# Melvin Hochster <br> Associated Graded Rings Derived from Integrally Closed Ideals and the Local Homological Conjectures 

Publications des séminaires de mathématiques et informatique de Rennes, 1980, fascicule S3
«Colloque d'algèbre», , p. 1-27
[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PSMIR_1980__S3_1_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PSMIR_1980__S3_1_0)
© Département de mathématiques et informatique, université de Rennes, 1980, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la série «Publications mathématiques et informatiques de Rennes » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

# Associated Graded Rings Derived from Integrally Closed 

Ideals and the Local Homological. Conjectures ${ }^{1}$
by Melvin Hochster ${ }^{2}$

1. Introduction

The second and third sections of this paper can be read independently. The second section explores the properties of certain "associated graded rings", graded by the nonnegative rational numbers, and constructed using filtrations of integrally closed ideals. The properties of these rings are then exploited to show that if $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{d}$ is a system oif paramters of a local ring $R$ of dimension $d, d \geq 3$ and this system satisfies a certain mild condition (to wit, that $R$ can be mapped to a local ring $S$, perhaps the completion of $R$, which is module-

[^0]finite over a regular local ring $A$ such that the images of $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}$ are a system of parameters for $A$; this is automatic in the equicharacteristic case, while in mixed characteristic it suffices that one of the $x_{i}$ be equal to the characteristic). then the equation
$$
\dot{x}_{1}^{a} \ldots x_{d}^{a}=y_{I} x_{1}^{b}+\ldots+y_{d} x_{d}^{b}
$$
cannot hold in $R$ for positive integers $a, b$ unless $a / b>2 / d$. See Theorem (2.10).

The reason for our interest in this statement is that the direct summand conjecture (that a regular Noetherian ring $R$, is a direct summand, as an $R$-module, of every module-finite extension algebra of $R$ ) is equivalent to the statement that with $R, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{d}$ as above, the equation

$$
x_{1}^{a} \ldots x_{d}^{a}=y_{1} x_{1}^{b}+\ldots+y_{d} x_{d}^{b}
$$

cannot hold in $R$ unless $a \geq b$. This is still an open question when $d=3$. For a number of years, the author was unable to settle even whether

$$
x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2} x_{3}^{2}=y_{1} x_{1}^{3}+y_{2} x_{2}^{3}+y_{3} x_{3}^{3}
$$

was possible: the result proved in section 2 shows that it is not possible. The author hopes that the techniques introduced here can be pushed to yield more information.

Interest in the direct summand conjecture has been magnifield by the fact, established in $\left[\mathrm{H}_{5}\right]$, that it already implies most of the consequences of the existence of big Cohen-Macaulay modules, such as the old and new intersection theorems, and, hence, Bass' question and M. Auslander's zero divisor conjecture. See $\left[A_{1}\right],\left[A_{2}\right],[B],\left[H_{1}\right],\left[H_{2}\right],\left[H_{3}\right],\left[H_{5}\right],[R],\left[P S_{1}\right]$, and $\left[P S_{2}\right]$.

The third section deals with the construction of big CohenMacaulay modules for a certain class of complete local domains of mixed characteristic $p$ (the equicharacteristic case is done in $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]$ ). These are the domains which have an integral extension domain $T$ with an element $q \in T$ such that $q$ divides $p$ in $T$ and the Frobenius is an automorphism of $T / q T$. This result is related to the result in $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3}\right]$ for rings embeddable in a good way in rings of generalized witt vectors, but is incomparable to it, and has several advantages, one of which is a substantially simpler proof. We note that the argument given is closely related to the ideas of Section 2 , but has been developed so as to avoid any direct reference to that section.

The construction in $\S 2$ is similar to one used by [Lejeune-Teissier, Transversalité, Polygones de Newton et installations (notes prises par M. Galbiati), Astérisque, 6-10 (1973), 75-119].
2. Integrally closed ideals and an associated graded ring.

Let $R \subset S$ be domains with $S$ integral over $R$ (perhaps, $S=R$ ) and let $I$ be an ideal of $R$. Let $t$ be an indeterminate over $S$. The integral closure $S_{1}$ of the Rees ring $R[$ It $]=R[i t: i \in I]$ in $S[t]$ has the form $s+J_{1} t+J_{2} t^{2}+\ldots+J_{k} t^{k}+\ldots$ where the $J_{k}$ are ideals of $S$. Evidently, $S_{1}$ is also the integral closure of $S[I t]=$ S[ISt]. The ideal $J_{1}$ is, by definition, the integral closure of $I$ in $S$ : evidently, it is the same as the integral closure of $I S$ in $S$, and we denote it $\overline{I S}$ (or $\bar{I}$ if $R=S$ ). Using the t-grading, it is easy to see that $s \in \overline{I S}$ if and only if there exists an integer $m \geq 1$ and elements $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}$ with $i_{k} \in I^{k}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq m$, such that $s^{m}+i_{1} s^{m-1}+\ldots+i_{m}=0$. We then refer to $z^{m}+\sum_{k=1}^{m} i_{k} z^{m-k}$ as an equation of integral dependence for $s$ on $I$.

We set down some basic facts for future reference:
(2.1) Lemma. a) If $S$ is normal, $\overline{U S}=u S$.
b) $\quad$ IS $\cap R=\bar{I} \quad$ (in $R$.
c) $\overline{\overline{I S}}=\overline{I S}$.
d) $\overline{I S}^{n} \subset \overline{I^{n} S}$
e) $\overline{I_{1} S} \overline{I_{2} S} \subset \overline{I_{1} I_{2} S}$.

In fact, a), b), c) and d) are quite trivial from the point of view we have taken. (In d), note that $J_{n}=\overline{I^{n} S}$.)
e) also is easy: If $s_{i} t_{i}$ is integral over $R\left[I_{i} t_{i}\right], i=1,2$, then $s_{1} t_{1} s_{2} t_{2}$ is integral over $s_{2}=R\left[I_{1} t_{1}, I_{2} t_{2}\right]$ and, by a bidegree argument, is in fact integral over the subring which is the sum of the pieces of bidegree $(k, k), k \geq 0$, i.e. over $R\left[I_{1} I_{2}\left(t_{1} t_{2}\right)\right]$.

A different viewpoint is that $\overline{I S}=\bigcap_{V} I V$, where $V$ runs through all valuation rings of $S$. We refer the reader to [ZS], Appendix 4 for further details. (The term "complete ideal" is used instead of "integrally closed ideal" in [ZS].)

Now let $S$ be a domain, $J$ an ideal of $S$, and let $\Phi^{+}$ be the nonnegative rational numbers. If $q \in \mathbb{Q}^{+}$and we write $q=a / b, \quad$ it is understood that $a, b$ are integers and $b \geq 1$. We define a filtration of $S$, indexed by $Q^{+}$, as follows: if $q=a / b, J_{q}=\left\{s \in s: s^{b} \in \overline{J^{a}}\right\}$. We must first check that $S_{q}$ (omitting the subscript "J") is well-defined. Suppose $t$ is a positive integer and $s_{q}^{\prime}=\left\{s \in s: s^{b t} e \bar{J}^{a t}\right\}$. Clearly, $S_{q} \subset s_{q}^{\prime}$ since $s^{b} \in \overline{J^{a}}$ implies $\left(s^{b}\right)^{t} \in \overline{\left(J^{a}\right)^{t}}$ (by 2.1)d)), while $S_{q}^{\prime} \subset S_{q}$ because an equation of integral dependence for $s^{b t}$ on $J^{\text {at }}$ may be viewed as one for $s^{b}$ on $J^{a}$.

Let $J^{S^{+}}=U_{q^{\prime}}>q J^{S}{ }_{q}$. We frequently omit the subscript "J" in the sequel.
(2.2) Proposition. a) $S_{q}$ (respectively, $S_{q}^{+}$) is an integrally closed ideal of $S$.
b) $S_{0}=S$ (respectively, $S_{0}^{+}=\operatorname{Rad} J$ ) , $S_{r} \in S_{q}$ (respectively, $s_{r}^{+} \subset s_{q}^{+}$) if $r \geq q$, and $s_{q} \cdot s_{r} \in s_{q+r}$ (respectively, $\left.S_{q}^{+} \cdot s_{r} \propto s_{q+r}^{+}\right) \cdot \quad s_{q}^{+} \odot s_{q}$.
c) If $T$ is a domain integral over $S, J T_{T}^{T} \cap S=J_{q}$ (respectively, $J T^{T}{ }_{q}^{+} \cap S=J^{S_{q}^{+}}$.
d) If $J=\left(j_{\lambda}: \lambda \in \Lambda\right) S, T$ is a domain integral over $S$ which contains, for each $\lambda, a \operatorname{b}$, root $h_{\lambda}$ of $j_{\lambda}$, and $J_{1}=\left(h_{\lambda}: \lambda \epsilon \Lambda\right) T$ then $\quad J_{a / b}=\overline{J_{1}^{a}} \cap s$.
e) If $q \in \Phi^{+}$and $r=c / d \in \Phi^{+}-\{0\}$, then if $s^{r}$ denotes $a d^{\text {th }}$ root of $s^{c}$ which happens to lie in $S, s^{r} e_{q}$ (respectively, $S_{q}^{+}$) if and only if $s e S_{q / r}$ (respectively, $S_{q / r}^{+}$).

Proof. The parenthetical statements about $S_{q}^{+}$follow easily once the versions involving $S_{q}$ are established. $c$ ) is clear, for if $s \in S, s^{b}$ is integral over $J^{a} \Longleftrightarrow$ it is integral over $J^{a} T$.
d) holds because $J T \subset J_{1}^{b} \subset \overline{J T} \Longrightarrow J_{1}^{b}=J T \Longrightarrow \overline{J_{1}^{a} T}=\overline{J_{1}^{a b}}$
and $t \in J_{J}^{T} a / b \Longleftrightarrow t^{b} \in \overline{J^{a} T}=\overline{J_{1}^{a b}} \Longleftrightarrow t \in J_{1} T_{a b / b}=J_{1} T_{a} \Longleftrightarrow$
$t \in \overline{J_{1}^{a}}$. a) follows from d). b) is immediate from the definition. e) holds because, if $q=a / b, s^{r} e s a / b \Longleftrightarrow$ $s^{r b d} \in s_{a d} \Longleftrightarrow s^{b c} \in s_{a d} \Longleftrightarrow s \in s_{a d / b c} \cdot$ Q.E.D.

If $I \subset R \subset S$ with $S$ integral over $R$ we may write $I^{S_{q}}$ etc. for IS $^{S_{q}}$ etc.

Now define $\left[G_{J}(s)\right]_{q}$ or, briefly, $[G(S)]_{q}$ or, even more briefly $G_{q} \quad b y$

$$
G_{q}=s_{q} / S_{q}^{+}
$$

The map $S_{q} \times S_{r} \rightarrow S_{q+r}$ given by multiplication induces a $\operatorname{map} G_{q} \times G_{r} \rightarrow G_{q+r}$ and hence a graded (by $\Phi^{+}$) commutative ring structure on

$$
G_{J}(S)=\oplus_{q} \in \Phi^{+}\left[G_{J}(S)\right]_{q}
$$

Since $J^{n}<S_{n}$ when $n \geq 0$ is an integer, we have a homomorphism $\theta: g_{J}(S) \rightarrow G_{J}(S)$, where $g_{J}(S)=\oplus_{n} \geq 0 J^{n} / J^{n+1}$ is the usual associated graded ring. If $I \subset R \subset S, S$ integral over $I$, we have a commutative diagram:


In particular, we have a map $g r_{I}(R) \rightarrow G_{I}(S)$.
(2.3) Proposition. $G_{J}(S)$ is integral over $\operatorname{Im} g_{I}(R)$ and, hence, over $\operatorname{Im} G_{I}(R)$. Moreover, $G_{I}(R) \rightarrow G_{I}(S)$ is injective.

Proof. If $q \in \Phi^{+}, s \in{ }_{J} S_{q}$, let ${ }^{[s]}{ }_{q}$ be the image of $s$ in $G_{q}=J^{S} q^{\prime} J^{\prime} S_{q}^{+}$. Suppose $[s]_{q} \in G_{q}$, $q=a / b$. If $z^{m}+\sum_{k=1}^{m} i_{k} z^{m-k}$ is any equation of integral dependence for $s^{b}$ on $I^{a}$ (thus, $i_{k} e I^{\text {ak }}$, not merely $I^{a k} S$, then $z^{m b}+\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left[i_{k}\right]_{k b} z^{m b-k b}$ is a (homogeneous) equation of integral dependence for $[s]_{q}$ on $I_{m}\left(g r_{I}(R) \rightarrow G_{J}(S)\right)$ in $G_{J}(S)$. The injectivity is immediate from (2.2) d). Q.E.D.

In general, $g r_{I}(R) \rightarrow G_{I}(R)$ is not injective.
(2.4) Proposition. $G_{J}(S)$ is reduced.

Proof. This is immediate, from (2.2) e). Q.E.D.

We call (R,I) admissible if
i) $\quad \mathrm{R}$ is normal
ii) $\overline{I^{n}}=I^{n}$, all $n$
iii) $\bigcap_{n} I^{n}=0$
iv) if $r, r^{\prime} \in R-\{0\}$ then $\operatorname{ord}_{I}\left(r r^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{ord}_{I} r+\operatorname{ord}_{I} r^{\prime}$, where $\operatorname{ord}_{I} r=\sup \left\{n: r \in I^{n}\right\}$.
(2.5) Proposition. If ( $R, I$ ) is admissible and $S$
is a domain integral over $R$, then $g r_{I} R \rightarrow G_{I}(S)$ is injective.

Proof. Let $n$ be a nonnegative integer. The result reduces to the assertion that $S_{n}^{+} \cap R \subset I^{n+1}$. Suppose $r \in S_{n}^{+} \cap R$, say $r \in S_{n+a / b}, a, b>0$. Then $r^{b} \in S_{n b+a} \cap R=$
$\overline{I^{n b+a}} \cap R=\overline{I^{n b+a}}=I^{n b+a} \Longrightarrow$ ord $_{I} r^{b} \geq n b+a \Longrightarrow$ ord $_{I} r$ $\geq n b+a \Longrightarrow \operatorname{ord}_{I} r>n \Longrightarrow r \in I^{n+1}$.
Q.E.D.

Note: We have not used the full strenth of iv): only that $\operatorname{ord}_{I} r^{n}=n$ ord $I^{r}, \quad r \neq 0$.
(2.6) Corollary. If $R$ is a regular Noetherian local ring with maximal ideal $m$ and $S$ is a domain integral over $R$, then $G_{m}(S)$ is an integral extension of the polynomial ring $g r_{m}(R)$.
(2.7) Proposition. Let $R$ be a Noetherian domain, $I \subset R$ a proper ideal, and $S$ a domain integral over $R$. Then $\cap_{n} I_{n}=(0)$, where $n$ runs through the positive integers.

Proof. If $s \in \cap_{n} S_{n}$, $s \neq 0$, then $s$ has a multiple in $R-\{0\}$. Thus it suffices to show that $(0)=\left(\cap_{n} S_{n}\right) \cap R=$ $\cap_{n}\left(S_{n} \cap R\right)=\cap_{n} \overline{I^{n}}$. It suffices to show this for a larger Noetherian domain $R_{1} \supset \mathrm{R}$ with I replaced by $I R_{1}$. Choose $m$ つI maximal, and replace $R$ by the normalization of the quotient of the completion of $R$ by a prime disjoint from $R_{i n}-0$ : the new ring is a complete normal local domain $A$ with $J=I A$ inside the maximal ideal. Since $A$ is complete, it is pseudogeometric, and the normalization $\sum_{n} \overline{J^{n}} t^{n}$ is module-finite over $\mathrm{A}[\mathrm{Jt}]$ and hence finitely generated as a A-algebra. It follows that for some $k, \quad \sum_{n k} \overline{J^{n k}} t^{n k}$ is generated over $A$ by $\overline{J^{k}} t^{k}$, so that $\overline{J^{n k}}=\left(\overline{J^{k}}\right)^{n}$, all n. Thus $\bigcap_{n} \overline{J^{n}} \subset \bigcap_{n} \overline{J^{n k}}=\cap_{n}\left(J^{k}\right)^{n}=(0)$. Q.E.D.
(2.8) Theorem. Let ( $R, I$ ) be admissible and $S$ a domain integral over $R$.
a) Let $s \in s-\{0\}$ satisfy $g(z)=z^{d}+\sum_{i=1}^{d} s_{i} z^{d-i}, s_{i} \in s$, and suppose moreover that $s_{i} \in S_{q_{i}}$. Let $q=\min _{i}\left\{q_{i} / i\right\}$. Then $s \in S_{q}$.
b) Let $s \in S-\{0\}$ have minimal polynomial $f(z)=z^{m}+$ $\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i} z^{m+i}$ over the fraction field $F$ of $R$ (since $R$ is normal; every $\left.r_{i} \in R\right)$. Then for every integer $n \geq 0$, $s \in \overline{I^{n} S}=s_{n} \rightleftarrows \min _{i}\left\{\operatorname{ord}_{I^{\prime}} r_{i}\right\} \geq n$.
c) For every $s \in s-\{0\}$ there is a unique $q \in \mathbb{Q}^{+}$such that $s \in S_{q}-S_{q}^{+}$. If $d=\operatorname{LCM}\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$ where $m$ is the degree of $s$ over $F$, then $d_{q}$ is an integer.

We write rat $_{I} s=q$, and call $q$ the rational order of s with respect to I.
d) If $s \in s-\{0\}$, $\operatorname{rat}_{I} s^{n}=n\left(\operatorname{rat}_{I} s\right)$ for every integer $n$.
e) If $r \in R-0$, rat $I^{r}=\operatorname{ord}_{I} r$, and for every $s \in s-\{0\}$, $\operatorname{rat}_{I}(r s)=\operatorname{ord}_{I}(r s)=\operatorname{ord}_{I}(r)+\operatorname{rat}_{I}(s)$.
f) $\operatorname{rat}_{I}\left(s_{1}+s_{2}\right) \geq \min \left\{r a t_{I}\left(s_{1}\right),\left\{r a t_{I}\left(s_{2}\right)\right\}\right.$, with equality unless $\operatorname{rat}_{I}\left(s_{I}\right)=\operatorname{rat}_{I}\left(s_{2}\right)$ (view rat $(0)$ as $+\infty$ ).

Proof. a) Let $b$ be a common denominator for the $q_{i} / i$, let $q_{i}=a_{i} i / b$, and let $J$ be the ideal generated by $b^{\text {th }}$ roots of the generators of $I$ in an integral extension domain $T$ of $S$ Let $a=\min _{i} a_{i}$, so that $q=a / b$. The hypothesis implies
that $s_{l} \in \overline{J^{a_{i}^{i}}} \subset \overline{J^{i i}}$, so that $s t$ is integral over $\sum_{n} \overline{J^{a n} t^{n}}$ which implies $s e \overline{J^{a}} \cap s=s_{a / b}=s_{q}$.
b) $s \in s$ is in $\overline{I^{n} S} \Longleftrightarrow s t^{n}$ is integral over $R[I t]$. Since $I^{n}=\overline{I^{n}}$, all $n$ and $R$ is normal, $R[I t]$ is normal, and so $s t^{n}$ is integral over $R[I t] \Longleftrightarrow$ the coefficients of its minimal polynomial over $F(t)$ are in $R[I t]$. But this minimal polynomial is $\left(t^{n}\right)^{m} f\left(z / t^{n}\right)=z^{m}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} t^{n i} r_{i} z^{m-i}$, and so $s \in \overline{I^{n} S} \Longleftrightarrow r_{i} \in I^{n i}, 1 \leq i \leq m \Longleftrightarrow o r d_{I} r_{i} \geq n i$, all $i \leftrightarrow \min _{i}\left\{\right.$ ord $\left._{I} r_{i} / i\right\} \geq n$, as required.
c) Let $s \in S-\{0\}$ be given with $m, f(z)$ as in b). and let $Q=\left\{q \in Q^{+}: s \in s_{q}\right\}$. $Q$ is bounded above by any integer $h$ which exceeds $\min _{i}\left\{o \operatorname{lor}_{I}\left(r_{i}\right) / i\right\}$. To complete the proof, it will suffices to show that given any $q \in \mathscr{Q}$, there exists $r \in G$ with $r \geq q$ and $d r$ an integer, for then the largest element of $Q \cap\{a / d: c$ a nonnegative integer with $c \leq h d\}$, which will be a nonempty finite set, must also be the largest element of $Q$. Suppose $T$ is a domain integeral over $S$ which contains all the roots $s=s_{1} \ldots . s_{m}$ of $f(z)$. Suppose $q=b / a$, and let $g$ be an equation of integral dependence for $s^{b}$ on $I^{a}$, with coefficients in $R$. Then $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m}$ all satisfy this equation, so that every $s_{j} \in S_{q}$. It follows that the $k^{\text {th }}$ elementary symmetric function, $\pm r_{j}$, of the $s_{j}$ is in $s_{k q} \cap R$. Choose an integer $w_{k}$ such that
$w_{k}-1<k_{q} \leq w_{k}$. Then $r_{j} \in S_{k q} \cap R \subset S_{w_{k}-1}^{+} \cap R=I^{w_{k}}$, i.e.
ord $_{I} r_{j} \geq w_{k}$, so that $r_{j} \in S_{w_{k}}$. By a), $s \in S_{r}$, where $r=\min _{k}\left\{\omega_{k} / k\right\}$, and, clearly, $d r$ is an integer. This establishes a).
d) is imnediate from Proposition (2.2c).
e) Clearly, rat ${ }_{I} r \geq$ ord $_{I} r$. But if ord ${ }_{I} r=n$ and $\operatorname{rat}_{I} r>n$, then $[r]_{n} \longmapsto 0$ under $g r_{I} R \rightarrow G_{I}(S)$, contradicting Proposition (2.5). This establishes the first statement in e).

To prove the second statement, suppose $r \in R-\{0\}$, $s \in s-\{0\}$, ord $I_{I}=n, \quad \operatorname{rat}_{I} s=\frac{a}{b}$, and $\quad$ rat ${ }_{I} r s=n+\frac{a}{b}+\frac{c}{b}$, where $c$ is a positive integer and $b$ is a common denominator for rat $I^{s}$ and rat $r$. (It is clear that $r a t_{I} r s \geq n+\frac{a}{b} \cdot$ ) Then ord $I^{r} r^{b}=b n$, $r a t_{I} s^{b}=a$, and $r a t r^{r} r^{b}=b n+a+c$. Thus, if $f(z)$ is the minimal polynomial of $s^{b}$ over $F$, say $f(z)=z^{m}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i} z^{m-i}$, then the minimal polynomial of $r^{b} s^{b}$ is $\quad\left(r^{b}\right)^{m} f\left(z / r^{b}\right)=z^{m}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i}\left(r^{b}\right)^{i} z^{m-i}$. Since $r^{b} s^{b} \in s_{b n+a+c}$ we have that $\min _{i}\left\{o r d_{I}\left(r_{i}\left(r^{b}\right)^{i}\right) / i\right\} \geq b n+a+c$. Since ( $R, I$ ) is admissible, ord $\operatorname{or}_{I}\left(r_{i} r^{b}\right)^{i} / i=\left(o r d_{I} r_{i}\right) / i+b \operatorname{ord}_{I} r=\left(o r d_{I} r_{i}\right) / i$ $+b n$. Thus, $\min _{i}\left\{\left(\operatorname{ord}_{I} r_{i}\right) / i\right\}+b_{n} \geq b n+a+c$ and $\left.\min _{i}\left\{\left(o r d_{I} r_{i}\right) / i\right)\right\}$ $\geq a+c$. By a) (or b)) we have rat $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}} \geq \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{c}$, a contradiction. f) is clear. Q.E.D.
(2.9) Corollary. Let ( $R, I$ ) be admissible and $S$ a domain integral over $R$. Then every nonzero element of $g r^{R}$ is a nonzero divisor on $G_{I}(S)$.

In particular, this holds when $R$ is regular local and I is the maximal ideal.

Proof. It suffices to show that if $[r]_{n},[s]_{a / b}$ are nonzero forms in $g r_{I} R, G_{I}(S)$ respectively, then $[r s]_{n+a / b} \neq 0$, which is precisely the content of Theorem (2.8e). Q.E.D.

The direct summand conjecture (see $\left[\mathrm{H}_{1}\right],\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right],\left[\mathrm{H}_{4}\right]$ ) is equivalent to the assertion that if $R$ is a regular local ring of dimension $d$ with maximal ideal ( $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}$ ) (so that $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}$ is a regular system of parameters) and $S$ is a domain integral over $R$, then $x_{1}^{a}, \ldots, x_{d}^{a} \notin\left(x_{I}^{b}, \ldots, x_{d}^{b}\right) S$ if $a<b$. Until recently, the author was unable to establish this even if $d=3, a=2, b=3$. The next result, while still a long way from what is needed, at least handles this case.
(2.10) Theorem. Let $R$ be a regular local ring of dimension $d$ with maximal ideal $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)$ and let $S \supset R$ be a ring integral over $R$.

Suppose $a, b$ are positive integers such that $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)^{a}$ $\epsilon\left(x_{1}^{b}, \ldots, x_{d}^{b}\right) s$. Then $a / b>2 / d$ if $d \geq 3$.

Proof. Suppose $\left(x_{1} \cdots x_{d}\right)^{a}=\sum_{i=1}^{d} y_{i} x_{i}^{b}$. By killing a minimal prime of $S$ disjoint from $R$ - \{0\}, we may suppose that $S$ is a domain. Assume that $a / b \leq 2 / d$. The direct summand conjecture is known in the equicharacteristic case $\left[H_{1}\right]$. Hence,
we may assume that $R$ is of mixed characteristic and hence that the fraction field $F$ of $R$ has characteristic 0 . We may replace $S$ by $R\left[y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{d}\right]$ and so assume that $S$ is module-finite over $R$. Let $L$ be a finite Galois field extension of $F$ containing $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}$, let $G=A u t_{F} L$ be the Galois group, and enlarge $S$ further to contain all the $g\left(y_{i}\right)$, $g \in G$. Let $G$ have order $h$ : By applying each element $g$ of $G$ to the equation $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)^{a}=\sum_{i=1}^{d} y_{i} x_{i}^{b}$, we obtain a system of equations

$$
\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)^{a}=\sum_{i=1}^{d} g\left(y_{i}\right) x_{i}^{b}, \quad g \in G,
$$

which, for a fixed $i$ we can rewrite as

$$
g\left(y_{i}\right) x_{i}^{b}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)^{a}-\sum_{j \neq i} g\left(y_{i}\right) x_{j}^{b}
$$

If we take the sum of the products of these equations $k$ at a time, $l \leq k \leq h$, then the right hand side becomes a linear combination of the products of k-element subsets of $\left\{\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{d}\right)^{\mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{x}_{1}^{\mathrm{b}}, \ldots, \widehat{x_{i}}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{b}}\right\}$, where $\widehat{\wedge}$ indicates omission, with coefficients invariant under $G$, ie. in $R$, while the left hand side is $\sigma_{k} x_{i}^{k b}$, where $\sigma_{k}$ is the $k t h$ elementary symmetric function of the $g\left(y_{i}\right), g \in G$. If $\sigma_{i}=$ $\left(\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{d}\right)^{a}, x_{1}^{b}, \ldots, \widehat{x_{1}^{b}}, \ldots, x_{n}^{b}\right) \subset R$, we then have

$$
\sigma_{k} x_{i}^{k b} \in Q_{i}^{k} \Longrightarrow \sigma_{k} \in \Omega_{i}^{k}: x_{i}^{k b} .
$$

Since $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}$ is a regular sequence in $R$, one can compute $\sigma^{k}: x_{i}^{k b}$ just as though $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{b}$ were indeterminates in a polynomial ring [EH]. One obtains:

$$
O q_{i}^{k}: x_{i}^{k b}=\sum\left(\left(\left(x_{1} \cdots x_{d}\right)^{k_{0}^{a}} \Pi_{1 \leq j \leq d, j \neq i} x_{j}^{k_{j}^{b}}\right):\left(x_{i}^{k b}\right)\right)
$$

where the summation is extended over all d-tuples
$\left(k_{0}, k_{1}, \ldots, k_{i-1}, k_{i+1}, \ldots, k_{d}\right)$ of nonnegative integers such that $\sum_{j \neq i} k_{j}=k$. Since $b>a$ and $k_{0} \leq k$, we obtain, writing
 where $\mathcal{L}_{i}=\left(z_{i}^{a}, x_{1}^{b}, \ldots, x_{i}^{b}, \ldots, x_{d}^{b}\right) R$. Since $y_{i}$ satisfies the equation

$$
\Pi_{g \in G}\left(Y-g\left(Y_{i}\right)\right)=0
$$

in which the coefficient of $Y^{k}$ is $\pm \sigma_{k}$, and since $\sigma_{k} \in Q_{i}^{k}: x_{i}^{k b}=\mathcal{L}_{i}^{k}$, we find that $Y_{i}$ is integral over $\mathcal{F}_{i}$, $1 \leq i \leq d$.

Now let $T$ be the integral closure of $S$ in an algebraic closure of its fraction field. $T$ is integral over the regular local ring $R$, and if $m$ denotes the maximal ideal of $R$, we may form the big associated graded ring $G_{m}(T)$.

Now ord $\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{d}\right)^{a}=d a$, ord $x_{j}^{b}=b$, ord $z_{i}^{a}=(d-1) a$, and so rat $y_{j} \geq \min \{(d-1) a, b\}$.

We want to reduce to the case where $(d-1) a \geq b$. We first choose $c, ~ a ~ p o s i t i v e ~ i n t e g e r, ~ s u c h ~ t h a t ~$

$$
1 / b c \leq(2 / d)-1 /(d-1)
$$

( $d \geq 3$ here). We can then replace the regular ring $R$ by $R^{*}=R\left[x_{1}^{1 / C}, \ldots, x_{d}^{1 / c}\right]$ (the new ring is still regular, since $x_{1}^{l / c}, \ldots, x_{d}^{l / c}$ generate the maximal ideal). If $\hat{x}_{i}=x_{i}^{l / c}$, we then have

$$
\left(\hat{x}_{1} \cdots \hat{x}_{d}\right) a c=\sum y_{i}\left(\hat{x}_{i}\right)^{b c} .
$$

Thus, we can replace $a, b$ by $a c, b c$. We return to our old notation. We now can assume that $1 / b<(2 / d)-1 /(d-1)$. We next increase $a$ by one succesively until we reach an integer $a^{\prime}$ such that $a^{\prime} / b \geq 1 /(d-1)$. Thus, we shall have $a^{\prime}=$ $a+t+l$, where $(a+t) / b<l /(d-l))$ while $(a+t+l) / b$ $\geq 1 /(d-1)$. Then $a^{\prime} / b=(a+t) / b+1 / b<l /(d-1)+((2 / d)-$ $1 /(d-1))=2 / d$. Multiplying the equation

$$
\left(x_{1} \cdots x_{d}\right)^{a}=\sum y_{i} x_{i}^{b}
$$

by $\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{d}\right)^{t+1}$ yields

$$
\left(x_{1} \cdots x_{d}\right)^{a^{\prime}}=\sum y_{i}^{\prime} x_{i}^{b}
$$

where $1 /(d-1) \leq a \prime / b \leq 2 / d$. Thus, without loss of generality we may assume that $1 /(d-1) \leq a / b \leq 2 / d$ (we again return to our previous notation).

Then

$$
d a=\operatorname{ord}\left(x_{1} \cdots x_{d}\right)^{a}=\operatorname{rat}\left(\sum y_{i} x_{i}^{b}\right) \geq 2 b
$$

This gives a contradiction at once unless da $=2 b$, since we have that $d a \leq 2 b$. Thus, there remains only to treat the subtler case where $d a=2 b$. But we can now take "leading forms" to obtain the following equation in $G_{m}(T)$ :

$$
\left[\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{d}\right)^{a}\right]_{2 b}=\sum_{i}\left[y_{i}\right]_{b}\left[x_{i}^{b}\right]_{b}
$$

or
(\#) $\quad \Pi_{i}\left(\left[x_{i}\right]_{1}\right)^{a}=\sum_{i}\left[y_{i}\right]_{b}\left(\left[x_{i}\right]_{1}\right)^{b}$

But $G_{m}(T)$ is an integral extension domain of $K\left[\bar{x}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{d}\right]$, where $K=R / m$ and $\bar{x}_{i}=\left[x_{i}\right]_{1}$. Hence, equation (\#) cannot hold for $b>a$ because the direct summand conjecture is known in the equicharacteristic case. Q.E.D.
3. A class of mixed characteristic rings possessing big Cohen-Macaulay modules.

Let ( $R, m$ ) be a (Noetherian) complete local domain of mixed characteristic with residual characteristic p > 0. We shall say that an integral domain $T \supset R$ is a wonderful extension if

1) $T$ is integral over $R$
2) there is an element $q \in T$ such that $p \in q T$ and the Frobenius is an automorphism of $T / q T$.

Condition 2) is equivalent to the assertion that $q T$ is radical and every element of $T$ has a $p^{t h}$ root modulo $q T$. Of course, $T$ is not Noetherian. We do not know whether every complete local domain has a wonderful extension. The problem is to adjoin sufficiently many elements to serve as pth roots modulo (q) while not permitting ramification at $q$.

Our interest in this notion is motivated by:
(3.1) Theorem. If $R$ has a wonderful extension $T$,
then $R$ has a big Cohen-Macaulay module.
Proof. $\quad R$ is module-finite over a complete regular local ring $A=V\left[\left[x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]\right]$, where $V$ is a discrete valuation ring with maximal ideal $p v$. Let $x_{1}=q$. We shall show that there is a $T$-module $N$ such that $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) N \neq N$ and $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$
a regular sequence on $N$. This implies that $N$ is a big Cohen-Macaulay module for $R[q]$, hence that there is a big Cohen-Macaulay module for $R[q]$ which is $A-f r e e, ~ a n d$ hence a big Cohen-Macaulay module for $R$ for the system of parameters $p, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$.

We recall from $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]$ that if no such T -module N exists, then there is a positive integer $r$ and integers $k_{0} \ldots \ldots k_{r-1}$, $0 \leq k_{i} \leq n-1$ and a sequence

$$
\left(M_{0}, m_{0}\right)=(T, 1) \xrightarrow{f_{0}}\left(M_{1}, n_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{f_{1}} \cdots \stackrel{f_{2}}{\longrightarrow}\left(M_{i}, m_{i}\right) \xrightarrow{f_{i}} \ldots \xrightarrow{f_{r-1}}\left(M_{r}, m_{r}\right)
$$

such that:

1) $M_{i}$ is a $T$-module, $0 \leq i \leq r$
2) $\quad m_{i} \in M_{i}, 0 \leq i \leq r$.
3) $\quad f_{j}: M_{i} \rightarrow M_{i+1}$ is a T-linear map such that $f_{i}\left(m_{i}\right)=$ $m_{i+1}, 0 \leq i \leq r-1$
4) $f_{i}$ is a modification of $M_{i}$ of type $k_{i}$, i.e. there is an element $u_{i} \in\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k_{i}}\right) M_{i}: x_{k_{i}+1} T$ such that $f_{i}$ is the map

$$
M_{i} \rightarrow\left(M_{i} \oplus T^{k}\right) / T\left(u_{i} \oplus\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k_{i}}\right)\right)
$$

induced by the inclusion $M_{i} \rightarrow M_{i} \oplus T_{i}$
5) $m_{r} \in\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) M_{r}$.

The last condition makes the sequence of modifications "bad" in the terminology of $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]$. We shall assume the existence of a bad sequence of modifications as above for fixed integers $r, k_{0} \ldots, k_{r-1}$ and obtain a contradiction.

We first claim that there exist linear maps $\phi_{i}: M_{i} \rightarrow T$, $0 \leq i \leq r$ such that $\phi_{0}=i d T$, positive integers $e_{0}, \ldots, e_{r-1}$, elements $a_{0}, \ldots, a_{r-1} \in A-P A$ and elements $c_{0}, \ldots, c_{r-1} \in T$ such that for each $i, 0 \leq i \leq r-1, c_{i}^{p_{i}^{e}} \equiv a_{i}$ modulo qT, the order $d_{i}$ of $\bar{a}_{i_{e}} \in A / p A$ with respect to the maximal ideal of $A / P A$ is $<\frac{1}{r} p_{i}$, and such that the diagram

commutes.
We construct the $\phi_{i}, e_{i-1}, a_{i-i}, c_{i-1}$ by recursion on $i$. If $i=0$, we choose $\phi_{0}=i d$. Now suppose $\phi_{j}$, $j \leq i$, and $e_{j}, a_{j}, c_{j}, j \leq i-1$ have been constructed already, so that the conditions above are satisfied and the diagram

commutes. It will suffice to construct $\phi_{i+1}: M_{i} \rightarrow T, e_{i}, a_{i}$ and $c_{i}$ such that $c_{i}^{p}{ }^{e_{i}} \equiv a_{i}$ modulo $q T, \quad d_{i}=$ ord $\bar{a}_{i}<\frac{1}{r} p^{e_{i}}$ and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
M_{i} & \xrightarrow{f_{i}} M_{i+1} \\
\phi \\
\downarrow & \xrightarrow{\phi_{i+1}} \downarrow \\
\mathbf{T} & \xrightarrow{c_{i}}
\end{array}
$$

commutes. We shall abbreviate by omitting the subscript i, and write $\phi, M, f, k$ and $u$ for $\phi_{i}, M_{i}, f_{i}, k_{i}$ and $u_{i}$ respectively. Now $f$ is the map

$$
M \rightarrow\left(M \oplus T^{k}\right) / T\left(u \oplus\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)\right)
$$

where $u \in\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) M: x_{k+1} T$, so that we may write $x_{k+1} u=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{i} m_{i}, m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k} \in M$. Applying $\phi$ we have $x_{k+1}(u)=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{i} \phi\left(m_{i}\right)$. We next prove a crucial lemma.
(3.2) Lemma. Let $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}, t \in T$ be elements such that $t x_{k+1}=t_{1} x_{1}+\ldots+t_{k} x_{k}$. Then we can choose a $\in A-P A$ such that for all positive integers $e$ if $c \in T$ and $c \equiv \bar{a}^{1 / p^{e}}$ module $q T$, then ct $\in\left(x_{1}, \ldots x_{k}\right) T$.

Proof. If $k=0$ we have $t x_{1}=0$ in $T$ and so $t=0$ and we may choose $\mathrm{b}=1$.

Note that qt $\cap \mathrm{A} \supset \mathrm{pA}$ and must have height one: since pA is prime, we have $\mathrm{qT} \cap \mathrm{A}=\mathrm{pA}$. Let $\overline{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{pA}, \quad \overline{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{qT}$.

Thus, $\bar{A} \hookrightarrow \bar{T}$. If $K$ is the residue class field of $V$, $\bar{A}=K\left[\left[\bar{x}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{n}\right]\right]$. Choose $B \subset \bar{T}$ module-finite over $\bar{A}$ and containing $\bar{E}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{t}_{k}, \bar{t}$, where - denotes reduction modulo qT.

Let $L$ be the fraction field of $\bar{A}$. Then $L \otimes_{\bar{A}} B$ is a finite-dimensional vector space over $L$, and we can choose $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m} \in B$ such that $\left\{l \dot{\otimes} b_{i}: l \leq i \leq m\right\}$ is a vector space basis over $L$. It follows that $\bar{A} b_{1}+\ldots+\bar{A} b_{m} \xlongequal{\cong} \overline{\mathrm{~A}}^{\mathrm{m}}$ with $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}$ as free basis over $\bar{A}$ and that $\quad\left[\bar{A} b_{i} \longleftrightarrow B\right.$ has a cokernel which is a torsion-module over $\bar{A}$. Choose a $\in A-p A$ such that $\bar{a}$ is a nonzero element of $\bar{A}$ which kills this cokernel: thus $\bar{a} B \subset \sum \overline{A b}{ }_{i}$. The relation $t x_{k+1}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} t_{j} x_{j}$ yields, in $B, \bar{E} \bar{x}_{k+1}=\sum_{j=2}^{k} \bar{t}_{j} \bar{x}_{j}$. Applying the eth power of Frobenius and multiplying by $\bar{a}$ we obtain

$$
\left(\bar{a} \bar{t}^{p^{e}}\right) \bar{x}_{k+1}^{p^{e}}=\sum_{j=2}^{k}\left(\bar{a} \bar{t}_{j}^{p^{e}}\right) \bar{x}_{j}^{p^{e}}
$$

and $\overline{\mathrm{a}} \overline{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{p}}, \overline{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{t}_{j}^{\mathrm{p}} \in \sum \overline{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{b}_{i} \cong \overline{\mathrm{~A}}^{\mathrm{m}} \subset \mathrm{B}$. The relation given is trivial in $\bar{A}^{m}$, so that we can choose $\theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{j} \in \bar{T}$ such that

$$
\bar{a} \bar{\epsilon}^{e}=\sum_{j=2}^{k} \theta_{j} \bar{x}_{j} p^{e}
$$

Since the Frobenius is an automorphism of $\bar{T}$, we then have

$$
\bar{a}^{-1 / p^{e}} \bar{t}=\sum_{j=2}^{k} \theta_{j}^{1 / p^{e}} \bar{x}_{j} \in\left(\bar{x}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{k}\right) \bar{T} .
$$

Now, if $c \equiv \bar{a}^{-1 / p^{e}}$ modulo $q T$ we have, recalling that $q=x_{1}$, that ct $\in\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) T$. Q.E.D.

We now return to the proof of the theorem. We apply the lemma with $t=\phi(u), \quad t_{i}=\phi\left(m_{j}\right)$. Thus, we can choose $a \in A-p A$ such that for every positive integer e, if ce $T$ and $c \equiv a^{1 / p^{e}}$ modulo $q T$, then $c \phi(u) \in\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) T$. Now $\bar{a}$ will have some order $d$ with respect to the maximal ideal $\left(\bar{x}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{n}\right) \bar{A}$ of $\bar{A}=K\left[\left[\bar{x}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{n}\right]\right]$. Choose $e_{i}=e$ so large that $d / p^{e}<1 / r$. Choose $c_{i}=c$ so that $c \equiv a^{1 / p^{e}}$ modulo qT. All conditions will be satisfied if we can construct $\phi_{i+1}$ so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M \xrightarrow{f}\left(M \oplus T^{k}\right) / T\left(u \oplus\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)\right) \\
& \downarrow \phi \\
& T \xrightarrow{C} \downarrow_{i+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

commutes. To give the map it suffices to give a map $\psi: M \oplus T^{k} \rightarrow T$ whose restriction to $M$ is $C \phi$ and which assigns values $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}$ to the free generators of $T^{k}$ in such a way that $\psi$ kills $u \oplus\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$. The condition on $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}$ is then that

$$
-c \phi(u)=\sum s_{i} x_{i} .
$$

Since $c \phi(u) \in\left(x_{1}, \ldots x_{k}\right) T$, it is possible to choose $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}$. with the required property.

Thus, it is possible to choose the $\phi_{i}, e_{i}, a_{i}, c_{i}$ as stated earlier. Now, since the diagram

commutes, the image of 1 in the lower right hand copy of $T$ may be computed in two ways to show that

$$
c_{0} \cdots c_{r-1}=\phi_{r}\left(m_{r}\right) \in\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) T
$$

(since $m_{r} \in\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) M_{r}$, by virture of the assumption that we have a bad sequence of modifications). Modulo qT (or $x_{1} T$ ) we have

$$
\bar{c}_{0} \ldots \bar{c}_{r-1} \in\left(\bar{x}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{n}\right) \bar{T}
$$

whence

$$
\bar{a}_{0}^{1 / p}{ }^{e_{0}} \ldots \bar{a}_{r-1}^{1 / p}{ }^{e} \in\left(\bar{x}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{n}\right) \bar{T}
$$

for

$$
e \geq \max \left\{e_{0}, \ldots, e_{r-1}\right\}, \text { we have }
$$

$$
\bar{a}^{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{e-e_{0}} \ldots \overline{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{e-e_{r-1}} e\left(\overline{\mathrm{x}}_{2}^{p^{e}}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{n}^{p^{e}}\right) \overline{\mathrm{T}} \cap \bar{A} \subset\left(\bar{x}_{2}, \ldots, \overline{\mathrm{x}}_{n}\right)^{p^{e} \bar{A}}
$$

since $\left(\bar{x}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{n}\right)^{p^{e}}$ is integrallyclosed. But

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{ord}\left(\bar{a}_{0}^{e-e_{0}} \quad \ldots \bar{a}_{r-1}^{e-e_{r-1}}\right) \\
& =\quad \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} p^{e-e_{j}} \text { ord } \bar{a}_{j}=p^{e} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \frac{\text { ord } \bar{a}_{j}}{e_{j}} \\
& <p^{e} \int_{j=0}^{r-1} \frac{1}{r}=p^{e} \text {, so that } \Pi_{j=0}^{r-1} \bar{a}_{j}^{e-p_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

has order strictly less that $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{e}}$, a contradiction. Q.E.D.
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    The material discussed here is related to but differs substantially from that presented in the author's talk at the Rennes meeting, which focused on the fact that most of the consequences, such as the new intersection conjecture $\left[P S_{2}\right],[R]$, of the existence of big CohenMacaulay modules can be deduced from the apparently much weaker direct summand conjecture using the acyclicity, for a larger class of local rings of positive residual characteristic $p$, of a modified version of the Koszul complex (details will appear in [H5]), and which also discussed how the direct summand conjecture might be proved from a $K-$ cheoretic study of intersection theory in a certain family of ambient local hypersurfaces over discrete valuation rings (details will appear in $\left[\mathrm{H}_{4}\right]$ ).
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