

MICHAL MISIUREWICZ

**A Short Proof of the Variational Principle for a \mathbb{Z}_+^N
Action on a Compact Space**

Publications des séminaires de mathématiques et informatique de Rennes, 1975, fascicule S4

« International Conference on Dynamical Systems in Mathematical Physics », , p. 1-10

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PSMIR_1975__S4_A18_0

© Département de mathématiques et informatique, université de Rennes, 1975, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la série « Publications mathématiques et informatiques de Rennes » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

A SHORT PROOF OF THE VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLE

FOR A \mathbb{Z}_+^N ACTION ON A COMPACT SPACE

by

Michał Misiurewicz

0. Introduction. Ruelle in [12] introduced the notion of pressure for an action of the group \mathbb{Z}^N on a compact metric space. It is a generalization of the notion of topological entropy. The variational principle (proved in [12] under some strong conditions) is a generalization of the Dinaburg's theorem ([5,8,7]) on a connection between the topological and measure entropies. A general proof of the variational principle was given by Walters in [13] (see also Denker [3]) for an action of \mathbb{Z}_+ and by Elsanousi in [6] for an action of \mathbb{Z}_+^N .

The first part of the proof given below (an inequality $h_\mu(T) + \mu f \leq P(T, f)$) is a natural generalization of the proof from [10]. The second part ($\sup_\mu (h_\mu(T) + \mu f) \geq P(T, f)$) is quite new (although the idea is close to the Ruelle's one).

1. Notations.

\mathbb{Z}_+ denotes

~~is~~ the set of all non-negative integers. Let us

fix a positive integer N .

$G = \mathbb{Z}_+^N$ is a commutative semigroup with respect to addition. For $n \in G$ we denote by n_i the i -th coordinate

of all $\mathcal{W}^{\text{unif}}$, $n \in G$).

\mathcal{W} is the set of all neighbourhoods of the diagonal in $X \times X$, directed by the inclusion. It is a uniform structure (uniformity) for X (see [9]).

2. Definitions of pressure and entropy.

We may in the natural way extend Ruelle's definition of pressure of \mathbb{Z}^N -action ([12]).

Let $n \in G$, $\delta \in \mathcal{W}$, $f \in C(X)$. We define successively:

$$\Lambda(n) = \{m \in G : m_i < n_i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, N\},$$

$$\lambda(n) = \text{Card } \Lambda(n) = n_1 \cdot \dots \cdot n_N,$$

$$\delta_n = \bigcap_{k \in \Lambda(n)} (T^k \times T^k)^{-1} \delta,$$

$$f_n = \sum_{k \in \Lambda(n)} T^{nk} f$$

Of course $\delta_n \in \mathcal{W}$, $f_n \in C(X)$.

A finite subset e of X is called:

(n, δ) -separated, if for any $x, y \in e$, $x \neq y$, we have $(x, y) \notin \delta_n$,

(n, δ) -spanning, if for any $x \in X$ there exists $y \in e$ such that $(x, y) \in \delta_n$.

We denote $p(f, e) = \log \sum_{x \in e} \exp f(x)$. We define further

$$(1) \quad P_{n, \delta}(T, f) = \sup \{p(f_n, e) : e \text{ is } (n, \delta)\text{-separated}\}$$

$$(2) \quad P_{\delta}(T, f) = \limsup_{n \in G} \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} P_{n, \delta}(T, f)$$

Of course, $P_{\delta}(T, f) \geq P_{\varepsilon}(T, f)$ for $\delta \subset \varepsilon$. Therefore

it is possible to define the pressure

$$(3) \quad P(T, f) = \lim_{\delta \in \mathcal{W}} P_{\delta}(T, f) = \sup_{\delta \in \mathcal{W}} P_{\delta}(T, f)$$

In the sequel we shall use the measure entropy function

of $n = (n_1, \dots, n_N)$. For $n, m \in G$ let $nm = (n_1 m_1, \dots, n_N m_N)$. The relation $n \geq m$ iff $n_i \geq m_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$ directs G .

X is a non-empty compact Hausdorff space.

$C(X)$ is the space of all continuous real functions on X with the norm $\|f\| = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|$.

$\mathcal{M}(X)$ is the space of all positive Borel regular normed measures on X . It can be identified with the space of all positive linear functionals on $C(X)$ having norm 1 (therefore we shall write μf instead of $\int_X f d\mu$ for $f \in C(X)$). We consider the weak- * topology on $\mathcal{M}(X)$ (then $\mathcal{M}(X)$ is compact).

$T = (n \mapsto T^n)$ is an action of G on X , i.e. a homomorphism of G into the semigroup of all continuous transformations of X into itself (i.e. $T^n: X \rightarrow X$, $T^{n+m} = T^n \circ T^m$).

$T^{*n}: C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ (for $n \in G$) is the operator induced by T^n (i.e. $T^{*n} f = f \circ T^n$).

$T^{*n}: \mathcal{M}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(X)$ (for $n \in G$) is a restriction of the operator induced by T^n (i.e. $T^{*n} \mu = \mu \circ T^n$) to $\mathcal{M}(X)$. It is easy to check that indeed $T^{*n}(\mathcal{M}(X)) \subset \mathcal{M}(X)$ and that T^{*n} is continuous.

Of course T^* and T^{**} are actions of G on $C(X)$ and $\mathcal{M}(X)$, respectively.

$\mathcal{M}(X, T)$ is a space of all T -invariant measures (i.e. these elements of $\mathcal{M}(X)$ which are fixed points

$H_\mu(\cdot)$ (for the definition and properties see e.g. [1]).

For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X, T)$ the entropy $h_\mu(T)$ may be defined in the same way as in [2] for the action of \mathbb{Z}^N . For a Borel finite partition A of the space X we define

$$A^n = \bigvee_{k \in \Lambda(n)} (T^k)^{-1} A \quad \text{for } n \in G,$$

$h_\mu(T, A) = \lim_{n \in G} \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} H_\mu(A^n)$. (it is easy to show that the limit exists, cf. [2]). Finally,

$$h_\mu(T) = \sup \{ h_\mu(T, A) : A \text{ - Borel finite partition} \}.$$

3. The variational principle.

We shall prove the following variational principle:

$P(T, f) = \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X, T)} (h_\mu(T) + \mu f)$. The proof consists of two parts.

Part I. $h_\mu(T) + \mu f \leq P(T, f)$ for $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X, T)$.

Proof. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X, T)$. Let us fix $\xi > 0$ and a Borel finite partition A . Take $m \in G$ such that

$$(4) \quad \log 2 \leq \xi \cdot \lambda(m)$$

Let A^m consist of the sets a_1, \dots, a_s . For any of them there exists a compact set $b_i \subset a_i$ such that

$$\mu(a_i \setminus b_i) \leq \frac{\xi}{s \log s}. \quad \text{Let } b_0 = X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^s b_i. \quad \text{For the}$$

partition $B = \{b_0, b_1, \dots, b_s\}$ we have

$$(5) \quad H_\mu(A^m | B) \leq \mu(b_0) \cdot \log s \leq \xi$$

We take $\varepsilon = (X \times X) \setminus \bigcup_{\substack{i, j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^s (b_i \times b_j) \in \mathcal{H}$ and next $\delta \in \mathcal{H}$

such that $\delta \circ \delta \subset \varepsilon$ (i.e. if $(x, y), (y, z) \in \delta$, then

$(x, z) \in \varepsilon$) and $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \xi$ if $(x, y) \in \delta$.

Let us fix $n \in \mathbb{G}$. There exists a maximal (nm, δ) -separated (i.e. being also (nm, δ) -spanning) set $e \subset X$.

Denote by $C := \bigvee_{k \in \Lambda(n)} (T^{km})^{-1} B$. Further, denote

$$\alpha(b) = \sup_{x \in b} f_{nm}(x) \quad \text{for } b \in C, \quad \beta = \sum_{b \in C} \exp \alpha(b).$$

We have $\int_b f_{nm} d\mu \leq \alpha(b) \cdot \mu(b)$, therefore

$$H_\mu(C) + \mu f_{nm} \leq \sum_{b \in C} \mu(b) (\alpha(b) - \log \mu(b)) =$$

$$= \beta \cdot \sum_{b \in C} \frac{\exp \alpha(b)}{\beta} \cdot \eta\left(\frac{\mu(b)}{\exp \alpha(b)}\right), \quad \text{where } \eta(x) = -x \log x.$$

The function η is concave, therefore

$$(6) \quad H_\mu(C) + \mu f_{nm} \leq \beta \cdot \eta\left(\sum_{b \in C} \frac{\exp \alpha(b)}{\beta} \cdot \frac{\mu(b)}{\exp \alpha(b)}\right) = \log \beta$$

e is a (nm, δ) -spanning set, hence for every $b \in C$

there exists a point $z(b) \in e$ such that

$$\alpha(b) = \sup \{ f_{nm}(x) : x \in b, (x, z(b)) \in \delta_{nm} \}.$$

But if $(x, z(b)) \in \delta_{nm}$, then for $k \in \Lambda(nm)$ $(T^k x, T^k z(b)) \in \delta$,

thus, in view of the definition of δ ,

$$|(T^{nk} f)(x) - (T^{nk} f)(z(b))| \leq \xi. \quad \text{Hence}$$

$$(7) \quad f_{nm}(z(b)) \geq \alpha(b) - \xi \cdot \lambda(nm)$$

From the definitions of δ and ξ we obtain for

$$y \in e, \quad k \in \Lambda(n) \quad \text{Card} \{ a \in B : \exists_{x \in a} (T^{km} x, T^{km} y) \in \delta \} \leq 2,$$

$$\text{thus for } y \in e \quad \text{Card} \{ b \in C : \exists_{x \in b} (x, y) \in \delta_{nm} \} \leq 2^{\lambda(n)},$$

because $\delta_{nm} \subset \bigcap_{k \in \Lambda(n)} (T^{km} \times T^{km})^{-1} \delta$. Hence

$$(8) \quad \text{Card} \{ b \in C : z(b) = y \} \leq 2^{\lambda(n)}$$

Hence, from (7) and (8) we get:

$2^{\lambda(n)} \cdot \sum_{y \in e} \exp f_{nm}(y) \geq \sum_{b \in C} \exp \alpha(b) \cdot \exp(-\xi \cdot \lambda(nm))$, thus

$$(9) \quad \lambda(n) \cdot \log 2 + p(f_{nm}, e) \geq \log \beta - \xi \cdot \lambda(nm)$$

But $\mu f_{nm} = \lambda(nm) \cdot \mu f$, so from this, from (1), (4), (6) and (9) we obtain (notice that $\lambda(nm) = \lambda(n) \cdot \lambda(m)$):

$$(10) \quad \frac{1}{\lambda(nm)} H_{\mu}(C) + \mu f \leq \frac{1}{\lambda(nm)} P_{nm, \delta}(T, f) + 2\xi$$

In view of (5), for $k \in \Lambda(n)$ we have

$$H_{\mu}((T^{km})^{-1}A^m | (T^{km})^{-1}B) \leq \xi, \text{ therefore}$$

$$H_{\mu}(A^{nm} | C) = H_{\mu}\left(\bigvee_{k \in \Lambda(n)} (T^{km})^{-1}A^m \mid \bigvee_{k \in \Lambda(n)} (T^{km})^{-1}B\right) \leq \xi \cdot \lambda(n).$$

$$\text{Hence } H_{\mu}(A^{nm}) \leq H_{\mu}(C) + H_{\mu}(A^{nm} | C) \leq H_{\mu}(C) + \xi \cdot \lambda(n),$$

$$\text{thus we obtain from (10): } \frac{1}{\lambda(nm)} H_{\mu}(A^{nm}) + \mu f \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\lambda(nm)} P_{nm, \delta}(T, f) + 3\xi. \text{ Taking lim sup with respect}$$

$$\text{to } n \text{ we get } h_{\mu}(T, A) + \mu f \leq P_{\delta}(T, f) + 3\xi \leq P(T, f) + 3\xi.$$

But ξ and A were arbitrary, hence $h_{\mu}(T) + \mu f \leq P(T, f)$. \square

Part II. $\sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X, T)} (h_{\mu}(T) + \mu f) \geq P(T, f)$.

Proof. Let us fix $\delta \in \mathcal{W}$. For every $n \in G$, we choose such an (n, δ) -separated set e_n that

$$(11) \quad p(f_n, e_n) \geq P_{n, \delta}(T, f) - 1$$

Let us define a measure σ_n , concentrated on e_n , by a formula $\sigma_n(\{y\}) = \exp(f_n(y) - p(f_n, e_n))$ for $y \in e_n$.

We have $\sum_{y \in e_n} \sigma_n(\{y\}) = 1$, therefore $\sigma_n \in \mathcal{M}(X)$.

$$\text{Let } \mu_n = \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k \in \Lambda(n)} T^{kxk} \sigma_n.$$

For some sequence $(n_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ cofinal with G we have

$$(12) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda(n_i)} P_{n_i, \delta}(T, f) = P_{\delta}(T, f)$$

We choose some cluster point of the sequence $(\mu_{n_i})_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and denote it by μ . Of course, μ is also a cluster

point of the net $(\mu_n)_{n \in G}$. For $g \in C(X)$ and $k \in G$

fixed, the function $\bar{\Phi}: \mathfrak{M}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, given by the

formula $\bar{\Phi}\nu = \nu g - \nu(T^{*k}g)$, is continuous, therefore $\bar{\Phi}\mu$

is a cluster point of the net $(\bar{\Phi}\mu_n)_{n \in G}$. We have

$$|\bar{\Phi}\mu_n| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \cdot 2 \cdot (\lambda(n) - \lambda(n-k)) \cdot \|g\| \quad (\text{for } n \geq k),$$

because $\text{Card}(\Lambda(n) \setminus (k + \Lambda(n))) = \text{Card}((k + \Lambda(n)) \setminus \Lambda(n)) =$

$$= \lambda(n) - \lambda(n-k). \quad \text{But } \lim_n \frac{\lambda(n-k)}{\lambda(n)} = 1, \text{ thus } \bar{\Phi}\mu = 0.$$

Hence $\mu g = (T^{*k}\mu)g$. But g and k were arbitrary,

therefore $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(X, T)$.

There exists a Borel finite partition A of X

such that $a * a \subset \delta$ for $a \in A$. Then for $a \in A^n$

$a * a \subset \delta_n$, therefore $\text{Card}(e_n \cap a) \leq 1$. Hence

$$H_{\sigma_n}^{A^n} + \sigma_n f_n = \sum_{y \in e_n} \sigma_n(\{y\})(f_n(y) - \log \sigma_n(\{y\})) = p(f_n, e_n).$$

Let us fix $m, n \in G$, $n \geq 2m$. For given $j \in \Lambda(m)$

let $s(j) = (E(\frac{n_1 - j_1}{m_1}), \dots, E(\frac{n_N - j_N}{m_N}))$. We have:

$$A^n = \bigvee_{r \in \Lambda(s(j))} (T^{rm+j})^{-1} A^m \vee \bigvee_{k \in \Xi} (T^k)^{-1} A, \text{ where}$$

$$\Xi = \Lambda(n) \setminus (j + \Lambda(ms(j))). \quad \text{But } \text{Card } \Xi = \lambda(n) - \lambda(ms(j))$$

$$\leq \lambda(n) - \lambda(n-2m), \text{ thus } p(f_n, e_n) = H_{\sigma_n}^{A^n} + \sigma_n f_n \leq$$

$$\leq \sum_{r \in \Lambda(s(j))} H_{\sigma_n}((T^{rm+j})^{-1} A^m) + \sigma_n f_n + (\lambda(n) - \lambda(n-2m)) \log \text{Card } A.$$

Summing the inequalities obtained for $j \in \Lambda(m)$ we get
 (notice that for $k \in \Lambda(n)$ there exists a unique $j \in \Lambda(m)$
 and a unique $r \in \Lambda(s(j))$ such that $k = rm + j$) :

$$(13) \quad \sum_{k \in \Lambda(n)} H_{\sigma_n}((T^k)^{-1}A^m) + \lambda(m) \cdot \sigma_n f_n \geq \\ \geq \lambda(m) \cdot (p(f_n, e_n) - (\lambda(n) - \lambda(n-2m)) \cdot \log \text{Card } A)$$

We have also

$$(14) \quad \sigma_n f_n = \sigma_n \left(\sum_{k \in \Lambda(n)} T^{*k} f \right) = \left(\sum_{k \in \Lambda(n)} T^{*k} \sigma_n \right) f = \lambda(n) \cdot \mu_n f$$

From the definition of entropy it follows that

$$(15) \quad H_{\sigma_n}((T^k)^{-1}A^m) = H_{T^{*k} \sigma_n}(A^m)$$

From the definition of entropy and from the concavity of
 the function $-x \log x$ it follows that

$$(16) \quad H_{\mu_n}(A^m) \geq \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k \in \Lambda(n)} H_{T^{*k} \sigma_n}(A^m)$$

The formulas (11) and (13) - (16) give us

$$(17) \quad \frac{1}{\lambda(m)} H_{\mu_n}(A^m) + \mu_n f \geq \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} P_{n,f}(T,f) - \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \cdot \\ \cdot ((\lambda(n) - \lambda(n-2m)) \log \text{Card } A + 1)$$

The partition A can be chosen in such a way that
 the boundaries of elements of A have measure μ zero.
 (see [1], Chapt. IV, §5, exerc. 13 d; see also [10]).

Then A^n has the same property. But for a set a with
 the boundary of measure μ zero, the function $\mathfrak{M}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,
 given by $\nu \mapsto \nu(a)$, is continuous in the point μ .

Hence the function $\mathcal{M}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, given by $\nu \mapsto H_\nu(A^m)$ is also continuous at the point μ , therefore in view of (12), (17) and the definition of μ , we have

$$\frac{1}{\lambda(m)} H_\mu(A^m) + \mu f \geq P_\delta(T, f).$$

Taking the limit with respect to m and using the inequality $h_\mu(T, A) \leq h_\mu(T)$, we obtain $h_\mu(T) + \mu f \geq P_\delta(T, f)$. But δ was arbitrary, hence $\sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X, T)} (h_\mu(T) + \mu f) \geq P(T, f)$. \square

4. Remark.

If $h_\mu(T) + \mu f = P(T, f)$, then μ is called an equilibrium state for (T, f) (measure with maximal entropy in the case of $f = 0$). The above construction shows that if $P_\delta(T, f) = P(T, f)$ for some $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$, then there exists an equilibrium state for (T, f) . In the case of $N = 1$, $f = 0$, this can be reformulated as follows:

If there exists an open cover A such that $h(T, A) = h(T)$, then there exists a measure with maximal entropy.

This is a particular case of the theorem of Denker ([4]), but obtained without assuming X finite dimensional.

References.

- [1] N. Bourbaki - Éléments de Mathématique, Livre VI (Intégration) Chap. IV - Hermann & Cie, Paris, 1952
- [2] J. P. Conze - Entropie d'un groupe abélien de transformations - Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb., 25 (1973), 11 - 30

- [3] M. Denker - Remarques sur la pression pour les transformations continues - C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 279 (1974), 967 - 970
- [4] M. Denker - Measures with maximal entropy - preprint
- [5] Э. И. Динабург - Связь между различными энтропийными характеристиками динамических систем - Изв. А. Н. СССР, 35 (1971), 324 - 366
- [6] S. A. Elsanousi - A variational principle for the pressure of a continuous \mathbb{Z}^2 -action on a compact metric space - preprint
- [7] T. N. T. Goodman - Relating topological entropy and measure entropy - Bull. Lond. Math. Soc., 3 (1971), 176-180
- [8] L. W. Goodwyn - Topological entropy bounds measure-theoretic entropy - Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 23 (1969), 679 - 688
- [9] J. L. Kelley - General Topology - Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1955
- [10] M. Misiurewicz - Topological conditional entropy - to appear in Studia Math , 55
- [11] В. А. Рохлин - Лекции по энтропийной теории преобразований с инвариантной мерой - УМН, 22 (1967), 3 - 56
(Lectures on the entropy theory of measure preserving transformations - Russian Math. Surveys, 22 (1967), 1-52)
- [12] D. Ruelle - Statistical mechanics on a compact set with \mathbb{Z}^y action satysfying expansiveness and specification - Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 185 (1973), 237 - 252
- [13] P. Walters - A variational principle for the pressure of continuous transformations - preprint

M. Misiurewicz
Mathematical Institute, Univ. of Warsaw
PKiN IX p.
00-901 Warszawa
Poland