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## THE SOLVABILITY OF NON $L^{2}$ SOLVABLE OPERATORS

NILS DENCKER

## 1. Introduction

Lerner proved in [4] that there are first order pseudodifferential operators of principal type satisfying condition $(\Psi)$, that are not solvable in $L^{2}$ in any neighborhood of the origin. This was quite unexpected, since for first order differential operators of principal type, condition ( $\Psi$ ) is equivalent to local $L^{2}$ solvability.

In this paper, we shall show that the counterexamples in [4] are locally solvable in $C^{\infty}$, and that we lose at most one derivative in the estimate for the adjoint operators. In some cases we only lose $\varepsilon$ derivatives in the estimate, for any $\varepsilon>0$.

By local solvability in $L^{2}$ we mean that the equation $P u=f$ has a local solution $u \in L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ for any $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ satisfying a finite number of compatibility conditions. We say that $P$ is locally solvable in $C^{\infty}$ if the equation has a solution $u \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime}$ for any $f \in C^{\infty}$ satisfying a finite number of compatibility conditions. Recall that an operator is of principal type if the Hamilton field $H_{p}$ of the principal symbol $p$ is independent of the Liouville vector field.

Condition $(\Psi)$ means that the imaginary part of the principal symbol does not change sign from - to + along the oriented bicharacteristics of the real part, see Definition 26.4 .6 in [2]. This condition is invariant under multiplication of the principal symbol by non-vanishing factors.

It was conjectured by Nirenberg and Treves [5] that condition ( $\Psi$ ) was equivalent to local solvability for operators of principal type, and they proved this in several cases. The necessity of $(\Psi)$ for local solvability in the $C^{\infty}$ category was proved by Moyers in two dimensions and by Hörmander in general, see Corollary 26.4.8 in [2]. In the analytic category, the sufficiency of condition $(\Psi)$ for solvability of microdifferential operators acting on microfunctions was proved by Trépreau [6]. The sufficiency of $(\Psi)$ for local $L^{2}$ solvability for first order pseudodifferential operators in two dimensions, was proved by Lerner [3].

For differential operators, condition $(\Psi)$ is equivalent to condition $(P)$, which rules out any sign changes of the imaginary part of the principal symbol along the bicharacteristics of the real part. The sufficiency of $(P)$ for local $L^{2}$ solvability for first order pseudodifferential operators was proved by Nirenberg and Treves [5] in the case when the principal symbol is real analytic, and by Beals and Fefferman [1] in the general case.

## 2. Statement of results

We shall consider the following type of operators, which includes the operators Lerner used in his counter-examples. First, let $(t, x) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{n}, n \geq 2$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=D_{t}+i \sum_{\nu \in \mathbf{Z}_{+}} Q_{\nu}\left(t, x_{1}, D_{x}\right)+R\left(t, x, D_{x}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R\left(t, x, D_{x}\right) \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}, \Psi_{1,0}^{0}\left(T^{*} \mathbf{R}^{n}\right)\right)$ and $\sum_{\nu} Q_{\nu}\left(t, x_{1}, D_{x}\right) \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}, \Psi_{1,0}^{1}\right)$ is on the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{\nu}\left(t, x_{1}, D_{x}\right)=\alpha_{\nu}(t)\left(D_{x_{1}}+H(t) \nu^{k} W\left(\nu^{k} x_{1}\right)\right) \Psi_{\nu}\left(D_{x}\right), \quad \nu \in \mathbf{Z}_{+} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $0 \leq \alpha_{\nu}(t) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$ uniformly, such that $0 \notin \operatorname{supp} \alpha_{\nu}$ and $\alpha_{\nu}(t) H(t)$ is nondecreasing with $H(t)$ the Heaviside function, $0 \leq W\left(x_{1}\right) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$ and $k>0$. We also have $0 \leq \Psi_{\nu}(\xi) \in S_{1,0}^{0}\left(T^{*} \mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ uniformly, having non-overlapping interiors of the supports and $0<c \leq|\xi| 2^{-\nu} \leq C$ in supp $\Psi_{\nu}$. Since $0 \notin \operatorname{supp} \alpha_{\nu}$ we may write $\alpha_{\nu}(t) H(t) \equiv \alpha_{\nu}(t) \beta_{\nu}(t)$, where $\beta_{\nu}(t) \in C^{\infty}$ (but not uniformly) such that $0 \leq \beta_{\nu}(t) \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \partial_{t} \beta_{\nu}$. We find that $\sum_{\nu} \nu^{k} W\left(\nu^{k} x_{1}\right) \Psi_{\nu}\left(D_{x}\right) \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}, \Psi_{1,0}^{\varepsilon}\right)$, for any $\varepsilon>0$. Since $0 \leq \alpha_{\nu}(t)$ and $W\left(\nu^{k} x_{1}\right) \Psi_{\nu}(\xi) \geq 0$, it is clear that $P$ satisfies condition $\left(\Psi^{*}\right)$, i. e., the adjoint $P^{*}$ satisfies condition $(\Psi)$. In what follows, we shall suppress the $t$ dependence and write $S^{m}$ instead of $C^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}, S^{m}\right)$ for example. We shall use the classical calculus of pseudo-differential operators, but with the general metrics and weights of the Weyl calculus. For notation and calculus results, see chapter 18 in

We define the norms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{(s, k)}^{2}=\int|\hat{u}(\xi)|^{2}\langle\xi\rangle^{2 s}(\log \langle\xi\rangle+1)^{2 k} d \xi \quad s, k \in \mathbf{R} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\langle\xi\rangle^{2}=1+|\xi|^{2}$. Then $\|u\|_{(s, 0)} \cong\|u\|_{(s)}$, the usual Sobolev norm, and $\forall s, k \in \mathbf{R}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k, \varepsilon}\|u\|_{(s-\varepsilon)} \leq\|u\|_{(s, k)} \leq C_{k, \varepsilon}\|u\|_{(s+\varepsilon)} \quad \forall \varepsilon>0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We find that $\|u\|_{(s, k)}$ is equivalent to $\sum_{\nu}\left\langle\xi_{\nu}\right\rangle^{2 s}\left(\log \left\langle\xi_{\nu}\right\rangle+1\right)^{2 k}\left\|\psi_{\nu}\left(D_{x}\right) u\right\|^{2}$ if $\left\{\psi_{\nu}(\xi)\right\}_{\nu}$ is a partition of unity: $\sum_{\nu}\left|\psi_{\nu}\right|^{2}=1$ such that $\langle\xi\rangle \approx\left\langle\xi_{\nu}\right\rangle$ only varies with a fixed factor in $\operatorname{supp} \psi_{\nu}$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $P$ be given by (2.1). Then, for any $s \in \mathbf{R}$ there exists positive $T_{s}$ and $C_{s}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int\|u\|_{(s)}^{2}(t) d t \leq C_{s} T^{2} \int\|P u\|_{(s, 2 k)}^{2}(t) d t \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $u \in \mathcal{S}$ has support where $|t| \leq T \leq T_{s}$.
Thus, we obtain for any $s \in \mathbf{R}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int\|u\|_{(s)}^{2}(t) d t \leq C_{s, \varepsilon} T^{2} \int\|P u\|_{(s+\varepsilon)}^{2} d s \quad \forall \varepsilon>0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $u \in \mathcal{S}$ has support where $|t| \leq T \leq T_{s}$. This shows that $P^{*}$ is locally solvable in $C^{\infty}$, with loss of $\varepsilon$ derivatives, $\forall \varepsilon>0$.

We shall also consider the following operators, which includes the operators Lerner used in his counter-example with homogeneous symbols. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=D_{t}+i \sum_{\nu \in J} Q_{\nu}\left(t, x, D_{x}\right)+R\left(t, x, D_{x}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J$ is a subset of $\mathbf{Z}_{+}$and $\sum_{\nu} Q_{\nu}\left(t, x, D_{x}\right) \in \Psi_{1,0}^{1}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{\nu}\left(t, x, D_{x}\right)=\alpha_{\nu}(t) C\left(D_{x}\right) \chi_{\nu}\left(x_{2}\right)\left(D_{x_{1}}+H(t) \nu^{k} W\left(\nu^{k} x_{1}\right) 2^{-\nu} D_{x_{2}}\right) \quad \nu \in J \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we have the same conditions on $\alpha_{\nu}, W$ and $R$ as before. Also, $0 \leq C(\xi)$ is homogeneous, supported where $\left|\xi_{1}\right| \leq C \xi_{2}$ and $0 \leq \chi_{\nu}\left(x_{2}\right) \in S\left(1, d x_{2}^{2}\right)$ uniformly with nonoverlapping supports. In fact, there exists a function $\mu(\nu)$ on $\mathbf{Z}_{+}$such that $\mu(\nu) \leq C_{N} \nu^{N}$, for some $N>0$, and there exists $\tilde{\chi}_{\nu} \in S\left(1, \mu^{2}(\nu) d x_{2}^{2}\right)$ uniformly, with disjoint supports such that $0 \leq \tilde{\chi}_{\nu}\left(x_{2}\right) \leq 1$ and $\tilde{\chi}_{\nu}=1$ on $\operatorname{supp} \chi_{\nu}$. As before, we find that $P$ satisfies condition ( $\Psi^{*}$ ).

Theorem 2.2. Let $P$ be given in (2.7). Then, for every $s \in \mathbf{R}$ we find $T_{s}>0$ and $C_{s}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int\|u\|_{(s)}^{2}(t) d t \leq C_{s} T^{2} \int\|P u\|_{(s+1)}^{2}(t) d t \quad \forall s \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $u \in \mathcal{S}$ has support where $|t| \leq T \leq T_{s}$.
Thus $P^{*}$ is locally solvable in $C^{\infty}$, with loss of one derivative. The theorems are going to be proved in the next sections.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 2.1

Clearly, by conjugating with $\left\langle D_{x}\right\rangle^{s}$ we may assume that $s=0$, which only changes $R\left(t, x, D_{x}\right) \in \Psi_{1,0}^{0}$ (dependingly on $s$ ). Next, we shall eliminate $R\left(t, x, D_{x}\right)$. We choose $E_{ \pm}\left(t, x, D_{x}\right) \in \Psi_{1,0}^{0}$ with principal symbols

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{ \pm}(t, x, \xi)=\exp \left( \pm \int_{0}^{t} i R(t, x, \xi) d t\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $E_{-} E_{+} \cong E_{+} E_{-} \cong$ Id modulo $\Psi^{-\infty}$. Then by conjugating with $E_{ \pm}$we obtain $R \in \Psi_{1,0}^{-1}$, but this changes $Q_{\nu}$ into

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{\nu}\left(t, x, D_{x}\right)=\alpha_{\nu}(t)\left(\left(D_{x_{1}}+H(t) \nu^{k} W\left(\nu^{k} x_{1}\right)\right) \Psi_{\nu}\left(D_{x}\right)+\varrho_{\nu}\left(t, x, D_{x}\right)\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{\varrho_{\nu}(t, x, \xi)\right\}_{\nu} \in S_{1,0}^{0}$. Since we may skip terms in $\Psi^{-1}$ in $P$ in the estimate (2.5), we may assume that supp $\varrho_{\nu} \subseteq \operatorname{supp} \Psi_{\nu}$.

We shall localize in $S_{1 / 2,0}^{0}$ in order to separate the different $Q_{\nu}$ terms. Let $\left\{\phi_{j}(\xi)\right\}_{j} \in$ $S_{1 / 2,0}^{0}$ be a partition of unity such that $\phi_{j}$ is supported where $\left|\xi-\xi_{j}\right| \leq c\left\langle\xi_{j}\right\rangle^{1 / 2}$, and $\operatorname{supp} \phi_{j}$ is connected, $\forall j$. Let $J \subset \mathbf{Z}_{+}$be the set of those $j$ for which supp $\phi_{j}$ intersects $\cap_{\nu} \complement \operatorname{supp} \Psi_{\nu}$. Since the principal symbol of $\sum_{\nu} Q_{\nu} \in \Psi_{1,0}^{1}$ vanishes of infinite order somewhere in $\operatorname{supp} \phi_{j}$ when $j \in J$, and $\phi_{j}(\xi) \in S_{1 / 2,0}^{0}$, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right) P u=\phi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right) D_{t} u+R_{j}\left(t, x, D_{x}\right) u \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\left\{R_{j}\right\}_{j \in J} \in \Psi_{1,0}^{0}$ (with values in $\ell^{2}$ ). We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int\left\|\phi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right) u\right\|^{2}(t) d t \leq C T^{2} \int\left\|D_{t} \phi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right) u\right\|^{2}(t) d t &  \tag{3.4}\\
\leq & C T^{2} \int\left\|\phi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right) P u\right\|^{2}(t)+\left\|R_{j} u\right\|^{2}(t) d t
\end{align*}
$$

for $j \in J$. Since $\sum_{j \in J}\left\|R_{j} u\right\|^{2} \leq C\|u\|^{2}$, we get the result for small enough $T$, providing that we also have an estimate for the other terms.

Thus we only have to consider the case when $\operatorname{supp} \phi_{j}$ does not intersect $\bigcap_{\nu} \complement \operatorname{supp} \Psi_{\nu}$, i. e. $j \notin J$. Since supp $\phi_{j}$ is connected, we find that $\operatorname{supp} \phi_{j}$ is contained in the interior of $\operatorname{supp} \Psi_{\nu}$ for some unique $\nu=\nu_{j}$ when $j \notin J$. Observe that this gives $\left|\xi_{j}\right| \approx 2^{\nu_{j}}$ in supp $\phi_{j}$. Clearly, $\operatorname{since} \operatorname{supp} Q_{\nu} \subseteq \operatorname{supp} \Psi_{\nu}$ we have $P \phi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right) u=P_{\nu_{j}} \phi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right) u$ where we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\nu}=D_{t}+i Q_{\nu}\left(t, x_{1}, D_{x}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we use the following
Lemma 3.1. Let $P_{\nu}$ be given by (3.5). Then we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int\|u\|^{2}(t)\left(\nu^{2 k} \alpha_{\nu}(t)+1\right) d t \leq C T^{2} \nu^{4 k} \int\left\|P_{\nu} u\right\|^{2}(t)\left(\nu^{2 k} \alpha_{\nu}(t)+1\right)^{-1} d t \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly in $\nu$, if $u \in \mathcal{S}$ has support in $|t| \leq T$, for $T$ small enough.

By substituting $\phi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right) u$, taking $\nu=\nu_{j}$ in (3.6), and replacing $P_{\nu_{j}}$ by $P$, we obtain for $j \notin J$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int\left\|\phi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right) u\right\|^{2}(t) d t \leq C T^{2} \nu_{j}^{4 k} \int\left\|P \phi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right) u\right\|^{2}(t) d t  \tag{3.7}\\
& \leq C T^{2} \nu_{j}^{4 k} \int\left\|\phi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right) P u\right\|^{2}(t)+\left\|\left[P, \phi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right)\right] u\right\|^{2}(t) d t
\end{align*}
$$

Now $\left\{\nu_{j}^{2 k}\left[P, \phi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right)\right]\right\}_{j \notin J} \in \Psi_{1 / 2,0}^{\varepsilon-1 / 2}$ with values in $\ell^{2}, \forall \varepsilon>0$. In fact, we find that $\sum_{\nu} \nu^{k} W\left(\nu^{k} x_{1}\right) \Psi_{\nu}\left(D_{x}\right) \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}, \Psi_{1,0}^{\varepsilon}\right)$ and $\left\{\nu_{j}^{2 k} \phi_{j}(\xi)\right\}_{j \notin J} \in S_{1 / 2,0}^{\varepsilon}, \forall \varepsilon>0$, since $\phi_{j}(\xi)$ is supported where $|\xi| \approx 2^{\nu_{j}}$ when $j \notin J$. Thus by summing up (3.4) and (3.7) we obtain (2.5) for $s=0$ and small enough $T$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Proof. [Proof of Lemma 3.1] We may assume $\nu$ is fixed in what follows. In the proof, we are going to localize in $\left|\xi_{1}\right| \gtrless \nu^{2 k}$. For that purpose we use the metric

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\nu}=\nu^{2 k}|d x|^{2}+|d \xi|^{2} /\left(\nu^{4 k}+\xi_{1}^{2}\right) \quad \nu \in \mathbf{Z}_{+} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is uniformly slowly varying, $\sigma$ temperate and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\nu} / g_{\nu}^{\sigma}=h_{\nu}^{2}=\nu^{2 k} /\left(\nu^{4 k}+\xi_{1}^{2}\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which makes $h_{\nu}^{-2}=\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{2} \nu^{-2 k}+\nu^{2 k} \geq 2\left|\xi_{1}\right|$. We find that $Q_{\nu} \in \operatorname{Op} S\left(h_{\nu}^{-2}, g_{\nu}\right)$ but $\nu^{k} W\left(\nu^{k} x_{1}\right) \in S\left(h_{\nu}^{-1}, g_{\nu}\right)$ uniformly.

Now we localize with $\chi_{0}\left(\xi_{1}\right)=\chi\left(\xi_{1} \nu^{-2 k}\right) \in S\left(1, g_{\nu}\right)$ where $\chi \in C_{0}^{\infty}$ is equal to 1 near 0 , and with $\chi_{ \pm}\left(\xi_{1}\right)=H\left( \pm \xi_{1}\right)\left(1-\chi_{0}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right) \in S\left(1, g_{\nu}\right)$ which has support where $\pm \xi_{1}>c \nu^{2 k}$ so that $\chi_{0}+\chi_{+}+\chi_{-} \equiv 1$. We also choose non-negative $\tilde{\chi}_{ \pm}\left(\xi_{1}\right)$ and $\tilde{\chi}_{0}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \in S\left(1, g_{\nu}\right)$ such $\tilde{\chi}_{ \pm} \chi_{ \pm}=\chi_{ \pm}$and $\tilde{\chi}_{0} \chi_{0}=\chi_{0}$. This can be done so that $\tilde{\chi}_{ \pm}$have support where $\pm \xi_{1}>c_{0} \nu^{2 k}, c_{0}>0$, and $\tilde{\chi}_{0}$ has support where $\left|\xi_{1}\right| \leq C \nu^{2 k}$.

First we estimate the $\chi_{ \pm}\left(D_{x_{1}}\right) u$ terms by Lemma 5.1 with the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{ \pm}=D_{t}+Q_{\nu} \tilde{\chi}_{ \pm}\left(D_{x_{1}}\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pm \operatorname{Re} Q_{\nu} \tilde{\chi}_{ \pm}\left(D_{x_{1}}\right) \geq \mp C \quad \text { on } u \in \mathcal{S} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the Fefferman-Phong inequality, where $\operatorname{Re} F=\left(F+F^{*}\right) / 2$. In fact, the symbol of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pm \alpha_{\nu}(t) \operatorname{Re}\left(D_{x_{1}}+H(t) \nu^{k} W\left(\nu^{k} x_{1}\right)\right) \Psi_{\nu}\left(D_{x}\right) \tilde{\chi}_{ \pm}\left(D_{x_{1}}\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

is bounded from below, modulo terms in $S\left(1, g_{\nu}\right)$. Thus Lemma 5.1 gives (after changing $t$ to $-t$ for $P_{-}$)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int\|u\|^{2}(t) d t \leq C T^{2} \int\left\|P_{ \pm} u\right\|^{2}(t) d t \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $u \in \mathcal{S}$ is supported where $|t| \leq T$ and $T$ is small enough. Now, by substituting $\chi_{ \pm}\left(D_{x_{1}}\right) u$ into (3.13) and using that $P_{ \pm} \chi_{ \pm}\left(D_{x_{1}}\right)=P_{\nu} \chi_{ \pm}\left(D_{x_{1}}\right)$ and that $\left[P_{\nu}, \chi_{ \pm}\left(D_{x_{1}}\right)\right] \in \operatorname{Op} S\left(1, g_{\nu}\right)$ is uniformly $L^{2}$ bounded, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int\left\|\chi_{ \pm}\left(D_{x_{1}}\right) u\right\|^{2}(t) d t \leq C_{0} T^{2} \int\left\|P_{\nu} u\right\|^{2}(t)+\|u\|^{2}(t) d t \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $u \in \mathcal{S}$ is supported where $|t| \leq T$ and $T$ is small enough.
Next, we shall estimate $\left\|\chi_{0}\left(D_{x_{1}}\right) u\right\|^{2}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\nu}=D_{x_{1}} \Psi_{\nu}\left(D_{x}\right) \tilde{\chi}_{0}\left(D_{x_{1}}\right)+\beta_{\nu}(t)\left(\nu^{k} W\left(\nu^{k} x_{1}\right) \Psi_{\nu}\left(D_{x}\right) \tilde{\chi}_{0}\left(D_{x_{1}}\right)+\varrho\right) \in \mathrm{Op} S\left(h_{\nu}^{-1}, g_{\nu}\right) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varrho>0$. Here $\beta_{\nu} \in C^{\infty}$ such that $0 \leq \beta_{\nu}(t) \leq 1,0 \leq \partial_{t} \beta_{\nu}$ and $\alpha_{\nu}(t) H(t) \equiv$ $\alpha_{\nu}(t) \beta_{\nu}(t)$. Since $\nu^{k} W\left(\nu^{k} x_{1}\right) \Psi_{\nu}\left(D_{x}\right) \tilde{\chi}_{0}\left(D_{x_{1}}\right) \in \mathrm{Op} S\left(h_{\nu}^{-1}, g_{\nu}\right)$ has positive principal symbol, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} B_{\nu}=\partial_{t} \beta_{\nu}(t)\left(\nu^{k} W\left(\nu^{k} x_{1}\right) \Psi_{\nu}\left(D_{x}\right) \tilde{\chi}_{0}\left(D_{x_{1}}\right)+\varrho\right) \geq 0 \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for large enough $\varrho$. We also find $B_{\nu} \in \mathrm{Op} S\left(\nu^{2 k}, g_{\nu}\right)$ uniformly, thus $\left\|B_{\nu}\right\| \leq C \nu^{2 k}$. Applying Lemma 5.2 on $\chi_{0}\left(D_{x_{1}}\right) u$, with $P_{0}=D_{t}+\alpha_{\nu}(t)\left(B_{\nu}+r_{\nu}\right), r_{\nu}=\varrho_{\nu}\left(t, x, D_{x}\right) \tilde{\chi}_{0}\left(D_{x_{1}}\right)-$ $\beta_{\nu}(t) \varrho$ and $M=C \nu^{2 k}$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int\left\|\chi_{0}\left(D_{x_{1}}\right) u\right\|^{2}(t)\left(\nu^{2 k} \alpha_{\nu}(t)+1\right) d t \leq C_{1} \nu^{4 k} T^{2} \int\left\|P_{0} \chi_{0}\left(D_{x_{1}}\right) u\right\|^{2}(t)\left(\nu^{2 k} \alpha_{\nu}(t)+1\right)^{-1} d t \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $u \in \mathcal{S}$ is supported where $|t| \leq T$ and $T$ is small enough. As before, we find $P_{0} \chi_{0}\left(D_{x_{1}}\right)=$ $P_{\nu} \chi_{0}\left(D_{x_{1}}\right)$ and we have $\left[P_{\nu}, \chi_{0}\left(D_{x_{1}}\right)\right]=\alpha_{\nu}(t) f_{\nu}$, where $f_{\nu} \in \operatorname{Op} S\left(1, g_{\nu}\right)$ is uniformly $L^{2}$ bounded. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu^{4 k} \alpha_{\nu}^{2}(t) /\left(\nu^{2 k} \alpha_{\nu}(t)+1\right) \leq \nu^{2 k} \alpha_{\nu}(t)+1 \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int\left\|\chi_{0}\left(D_{x}\right) u\right\|^{2}(t)\left(\nu^{2 k} \alpha_{\nu}(t)+1\right) d t  \tag{3.19}\\
& \quad \leq C_{1} T^{2}\left(\int \nu^{4 k}\left\|P_{\nu} u\right\|^{2}(t)\left(\nu^{2 k} \alpha_{\nu}(t)+1\right)^{-1} d t+\int\|u\|^{2}(t)\left(\nu^{2 k} \alpha_{\nu}(t)+1\right) d t\right)
\end{align*}
$$

if $u$ is supported where $|t| \leq T$ and $T$ is small enough. Combining (3.14) and (3.19), we obtain (3.6) for small enough $T$.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 2.2

First, we conjugate with $\left\langle D_{x}\right\rangle^{s+1 / 2}$ to reduce to the case $s=-1 / 2$ (this only changes $R\left(t, x, D_{x}\right)$ dependingly on $\left.s\right)$. We choose $E_{ \pm}\left(t, x, D_{x}\right) \in \Psi_{1,0}^{0}$ with principal symbols

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{ \pm}(t, x, \xi)=\exp \left( \pm \int_{0}^{t} i R(t, x, \xi) d t\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $E_{-} E_{+} \cong E_{+} E_{-} \cong \operatorname{Id}$ modulo $\Psi^{-\infty}$. As before, the calculus gives $R \in \Psi_{1,0}^{-1}$ for the new operator, but changes $Q_{\nu}$ into

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{\nu}\left(t, x, D_{x}\right)=\alpha_{\nu}(t)\left(C\left(D_{x}\right) \chi_{\nu}\left(x_{2}\right)\left(D_{x_{1}}+H(t) \nu^{k} W\left(\nu^{k} x_{1}\right) 2^{-\nu} D_{x_{2}}\right)+\varrho_{\nu}\left(t, x, D_{x}\right)\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varrho_{\nu}(t, x, \xi) \in S_{1,0}^{0}$ uniformly, with $\operatorname{supp} \varrho_{\nu} \subseteq \operatorname{supp} \chi_{\nu}$. Thus, we may assume $R \equiv 0$ since the term $C T\|R u\|_{(1 / 2)}$ can be estimated by the left hand side of (2.9) for $s=-1 / 2$ and small enough $T$.

Next, we localize in $x_{2}$ to separate the different $Q_{\nu}$ terms. By assumption there exists $\tilde{\chi}_{\nu}\left(x_{2}\right) \in S\left(1, \mu^{2}(\nu) d x_{2}^{2}\right)$ uniformly when $\nu \in J$, with disjoint supports, such that $0 \leq$ $\tilde{\chi}_{\nu}\left(x_{2}\right) \leq 1$ and $\tilde{\chi}_{\nu} \chi_{\nu}=\chi_{\nu}$. We also localize in $\xi$ : let $\left\{\psi_{j}(\xi)\right\}_{j}$ and $\left\{\phi_{j}(\xi)\right\}_{j} \in S_{1,0}^{0}$ (with values in $\ell^{2}$ ) such that $\sum_{j} \psi_{j}(\xi)^{2}=1, \phi_{j}(\xi)$ and $\psi_{j}(\xi)$ are non-negative, $\phi_{j} \psi_{j}=\psi_{j}$ and $\psi_{j}, \phi_{j}$ are supported where $0<c \leq|\xi| 2^{-\nu} \leq C$. We may also assume that for some fixed $N>0$ we have $\sum_{|j-k| \leq N} \psi_{k}^{2}(\xi) \equiv 1$ on $\operatorname{supp} \psi_{j}, \forall j$.

Since $\tilde{\chi}_{\nu} \in S\left(1, \mu^{2}(\nu) d x_{2}^{2}\right)$ we find that $\left\{\psi_{j}(\xi) \tilde{\chi}_{\nu}\left(x_{2}\right)\right\}_{\nu, j}$ is not in a good symbol class. Therefore, we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\chi}_{0 j}\left(x_{2}\right)=1-\sum_{\substack{0<\nu \leq j^{2} \\ \nu \in J}} \tilde{\chi}_{\nu}\left(x_{2}\right) . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\psi_{j}$ is supported where $|\xi| \approx 2^{j}$ and $\mu(\nu) \leq C_{N} \nu^{N}$ for some $N>0$, it is easy to see that $\left\{\tilde{\chi}_{\nu}\left(x_{2}\right) \psi_{j}(\xi)\right\}_{\substack{J \ni \nu j^{2} \\ j}}$ and $\left\{\tilde{\chi}_{0 j}\left(x_{2}\right) \psi_{j}(\xi)\right\}_{j} \in \Psi_{1, \varepsilon}^{0}, \forall \varepsilon>0$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{\nu j}(t)=\sqrt{\alpha_{\nu}(t)+2^{-j}} \quad \forall j \in J, \quad \forall \nu, \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in what follows. Now, we are going to use the following
Lemma 4.1. We find that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int \sum_{\substack{J \ni \nu \leq j^{2} \\
j}}\left\|\alpha_{\nu j}(t) \tilde{\chi}_{\nu}\left(x_{2}\right) \psi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right) u\right\|^{2}(t)  \tag{4.5}\\
& \leq \sum_{j}\left\|\tilde{\chi}_{0 j}\left(x_{2}\right) \psi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right) u\right\|^{2}(t) d t \\
& \leq C T \int \sum_{\substack{J \ni \nu \leq j^{2} \\
j}}\left\|\alpha_{\nu j}^{-1}(t) \tilde{\chi}_{\nu}\left(x_{2}\right) \psi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right) P u\right\|^{2}(t) \\
& \\
& \quad+\sum_{j}\left\|\tilde{\chi}_{0 j}\left(x_{2}\right) \psi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right) P u\right\|^{2}(t)+\|u\|_{(-1 / 2)}^{2}(t) d t
\end{align*}
$$

if $u \in \mathcal{S}$ has support in $|t| \leq T$ for $T$ small enough.
Since $2^{-j / 2} \leq \alpha_{\nu j},|\xi| \approx 2^{j}$ in supp $\psi_{j}$, the supports of $\tilde{\chi}_{\nu}$ are disjoint and $\sum_{J \ni \nu \leq j^{2}} \tilde{\chi}_{\nu}+$ $\tilde{\chi}_{0 j} \equiv 1, \forall j$, it is easy to see that the left hand side of (4.5) is greater that $c \int\|u\|_{(-1 / 2)}^{2}(t) d t$ for some $c>0$, and the right hand side is less that $C T \int\|P u\|_{(1 / 2)}^{2}(t)+\|u\|_{(-1 / 2)}^{2}(t) d t$. Thus (4.5) implies (2.9) for the case $s=-1 / 2$ for small $T$, and completes the proof of Theorem 2.2.
Proof. [Proof of Lemma 4.1] Since $\psi_{j}\left(1-\phi_{j}\right) \equiv 0 \forall j$, the calculus gives that we may replace $P$ by $P_{j}=D_{t}+i \sum_{\nu \in J} Q_{\nu} \phi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right)$ for the terms containing the factor $\psi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right)$ in (4.5).

For the terms $\left\|\tilde{\chi}_{0 j}\left(x_{2}\right) \psi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right) u\right\|^{2}$ we use the fact that $\nu^{k} W\left(\nu^{k} x_{1}\right) 2^{-\nu} D_{x_{2}} \phi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right) \in \Psi^{-\infty}$ uniformly when $(\log |\xi|)^{2} \approx j^{2}<\nu$. Thus we use Nirenberg-Treves estimate in [2, Theorem 26.8.1] with $B=D_{x_{1}} \phi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right)$ bounded, and $0 \leq A \in \Psi_{1,0}^{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \cong \sum_{J \ni \nu>j^{2}} \alpha_{\nu}(t) C\left(D_{x}\right) \chi_{\nu}\left(x_{2}\right) \quad \bmod \Psi_{1,0}^{-1} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By perturbing this estimate with $L^{2}$ bounded operators, and substituting the term $\tilde{\chi}_{0 j}\left(x_{2}\right) \psi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right) u$, we find for small enough $T$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int\left\|\tilde{\chi}_{0 j}\left(x_{2}\right) \psi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right) u\right\|^{2}(t) d t \leq C T^{2} \int\left\|\tilde{P}_{j} \tilde{\chi}_{0 j}\left(x_{2}\right) \psi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right) u\right\|^{2}(t) d t \quad \forall j \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $|t| \leq T$ in $\operatorname{supp} u$. Here

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{P}_{j}=D_{t}+i \sum_{J \ni \nu>j^{2}} \alpha_{\nu}(t)\left(C\left(D_{x}\right) \chi_{\nu}\left(x_{2}\right) D_{x_{1}}+\varrho_{\nu}\left(t, x, D_{x}\right)\right) \phi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right)  \tag{4.8}\\
& \cong D_{t}+i \sum_{J \ni \nu>j^{2}} Q_{\nu} \phi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right) \quad \text { modulo } \Psi^{-\infty}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus $\widetilde{P}_{j}$ satisfies condition $(P)$, i. e., the imaginary part of the principal symbol has no sign changes for fixed $(x, \xi)$.

Since $\alpha_{\nu} \leq C \alpha_{\nu j}$ and $\operatorname{supp} \varrho_{\nu} \subseteq \operatorname{supp} \chi_{\nu}$, the calculus gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\left[\tilde{P}_{j}, \tilde{\chi}_{0 j}\left(x_{2}\right) \psi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right)\right]\right\}_{j} \cong\left\{\sum_{\nu>j^{2}} \alpha_{\nu j}(t) f_{\nu j}\left(x, D_{x}\right)\right\}_{j} \quad \bmod \Psi_{1, \varepsilon}^{-1 / 2} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{f_{\nu j}\right\}_{\nu j} \in \Psi_{1,0}^{0}$ with values in $\ell^{2}$, and $\operatorname{supp} f_{\nu j} \subseteq \operatorname{supp} \chi_{\nu} \psi_{j}$. In order to estimate these terms we need the following

Lemma 4.2. If $\left\{f_{\nu j}\left(x, D_{x}\right)\right\}_{\nu j} \in \Psi_{1,0}^{0}$ with values in $\ell^{2}$, and $\operatorname{supp} f_{\nu j} \subseteq \operatorname{supp} \chi_{\nu} \psi_{j}, \forall \nu j$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\substack{\nu \in J \\
j}}\left\|\alpha_{\nu j}(t) f_{\nu j}\left(x, D_{x}\right) u\right\|^{2} \leq C\left(\sum_{\nu \leq j^{2}}\left\|\alpha_{\nu j}(t) \tilde{\chi}_{j}\left(x_{2}\right) \psi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right) u\right\|^{2}\right.  \tag{4.10}\\
& \\
& \left.\quad+\sum_{j}\left\|\tilde{\chi}_{0 j}\left(x_{2}\right) \psi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right) u\right\|+\|u\|_{(-1 / 2)}^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for $u \in \mathcal{S}$.
Since $\tilde{\chi}_{j} \equiv 0$ on supp $\chi_{\nu}$ when $J \ni \nu \leq j^{2}$, we find that $\left\{\tilde{\chi}_{0 j}\left(x_{2}\right) \psi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right)\left(\tilde{P}_{j}-P_{j}\right)\right\}_{j} \in$ $\Psi^{-\infty}$, where as before $P_{j}=D_{t}+i \sum_{\nu \in J} Q_{\nu} \phi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right) \in \Psi_{1,0}^{1}$. Thus we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\int \sum_{j}\left\|\tilde{\chi}_{0 j}\left(x_{2}\right) \psi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right) \tilde{P}_{j} u\right\|^{2}(t) d t &  \tag{4.11}\\
& \leq C T \int \sum_{j}\left\|\tilde{\chi}_{0 j}\left(x_{2}\right) \psi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right) P_{j} u\right\|^{2}(t)+\|u\|_{(-1 / 2)}^{2}(t) d t
\end{align*}
$$

This gives the estimate (4.5) for the terms $\left\|\tilde{\chi}_{0 j}\left(x_{2}\right) \psi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right) u\right\|^{2}$ for small $T$, providing we can estimate the other terms.

As before, we are going to use Lemma 5.2 with $a(t)=\alpha_{\nu}(t)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{t}=\operatorname{Re} C\left(D_{x}\right) \chi_{\nu}\left(x_{2}\right)\left(D_{x_{1}} \phi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right)+\beta_{\nu}(t)\left(\nu^{k} W\left(\nu^{k} x_{1}\right) 2^{-\nu} D_{x_{2}} \phi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right)+\varrho\right)\right) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varrho>0$. Here $\beta_{\nu} \in C^{\infty}$ such that $0 \leq \beta_{\nu}(t) \leq 1,0 \leq \partial_{t} \beta_{\nu}$ and $\alpha_{\nu}(t) H(t) \equiv$ $\alpha_{\nu}(t) \beta_{\nu}(t)$. We have $\left\|B_{t}\right\| \leq C 2^{j}, \partial_{t} B_{t} \geq 0$ for large $\varrho$ and $R_{t} \in \Psi^{0}$. By substituting $\tilde{\chi}_{\nu}\left(x_{2}\right) \psi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right) u$ in this Lemma, we find for small $T$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int\left\|\tilde{\chi}_{\nu}\left(x_{2}\right) \psi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right) u\right\|^{2}(t)\left(2^{j} \alpha_{\nu}(t)+1\right) d t  \tag{4.13}\\
& \quad \leq C T^{2} 2^{2 j} \int\left\|\left(D_{t}+i Q_{\nu} \phi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right)\right) \tilde{\chi}_{\nu}\left(x_{2}\right) \psi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right) u\right\|^{2}(t)\left(2^{j} \alpha_{\nu}(t)+1\right)^{-1} d t
\end{align*}
$$

when $J \ni \nu \leq j^{2}$, providing $|t| \leq T$ in $\operatorname{supp} u$. This is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int\left\|\alpha_{\nu j}(t) \tilde{\chi}_{\nu}\left(x_{2}\right) \psi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right) u\right\|^{2}(t) d t  \tag{4.14}\\
& \qquad \leq C T^{2} \int\left\|\alpha_{\nu j}^{-1}(t)\left(D_{t}+i Q_{\nu} \phi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right)\right) \tilde{\chi}_{\nu}\left(x_{2}\right) \psi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right) u\right\|^{2}(t) d t
\end{align*}
$$

Now, it follows from the asymptotic expansion that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\left[Q_{\nu} \phi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right), \tilde{\chi}_{\nu}\left(x_{2}\right) \psi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right)\right]\right\}_{J \in \nu \leq j^{2}} \cong\left\{\alpha_{\nu}(t) \tilde{f}_{\nu j}\left(t, x, D_{x}\right)\right\}_{J \in \nu \leq j^{2}}^{j} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

modulo $\Psi_{1, \varepsilon}^{-1 / 2}$, where $\left\{\tilde{f}_{\nu j}\left(t, x, D_{x}\right)\right\}_{\nu j} \in \Psi_{1,0}^{0}$ with values in $\ell^{2}$, $\operatorname{supp} \tilde{f}_{\nu j} \subseteq \operatorname{supp} \chi_{\nu} \psi_{j}$, $\forall t$. Thus, we may estimate the commutator terms by Lemma 4.2:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\substack{J \ni \leq \leq j^{2} \\
j}}\left\|\alpha_{\nu j}(t) \tilde{f}_{\nu j}\left(t, x, D_{x}\right) u\right\|^{2}  \tag{4.16}\\
& \quad \leq C\left(\sum_{\nu \leq j^{2}}\left\|\alpha_{\nu j} \tilde{\chi}_{j} \psi_{j} u\right\|^{2}+\sum_{j}\left\|\tilde{\chi}_{0 j} \psi_{j} u\right\|^{2}+\|u\|_{(-1 / 2)}^{2}\right) \quad \forall t
\end{align*}
$$

Since the supports of $\tilde{\chi}_{\nu}$ are disjoint, and $\sum_{J \ni \mu \neq \nu} Q_{\mu} \phi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right) \in \Psi_{1,0}^{1}$ uniformly, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\tilde{\chi}_{\nu}\left(x_{2}\right) \psi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right) \sum_{J \ni \mu \neq \nu} Q_{\mu} \phi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right)\right\}_{\substack{J \nu_{j} \leq j^{2}}} \in \Psi^{-\infty} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

with values in $\ell^{2}$. Thus we may replace $D_{t}+i Q_{\nu} \phi_{j}\left(D_{x}\right)$ by $P_{j}$ in the estimate, which proves (4.5).
Proof. [Proof of Lemma 4.2] Since $\sum_{|j-k| \leq N} \psi_{k}^{2}(\xi) \equiv 1$ on $\operatorname{supp} f_{\nu j}$ and $\left\{f_{\nu j}\right\}_{\nu j} \in S_{1,0}^{0}$, we may use the calculus to write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\nu, j}\left\|\alpha_{\nu j}(t) f_{\nu j}\left(x, D_{x}\right) u\right\|^{2} \leq \sum_{\substack{\nu, j \\|k-j| \leq N}}\left\|\alpha_{\nu j}(t) e_{\nu j k}\left(x, D_{x}\right) \psi_{k}\left(D_{x}\right) u\right\|^{2}+C\|u\|_{(-1)}^{2}, \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{e_{\nu j k}\right\}_{\nu j k} \in \Psi_{1,0}^{0}$ with values in $\ell^{2}$, and $\operatorname{supp} e_{\nu j k} \subseteq \operatorname{supp} f_{\nu j} \psi_{k}$. Since $\tilde{\chi}_{0 k}+$ $\sum_{\mu \leq k^{2}} \tilde{\chi}_{\mu} \equiv 1$, we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{\substack{\nu, j \\
|k-j| \leq N}}\left\|\alpha_{\nu j}(t) e_{\nu j k}\left(x, D_{x}\right) \psi_{k}\left(D_{x}\right) u\right\|^{2} \leq 2 \sum_{\substack{\nu, j \\
|k-j| \leq N}}\left\|\alpha_{\nu j}(t) e_{\nu j k}\left(x, D_{x}\right) \tilde{\chi}_{0 k}\left(x_{2}\right) \psi_{k}\left(D_{x}\right) u\right\|^{2}  \tag{4.19}\\
+2 \sum_{\substack{\nu, j \\
|k-j| \leq N}}\left\|\alpha_{\nu j}(t) e_{\nu j k}\left(x, D_{x}\right) \sum_{\mu \leq k^{2}} \tilde{\chi}_{\mu}\left(x_{2}\right) \psi_{k}\left(D_{x}\right) u\right\|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

By summing up in $j$ and $\nu$ we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\substack{\nu, j \\
|k-j| \leq N}}\left\|\alpha_{\nu j}(t) e_{\nu j k}\left(x, D_{x}\right) \tilde{\chi}_{0 k}\left(x_{2}\right) \psi_{k}\left(D_{x}\right) u\right\|^{2}  \tag{4.20}\\
& \quad \leq C_{N}\left(\sum_{k}\left\|\tilde{\chi}_{0 k}\left(x_{2}\right) \psi_{k}\left(D_{x}\right) u\right\|^{2}+\|u\|_{(-1 / 2)}^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

since $\alpha_{\nu j} \leq c$ and $\left\{e_{\nu j k}\right\}_{\nu j} \in \Psi_{1,0}^{0}$ with values in $\ell^{2}$, uniformly in $k$. Now $\alpha_{\nu j} \leq C \alpha_{\nu k}$ when $|j-k| \leq N$ which similarly gives by the calculus

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\substack{\nu, j \\
|k-j| \leq N}}\left\|\alpha_{\nu j}(t) e_{\nu j k}\left(x, D_{x}\right) \sum_{\mu \leq k^{2}} \tilde{\chi}_{\mu}\left(x_{2}\right) \psi_{k}\left(D_{x}\right) u\right\|^{2}  \tag{4.21}\\
& \leq C \sum_{\mu \leq k^{2}}\left\|\alpha_{\mu k}(t) \widetilde{\chi}_{\mu}\left(x_{2}\right) \psi_{k}\left(D_{x}\right) u\right\|^{2}+C\|u\|_{(-1 / 2)}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

since $\operatorname{supp} e_{\mu j k} \subseteq \operatorname{supp} \chi_{\mu} \forall j, k$.

## 5. Some estimate lemmas

We assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=D_{t}+i Q_{t}+R_{t} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{t}$ is a closed, densely defined operator on $L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ such that $\mathcal{S} \subset D\left(Q_{t}\right) \cap D\left(Q_{t}^{*}\right)$ $\forall t, t \mapsto\left\langle Q_{t} u, u\right\rangle$ is continous for $u \in \mathcal{S}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} Q_{t} \geq-C_{1} \quad \text { on } \mathcal{S} \quad \forall t \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $2 \operatorname{Re} Q_{t}=Q_{t}+Q_{t}^{*}$. We also assume that $\left\|R_{t}\right\| \leq C_{0}$ on $L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$. Let $\|u\|$ be the $L^{2}$ norm of $u \in L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\langle u, v\rangle$ the corresponding sesquilinear form.

Lemma 5.1. There exists $T_{0}>0$ and $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int\|u\|^{2}(t) \leq C T^{2} \int\|P u\|^{2}(t) d t \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $u \in \mathcal{S}$ has support where $|t| \leq T \leq T_{0}$. Here $T_{0}$ and $C$ only depend on $C_{0}$ and $C_{1}$.
Proof. We only need to prove the estimate (5.1) for $R_{t} \equiv 0$, since we may perturb it with $L^{2}$ bounded terms for small $T$. We find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle Q_{t} u, u\right\rangle \geq-C_{1}\|u\|^{2} \quad \forall t \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $u \in \mathcal{S}$. Since $i P=\partial_{t}-Q_{t}$, this gives

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\|u\|^{2}(t)=-\int_{t}^{T} & 2 \operatorname{Re}\left\langle\partial_{t} u, u\right\rangle(t) d t  \tag{5.5}\\
& =-\int_{t}^{T} 2 \operatorname{Re}\langle i P u, u\rangle(t)-\int_{t}^{T} 2 \operatorname{Re}\left\langle Q_{t} u, u\right\rangle(t) d t \\
& \leq-\int_{t}^{T} 2 \operatorname{Re}\langle i P u, u\rangle(t) d t+2 C_{1} \int_{t}^{T}\|u\|^{2}(t) d t
\end{array}
$$

when $u \in \mathcal{S}$, and $u \equiv 0$ when $t \geq T$.
By integrating in $t$ we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-T}^{T}\|u\|^{2}(t) d t \leq 4 T \int_{-T}^{T} \operatorname{Im}\langle P u, u\rangle(t) d t+4 C_{1} T \int_{-T}^{T}\|u\|^{2}(t) d t \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\langle P u, u\rangle \leq \lambda\|u\|^{2} / T+\|P u\|^{2} T / \lambda \quad \forall \lambda>0 . \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-4 C T-2 \lambda) \int\|u\|^{2} \leq 2 T^{2} / \lambda \int\|P u\|^{2} d t \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives (5.3) when $T_{0} \leq 1 / 16 C$ and $\lambda \leq 1 / 4$.
The next case we shall consider is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=D_{t}+i a(t)\left(B_{t}+R_{t}\right) \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leq a(t) \leq C_{0}, B_{t}$ and $\partial_{t} B_{t}$ are self-adjoint and bounded, $\partial_{t} B_{t} \geq 0$ and $\left\|R_{t}\right\| \leq C_{1}$ on $L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$. We also assume that there exists a constant $M>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|B_{t}\right\| \leq M \quad \forall t  \tag{5.10}\\
& \left\|\left[B_{s}, B_{t}\right]\right\| \leq M \quad \forall s, t . \tag{5.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 5.2. There exists $T_{0}>0$ and $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int\|u\|^{2}(t)\left(a(t)+M^{-1}\right) d t \leq C T^{2} \int\|P u\|^{2}(t)\left(a(t)+M^{-1}\right)^{-1} d t \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $u \in \mathcal{S}$ has support where $|t| \leq T \leq T_{0}$. Here $C_{0}$ and $T_{0}$ are independent of $M$, and only depend on $C_{0}$ and $C_{1}$.

Proof. First we consider the case $a(t) \geq M^{-1}>0$. Then (5.12) is equivalent to the estimate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int\|u\|^{2}(t) a(t) d t \leq C T^{2} \int\|P u\|^{2}(t) d t / a(t) \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $u \in \mathcal{S}$ has support where $|t| \leq T$ is small enough. Introducing $s=\int_{0}^{t} a(t) d t$ as a new time variable and $P_{0}=D_{s}+i B_{t}$, we find that it suffices to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int\|u\|^{2}(s) d s \leq C T^{2} \int\left\|P_{0} u\right\|^{2}(s) d s \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $u \in \mathcal{S}$ has support where $|t| \leq T$, which implies $|s| \leq C T$. In fact, we may then perturb the estimate with the $L^{2}$ bounded term $i R_{t} u$ for small $T$.

Now $\left[P_{0}^{*}, P_{0}\right]=2 \partial_{s} B_{t} \geq 0$, which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{0} u\right\|^{2}-\left\|P_{0}^{*} u\right\|^{2}=\left\langle\left[P_{0}^{*}, P_{0}\right] u, u\right\rangle \geq 0 . \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\|D_{s} u\right\|^{2} \leq 2\left(\left\|P_{0} u\right\|^{2}+\left\|P_{0}^{*} u\right\|^{2}\right)$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int\|u\|^{2}(s) d s \leq C_{0} T^{2} \int\left\|D_{s} u\right\|^{2}(s) d s \leq 4 C T^{2} \int\left\|P_{0} u\right\|^{2}(s) d s \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $u \in \mathcal{S}$ has support where $|s| \leq C T$. This proves (5.13) in the case $a(t) \geq M^{-1}$.
Next we consider the case $a(t) \geq 0$. In order to reduce to the case $a \geq M^{-1}$ we conjugate with $E_{t}$ solving

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} E_{t}=-E_{t} B_{t} / M  \tag{5.17}\\
E_{0}=\mathrm{Id}
\end{array}\right.
$$

This gives bounds on $\left\|E_{t}\right\|$ and $\left\|E_{t}^{-1}\right\|$ when $t$ is bounded (independently of $M$ ), and the conjugation transforms $P$ into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{P}=D_{t}+i\left(a(t)+M^{-1}\right) B_{t}+a(t) \tilde{R}_{t}=D_{t}+i\left(a(t)+M^{-1}\right)\left(B_{t}+S_{t}\right) \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{R}_{t}=i E_{t}^{-1}\left[B_{t}+R_{t}, E_{t}\right]+i R_{t}$ and $S_{t}=a(t) \widetilde{R}_{t} /\left(a(t)+M^{-1}\right)$ are uniformly bounded on $L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ for bounded $t$. In fact, if $F_{r}=\left[B_{t}, E_{r}\right], \forall r$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{r} F_{r}=E_{r}\left[B_{r}, B_{t}\right] / M-F_{r} B_{r} / M \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $F_{0} \equiv 0$, thus $F_{t}=\left[B_{t}, E_{t}\right]$ is bounded on $L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ for bounded $t$ (independently of $M$ ). By using (5.13) with $\widetilde{P}$ and $a(t)+M^{-1}$, we obtain (5.12).
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