## JOURNÉES ÉQUATIONS AUX DÉRIVÉES PARTIELLES

### OTTO LIESS LUIGI RODINO

### A general class of Gevrey-type pseudo differential operators

Journées Équations aux dérivées partielles (1983), p. 1-8

<a href="http://www.numdam.org/item?id=JEDP\_1983\_\_\_\_A6\_0">http://www.numdam.org/item?id=JEDP\_1983\_\_\_\_A6\_0</a>

© Journées Équations aux dérivées partielles, 1983, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Journées Équations aux dérivées partielles » (http://www.math.sciences.univ-nantes.fr/edpa/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.



# A GENERAL CLASS OF GEVREY-TYPE PSEUDO DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

OTTO LIESS

FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK, TECHNISCHE HOCHSCHULE DARM STADT, SCHLOSSGARTENSTR.7, D-6100 DARMSTADT, WEST GERMANY.

LUIGI RODINO

ISTITUTO DI ANALISI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI TORINO
VIA CARLO ALBERTO 10, I-10123 TORINO, ITALY



Recently much attention has been paid to the study of new classes of analytic and Gevrey-type pseudo differential operators; see for example Matsuzawa [8], Iftimie [5], Bolley -Camus-Métivier [2].

We shall consider here symbols  $a(x,\xi)$  of general Gevrey type for which

$$(1) \quad \left| D_{\mathbf{x}}^{\alpha} D_{\xi}^{\beta} a(\mathbf{x}, \xi) \right| \leq C^{\left|\alpha\right| + \left|\beta\right| + 1} \alpha! \beta! \phi(\xi)^{m - \left|\alpha\right|} \phi(\xi)^{m' + \left|\alpha\right| - \left|\beta\right|}$$

if 
$$C' |\beta| \leq \varphi(\xi)$$
.

The weight functions  $\varphi$ , $\psi$  are continuous in  $R^n$  and satisfy for suitable positive constants  $\epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon'$  independent of  $\xi$ , $\eta$   $\epsilon$   $R^n$ :

(2) 
$$\varepsilon(1 + |\xi|)^{\varepsilon} \leq \varphi(\xi) \leq \varepsilon' \psi(\xi)$$

(3) 
$$\varepsilon \leqslant \varphi(\xi) \varphi(\eta)^{-1} \leqslant \varepsilon'$$
,  $\varepsilon \leqslant \psi(\xi) \psi(\eta)^{-1} \leqslant \varepsilon'$ 
if  $|\xi - \eta| \leqslant \varepsilon \psi(\xi)$ .

To these conditions, which are quite common for general pseudo differential operators, we add the technical assumption:

(4) for every  $\delta$  there exists  $\delta$ ' such that  $\psi(\eta) \leqslant \delta |\xi - \eta|$  implies  $\varphi(\eta) \psi(\xi) \leqslant \delta$ '  $|\xi - \eta| \varphi(\xi)$ .

From the  $C^{\infty}$  point of view our symbols can be regarded as elements of **a** class of Beals [1]  $S^{\lambda}_{\bigoplus}$ , with  $\bigoplus$  =  $\psi$ ,  $\theta = \phi/\psi$ . The reason why we prefer here to refer to the function  $\phi = \theta \psi$  for the estimates in (1) is that a peculiar property of the pseudo differential operator

(5) 
$$a(x,D)f(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{ix\xi} a(x,\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi$$

associated with  $a(x,\xi)$  turns out to be the continuity from

 $G_{\psi}$  to  $G_{\phi}$  , where  $G_{\psi}$  ,  $G_{\phi}$  are the inhomogeneous Gevrey classes related to the weight functions  $\psi$  ,  $\phi$  , respectively.

Let us begin by giving a general definition of such classes in terms of Fourier transform. Let  $\varphi$  (or  $\psi$ ) be a weight function as in (2), (3). More generally, let  $\lambda\colon \operatorname{R}^n\to\operatorname{R}_+$  be Lipschitzian, in the sense that  $|\lambda(\xi)-\lambda(\eta)|\leqslant C|\xi-\eta|$  for some constant C independent of  $\xi,\eta$ , and assume also  $\varepsilon(1+|\xi|)^{\varepsilon}\leqslant\lambda(\xi)$  for some  $\varepsilon>0$ . Let X be open in  $\operatorname{R}^n$ .

Definition 1. We say that  $f \in \mathfrak{O}'(X)$  is of class  $G_{\lambda}$  at  $x_0 \in X$  if there is a neighborhood U of  $x_0$ ,  $U \subset X$ , and a bounded sequence  $f \in \mathscr{E}'(X)$  such that  $f = f_1$  in U and

(6) 
$$|\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{j}(\xi)| < \mathbf{c}(c\mathbf{j}/\lambda(\xi))^{j}, \qquad j = 1,2,...$$

We denote by  $G_{\lambda}(X)$  the set of all  $f \in \mathfrak{O}'(X)$  which are of class  $G_{\lambda}$  at every  $X_{0} \in X$ .

When  $\lambda(\xi) = (1+|\xi|)^{\rho}$ ,  $0 < \rho \leqslant 1$ ,  $G_{\lambda}(X)$  is the standard class  $G^{1/\rho}(X)$  of all the functions  $f \in C^{\infty}(X)$  which satisfy in every  $K \subset X$  the estimates

(7) 
$$\left| D^{\alpha} f(\mathbf{x}) \right| < C^{\left| \alpha \right| + 1} (\alpha!)^{1/\rho}$$

(cf. Hörmander [4], Proposition 2.4).

In particular for  $\lambda(\xi)=1+|\xi|$  we have  $G_{\lambda}(X)=\mathbf{Q}(X)$ , the set of all the real analytic functions in X.

Classes  $G_{\lambda}$  (X) with inhomogeneous  $\lambda$  have been considered by several authors under different definitions; see for example Liess [6] and the references there. The advantage of the present definition is that it can be microlocalized in a natural

way, adapting the procedure used by Rodino [10] in the C framework. Fix  $\Gamma\subset R^n_\xi$  and set for  $\epsilon>0$ 

(8) 
$$\Gamma_{\varepsilon \lambda} = \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \text{ dist } (\xi, \Gamma) < \varepsilon \lambda(\xi) \}.$$

Definition 2. We shall say that f is  $G_{\lambda}$ - smooth at  $\{x_{O}\}$  x  $\Gamma$  and we shall write formally WF $_{\lambda}$  f  $\cap$  ( $\{x_{O}\}$  x  $\Gamma$ ) =  $\phi$  if the estimates (6) are satisfied in  $\Gamma_{\varepsilon\lambda}$ , for a sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$  > 0.

It is natural then to introduce the space of the "microfunctions" at  $\{x_0\}x$   $\Gamma$ .

Definition 3. We denote by  $C_{x_0,\Gamma,\lambda}^{\infty}$  the factor space  $C_{x_0}^{\infty}/_{\gamma}$ , where  $C_{x_0}^{\infty}$  is the set of the germs of  $C_{x_0}^{\infty}$  functions defined near  $x_0$  and  $f \sim g$  in  $C_{x_0}^{\infty}$  iff  $WF_{\lambda}(f-g) \cap (\{x_0\}x_0\}) = \phi$ .

It is convenient in certain applications to use also a different kind of microlocalization. Precisely, set for  $\epsilon > 0$ 

(8)' 
$$\Gamma_{[\epsilon\lambda]} = \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \lambda(\xi-\eta) < \epsilon \lambda(\xi) \text{ for some } \eta \in \Gamma \}.$$

Definition 2'. We shall say that f in strongly  $G_{\lambda}$  - smooth at  $\{x_O\}$  x  $\Gamma$  and we whall write formally  $WF_{\lambda}^*$  f  $\cap$   $(\{x_O\}$  x  $\Gamma)$  =  $\phi$  if the estimates (6) are satisfied in  $\Gamma_{[\epsilon\lambda]}$ , for a sufficiently small  $\epsilon > 0$ .

For example, if  $\Gamma$  is the halfray generated by  $\xi_0 \neq 0$  and  $\lambda(\xi) = (1 + |\xi|)^{\rho}$ ,  $0 < \rho \leqslant 1$ , then  $WF_{\lambda}^* f \cap (\{x_0\} \times \Gamma) = \phi$  means that  $(x_0, \xi_0)$  is not in the Gevrey wave front set  $WF_{1/\rho}^f$  of Hörmander [4].

Note that strong  $G_{\lambda}$ -smoothness at  $\{x_o\}$  x  $\Gamma$  implies  $G_{\lambda}$ -smoothness there, but the converse is not true in general.

Let us now return to pseudo differential operators and give a precise definition of our classes from the microlocal point of view.

Assume  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  satisfy the conditions (2), (3), (4). Let X be open in  $R_{\mathbf{x}}^n$  and fix  $\Gamma \subset R_{\xi}^n$ .

Definition 4. We define  $S_{\phi,\psi}^{m,m'}$  (X, $\Gamma$ ) to be the set of all  $a(x,\xi) \in C^{\infty}(Xx\Gamma)$  which can be extended for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  to functions in  $C^{\infty}(Xx\Gamma_{\varepsilon\psi})$  such that (1) is satisfied with suitable positive constants C,C' independent of  $x \in X, \xi \in \Gamma_{\varepsilon\psi}$ . A symbol  $a(x,\xi) \in S_{\phi,\psi}^{m,m'}(X,\Gamma)$  can be further extended to a function  $a(x,\xi) \in C^{\infty}(XxR^n)$ , by cutting off in the  $\xi$  variables, and  $a(x,\xi) \in C^{\infty}(XxR^n)$ , by cutting off in the  $\xi$  variables, and  $a(x,\xi) \in C^{\infty}(XxR^n)$  is then defined as a map from  $C_{0}^{\infty}(X)$  to  $C^{\infty}(X)$ . The continuity property can now be expressed in the following microlocal form.

Theorem 5. Let  $a(x,\xi)$  be in  $S_{\phi,\psi}^{m,m'}(X,\Gamma)$ , and take  $x \in X$ ,  $\Lambda \subset \Gamma$ . Then a(x,D) defines by factorization an operator

(9) 
$$a(x,D) : C_{x_0,\Lambda,\psi}^{\infty} \to C_{x_0,\Lambda,\psi}^{\infty}$$

which depends only on a and not also on the extensions  $\overset{\sim}{\text{a}}$  of a.

The symbolic calculus for the operators a(x,D) in (9) follows the lines of the calculus of the  $C^\infty$ -general pseudo differential operators (cf. Beals [1]), with some evident complications in the estimates due to the factor  $C^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}+1$  algebraic which we expect in (1). From Theorem 5 and from symbolic calculus one deduces by means of a standard argument the following result on existence of parametrices. Theorem 6. Consider  $a(x,\xi) \in S^m_{\phi}(X,\Gamma) = S^{O,m}_{\phi,\phi}(X,\Gamma) \subset S^{O,m}_{\phi,\phi}(X,\Gamma)$  and fix  $x \in X$ ,  $A \subset \Gamma$ . Assume there exist a neighborhood U of  $x \in X$ ,  $X \in X$ 

### such that

(10) 
$$|a(x,\xi)| \ge c \varphi(\xi)^{m_1} \psi(\xi)^{m_1'} \underline{for} \quad x \in U, \xi \in \Lambda_{\varepsilon\psi} \underline{and}$$
 $|\xi| \ge C$ 

$$(11) \quad \left|D_{\mathbf{x}}^{\alpha} D_{\xi}^{\beta} a(\mathbf{x}, \xi)\right| \leq C^{\left|\alpha\right| + \left|\beta\right|} \alpha! \beta! \left|a(\mathbf{x}, \xi)\right| \varphi(\xi)^{-\left|\alpha\right|} \psi(\xi)^{\left|\alpha\right| - \left|\beta\right|}$$

for all  $\alpha$  and all x,  $\xi$ ,  $\beta$  with  $x \in U$ ,  $\xi \in \Lambda_{\epsilon \psi}$ ,  $c' |\beta| \leqslant \varphi(\xi)$  and  $|\xi| \geqslant C$ .

Then there is  $b \in S^{-m}_{\phi,\psi}^{-m'}_{1}(U,\Lambda)$  such that b(x,D)o a(x,D):  $C^{\infty}_{x_{O},\Lambda,\psi}^{-m'}_{1}(U,\Lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ such that } b(x,D)o a(x,D):$   $C^{\infty}_{x_{O},\Lambda,\psi}^{-m'}_{1}(U,\Lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ such that } b(x,D)o a(x,D):$   $C^{\infty}_{x_{O},\Lambda,\psi}^{-m'}_{1}(U,\Lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ such that } b(x,D)o a(x,D):$   $C^{\infty}_{x_{O},\Lambda,\psi}^{-m'}_{1}(U,\Lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ such that } b(x,D)o a(x,D):$   $C^{\infty}_{x_{O},\Lambda,\psi}^{-m'}_{1}(U,\Lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ such that } b(x,D)o a(x,D):$   $C^{\infty}_{x_{O},\Lambda,\psi}^{-m'}_{1}(U,\Lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ such that } b(x,D)o a(x,D):$   $C^{\infty}_{x_{O},\Lambda,\psi}^{-m'}_{1}(U,\Lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ such that } b(x,D)o a(x,D):$   $C^{\infty}_{x_{O},\Lambda,\psi}^{-m'}_{1}(U,\Lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ such that } b(x,D)o a(x,D):$   $C^{\infty}_{x_{O},\Lambda,\psi}^{-m'}_{1}(U,\Lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ such that } b(x,D)o a(x,D):$   $C^{\infty}_{x_{O},\Lambda,\psi}^{-m'}_{1}(U,\Lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ such that } b(x,D)o a(x,D):$   $C^{\infty}_{x_{O},\Lambda,\psi}^{-m'}_{1}(U,\Lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ such that } b(x,D)o a(x,D):$   $C^{\infty}_{x_{O},\Lambda,\psi}^{-m'}_{1}(U,\Lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ such that } b(x,D)o a(x,D):$   $C^{\infty}_{x_{O},\Lambda,\psi}^{-m'}_{1}(U,\Lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ such that } b(x,D)o a(x,D):$   $C^{\infty}_{x_{O},\Lambda,\psi}^{-m'}_{1}(U,\Lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ such that } b(x,D)o a(x,D):$   $C^{\infty}_{x_{O},\Lambda,\psi}^{-m'}_{1}(U,\Lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ such that } b(x,D)o a(x,D):$   $C^{\infty}_{x_{O},\Lambda,\psi}^{-m'}_{1}(U,\Lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ such that } b(x,D)o a(x,D):$   $C^{\infty}_{x_{O},\Lambda,\psi}^{-m'}_{1}(U,\Lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ such that } b(x,D)o a(x,D):$   $C^{\infty}_{x_{O},\Lambda}^{-m'}_{1}(U,\Lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ such that } b(x,D)o a(x,D):$   $C^{\infty}_{x_{O},\Lambda}^{-m'}_{1}(U,\Lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ such that } b(x,D)o a(x,D):$   $C^{\infty}_{x_{O},\Lambda}^{-m'}_{1}(U,\Lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ such that } b(x,D)o a(x,D):$   $C^{\infty}_{x_{O},\Lambda}^{-m'}_{1}(U,\Lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ such that } b(x,D)o a(x,D):$   $C^{\infty}_{x_{O},\Lambda}^{-m'}_{1}(U,\Lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ such that } b(x,D)o a(x,D):$   $C^{\infty}_{x_{O},\Lambda}^{-m'}_{1}(U,\Lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ such that } b(x,D)o a(x,D):$   $C^{\infty}_{x_{O},\Lambda}^{-m'}_{1}(U,\Lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ such that } b(x,D)o a(x,D)o a(x,D)o$ 

When A = a(x,D) is a linear partial differential operator with analytic coefficients in X there are some obvious simplifications in the statement; namely, if for every KCCX we have for large  $|\xi|$  and suitable costants  $|a(x,\xi)| \geqslant c|\xi|^T$  and

(11) 
$$|D_{\mathbf{x}}^{\alpha} D_{\xi}^{\beta} a(\mathbf{x}, \xi)| \leq C^{|\alpha| + 1} \alpha! |a(\mathbf{x}, \xi)|_{\varphi(\xi)}^{-|\alpha|} |\alpha| - |\beta|$$

then Af  $\in G_{\phi}$  (X) implies  $f \in G_{\phi}$  (X) for every  $f \in \mathfrak{O}$ '(X); in particular all solutions of Af = 0 are in  $G_{\phi}$  (X).

A simple example is given by the hyp coelliptic operators with constant coefficients P = p(D). Let  $\delta(\xi)$  be the distance from  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$  to the surface  $\{\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n, p(\zeta) = 0\}$ , and set  $\psi(\xi) = 1 + \delta(\xi)$ . It is well known that

(12) 
$$|D_{\xi}^{\beta} p(\xi)| \leq C |p(\xi)| \psi(\xi)^{-|\beta|}$$

 An example of operator for which  $\varphi \neq \psi$  (that means a loss of Gevrey regularity for the solutions) is given by

(13) 
$$A = 1 + |x|^{2k} p(D) ,$$

where p(D) is hyppoelliptic and p( $\xi$ )  $\geqslant$  0; the estimates (11) are satisfied for  $\psi(\xi)$  as in preceding example and any  $\varphi(\xi)$  for which p( $\xi$ )  $< (\psi(\xi)/\varphi(\xi))^{2k}$ .

Theorem 6, as well as Theorem 5, can be restated in terms of strong  $G_{\lambda}$ -smoothness, according to Definition 2'. A relevant application is given by the choice  $\psi(\xi) = (1+|\xi|)^{\rho}$ ,  $\psi(\xi) = (1+|\xi|)^{\rho-\delta}$ ,  $0 < \delta < \rho < 1$ , which corresponds to the operators in [2], [5], [8]. Since the related Gevrey wave front sets are invariant under canonical transformations, geometric invariant statements are possible in this case; for example, let us consider a classical analytic symbol  $\psi(x,\xi) = \int_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{m-j}(x,\xi) dx$  and assume the principal part  $\psi(x,\xi)$  vanishes exactly of order  $\psi(x,\xi)$ , on an involutive manifold  $\xi \in T^*X \setminus 0$ . Noting  $\psi(x,\xi)$  the subprincipal symbol, set for any  $\psi(x,\xi)$  and for any  $\psi(x,\xi)$  vector field  $\psi(x,\xi)$  defined in a neighborhood of  $\psi(x,\xi)$ 

(14) 
$$I_a(\gamma, Y) = (k!)^{-1} (Y^k a_m) (\gamma) + a_{m-1}'(\gamma).$$

Theorem 7. Assume  $I_a(\gamma,Y) \neq 0$  for every  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma$ . Then, writing s = k/(k-1), we have  $WF_s$   $a(x,D)f = WF_sf$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{E}'(X)$ . In particular  $a(x,D)f \in G^S(X)$  implies  $f \in G^S(X)$ . In fact, after conjugation by a Fourier integral operator, a(x,D) becomes an operator to which Theorem 6 applies with  $\psi(\xi) = \varphi(\xi) = (1+|\xi|)^{1/s}$  (cf. Parenti-Rodino [9], where  $C^\infty$ -

hyp oellipticity was proved under the same assumptions). Similarly we can prove a  $G^2$ -hy poellipticity result for the operators in the classes of Boutet de Monvel-Grigis-Helffer [2].

Another application of Theorem 6 refers the choice  $\mu(\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} |\xi_j|^{1/M_j}$ , where  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$  is a n-tuple of rational numbers  $\mu(\xi) = 1$ ; the related hypoellipticity results can be expressed in terms of the anisotropic Gevrey wave front set  $\mu(\xi) = 1$ , Rodino [11]. Details and proofs of the results announced here will be found in Liess-Rodino [7].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R.Beals, <u>A general calculus of pseudo differential</u> operators, Duke Math. J., <u>42</u> (1975), 1-42.
- [2] P.Bolley J.Camus G.Métivier, Regularité Gevrey et itérés pour une classe d'opérateurs hyp oelliptiques, Rend.Sem.Mat.Univ.Politecnico Torino, 40 (1982), to appear.
- [3] L.Boutet de Monvel A.Grigis B.Helffer, <u>Paramétrixes</u>
  d'opérateurs pseudo différentiels à caractéristiques
  <u>multiples</u>, Astérisque, <u>34-35</u> (1976), 93-123.
- [4] L.Hörmander, Uniqueness theorems and wave front sets for solutions of linear differential equations with analytic coefficients, C.P.A.M., 24 (1971), 671-704.
- [5] V.Iftimie, Opérateurs hy poelliptiques dans les espaces de G evrey, Bull.Soc.Sci.Math., Roumanie (1983), to appear.

- [ 6] O.Liess, <u>Intersection properties of weak analytically</u> uniform classes of functions, Ark. Mat., <u>14</u> (1976),93-111.
- [ 7] O.Liess L.Rodino, <u>Inhomogeneous Gevrey classes and</u> related pseudo differential operators, preprint (1983).
- [ 8] T.Matsuzawa, Opérateurs pseudo différentials et classes de Grevrey, Journées Equations aux derivées partielles, Saint Jean de Monts 1982, conf. n. 12.
- [ 9] C.Parenti-L.Rodino, <u>Parametrices for a class of pseudo</u> differential operators, Annali Mat.Pura ed Appl., <u>125</u> (1980), 221-278.
- [10] L.Rodino, <u>Microlocal analysis for spatially inhomogeneous</u>

  pseudo differential operators, Ann.Scuola Norm.Sup.Pisa,

  ser.IV, 9 (1982), 211-253.
- [11] L.Rodino, On the Gevrey wave front set of the solutions of a quasi-elliptic degenerate equation, Rend.Sem.Mat. Univ.Politecnico Torino, 40 (1982), to appear.
- [12] L.Zanghirati, <u>Iterati di operatori e regolarità G evrey</u> <u>microlocale anisotropa</u>, Rend.Sem.Mat.Padova, <u>17</u> (1982), 85-104.