

P. BOLLEY

J. CAMUS

THE LAI PHAM

On a Class of Weighted Sobolev's Spaces

Publications des séminaires de mathématiques et informatique de Rennes, 1978, fascicule 3

« Séminaire d'analyse fonctionnelle », , exp. n° 1, p. 1-23

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PSMIR_1978__3_A1_0

© Département de mathématiques et informatique, université de Rennes, 1978, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la série « Publications mathématiques et informatiques de Rennes » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

ON A CLASS OF WEIGHTED SOBOLEV'S SPACES.

P. BOLLEY

Institut de Mathématiques
et Informatique
Université de Nantes

44 000 - NANTES

J. CAMUS

UER Mathématiques &
Informatique
Université de Rennes

35 000 - RENNES

PHAM THE LAI

Institut de Mathématiques
et Informatique
Université de Nantes

44 000 - NANTES

ON A CLASS OF WEIGHTED SOBOLEV SPACESI - CASE OF THE HALF-LINE \mathbb{R}_+ .

For an integer $m \in \mathbb{N}$, two real numbers α and $\beta > 0$ and an interval I of \mathbb{R}_+ , we consider the space :

$$V_{\alpha, \beta}^m(I) = \{u \in \mathcal{D}'(I) ; t^\alpha u \in L^2(I) , t^\beta D_t^m u \in L^2(I)\}$$

equipped by the canonical norm.

Proposition I.1 :

If $u \in V_{\alpha, \beta}^m(0, T)$, where T is a real number strictly positive, we have :

(i) $t^{\beta-j} D_t^{m-j} u \in L^2(0, T)$ for $0 \leq j \leq \text{Min}(j_0, m)$ with $j_0 = [\beta + \frac{1}{2}]_-$;

(ii) $t^{\beta-j} D_t^{m-j} u \in L^2(0, T)$ for $j_0 + 1 \leq j \leq m$ if $j_0 + 1 \leq m$;

(iii) $u \in H^{m-\beta}(0, T)$ if $\beta - m \neq \text{integer} + \frac{1}{2}$.

The notation $[A]_-$ means the greatest integer $< A$.

Proof : Let φ be an indefinitely differentiable function such that $\varphi(t) = 1$ if $t \leq \frac{T}{2}$ and $\varphi(t) = 0$ if $t \geq \frac{3T}{4}$. Put $v = \varphi u$; then $v \in V_{\alpha, \beta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+)$ with bounded support.

Using the Hardy's inequality, we obtain (i).

Again for (ii) : we have $t^{\beta-j_0} D_t^{m-j_0} v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$, also $t^{\beta-j_0+1} D_t^{m-j_0} v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and by the Hardy's inequality, we get $t^{\beta-j} D_t^{m-j-1} v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$;

repeating the same argument, we obtain (ii).

If $\beta > m$, it results from (i) that $t^{\beta-m} u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and consequently

if $\beta - m \neq \text{integer} + \frac{1}{2}$, we have ([4]) $u \in H^{m-\beta}(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

If $\beta \leq m$, then $j_0 \leq m$ and $-\frac{1}{2} < \beta - j_0 \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Then, two cases must be distinguished according to $-\frac{1}{2} < \beta - j_0 \leq 0$ and $0 < \beta - j_0 \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

First case :

$0 < \beta - j_0 \leq \frac{1}{2}$. We have : $t^{\beta-j_0} D_t^{m-j_0-1} v$ and $t^{\beta-j_0} D_t^{m-j_0} v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ (see that $0 < \beta - j_0$ and $\beta \leq m$ implies $j_0 + 1 \leq m$). Then, we have $t^{1/2} D_t^{m-j_0-1} v$ and $t^{1/2} D_t^{m-j_0} v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$, and now we prove that these two conditions imply $D_t^{m-j_0-1} v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

Lemma I-1 :

([1]). If $u \in V_{1/2, 1/2}^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$, then $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

Proof :

If $u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}_+)$, we can write :

$$|u(t)|^2 = 2 \operatorname{Re} \int_t^{+\infty} u(\sigma) \overline{u'(\sigma)} d\sigma$$

and using the Fubini's theorem, it comes :

$$\int_0^{+\infty} |u|^2 dt \leq -2 \operatorname{Re} \int_0^{+\infty} \sigma u(\sigma) \overline{u'(\sigma)} d\sigma \leq \int_0^{+\infty} t |u(t)|^2 dt + \int_0^{+\infty} t |u'(t)|^2 dt.$$

At last, by the density of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ in the space $V_{1/2, 1/2}^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$, we get the lemma I.1.

Now, we prove that $D_t^{m-j_0-1} v \in H^\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}_+)$ with $\varepsilon = 1 - (\beta - j_0)$. For that, put $D_t^{m-j_0-1} v = f$ and $D_t^{m-j_0} v = F$ and compute :

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{2\varepsilon+1}} dx dy = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{|f(x+t) - f(x)|^2}{t^{2\varepsilon+1}} dx dt .$$

But,

$$f(x+t) - f(x) = \int_0^t F(x+\sigma) d\sigma .$$

Then,

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{|f(x+t) - f(x)|^2}{t^{2\varepsilon+1}} dt = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{t^{2\varepsilon+1}} \left| \int_0^{+\infty} F(x+\sigma) d\sigma \right|^2 dt ,$$

and using the Hardy's inequality,

$$\leq C \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{t^{2\varepsilon-1}} |F(x+t)|^2 dt .$$

(C is a constant).

But,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{t^{2\varepsilon-1}} |F(x+t)|^2 dt &= \int_x^{+\infty} \frac{1}{|y-x|^{2\varepsilon-1}} |F(y)|^2 dy \\ &= x^{-2(\varepsilon-1)} \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{1}{|\sigma-1|^{2\varepsilon-1}} |F(\sigma x)|^2 d\sigma \end{aligned}$$

and using the Fubini's theorem, it comes :

$$\int_0^{+\infty} x^{-2(\varepsilon-1)} \left(\int_1^{+\infty} \frac{1}{|\sigma-1|^{2\varepsilon-1}} |F(\sigma x)|^2 d\sigma \right) dx = \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\sigma^{2(\varepsilon-1)-1}}{|\sigma-1|^{2\varepsilon-1}} d\sigma \cdot \int_0^{+\infty} y^{-2(\varepsilon-1)} |F(y)|^2 dy$$

then, $D_t^{m-j_0-1} v \in H^\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $v \in H^{m-\beta}(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

Second case :

$-\frac{1}{2} < \beta - j_0 \leq 0$. The case $\beta - j_0 = 0$ being trivial, we can assume that

$-\frac{1}{2} < \beta - j_0 < 0$. Then, $\frac{1}{2} < \beta - j_0 + 1 < 1$ and we have $D_t^{m-j_0} v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$

and $t^{\beta-j_0+1} D_t^{m-j_0+1} v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. By the same calculus as before we get that

$D_t^{m-j_0} v \in H^\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}_+)$ with $\varepsilon = -(\beta - j_0)$ and finally $v \in H^{m-\beta}(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

The proposition I.1 is proved.

Remark I.1 :

We can improve the result of the proposition I.1 when $\beta - \alpha > m$, in fact we have : if $\beta - \alpha > m$ and if $u \in V_{\alpha, \beta}^m(0, T)$, then $t^{\alpha + \frac{j}{m}(\beta - \alpha)} D_t^j u \in L^2(0, T)$ for $j = 0, \dots, m$. The proof is analogous to that of the following proposition I.2.

Proposition I.2 :

If $\beta - \alpha < m$ and if $u \in V_{\alpha, \beta}^m(T, +\infty)$ where T is a real number > 0 , then :

$$t^{\alpha + \frac{j}{m}(\beta - \alpha)} D_t^j u \in L^2(T, +\infty) \quad \text{for } j = 0, \dots, m.$$

Proof :

It will be made in two steps.

First step :

Reduction to the case $\alpha = 0$.

Lemma I.2 :

If $u \in V_{\alpha, \beta}^m(T, +\infty)$, then : $t^{\beta - m + j} D_t^j u \in L^2(T, +\infty)$.

Proof :

If $\beta \leq \frac{1}{2}$, obviously we have $u \in H^m(T, +\infty)$ and then $t^{\beta - j} D_t^{m-j} u \in L^2(T, +\infty)$ for $j = 0, \dots, m$.

If $\beta > \frac{1}{2}$, then, as in the proposition I.1, we get that $t^{\beta - j} D_t^{m-j} u \in L^2(T, +\infty)$ for $0 \leq j \leq \text{Min}(j_0, m)$ with $j_0 = [\beta + \frac{1}{2}]_-$. At last, since $D_t^{m-j} u \in L^2(T, +\infty)$ for $j = 0, \dots, m$, we get that $t^{\beta - j} D_t^{m-j} u \in L^2(T, +\infty)$ for $j = j_0 + 1, \dots, m$ if $j_0 + 1 \leq m$ ($\beta - j$ is negative).

Lemma I.3 :

The map $u \longrightarrow t^\alpha u$ is an isomorphism from $V_{\alpha,\beta}^m(T,+\infty)$ onto $V_{0,\beta-\alpha}^m(T,+\infty)$.

Proof :

Let u be an element of $V_{\alpha,\beta}^m(T,+\infty)$, we put $v = t^\alpha u$; then $t^{\beta-\alpha} D_t^m v(t) = \sum_{j=0}^m a_j \cdot t^{\beta-j} D_t^{m-j} u(t)$ and by the lemma I.2, it results that $v \in V_{0,\beta-\alpha}^m(T,+\infty)$.

Conversely, let v be an element of $V_{0,\beta-\alpha}^m(T,+\infty)$, we put $u = t^{-\alpha} v$; then $t^\beta D_t^m u(t) = \sum_{j=0}^m a_j \cdot t^{\beta-\alpha-j} D_t^{m-j} v(t)$ and by the lemma I.2, it results that $u \in V_{\alpha,\beta}^m(T,+\infty)$.

Seconde step :

We assume $\alpha = 0$.

We use the change of variable $y = t^{\frac{m-\beta}{m}}$ and of function $w(y) = y^{\beta/2(m-\beta)} u(t)$.

By induction on p , we show that, for $0 \leq p \leq m$, we have :

$$D_y^p w(y) = y^{\beta/2(m-\beta)} \sum_{j=0}^p a_{jp} \cdot t^{j-p+\frac{\beta}{m}} D_t^j u(t) .$$

where $a_{pp} \neq 0$. By the lemma I.2, we get $D_y^m w \in L^2(Y,+\infty)$ where $Y = T^{\frac{m-\beta}{m}}$ and consequently $w \in H^m(Y,+\infty)$ since $w \in L^2(Y,+\infty)$. Then, $D_y^p w \in L^2(Y,+\infty)$ for $p = 0, \dots, m$ and using the precedent formula, we get, by induction on p and since $j-p+\frac{\beta}{m} < \frac{j}{m}$ for $j < p$, that $t^{\frac{j}{m}} D_t^j u \in L^2(T,+\infty)$ for $j = 0, \dots, m$.

The proposition I.2 is proved.

We now apply these results to a sub-class of Sobolev spaces with weights which we will be useful for the following : let be $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $-\sigma$ and δ two real numbers > 0 such that $\sigma+m > 0$ and $\sigma+\delta m > 0$, we consider the space:

$W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+) = \{u \in H^{-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+) ; t^{\sigma+\delta k+j} D_t^j u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \text{ for } \sigma+\delta k+j \geq 0 \text{ and } k+j \leq m\}$

equipped by the canonical norm.

By the propositions I.1 and I.2, this space coincide with the space $V_{\sigma+\delta m, \sigma+m}^m(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

We now give the Sobolev's theorem for the spaces $W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

Proposition I.3 : we have :

i) If $u \in W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+)$, u is continuous on \mathbb{R}_+ and there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $u \in W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+)$, for every $t > 0$, we have :

$$(1.1) \quad |u(t)| \leq C \cdot t^{-\frac{\sigma+m}{2m}} \|u\|_{W_{\sigma, \delta}^m}^{1/2m} \|u\|_{L^2}^{1-1/2m} ;$$

(ii) We assume $-\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$, then : if $u \in W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+)$, u is continuous and bounded on \mathbb{R}_+ and there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $u \in W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+)$, for every $t > 0$, we have :

$$(1.2) \quad |u(t)| \leq C \cdot \|u\|_{W_{\sigma, \delta}^m}^{-1/2\sigma} \|u\|_{L^2}^{1+\frac{1}{2\sigma}} ;$$

$$(1.3) \quad |u(t)| \leq C \cdot t^{-(\sigma+\delta m)+\frac{1}{2}(\delta-1)} \|u\|_{W_{\sigma, \delta}^m} .$$

Proof :

(i) At first, we apply the usual Sobolev's theorem : if $v \in H^m(\mathbb{R}_+)$ with $m \geq 1$, then v is continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{R}_+}$ and there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $v \in H^m(\mathbb{R}_+)$, for every $t \geq 0$, we have :

$$|v(t)|^2 \leq C \left\{ \int_0^{+\infty} |D_t^m v(\tau)|^2 d\tau + \int_0^{+\infty} |v(\tau)|^2 d\tau \right\} .$$

If $w \in W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+)$, the function v defined by $v(\tau) = w(\tau+t)$ belongs to $H^m(\mathbb{R}_+)$ for every $t > 0$. Since $-\sigma > 0$ and $\sigma+m > 0$, then $m > 1$ and for every $w \in W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+)$, for every $t > 0$, we have :

$$|w(t)|^2 \leq C. \left\{ \int_t^{+\infty} |D_t^m w(\tau)|^2 d\tau + \int_t^{+\infty} |w(\tau)|^2 d\tau \right\}.$$

Now, let u be an element of $W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and we apply the precedent inequality to the function w defined by $w(\tau) = u(\lambda\tau)$ where λ is a positive constant. Then, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, for every $u \in W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+)$, for every $t > 0$, for every $\lambda > 0$, we have :

$$(1.4) \quad |u(t)|^2 \leq C/\lambda \left\{ \int_t^{+\infty} |\lambda^m D_t^m u(\tau)|^2 d\tau + \int_t^{+\infty} |u(\tau)|^2 d\tau \right\},$$

and since $t \leq \tau$, we get :

$$|u(t)|^2 \leq C/\lambda \left\{ \int_t^{+\infty} \lambda^{2m} t^{-2(\sigma+m)} |\tau^{\sigma+m} D_t^m u(\tau)|^2 d\tau + \int_t^{+\infty} |u(\tau)|^2 d\tau \right\}.$$

Choosing $\lambda = t^{\frac{\sigma+m}{m}}$, a fortiori we obtain :

$$|u(t)|^2 \leq C. t^{-\frac{\sigma+m}{m}} \left\{ \int_0^{+\infty} |\tau^{\sigma+m} D_t^m u(\tau)|^2 d\tau + \int_0^{+\infty} |u(\tau)|^2 d\tau \right\}.$$

Now, we apply this inequality to the function v defined by $v(\tau) = u(\lambda\tau)$ where λ is a constant > 0 :

$$|u(\lambda t)|^2 \leq C. t^{-\frac{\sigma+m}{m}} \frac{1}{\lambda} \left\{ \int_0^{+\infty} \lambda^{-2\sigma} |\tau^{\sigma+m} D_t^m u|^2 d\tau + \int_0^{+\infty} |u(\tau)|^2 d\tau \right\}.$$

Putting $\lambda = r^{1/2\sigma}$, we get for every $u \in W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+)$, for every $t > 0$, for every $r > 0$, we have :

$$|u(t r^{1/2\sigma})|^2 \leq C. (t r^{1/2\sigma})^{-\frac{\sigma+m}{m} \frac{1}{r^{2m}} - 1} \left\{ \int_0^{+\infty} |\tau^{\sigma+m} D_t^m u|^2 d\tau + r \int_0^{+\infty} |u(\tau)|^2 d\tau \right\}.$$

Finally, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, for every $t > 0$, for every $r > 0$, for every $u \in W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+)$, we have :

$$|u(t)|^2 \leq C. t^{-\frac{\sigma+m}{m}} r^{\frac{1}{2m}-1} \{ \|u\|_{W_{\sigma, \delta}^m}^2 + r \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \}.$$

Taking $r = \frac{\|u\|_{W_{\sigma, \delta}^m}^2}{\|u\|_{L^2}^2}$, we obtain the inequality (1.1).

(ii) If $-\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$, the Sobolev's theorem imply that if $v \in H^{-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+)$, then v is continuous and bounded on $\overline{\mathbb{R}_+}$ and there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $v \in H^{-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+)$, for every $t \geq 0$, we have :

$$|v(t)|^2 \leq C. \|v\|_{H^{-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+)}^2.$$

But, from the proposition I.1, the space $V_{0, \sigma+m}^m(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is continuously imbedded in $H^{-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+)$, then, for every $t \geq 0$, for every $v \in W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+)$, we have :

$$|v(t)|^2 \leq C. \left\{ \int_0^{+\infty} |\tau^{\sigma+m} D_t^m u|^2 d\tau + \int_0^{+\infty} |u(\tau)|^2 d\tau \right\}.$$

Using the same change of functions as before, we get that for every $u \in W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+)$, for every $t > 0$, for every $r > 0$, we have :

$$|u(t)|^2 \leq C. r^{-1 - \frac{1}{2\sigma}} \left\{ \|u\|_{W_{\sigma, \delta}^m}^2 + r \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \right\}.$$

We obtain the inequality (1.2) in taking $r = \frac{\|u\|_{W_{\sigma, \delta}^m}^2}{\|u\|_{L^2}^2}$.

To have the inequality (1.3), we start from the inequality (1.4) in which

we choose $\lambda = \left(\int_t^{+\infty} |u(\tau)|^2 d\tau \right)^{1/2m} \left(\int_t^{+\infty} |D_t^m u(\tau)|^2 d\tau \right)^{-1/2m}$, that gives :

$$|u(t)|^2 \leq C. \left(\int_t^{+\infty} |D_t^m u|^2 d\tau \right)^{1/2m} \left(\int_t^{+\infty} |u(\tau)|^2 d\tau \right)^{1-1/2m};$$

after that, we remark that, since $t \leq \tau$, we have :

$$\int_t^{+\infty} |D_t^m u|^2 d\tau \leq t^{-2(\sigma+m)} \int_t^{+\infty} \tau^{2(\sigma+m)} |D_t^m u|^2 d\tau \leq t^{-2(\sigma+m)} \|u\|_{W_{\sigma,\delta}^m}^2$$

and

$$\int_t^{+\infty} |u(\tau)|^2 d\tau \leq t^{-2(\sigma+\delta m)} \int_t^{+\infty} \tau^{2(\sigma+\delta m)} |u(\tau)|^2 d\tau \leq t^{-2(\sigma+\delta m)} \|u\|_{W_{\sigma,\delta}^m}^2$$

hence the inequality (1.3).

II - CASE OF THE HALF SPACE \mathbb{R}_+^n , $n > 1$.

Let m be an integer, $-\sigma$ and δ two real numbers > 0 such that $\sigma+m \geq 0$ and $\sigma+\delta m \geq 0$, we consider the space :

$$W_{\sigma,\delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n) = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n); t^{\sigma+\delta|\alpha|+j} D_t^j D_x^\alpha u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \text{ for } \sigma+\delta|\alpha|+j > 0 \text{ and } |\alpha|+j \leq m\}$$

equipped by the canonical norm.

The space $\mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n})$ is dense in the space $W_{\sigma,\delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ (cf [2] for example) and also we have :

$$W_{\sigma,\delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n) = \{u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}_+^n); t^{\text{Max}(0, \sigma+\delta|\alpha|+j)} D_t^j D_x^\alpha u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \text{ for } |\alpha|+j \leq m\}.$$

Proposition II.1. we have :

i) if $m > n/2$ and if $u \in W_{\sigma,\delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, then u is continuous on \mathbb{R}_+^n and there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, for every $u \in W_{\sigma,\delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, for every $(t,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$, we have :

$$(2.1) \quad |u(t,x)| \leq C. t^{-\frac{\tau+m}{2m} - \frac{n-1}{2m}(\sigma+\delta m)} \|u\|_{W_{\sigma,\delta}^m}^{n/2m} \|u\|_{L^2}^{1-n/2m};$$

(ii) If $\text{Min}(-\sigma, -\sigma/\delta) > n/2$ and if $u \in W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, then u is continuous and bounded on \mathbb{R}_+^n and there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $u \in W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, for every $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$, we have :

$$(2.2) \quad |u(t, x)| \leq C \cdot \|u\|_{W_{\sigma, \delta}^m}^{1 - \frac{1+\delta(n-1)}{2\sigma}} \|u\|_{L^2}^{1 + \frac{1+\delta(n-1)}{2\sigma}}.$$

Proof :

The proof is analogous to those made in the chapter I. (i) ; at first, we apply the usual Sobolev's theorem : if $v \in H^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ with $m > n/2$ then v is continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n}$ and there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $v \in H^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, for every $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$, we have :

$$|u(t, x)|^2 \leq C \cdot \left\{ \sum_{j+|\alpha|=m} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |D_t^j D_x^\alpha v(\tau, y)|^2 d\tau dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |v(\tau, y)|^2 d\tau dy \right\}.$$

If $w \in W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, the function v defined by : $v(\tau, y) = w(\tau+t, y)$ belongs to $H^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ for every $t > 0$. Hence, for every $w \in W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, for every $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$, we have :

$$|w(t, x)|^2 \leq C \cdot \left\{ \sum_{|\alpha|+j=m} \int_t^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |D_t^j D_x^\alpha w(\tau, y)|^2 d\tau dy + \int_t^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |w(\tau, y)|^2 d\tau dy \right\}.$$

Let now u an element of $W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ and apply the precedent inequality to the function w defined by : $w(\tau, y) = u(\lambda\tau, \mu y)$ where λ and μ are two constants. Hence, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, for every $u \in W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, for every $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$, for every λ and $\mu > 0$, we have :

$$|u(t, x)|^2 \leq \frac{C}{\lambda \cdot \mu^{n-1}} \cdot \left\{ \sum_{|\alpha|+j=m} \int_t^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \lambda^{2j} \mu^{2(m-j)} |D_t^j D_x^\alpha u(\tau, y)|^2 d\tau dy + \int_t^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |u(\tau, y)|^2 d\tau dy \right\},$$

and since $t \leq \tau$, that gives:

$$|u(t,x)|^2 \leq C \frac{1}{\lambda \cdot \mu^{n-1}} \times$$

$$\times \left\{ \sum_{|\alpha|+j=m} \int_t^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \lambda^{2j} \mu^{2(m-j)} t^{-2(\sigma+\delta(m-j)+j)} |\tau^{\sigma+\delta} |\alpha|+j D_t^j D_x^\alpha u|^2 d\tau dy \right.$$

$$\left. + \int_t^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} |u(\tau,y)|^2 d\tau dy \right\}.$$

choosing $\lambda = t^{\frac{\sigma+m}{m}}$ and $\mu = t^{\frac{\sigma+\delta m}{m}}$, a fortiori we get :

$$|u(t,x)|^2 \leq C \cdot t^{-\frac{\sigma+m}{m} - \frac{n-1}{m}(\sigma+\delta m)} \left\{ \sum_{|\alpha|+j=m} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\tau^{\sigma+\delta} |\alpha|+j D_t^j D_x^\alpha u|^2 d\tau dy \right.$$

$$\left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |u(\tau,y)|^2 d\tau dy \right\}.$$

We now apply this inequality to the function v defined by : $v(\tau,y) = u(\lambda\tau, \mu x)$ where λ and μ are some constants :

$$|u(\lambda t, \mu x)|^2 \leq C \times$$

$$\times \frac{t^{-\frac{\sigma+m}{m} - \frac{n-1}{m}(\sigma+\delta m)}}{\lambda \cdot \mu^{n-1}} \left\{ \sum_{|\alpha|+j=m} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \lambda^{-2(\sigma+\delta(m-j))} \mu^{2(m-j)} |\tau^{\sigma+\delta} |\alpha|+j D_t^j D_x^\alpha u|^2 d\tau dy \right.$$

$$\left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |u|^2 d\tau dy \right\}.$$

Putting $\lambda = r^{1/2\sigma}$ and $\mu = \lambda^\delta$, we deduce that for every $u \in W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, for every $(t,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$, for every $r > 0$, we have :

$$|u(tr^{1/2\sigma}, xr^{\delta/2\sigma})|^2 \leq C x$$

$$x \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} (tr^{1/2\sigma})^{-\frac{\sigma+m}{m}} - \frac{n-1}{m}(\sigma+\delta m) r^{n/2m-1} \left\{ \sum_{|\alpha|+j=m} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\tau^{\sigma+\delta} \alpha^{+j} D_t^j D_x^\alpha u|^2 d\tau dy \right. \\ \left. + r \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |u|^2 d\tau dy \right\}.$$

Finally, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, for every $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$, for every $r > 0$, for every $u \in W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, we have :

$$|u(t, x)|^2 \leq C. t^{-\frac{\sigma+m}{m}} - \frac{n-1}{m}(\sigma+\delta m) r^{n/2m-1} \left\{ \|u\|_{W_{\sigma, \delta}^m}^2 + r \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \right\}.$$

The inequality (2.1) results from this in choosing $r = \|u\|_{W_{\sigma, \delta}^m}^2 / \|u\|_{L^2}^2$.

(ii), we begin to show the

Lemma II-1 :

We have the algebraic and topologic imbedding :

$$W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \subset H^{\text{Min}(-\sigma, -\sigma/\delta)}(\mathbb{R}_+^n).$$

Proof :

By the chapter I, we know $V_{\sigma+\delta m, \sigma+m}^m(\mathbb{R}_+) \subset H^{-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+)$, hence, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, for every $v \in W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+)$, we have :

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+\tau^2)^{-\sigma} |F(Pv)|^2 d\tau \leq C. \left\{ \int_0^{+\infty} |t^{\sigma+m} D_t^m v|^2 dt + \int_0^{+\infty} |t^{\sigma+\delta m} v|^2 dt \right\},$$

where F means the Fourier transform in the variable t and P a linear and continuous extension operator from $H^{-\sigma}(\mathbb{R})$ (for example, P can be taken as the Babitch extension).

If $v \in W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+)$, the function $u(t) = v(t\Lambda^{-1/\delta})$, where Λ is positive constant, belongs to $W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+)$; for every $\Lambda > 0$, we have :

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\Lambda^{2/\delta} + \tau^2)^{-\sigma} |F(Pv)|^2 d\tau \leq C. \left\{ \int_0^{+\infty} |t^{\sigma+m} D_t^m v|^2 dt + \Lambda^{2m} \int_0^{+\infty} |t^{\sigma+\delta m} v|^2 dt \right\}.$$

Let now u be an element of $\mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n})$ and for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus \{0\}$, we consider the function $v(t) = \hat{u}(t, \xi)$, where Λ means the Fourier transform in the variable $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$; then $F(Pv)(\tau) = \mathcal{F}Pu(\tau, \xi)$, where \mathcal{F} means the Fourier transform in the variable (t, x) in \mathbb{R}^n and from the precedent inequality, we deduce, taking $\Lambda = |\xi|$ and after integrate in ξ over \mathbb{R}^{n-1} , that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $u \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n})$, we have : putting $\sigma^* = \text{Min}(-\sigma, -\sigma/\delta)$,

$$\|Pu\|_{H^{-\sigma^*}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq C. \|u\|_{W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}$$

and then :

$$\|u\|_{H^{-\sigma^*}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq C. \|u\|_{W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}.$$

The space $\mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n})$ being dense in the space $W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, we have proved the lemma II-1.

Now, if $\text{Min}(-\sigma, -\sigma/\delta) > n/2$ and if $u \in W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, then u is continuous and bounded on $\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n}$ and there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $u \in W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, for every $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$, we have :

$$|u(t, x)|^2 \leq C. \left\{ \sum_{|\alpha|+j=m} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \tau^{2(\sigma+\delta(m-j)+j)} |D_t^j D_x^\alpha u(\tau, y)|^2 d\tau dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |u(\tau, y)|^2 d\tau dy \right\}.$$

Then, we do the change of variable of (i), that gives :

$$|u(t,x)|^2 \leq C \lambda^{\mu n-1} \times$$

$$\times \left\{ \sum_{|\alpha|+j=m} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \lambda^{-2(\sigma+\delta(m-j))} \mu^{2(m-j)} |\tau^{2(\sigma+\delta(m-j)+j)} D_t^j D_x^\alpha u(\tau,y)|^2 d\tau dy \right.$$

$$\left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |u(\tau,y)|^2 d\tau dy \right\} :$$

we choose $\lambda = r^{1/2\sigma}$ and $\mu = \lambda^\delta$, that gives :

$$|u(t,x)|^2 \leq C. r^{-\frac{2\sigma+1+\delta(n-1)}{2\sigma}} \left\{ \|u\|_{W_{\sigma,\delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^2 + r \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^2 \right\},$$

and taking $r = \frac{\|u\|_{W_{\sigma,\delta}^m}^2}{\|u\|_{L^2}^2}$, we get the inequality (2.2).

Proposition II.2 :

Let ℓ be an integer, $0 \leq \ell < -\sigma - \frac{1}{2}$; then the map $u \longrightarrow \gamma_\ell u = D_t^\ell u(t=0) : \mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n}) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ can be extended in a linear and continuous map from $W_{\sigma,\delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ into $H^{-\frac{2(\sigma+\ell)+1}{2\delta}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$.

Proof :

It comes, by the chapter I, that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, for every $v \in W_{\sigma,\delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, we have :

$$|D_t^\ell v(0)|^2 \leq C. \left\{ \int_0^{+\infty} |t^{\sigma+m} D_t^m v|^2 dt + \int_0^{+\infty} |t^{\sigma+\delta m} v|^2 dt \right\}.$$

If $v \in W_{\sigma,\delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, the function $u(t) = v(t \Lambda^{-\frac{1}{\sigma}})$, where Λ is a positive constant, belongs to $W_{\sigma,\delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$; hence here exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every

$v \in W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, for every $\Lambda > 0$, we have :

$$\Lambda^{-\frac{2(\sigma+l)+1}{2\delta}} |D_t^l v(0)|^2 \leq C \cdot \left\{ \int_0^{+\infty} |t^{\sigma+m} D_t^m v|^2 dt + \Lambda^{2m} \int_0^{+\infty} |t^{\sigma+\delta m} v|^2 dt \right\}.$$

Let now u be an element of $\mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n})$, and for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus \{0\}$, we consider the function $v(t) = \hat{u}(t, \xi)$, where Λ is the Fourier transform in the variable $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$; as in lemma II-1, we deduce that :

$$\| \gamma_\ell u \|_H^{-\frac{2(\sigma+l)+1}{2\delta}} \leq C \cdot \| u \|_{W_{\sigma, \delta}^m}.$$

It will be very useful for the following to have an inequality of type "compacity" for the spaces $W_{\sigma, \delta}^m$:

Proposition II.3.

Let m be an integer ≥ 1 and put $\delta_1 = \text{Min}(1, \delta)$. There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, for every $\epsilon > 0$, for every $u \in W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, with $\text{supp } u \subset \{|t| \leq 1\}$, we have :

$$(2.3) \quad \| u \|_{W_{\sigma+\delta_1, \delta}^{m-1}} \leq C \cdot \left\{ \epsilon \cdot \| u \|_{W_{\sigma, \delta}^m} + \epsilon^{-(m-1)} \| u \|_{L^2} \right\}.$$

Proof :

We begin to establish a lemma :

Lemma II-2 :

The map $u \longrightarrow \left\{ \| t^{\sigma+m} D_t^m u \|_{L^2}^2 + \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \| t^{\sigma+\delta m} D_x^\alpha u \|_{L^2}^2 + \| u \|_{L^2}^2 \right\}^{1/2}$ is an equivalent norm for the space $W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$.

Proof :

Let k and j be some integers such that $\sigma + \delta k + j > 0$ and $k + j \leq m$. From the chapter I, it results that if $v(t) \in W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+)$, then $t^{\sigma + \delta k + j} D_t^j v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and :

$$\int_0^{+\infty} |t^{\sigma + \delta k + j} D_t^j v|^2 dt \leq C. \left\{ \int_0^{+\infty} |t^{\sigma + m} D_t^m v|^2 dt + \int_0^{+\infty} |t^{\sigma + \delta m} v|^2 dt \right\}$$

where C is a constant > 0 which does not depend on v .

If $v \in W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+)$, the function $u(t) = v(t \Lambda^{-1/\sigma})$, where Λ is a positive constant belongs to $W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+)$; hence, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $v \in W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+)$, for every Λ , we have :

$$(2.4) \quad \Lambda^{2k} \int_0^{+\infty} |t^{\sigma + \delta k + j} D_t^j v|^2 dt \leq C. \left\{ \int_0^{+\infty} |t^{\sigma + m} D_t^m v|^2 dt + \Lambda^{2m} \int_0^{+\infty} |t^{\sigma + \delta m} v|^2 dt \right\}.$$

Let now u be an element of $\mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n})$ and for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus \{0\}$, we consider the function $v(t) = \hat{u}(t, \xi)$, where Λ means the Fourier transform in the variable $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, and from the precedent inequality, we deduce, taking $\Lambda = |\xi|$ and after integration in ξ over \mathbb{R}^{n-1} , that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $u \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n})$, we have :

$$\|u\|_{W_{\sigma, \delta}^m}^2 \leq C. \left\{ \| |t^{\sigma + m} D_t^m u| \|_{L^2}^2 + \sum_{|\alpha| = m} \| |t^{\sigma + \delta m} D_x^\alpha u| \|_{L^2}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \right\}.$$

The space $\mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n})$ being dense in the space $W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n})$, the lemma II-2 is a consequence of this inequality and the Banach's theorem.

Proof of the proposition II-3 :

From the inequality (2.4) in which we take $j = m-1$, $k = 1$ and $\Lambda^{-1} = \varepsilon > 0$, we deduce that :

$$\int_0^{+\infty} |t^{\sigma+\delta+m-1} D_t^{m-1} v|^2 dt \leq C \times \\ \times \left\{ \varepsilon^2 \int_0^{+\infty} |t^{\sigma+m} D_t^m v|^2 dt + \varepsilon^{-2(m-1)} \int_0^{+\infty} |t^{\sigma+\delta m} v|^2 dt \right\}.$$

We apply this inequality to the function $v(t) = \hat{u}(t, \xi)$ for $u \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{R_+^n})$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus \{0\}$, we integrate in ξ over \mathbb{R}^{n-1} , that gives :

$$(2.5) \quad \left\| |t^{\sigma+\delta+m-1} D_t^{m-1} u| \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^2 \leq C \cdot \left\{ \varepsilon^2 \left\| |t^{\sigma+m} D_t^m u| \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^2 + \varepsilon^{-2(m-1)} \left\| |u| \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^2 \right\}$$

if $\text{supp } u \subset \{|t| \leq 1\}$.

Besides, we know that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, for every $v(x) \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$, we have :

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{|\alpha|=m-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |D_x^\alpha v|^2 dx \leq C \cdot \left\{ \varepsilon^2 \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |D_x^\alpha v|^2 dx + \varepsilon^{-2(m-1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |v|^2 dx \right\}.$$

Then, we use this inequality to the function $v(x) = u(t, x)$, $t > 0$, where $u \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{R_+^n})$; we multiply by $t^{\sigma+\delta m}$, and we integrate in $t > 0$ over \mathbb{R}_+ , that gives :

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{|\alpha|=m-1} \left\| |t^{\sigma+\delta m} D_x^\alpha u| \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^2 \leq C \cdot \left\{ \varepsilon^2 \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \left\| |t^{\sigma+\delta m} D_x^\alpha u| \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^2 + \varepsilon^{-2(m-1)} \left\| |u| \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^2 \right\}.$$

if $\text{supp } u \subset \{|t| \leq 1\}$.

The inequality (2.3), for $\delta \leq 1$, is a consequence of (2.5) and (2.7).

For $\delta \geq 1$, we replace the inequality (2.5) by the inequality :

$$(2.8) \quad \left\| |t^{\sigma+m} D_t^{m-1} u| \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^2 \leq C \cdot \left\{ \varepsilon^2 \left\| |t^{\sigma+m} D_t^m u| \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^2 + \varepsilon^{-2(m-1)} \left\| |u| \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^2 \right\}.$$

if $\text{supp } u \subset \{|t| \leq 1\}$. This inequality is easy to prove like for (2.5).

After, in (2.7), we multiply by $t^{2(\sigma+1+\delta(m-1))}$ and we choose $\varepsilon = \eta t^{\delta-1}$, $\eta > 0$, and we achieve as before.

III - CASE OF A BOUNDED OPEN SET Ω OF \mathbb{R}^n , $n > 1$.

Let Ω be a bounded open set of \mathbb{R}^n , with boundary Γ . We assume that Ω is a compact C^∞ manifold. We give $\psi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a C^∞ function such that :

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n ; \psi(x) > 0\} , \\ \Gamma = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n ; \psi(x) = 0\} , \\ \text{grad } \psi(x) \neq 0 \text{ for } x \in \Gamma , \end{cases}$$

where $\text{grad } \psi(x) = (\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_1}(x), \dots, \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_n}(x))$ is the gradient vector associated to ψ .

Let $(X_i)_{0 \leq i \leq q}$ be some vector fields with C^∞ coefficients on \mathbb{R}^n such that :

$$(3.2) \quad X_0 \text{ is transversal to } \Gamma \text{ on } \Gamma, \text{ ie : } (X_0 \psi)(x) \neq 0 \text{ for } x \in \Gamma ;$$

$$(3.3) \quad X_i \text{ is tangent to } \Gamma \text{ on } \Gamma \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, q, \text{ ie : } (X_i \psi)(x) = 0 \text{ for } x \in \Gamma ;$$

$$(3.4) \quad \text{for every } x \in \bar{\Omega}, \text{ the rank of the system } (X_i(x))_{0 \leq i \leq q} \text{ is equal to } n.$$

Let m be an integer, $-\sigma$ and δ two real numbers > 0 such that $\sigma+m \geq 0$ and $\sigma+\delta m \geq 0$, we consider the space:

$$W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\Omega) = \{u \in L^2(\Omega) ; \psi^{\text{Max}(0, \sigma+\delta, \alpha)} X^\alpha u \in L^2(\Omega) \text{ for } |\alpha| \leq m \}$$

equipped by the canonical norm. We have used the notation $X^\alpha = X_0^{\alpha_0} \dots X_q^{\alpha_q}$ for $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_q) \in \mathbb{N}^{q+1}$ and $\langle \delta, \alpha \rangle = \delta \sum_{i=1}^q \alpha_i + \alpha_0$.

Proposition III-1.

With the precedent assumptions, we have :

(i) $W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\Omega) \subset H_{loc}^m(\Omega)$;

(ii) for every $\phi \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ and for every $u \in W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\Omega)$, we have : $\phi u \in W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\Omega)$.

Proof :

(i) With the assumption (3.4), for every $x_0 \in \Omega$, there exists a neighbourhood $V(x_0)$ of x_0 in Ω in which we can write :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} = \sum_{i=0}^q \beta_i^k(x) X_i$$

for $k = 1, \dots, n$ with some convenient functions β_i^k which are C^∞ in $V(x_0)$ and we can easily get (i).

(ii) Let ϕ be a C^∞ function on $\bar{\Omega}$ and $u \in W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\Omega)$. Then $\phi u \in L^2(\Omega)$ and for $|\alpha| \leq m$, we have :

$$X^\alpha(\phi u) = \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} (X^\beta \phi) (X^{\alpha-\beta} u)$$

it results that $\psi^{\text{Max}(0, \sigma + \langle \delta, \alpha \rangle)} X^\alpha(\phi u) \in L^2(\Omega)$, that is to say $\phi u \in W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\Omega)$.

Remark III-1 :

It is easy to prove that the space $W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\Omega)$ does not depend of the choice of the vector fields $(X_i)_{0 \leq i \leq q}$ satisfying the conditions (3.2), (3.3), (3.4).

Proposition III-2 :

We have :

(i) If $m > n/2$ and if $u \in W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\Omega)$, then u is continuous on Ω and there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, for every $u \in W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\Omega)$, for every $x \in \Omega$, we have :

$$(3.5) \quad |u(x)| \leq C \cdot \varphi(x)^{-\frac{\sigma+m}{2m} - \frac{n-1}{2m}(\sigma+\delta m)} \left\| |u| \right\|_{W_{\sigma, \delta}^m}^{n/2m} \left\| |u| \right\|_{L^2}^{1-n/2m};$$

(ii) if $\text{Min}(-\sigma, -\sigma/\delta) > n/2$ and if $u \in W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\Omega)$, then u is continuous and bounded on Ω there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $u \in W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\Omega)$, for every $x \in \Omega$, we have :

$$(3.6) \quad |u(x)| \leq C \cdot \left\| |u| \right\|_{W_{\sigma, \delta}^m}^{-\frac{1+\delta(n-1)}{2\sigma}} \left\| |u| \right\|_{L^2}^{1 + \frac{1+\delta(n-1)}{2\sigma}}.$$

Proof :

(i) With the proposition III-1 and by a partition of unity the inequality (3.5) can be only obtained for functions $u \in W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\Omega)$ with support in a neighbourhood of the boundary Γ of Ω .

Let x_0 be a point of Γ ; from the properties (3.1), we see that there exists a neighbourhood $V(x_0)$ of x_0 in \mathbb{R}^n and a diffeomorphism $\mathbb{H} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$ with $\theta_n = \psi$ from $V(x_0)$ on to the unit ball of \mathbb{R}^n such that :

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{cases} \mathbb{H}(V \cap \Omega) = B_+ = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n ; |y| \leq 1, y_n > 0\} ; \\ \mathbb{H}(V \cap \Gamma) = B_0 = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n ; |y| \leq 1, y_n = 0\} ; \\ X_0(\theta_k) = 0 \text{ in } V \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, n-1. \end{cases}$$

In these conditions, if $u \in W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\Omega)$ with $\text{supp } u \subset V$ and if $v = u \circ \mathbb{H}^{-1}$, then $v \in W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ with $\text{supp } v \subset \overline{B_+}$. In fact, it suffices for that to remark that by the diffeomorphism \mathbb{H} , the vector fields $(X_i)_{0 \leq i \leq q}$ are become the vector fields $(I_i)_{0 \leq i \leq q}$ with :

$$(3.8) \quad I_0 = \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}, \quad \alpha(y) \neq 0 \text{ for } y \in B = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n; |y| \leq 1\};$$

$$(3.9) \quad I_i = I_i^t + [(X_i, \varphi) \cdot \mathbb{D}^{-1}] \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, q,$$

where I_i^t means an homogeneous differential operator of order 1, with C^∞ coefficients in the variables y_1, \dots, y_{n-1} ;

$$(3.10) \quad \text{for every } y \in B = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n; |y| \leq 1\}, \text{ the rank of the system } (I_i)_{0 \leq i \leq q} \text{ is equal to } n.$$

Hence, the inequality (3.5) comes from the inequality (2.1) and the proposition II-1.

(ii) In the same way, the inequality (3.6), at the boundary comes from the inequality (2.2) of the proposition II-1.

In the interior, it comes from the fact that if $u \in W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\Omega)$, then $u \in H_{loc}^m(\Omega)$ and then too belongs to $H_{loc}^{m'}(\Omega)$ where $m' = -\frac{\sigma n}{1 + \delta(n-1)}$; in fact, since $\sigma + m \geq 0$ and $\sigma + \delta m \geq 0$, we have $m' \leq m$. Then, the inequality (3.6), in the interior, is a consequence of the classical inequality :

$$|u(x)| \leq C. \|u\|_{H^{m'}}^{n/2m'} \|u\|_{L^2}^{1-n/2m'}.$$

Proposition III-3 :

Let ℓ be an integer, $0 \leq \ell < -\sigma - \frac{1}{2}$; then, the map $u \longrightarrow \gamma_\ell u = \frac{\partial^\ell u}{\partial n^\ell} \Big|_\Gamma : \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \longrightarrow$

$\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$ can be extended in a linear and continuous map from $W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\Omega)$ into

$$H_{-\frac{2(\sigma+\ell)+1}{2\delta}}(\Gamma).$$

$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial n}\right)$ means the derivative along that unit normal vector to Γ , interior in Ω .

This proposition comes from the proposition II-2.

Proposition III-4 :

Let m be an integer ≥ 1 and $\delta_1 = \text{Min}(1, \delta)$. There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, for every $u \in W_{\sigma, \delta}^m(\Omega)$, we have :

$$(3.11) \quad \|u\|_{W_{\sigma+\delta_1, \delta}^{m-1}} \leq C. \{ \varepsilon \|u\|_{W_{\sigma, \delta}^m} + \varepsilon^{-(m-1)} \|u\|_{L^2} \}.$$

Proof :

As before, we see that the inequality (3.11) at the boundary comes from the inequality (2.3) and, in the interior, from the classical inequality for the usual Sobolev spaces :

$$\|u\|_{H^{m-1}} \leq C. \{ \varepsilon \|u\|_{H^m} + \varepsilon^{-(m-1)} \|u\|_{L^2} \}.$$

BIBLIOGRAPHIE

- [1] : M.S. BAOUENDI - C. GOULAOUIC - Régularité et théorie spectrale pour une classe d'opérateurs elliptiques dégénérés. Arch. Rat. Méc. Anal. 34, n. 5 (1969), pp. 361-379.
- [2] : P. BOLLEY - J. CAMUS - "Espaces de Sobolev avec poids" - Fascicule des séminaires du Laboratoire d'Analyse Fonctionnelle - Rennes 1968-1969.
- [3] : A. KUFNER - O. JOHN - S. FUCIK - Function spaces - Academia - Prague 1977
- [4] : J.L. LIONS - E. MAGENES - Problèmes aux limites non homogènes et applications - Tome I - Dunod, Paris 1968.