}$ where the indices are the obvious ones according to the natural action of A. Consider the subgroup $K = \langle a_1 a_2, a_3 a_4 \rangle$. If V is Klein 4-group of A then $N_G(K) = C^{\#}V$ and therefore if $P \in \mathrm{Syl}_2(G)$, PK = KP and hence K is a π -quasinormal subgroup of G.

But $Z = \langle a_1 \, a_2 \, a_3 \, a_4 \rangle < K < C^\#$ and then K neither covers nor avoids the chief factor $C^\#/Z$.

Acknowledgement. The author wishes to express his gratitude to Prof. M. Ramadan who provided him with a manuscipt of [4] prior to its publication in Acta Mathematica Hungarica.

This research has been supported by Proyecto PB 90-0414-C03-03 of DGICYT, Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia of Spain.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. ASAAD M. RAMADAN A. SHAALAN, Influence of π -quasinormality on maximal subgroups of Sylow subgroups of Fitting subgroup of a finite group, Arch. Math., 56 (1991), pp. 521-527.
- [2] A. BALLESTER-BOLINCHES L. M. EZQUERRO, On maximal subgroups of finite groups, Comm. Algebra, 19 (8), (1991), pp. 2373-2394.
- [3] B. Hupper, Endliche Gruppen I, Springer-Verlag (1983).
- [4] M. RAMADAN, Influence of normality on maximal subgroups of Sylow subgroups of a finite group, to appear in Acta Math. Hungar.
- [5] K.-U. SCHALLER, Über Deck-Meide-Untergruppen in endlichen auflösbaren Gruppen, Ph.D. University of Kiel (1971).
- [6] S. SRINIVASAN, Two sufficient conditions for supersolvability of finite groups, Israel J. Math., 35 (1980), pp. 210-214.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 28 febbraio 1992.