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# ITERATIVE REFINEMENT OF FINITE ELEMENT APPROXIMATIONS FOR ELLIPTIC PROBLEMS (*) 

by Lin Qun ( ${ }^{1}$ )

Communiqué par J A Nitsche

Résumé - On présente une extrapolation ttératıve d'approximatıons de problèmes elliptıques par des éléments finus de bas degré

Abstract - An iterative refinement of low-degree finite element approximations for elliptic problems is presented

1. We will consider the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta u+\sum a_{i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}+b u & =-f \text { in } \Omega \\
u & =0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a bounded domain with boundary $\partial \Omega$ sufficiently smooth. We will adopt the standard notations (cf. Gilbarg-Trudinger, 1977). Especially (., .) respective (., .) $)_{1}$ denote the $L_{2}(\Omega)$-inner-product respective the Dirichlet integral and $\|\cdot\|_{k}$ the norm in $H_{k}=W_{2}^{k}(\Omega)$.

The weak formulation of problem (1) is $u \in \stackrel{\circ}{H}_{1}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u, v)_{1}=\left(\left.\sum a_{t} u\right|_{2}+b u+f, v\right) \text { for } v \in \stackrel{\circ}{H}_{1} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our basic assumption is: problem (1) resp. (2) has a unique solution $u$ to $f \in H_{0}$ with $u \in \stackrel{\circ}{H}_{1} \cap H_{2}$ and $\|u\|_{2} \leqslant c\|f\|$. Now let $S_{h}$ be the space of linear finite
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elements with isoparametric modifications in the boundary elements such that $S_{h} \subset \stackrel{\circ}{H}_{1}$ holds true. Due to an argument of Schatz (1974) for $h$ sufficiently small the Galerkin-approximation $u^{0}=u_{h} \in S_{h}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u^{0}, \chi\right)_{1}=\left(\left.\sum a_{2} u^{0}\right|_{2}+b u^{0}+f, \chi\right) \text { for } \quad \chi \in S_{h} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is uniquely defined. The error estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u-u^{0}\right\|+h\left\|u-u^{0}\right\|_{1} \leqslant c h^{2}\|u\|_{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is well known.
In Lin Qun (1978), (1980) we introduced a refinement of $u^{0}$ on th basis of the additional assumption : to $F \in H_{0}$ given the solution of

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta U=F & \text { in } \quad \Omega \\
U=0 & \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

resp. $U \in \stackrel{\circ}{H}_{1}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(U, v)_{1}=(F, v) \quad \text { for } \quad v \in \stackrel{\circ}{H}_{1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is computable. Then given $u^{0}$ we can compute $\bar{u}^{0}$ defined by $\bar{u}^{0} \in \stackrel{\circ}{H}_{1}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\bar{u}^{0}, v\right)_{1}=\left(\left.\sum a_{t} u^{0}\right|_{1}+b u^{0}+f, v\right) \text { for } \quad v \in \stackrel{\circ}{H}_{1} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This leads to a higher accuracy in the $H_{1}$-norm :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u-\bar{u}^{0}\right\|_{1} \leqslant c h^{2}\|u\|_{2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Of course $\bar{u}^{0}$ is not an element of $S_{h}$.
Following a suggestion of Nitsche (private communication) we construct starting with the pair $\left(u^{0}, \bar{u}^{0}\right)$ iterates $\left(u^{v+1}, \bar{u}^{v+1}\right)$ for $v \geqslant 0$ defined

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{v+1}=\bar{u}^{v}+\varphi^{v} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\varphi^{\nu} \in S_{h}$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\varphi^{v}, \chi\right)_{1}-\left(\left.\sum a_{t} \varphi^{v}\right|_{2}+\right. & \left.b \varphi^{v}, \chi\right)= \\
& =\left(\left.\sum a_{t}\left(\bar{u}^{v}-u^{v}\right)\right|_{2}+b\left(\bar{u}^{v}-u^{v}\right), \chi\right) \text { for } \quad \chi \in S_{h} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

and on the other hand by $(v \geqslant 0)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\bar{u}^{v}, v\right)_{1}=\left(\left.\sum a_{t} u^{v}\right|_{1}+b u^{v}+f, v\right) \quad \text { for } \quad v \in \stackrel{\circ}{H}_{1} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Section 3 we give the proof of :
Theorem $1:$ Let $\left(u^{v}, \bar{u}^{v}\right)$ be defined as above. Then

$$
\left\|u-u^{v}\right\|+\left\|u-\bar{u}^{v}\right\|_{1} \leqslant(c h)^{v+2}\|u\|_{2}
$$

is valid.
2. Our proof is based on the following operator frame work (cf. Chatelin, 1981, Hackbusch, 1981). Let us consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=K u+y \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

in a Banach-space $X$ with $K$ being a linear compact operator. Further let $S$ be an approximating subspace and $P: X \rightarrow S$ a bounded projection onto $S$. The standard Galerkin solution is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{0}=P K u^{0}+P y \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we construct iterates $\bar{u}^{v}$ and $u^{v+1}$ in the way

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{u}^{v}=K u^{v}+y,  \tag{14}\\
& u^{v+1}=\bar{u}^{v}+r^{v} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

with $r^{v}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{v}=P K r^{\nu}+P K\left(\bar{u}^{v}-u^{v}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1: $d^{v}=\bar{u}^{v}-u^{v}=K u^{v}-u^{v}+y$ is the defect of the $v$-th iterate. Therefore $r^{v}$ may be interpreted as the Galerkin-solution to the right hand side $K d^{v}$.

Remark 2 : The approximations $\bar{u}^{0}$ are also considered in Sloan (1976), but the higher iterates introduced there differ from ours.

Lemma 1 : Suppose that $K$ is compact, 1 is not an eigenvalue of $K$ and $\kappa:=\|(I-P) K\|$ is sufficiently small.

Then $(I-P K)^{-1}$ exists as a bounded operator in $X$ and the Galerkin solutions are well defined. Moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
u-u^{v}=(I-P K)^{-1}(I-P) K\left(u-u^{v-1}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Since $(I-K)^{-1}$ is bounded for $\kappa$ small enough also $(I-P K)^{-1}$ is bounded. As a consequence the Galerkin solution is uniquely defined. The identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
(I-K)^{-1}=(I-P K)^{-1}+(I-P K)^{-1}(I-P) K(I-K)^{-1} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

will be useful. The solution $u$ of (12) may be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=(I-P K)^{-1} y+(I-P K)^{-1}(I-P) K u . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of our construction we have

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{v+1} & =K u^{v}+y+(I-P K)^{-1} P K\left(K u^{v}+y-u^{v}\right) \\
& =(I-P K)^{-1} y+(I-P K)^{-1}(I-P) K u^{v} \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Subtraction of (20) from (19) gives (17).
Remark 3 : We mention that under our assumptions also $(I-K P)^{-1}$ exists and the recurrence relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u-\bar{u}^{v}=(I-K P)^{-1} K(I-P)\left(u-\bar{u}^{v-1}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid. The proof is omitted.
By our assumptions $\left\|u^{0}\right\|$ is bounded by a multiple of $\|y\|$. Because of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|(I-P K)^{-1}\right\| \leqslant \frac{\gamma}{1-\kappa \gamma} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\gamma$ being the norm of $\left\|(I-K)^{-1}\right\|$ we conclude from lemma 1 :

Corollary $1:$ Let $\kappa=\|(I-P) K\|$ be less than the half of

$$
\gamma^{-1}=\left\|(I-K)^{-1}\right\|^{-1}
$$

Then error-estimates of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u-u^{v}\right\| \leqslant c\left\{\frac{\kappa \gamma}{1-\kappa \gamma}\right\}^{v}\|y\| \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold true.
3. Now we come back to the situation discussed in section 1 . We identify $X$ with the Hilbertspace $H_{0}=L_{2}(\Omega)$. Since we want to work with the Ritzmethod we have to impose the condition $S \subseteq \stackrel{\circ}{H}_{1}$. For simplicity we focuss our attention to the case : $S=S_{h}$ is the space of linear finite elements with isoparametric modifications along the boundary. Further let $P=R_{h}$ be the standard Ritz-projection defined by $P u \in S_{h}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(P u, \chi)_{1}=(u, \chi)_{1} \quad \text { for } \quad \chi \in S_{h} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$
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By duality the error-estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u-P u\| \leqslant c h\|u\|_{1} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a consequence of (4). Because of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|(I-P) K v\| \leqslant c h\|K v\|_{1} \leqslant c^{\prime} h\|v\| \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa=\kappa_{h}=\|(I-P) K\| \leqslant c h \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some constant $c$.
The estimates derived in section 2 lead to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u-u^{v}\right\| \leqslant(c h)^{v}\left\|u-u^{0}\right\| \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and because of (4) to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u-u^{v}\right\| \leqslant(c h)^{v+2}\|u\|_{2} . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally the terms $\left\|u-\bar{u}^{v}\right\|_{1}$ are bounded in the same way since by definition

$$
\begin{equation*}
u-\vec{u}^{v}=K\left(u-u^{v}\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

This completes the proof of theorem 1.
4. In this section we consider the model problem

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta u & =f(., u) & & \text { in } \quad \Omega  \tag{32}\\
u & =0 & & \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega
\end{align*}
$$

in two or three space dimensions. The weak formulation of (32) is : Find $u \in \stackrel{\circ}{H}_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u, v)_{1}=(f(u), v) \text { for } v \in \stackrel{\circ}{H}_{1} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Our assumptions are :
(i) $f(x, z)$ is twice continuously differentiable with respect to $z \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{z z}(x, z)\right| \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

is uniformly bounded.
(ii) For $z=u(x) \in C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$ the functions $f(x, u(x)), f_{z}(x, u(x))$ anf $f_{z z}(x, u(x))$ are in $C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$.
(iii) $u$ is an isolated solution of (32), i.e. the linear problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
(w, g)_{1}=\left(f^{\prime}(u) w, g\right) \text { for } g \in \stackrel{\circ}{H}_{1} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

admits only $w=0$ in $\stackrel{\circ}{H}_{1}$.
Now let $u^{0}=u_{h} \in S_{h}$ be the solution of the corresponding Galerkin-problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u^{0}, \chi\right)_{1}=\left(f\left(u^{0}\right), \chi\right) \quad \text { for } \quad \chi \in S_{h} . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corresponding to section 1 we define the iterates $\bar{u}$ for $v \geqslant 0$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\bar{u}^{v}, g\right)_{1}=\left(f\left(u^{v}\right), g\right) \text { for } g \in \stackrel{\circ}{H}_{1}, \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{v+1}=\bar{u}^{v}+\varphi^{v} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\varphi^{v} \in S_{h}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi^{v}, \chi\right)_{1}=\left(f^{\prime}\left(u^{0}\right)\left(\varphi^{v}+\bar{u}^{v}-u^{v}\right), \chi\right) \text { for } \quad \chi \in S_{h} . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

The counterpart of theorem 1 is :

Theorem 2 : Let $\left(u^{v}, \vec{u}^{v}\right)$ be defined as above. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u-u^{v}\right\|+\|u-\vec{u}\|_{2} \leqslant c_{1}\left(c_{2} h^{2}\right)^{v+1} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid. The constants $c_{1}, c_{2}$ depend on $u$ and bounds of $f_{z}, f_{z z}$ but are independent of $h$ and $v$.

Proof: Let $K: H_{0} \rightarrow \stackrel{\circ}{H}_{1} \cap H_{2}$ be the inverse of the Laplacian defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=K v \Leftrightarrow(w, g)_{1}=(v, g) \text { for } g \in \stackrel{\circ}{H}_{1}, \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $P=R_{h}$ be the Ritz operator defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=P v \Leftrightarrow \Phi \in S_{h} \quad \text { and } \quad(\Phi, \chi)_{1}=(v, \chi)_{1} \quad \text { for } \quad \chi \in S_{h} . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Problem (32) is equivalent to $u=K f(u)$. We may rewrite this in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I-P K f^{\prime}\left(u^{0}\right)\right) u=K f(u)-P K f^{\prime}\left(u^{0}\right) u \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of $K$ and $P$ the iterates $\bar{u}^{\nu}$ and $\varphi^{\nu}$ have the representation

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{u}^{v}=K f\left(u^{v}\right)  \tag{44}\\
\left(I-P K f^{\prime}\left(u^{0}\right)\right) \varphi^{v}=P K f^{\prime}\left(u^{0}\right)\left(\bar{u}^{v}-u^{v}\right) \tag{45}
\end{gather*}
$$

This leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I-P K f^{\prime}\left(u^{0}\right)\right) u^{v+1}=K f\left(u^{v}\right)-P K f^{\prime}\left(u^{0}\right) u^{v} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

By comparison of (43) and (46) and by adding and subtracting appropriate terms we come to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(I-P K f^{\prime}\left(u^{0}\right)\right)\left(u^{v+1}-u\right)=(I-P) K f^{\prime}\left(u^{0}\right)\left(u^{v}-u\right)+ \\
& \quad+K\left\{f\left(u^{v}\right)-f(u)-f^{\prime}(u)\left(u^{v}-u\right)+f^{\prime}(u)-f^{\prime}\left(u^{0}\right)\right\}\left(u^{v}-u\right) \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

The Ritz operator $P$ is the orthogonal projection in $H_{1}$ onto $S=S_{h}$. For $v, w \in H_{0}$ arbitrary we get

$$
\begin{align*}
((I-P) K v, w) & =((I-P) K v, K w)_{1} \\
& =((I-P) K v,(I-P) K w)_{1}  \tag{48}\\
& \leqslant c h^{2}\|K v\|_{2}\|K w\|_{2} \leqslant c h^{2}\|v\|\|w\|
\end{align*}
$$

This implies that the norm of $(I-P) K$ as mapping of $H_{0}$ into $H_{0}$ is bounded by $c h^{2}$. Next let a be a continuous function and $v, w \in H_{0}$. Then also $K(a v w)$ is in $H_{0}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|K(a v w)\| \leqslant c\|v\|\|w\| . \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

This follows from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|K(a v w)\|_{0}=\sup \{(K a v w, g) \mid\|g\|=1\} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(K(a v w), g)=(v,\{a K g\} w) \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

in combination with Sobolev's embedding lemma.
For $h$ small enough the initial Galerkin solution $u^{0}$ is " near " to $u$. Because of our assumption (iii) then the operator $I-P K f^{\prime}\left(u^{0}\right)$ will have a bounded inverse.

By the aid of these arguments we derive from the recurrence relation (47) the corresponding error bound

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u^{v+1}-u\right\| \leqslant c_{3} h^{2}\left\|u^{v}-u\right\|+c_{4} \| u^{v} & -u \|^{2}+ \\
& +c_{5}\left\|u^{0}-u\right\|\left\|u^{v}-u\right\| \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

For the sake of clarity we have numbered the constants. Since an estimate of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{0}-u\right\| \leqslant c h^{2} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds true anyway we derive from (52)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{v+1}-u\right\| \leqslant c_{6} h^{2}\left\|u^{v}-u\right\|+c_{4}\left\|u^{v}-u\right\|^{2} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of (53) by complete inductions there is a constant $c_{7}$ such that for $h \leqslant h_{0}$ with $h_{0}$ chosen appropriate the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{v+1}-u\right\| \leqslant c_{7} h^{2}\left\|u^{v}-u\right\| \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds true (55) together with (53) lead to the error bound stated in theorem 2 for $u^{v}-u$.

Because of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\bar{u}^{v}-u=K\left(f^{( } u^{v}\right)-f(u)\right) \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

we come to

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\bar{u}^{v}-u\right\|_{2} & \leqslant c\left\|f\left(u^{v}\right)-f(u)\right\|  \tag{57}\\
& \leqslant c\left\|u^{v}-u\right\|
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 3 : Whereas assumption (iii) is essential the two preceding ones can be reduced.
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