# RENDICONTI del SEMINARIO MATEMATICO della UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

# MANINDRA CHANDRA CHAKI

## Some theorems on recurrent and Ricci-recurrent spaces

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, tome 26 (1956), p. 168-176

<a href="http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP">http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP</a> 1956 26 168 0>

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1956, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

### NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

## SOME THEOREMS ON RECURRENT AND RICCI-RECURRENT SPACES

Nota (\*) di Manindra Chandra Chaki (a Calcutta)

Introduction. - Ruse [4] and Walker [5] introduced the recurrent spaces for which the curvature tensor satisfies a relation of the form

$$(1) R_{hijk} = R_{hijk} \lambda_l$$

where  $\lambda_l$  is a non-zero vector and comma denotes covariant derivative. An *n*-space of this kind was denoted by  $K_n$ . In a recent paper [3] Patterson considered a Riemannian space of more than two dimensions whose Ricci tensor satisfies

$$(2) R_{ij} \equiv 0, R_{ij,k} = R_{ij}\lambda_k$$

for some non-zero vector  $\lambda_k$ . He called such a space Ricci-Recurrent and denoted an n-space of this kind by  $R_n$ . Obviously every  $K_n$  is an  $R_n$  but the converse is not true. The question as to when an  $R_n$  can be a  $K_n$  has been considered in section 1 of this paper. In the remaining sections some necessary conditions for a space  $V_n$  (n > 2) to be conformal to a recurrent and to a Ricci-recurrent space have been given.

1. It is known that the conformal tensor  $C_{ijkl}$  of a Riemannian space  $V_n$  with metric tensor  $g_{ij}$  is given by

$$(1.1) \quad C_{ijkl} = R_{ijkl} - \frac{1}{n-2} \left( R_{jk} g_{il} - R_{jl} g_{ik} + R_{il} g_{jk} - R_{jk} g_{il} \right) + \frac{R}{(n-1)(n-2)} \left( g_{jk} g_{il} - g_{jl} g_{ik} \right).$$

<sup>(\*)</sup> Pervenuta in Redazione il 28 agosto 1956. Indirizzo dell'A.: University College of Science, Calcutta (India).

Now let the  $V_n$  be a Ricci-recurrent space  $R_n$  specified by a non-zero vector  $\lambda_i$ . Then since

$$R_{ij,p} = R_{ij}\lambda_p$$
 and  $R_{ij} = R\lambda_p$ 

it follows from (1.1) that

$$C_{ijkl, p} = R_{ijkl, p} + (C_{ijkl} - R_{ijkl})\lambda_{p}$$

$$(1.2)$$
or  $C_{iikl, p} - C_{iikl}\lambda_{p} = R_{iikl, p} - R_{iikl}\lambda_{p}$ .

Conversely, if (1.2) holds, multiplying both sides of (1.2) by  $g^{jk}$  and summing for j and k we get

$$C_{il,p} - C_{il}\lambda_p = R_{il,p} - R_{il}\lambda_p$$

which reduces, in virtue of  $C_{ij} = 0$ , to

$$(1.3) R_{il, p} = R_{il}\lambda_p$$

From (1.2) and (1.3) we have therefore the following theorem:

THEOREM 1. A necessary and sufficient condition that a  $V_n$  be an  $R_n$  is that (1.2) holds.

In particular, if the  $V_n$  is conformal to a flat space or if n=3 then  $C_{ijkl}=0$ . In the first case it follows from (1.2) that the  $R_n$  is a  $K_n$ . In the second case it follows that the  $R_3$  is a  $K_3$ . Thus we have the following theorem:

THEOREM 2. Every  $R_n$  (n > 3) is a  $K_n$  if it is conformal to a flat space and every  $R_3$  is a  $K_3$ .

Again for an  $R_n$  specified by a non-zero vector  $\lambda_i$  we have

$$R_{ij, kl} - R_{ij, lk} = (\lambda_{k, l} - \lambda_{l, k}) R_{ij}$$

or by Ricci's identity

$$(1.4) R_{pj}R_{ikl}^p + R_{ip}R_{jkl}^p = (\lambda_{k,l} - \lambda_{l,k})R_{ij}.$$

If  $\lambda_i$  be a gradient then  $\lambda_{k,l} = \lambda_{l,k}$  and so the lefthand side of (1.4) is zero. Conversely if the lefthand side of (1.4) is zero then  $\lambda_i$  is a gradient. From this we have the following theorem:

THEOREM 3. A necessary and sufficient condition that the vector of recurrence of an  $R_n$  be a gradient is that at every point of the  $R_n$ 

$$(1.5) R_{pj}R_{ikl}^{p} + R_{ip}R_{jkl}^{p} = 0.$$

Since the vector of recurrence of every  $K_n$  is a gradient it follows in virtue of Theorem 2 that the relation (1.5) holds at every point of an  $R_n$  (n > 3) which is conformal to a flat space and at every point of an  $R_n$ .

**2.** In this section we deduce a necessary condition that a space  $V_n$  (n > 3) with metric tensor  $g_{ij}$  be conformal to a recurrent space.

Let  $\bar{V}_n$  be a space with metric tensor

$$(2.1) \qquad \bar{g}_{ij} = e^{2\sigma} g_{ij}$$

Then since  $\bar{C}_{ijk}^t = C_{ijk}^t$  we have

$$(2.2) \bar{C}_{hijk} = e^{2\sigma} C_{hijk}$$

Now suppose  $\overline{V}_n$  is a recurrent space specified by a non-zero vector  $\lambda_i$  and let a comma and a semi-colon denote covariant derivatives with respect to  $g_{ij}$  and  $\overline{g}_{ij}$  respectively. Then from (1.1) we get

$$\bar{C}_{hijk;l} = \bar{C}_{hijk} \lambda_l$$

Writing 
$$\sigma_i = \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x_i}$$
,  $\sigma^j = g^{ij}\sigma_i$ , we obtain from (2.2)

$$e^{2\sigma}C_{hijk}\lambda_l=e^{2\sigma}C_{hijk;l}+2e^{2\sigma}C_{hijk}\sigma_l$$

(2.3)

or 
$$C_{hijk}\lambda_l = 2C_{hijk}\sigma_l + C_{hijk;l}$$

But

$$\begin{split} C_{hijk;l} &= C_{hijk,l} - 4C_{hijk}\sigma_l - (C_{lijk}\sigma_h + C_{hljk}\sigma_i + C_{hilk}\sigma_j + C_{hijl}\sigma_k) \\ &+ \sigma^{e}(g_{hl}C_{sijk} + g_{il}C_{hsjk} + g_{jl}C_{hisk} + g_{kl}C_{hijs}). \end{split}$$

Therefore, since  $C_{hijk}$  possesses the properties of the indi-

ces of  $R_{hijk}$ , the equation (2.3) reduces to

(2.4) 
$$C_{hijk}\lambda_{l} = C_{hijk,l} - 2C_{hijk}\sigma_{l}$$
$$- (c_{lijk}\sigma_{h} + C_{lhkj}\sigma_{i} + C_{lkhi}\sigma_{j} + C_{ljih}\sigma_{k})$$
$$+ \sigma^{s}(g_{hl}C_{sijk} + g_{il}C_{shkj} + g_{il}C_{skhi} + g_{kl}C_{sijih}).$$

We may therefore state the following theorem:

THEOREM 4. A necessary condition, given in terms of the conformal tensor, that a  $V_n$  be conformal to a  $K_n$  is that the equation (2.4) holds, provided that the  $V_n$  is not conformal to a flat space.

Further, substituting from (2.1) in the last two lines of (2.4) and simplifying we obtain the condition (2.4) as

(2.5) 
$$C_{hijk}\lambda_{l} = C_{hijk,l} - 2C_{hijk}\sigma_{l}$$

$$- [(R_{lijk}\sigma_{h} + R_{lhkj}\sigma_{i} + R_{lkhi}\sigma_{j} + R_{ljih}\sigma_{k})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{n-2} \{ (g_{ik}R_{lj} - g_{ij}R_{lk})\sigma_{h} + (g_{hj}R_{lk} - g_{hk}R_{lj})\sigma_{i}$$

$$+ (g_{ik}R_{lh} - g_{hk}R_{li})\sigma_{j} + (g_{hj}R_{li} - g_{ij}R_{lh})\sigma_{k} \} ]$$

$$+ \sigma^{s}[(g_{hl}R_{sijk} + g_{il}R_{shkj} + g_{jl}R_{skhi} + g_{kl}R_{sjih})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{n-2} \{ g_{hl}(g_{ik}R_{sj} - g_{ij}R_{sk}) + g_{il}(g_{hj}R_{sk} - g_{hk}R_{sj})$$

$$+ g_{il}(g_{ik}R_{sh} - g_{hk}R_{si}) + g_{kl}(g_{hj}R_{si} - g_{ij}R_{sh}) \} ].$$

If now the vector  $\sigma_i$  be supposed to be parallel in the space  $V_n$  and therefore  $\sigma^s R_{sijk} = 0 = \sigma^s R_{sk}$ , then the last three lines in the above equation vanish. On the otherhand if the space  $V_n$  be supposed to be a recurrent space specified by  $\sigma_i$  then the second line of the above equation reduces to  $-2R_{hijk}\sigma_i$ .

**3.** In this section we deduce a necessary condition that a  $V_n$  be conformal to a Ricci-recurrent space.

With reference to the conformal relation (2.1) we have the following equations

$$(3.1) \quad e^{-2\sigma}R_{hijk} = R_{hijk} + g_{hk}(\sigma_{i,j} - \sigma_{i}\sigma_{j}) + g_{ij}(\sigma_{h,k} - \sigma_{h}\sigma_{k})$$

$$- \quad {}_{hj}(\sigma_{i,k} - \sigma_{i}\sigma_{k}) - g_{ik}(\sigma_{h,j} - \sigma_{h}\sigma_{j}) + (g_{hk}g_{ij} - g_{hj}g_{ik})\Delta_{1}\sigma_{j}$$

whence

(3.2) 
$$\sigma_{i,j} - \sigma_{i}\sigma_{j} = \frac{1}{n-2}(\bar{R}_{ij} - R_{ij})$$
$$-\frac{1}{2(n-1)(n-2)}(\bar{g}_{ij}\bar{R} - g_{ij}R) - \frac{1}{2}g_{ij}\Delta_{1}\sigma.$$

Now let  $\overline{V}_n$  with metric tensor  $g_{ij}$  be supposed to be a Ricci-recurrent space. Differentiating (3.2) covariantly with respect to  $g_{ij}$  and substituting

$$(3.3) \begin{cases} \bar{R}_{ij,\,k} = \bar{R}_{ij}\lambda_k + 2\bar{R}_{ij}\sigma_k + \bar{R}_{jk}\sigma_i + \bar{R}_{ik}\sigma_j - g^{sm}\sigma_m(\bar{R}_{sj}g_{ik} + \bar{R}_{si}g_{jk}) \\ \bar{g}_{ij,\,k} = 2\bar{g}_{ij}\sigma_k \quad , \quad \bar{R}_{ij} = R\lambda_k \end{cases}$$

we get

$$(3.4) \qquad \sigma_{i,jk} - (\sigma_{i}\sigma_{j,k} + \sigma_{j}\sigma_{i,k})$$

$$= \frac{1}{n-2} \{ \bar{R}_{ij}\lambda_{k} + 2\bar{R}_{ij}\sigma_{k} + \bar{R}_{jk}\sigma_{i} + \bar{R}_{ik}\sigma_{j}$$

$$- g^{sm}\sigma_{m}(\bar{R}_{sj}g_{ik} + \bar{R}_{si}g_{jk}) - R_{ij,k} \}$$

$$- \frac{g_{ij}}{2(n-1)(n-2)} \{ e^{2\sigma}\bar{R}(\lambda_{k} + 2\sigma_{k}) - R_{,k} \} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ij}(\Delta_{i}, \sigma),_{k}.$$

But from (3.2)

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{i}\sigma_{j,k} + \sigma_{j}\sigma_{i,k} - 2\sigma_{i}\sigma_{j}\sigma_{k} &= \frac{1}{n-2} \left\{ \sigma_{i}(\bar{R}_{jk} - R_{jk}) + \sigma_{j}(\bar{R}_{ik} - R_{ik}) \right\} \\ &- \frac{1}{2(n-1)(n-2)} \left\{ \sigma_{i}(\bar{g}_{jk}\bar{R} - g_{jk}R) + \sigma_{j}(\bar{g}_{ik}\bar{R} - g_{ik}R) \right\} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{1}\sigma(\sigma_{i}g_{jk} + g_{j}g_{ik}). \end{split}$$

Substituting in (3.4), we obtain

$$(3.5) \quad \sigma_{i,jk} - 2\sigma_{i}\sigma_{j}\sigma_{k} = \frac{1}{n-2} \left\{ \bar{R}_{ij}\lambda_{k} + 2(\bar{R}_{ij}\sigma_{k} + \bar{R}_{jk}\sigma_{i} + \bar{R}_{ik}\sigma_{j}) - \sigma_{m}(\bar{R}_{j}^{m}\bar{g}_{ik} + \bar{R}_{i}^{m}\bar{g}_{jk}) - (R_{ij,k} + R_{jk}\sigma_{i} + R_{ik}\sigma_{j}) \right\}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2(n-1)(n-2)} \left[ e^{2\sigma} \bar{R} \left\{ g_{ij}(\lambda_{k} + 2\sigma_{k}) + g_{jk}\sigma_{i} + \sigma_{ik}\sigma_{j} \right\} \right.$$

$$- \left\{ g_{ij}R, {}_{k} + R(g_{jk}\sigma_{i} + g_{ik}\sigma_{j}) \right\}$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \left\{ g_{ij}(\Delta_{1}\sigma), {}_{k} + \Delta_{1}\sigma(g_{jk}\sigma_{i} + g_{ik}\sigma_{j}) \right\}.$$

This is therefore a necessary condition to be satisfied by the function  $\sigma$  in order that a  $V_n$  with metric tensor  $g_{ij}$  be conformal to the Ricci-recurrent  $\bar{V}_n$  with metric tensor  $g_{ij} = e^{2\sigma}g_{ij}$ .

If  $V_n$  is supposed to be an Einstein space we have simply to put  $R_{ij, k} = R_{i, k} = 0$  and  $R_{ij} = \frac{R}{n} g_{ij}$  in (3.5).

4. The condition (3.5) which has been obtained by straightforward method is not simple enough. We look for a simpler condition. For this purpose we first obtain the condition of integrability of the equation (3.2).

Differentiating (3.2) covariantly with respect to  $x^k$ , interchanging the indices j and k and subtracting, the lefthand side becomes, after the operation,

$$\sigma_{i,jk} - \sigma_{i,kj} + \sigma_{i,j}\sigma_k - \sigma_{i,k}\sigma_j = \sigma_s R_{ijk}^s + \sigma_{i,j}\sigma_k - \sigma_{i,k}\sigma_j.$$

From (3.1) we obtain

$$\begin{split} \sigma_s R^s_{ijk} &= \sigma_s [\bar{R}^s_{ijk} - \delta^s_k (\sigma_{i,j} - \sigma_i \sigma_j) - g_{ij} g^{hs} (\sigma_{h,k} - \sigma_h \sigma_k) \\ &+ \delta^s_j (\sigma_{i,k} - \sigma_i \sigma_k) + g_{ik} g^{hs} (\sigma_{h,j} - \sigma_h \sigma_j) - (\delta^s_k g_{ij} - \delta^s_j g_{ik}) \Delta_1 \sigma] \\ &= \sigma_s \bar{R}^s_{ijk} - \sigma_k (\sigma_{i,j} - \sigma_i \sigma_j) - \frac{1}{2} g_{ij} (\Delta_1 \sigma)_{,k} + g_{ij} \sigma_k \Delta_1 \sigma \\ &+ \sigma_j (\sigma_{i,k} - \sigma_i \sigma_k) + \frac{1}{2} g_{ik} (\Delta_1 \sigma)_{,j} - g_{ik} \sigma_j \Delta_1 \sigma - (\sigma_k g_{ij} - \sigma_j g_{ik}) \Delta_1 \sigma \\ &= \sigma \; \bar{R}^s_{ijk} + \sigma_j \sigma_{i,k} - \sigma_k \sigma_{i,j} + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ g_{ik} (\Delta_1 \sigma)_{,j} - g_{ij} (\Delta_1 \sigma)_{,k} \right\}. \end{split}$$

Therefore after the operation we obtain from (3.2)

$$(4.1) \qquad \sigma_{s}\bar{R}_{ijk}^{s} + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ g_{ik}(\Delta_{1}\sigma),_{j} - g_{ij}(\Delta_{1}\sigma),_{k} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n-2} \left\{ (R_{ij},_{k} - \bar{R}_{ik},_{j}) - (R_{ij},_{k} - R_{ik},_{j}) \right\}$$

$$- \frac{1}{2(n-1)(n-2)} \left\{ \bar{R}(\bar{g}_{ij},_{k} - \bar{g}_{ik},_{j}) + (\bar{g}_{ij}\bar{R},_{k} - \bar{g}_{ik}\bar{R},_{j}) - (g_{ij}\bar{R},_{k} - g_{ik}\bar{R},_{j}) \right\}$$

$$- (g_{ij}\bar{R},_{k} - g_{ik}\bar{R},_{j}) \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ g_{ij}(\Delta_{1}\sigma),_{k} - g_{ik}(\Delta_{1}\sigma),_{j} \right\}.$$

Now apply (3.3). Then (4.1) reduces to

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{s}}\bar{R}_{ijk}^{s} = \frac{1}{n-2} [\bar{R}_{ij;k} - \bar{R}_{ik;j} + \bar{R}_{ij}\sigma_{k} - \bar{R}_{ik}\sigma_{j} + \sigma_{t}\bar{g}^{st}(\bar{g}_{ij}\bar{R}_{sk} - \bar{g}_{ik}\bar{R}_{sj}) \\
- \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \{2R(\bar{g}_{ij}\sigma_{k} - \bar{g}_{ik}\sigma_{j}) + g_{ij}\bar{R}_{,k} - \bar{g}_{ik}\bar{R}_{,j}\} ] \\
- \frac{1}{n-2} [R_{ij,k} - R_{ik,j} - \frac{1}{2(n-1)} (g_{ij}R_{,k} - g_{ik}R_{,j})].$$

Writing as usual (Eisenhart, 1926, P. 91)

$$(4.2) \begin{cases} R_{ijk} = R_{ij,k} - R_{ik,j} - \frac{1}{2(n-1)} (g_{ij}R_{,k} - g_{ik}R_{,j}) \\ \bar{R}_{ijk} = \bar{R}_{ij;k} - \bar{R}_{ik;j} - \frac{1}{2(n-1)} (\bar{g}_{ij}\bar{R}_{,k} - \bar{g}_{ik}\bar{R}_{,j}) \end{cases}$$

the above equation reduces to

$$\sigma_{s}\bar{R}_{ijk}^{s} - \frac{1}{n-2}\left[\bar{R}_{ij}\sigma_{k} - \bar{R}_{ik}\sigma_{j} + \sigma_{t}\bar{g}^{st}(\bar{g}_{ij}\bar{R}_{sk} - \bar{g}_{ik}\bar{R}_{sj})\right]$$
$$-\frac{R}{n-1}\left(\bar{g}_{ij}\sigma_{k} - \bar{g}_{ik}\sigma_{j}\right) = \frac{1}{n-2}\left[\bar{R}_{ijk} - R_{ijk}\right].$$

Now from the conformal tensor (1.1) we get

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{h}C_{ijk}^{h} &= \sigma_{h}\bar{C}_{ijk}^{h} = \sigma_{h}\bar{R}_{ijk}^{h} \\ &+ \frac{1}{n-2} \left\{ \bar{R}_{ik}\sigma_{j} - \bar{R}_{ij}\sigma_{k} + \sigma_{h}\bar{g}^{ht}(\bar{g}_{ik}\bar{R}_{tj} - \bar{g}_{ij}\bar{R}_{tk}) \right\} \\ &+ \frac{\bar{R}}{(n-1)(n-2)}(\bar{g}_{ij}\sigma_{k} - \bar{g}_{ik}\sigma_{j}). \end{split}$$

Substituting this in the above equation we obtain finally

(4.3) 
$$\sigma_s C_{ijk}^s = \frac{1}{n-2} (\bar{R}_{ijk} - R_{ijk})$$

This is the condition of integrability of equation (3.2). This reduces to a known result given by Brinkmann [1] in the case when  $\bar{V}_n$  is an Einstein space.

Now supposte that  $\bar{V}_n$  is a Ricci-recurrent space specified by a vector  $\lambda_i$ . Then from (4.2)

$$ar{R}_{ijk} = ar{R}_{ij}\lambda_k - ar{R}_{ik}\lambda_j + rac{ar{R}}{2(n-1)}(ar{g}_{ik}\lambda_j - ar{g}_{ij}\lambda_k).$$

Substituting in (4.3) we get

(4.4) 
$$\sigma_s C_{ijk}^s + \frac{1}{n-2} R_{ijk}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n-2} \left\{ \bar{R}_{ij} \lambda_k - \bar{R}_{ik} \lambda_j + \frac{\bar{R} e^{2\sigma}}{2(n-1)} (g_{ik} \lambda_j - g_{ij} \lambda_k) \right\}.$$

We may therefore state the following theorem:

THEOREM 5. A necessary condition that a space  $V_n$  be conformal to a Ricci-recurrent  $\overline{V}_n$  is that there exists a function  $\sigma$  satisfying (4.4).

If  $V_n$  be an Einstein space, we have simply to put  $R_{ijk} = 0$  in (4.4).

And if  $V_n$  be a Ricci-recurrent space specified by a vector  $\mu_i$  we have simply to put in (4.4)

$$R_{ijk} = R_{ij}\mu_k - R_{ik}\mu_j + \frac{R}{2(n-1)}(g_{ik}\mu_j - g_{ij}\mu_k).$$

In conclusion, I acknowledge my grateful thanhs to Prof. R. N. Sen for his helpful guidance in the preparation of this paper.

### REFERENCES

- [1] BRINKMANN H. W.: Riemann Spaces Conformal to Einstein spaces, Mathematische Annalen, Vol. 91, P. 272, 1924.
- [2] EISENHART L. P.: (1926) Riemannian Geometry, P. 91.
- [3] PATTERSON E. M.: Some Theorems on Ricci-recurrent spaces, Journ. Lond. Math. Soc. 1952.
- [4] RUSE H. S.: On Simply harmonic Spaces, Journ. Lond. Math. Soc. Vol. 21, 1946.
- [5] Walker A. G.: On completely harmonic Spaces, Journ. Lond. Math. Soc. Vol. 20, 1945.