MASATAKE KURANISHI The Nash-Moser inverse mapping theorem

Journées Équations aux dérivées partielles (1983), p. 1-6 <http://www.numdam.org/item?id=JEDP_1983____A11_0>

© Journées Équations aux dérivées partielles, 1983, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Journées Équations aux dérivées partielles » (http://www. math.sciences.univ-nantes.fr/edpa/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.



Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

THE NASH-MOSER INVERSE MAPPING THEOREM

by M. KURANISHI

To prove a local embedding theorem for strongly pseudo-convex CR structures (of dimension >q) (cf. [2]) we used a variant of Nash-Moser inverse mapping theorem. We try to explain in general terms how it was done, wilhout bothering too much about technical details.

For a $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ we define $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ inductively by

(1)
$$\varepsilon_{v+1} = \varepsilon_v^a$$
, $a = 3/2$.

The Nash-Moser inverse mapping theorem (cf. [3]) is based on the following :

LEMMA :

Let s,t > 0 be given. Pick λ , $\mu > 0$ so large that

(2)

(3)

(4)

$$t + a^2 \mu + (1-a)\lambda \leq -a.$$

 $s + (a-2) \leq 0$

Let $\mathbf{p}_{v} > \mathbf{0}$ be a sequence. Assume that for a constant $\mathbf{C}^{*} > \mathbf{0}$

$$p_{v} \leq c^{*} (\varepsilon_{v}^{-s} (p_{v-1})^{2} + \varepsilon_{v}^{\lambda} \varepsilon_{v-1}^{-\lambda-t})$$
$$\varepsilon_{1} \leq 1/(2c^{*})^{2} , p_{o} \leq \varepsilon_{1}^{\mu}/2c^{*}.$$

Then

$$p_v \leqslant (\epsilon_{v+1})^{\mu}/2 C^{4}$$

Proof goes as follows : we set $g_{\mathcal{V}} = \varepsilon_{\mathcal{V}+1}^{-\mu} p_{\mathcal{V}}$. Then $g_{\mathcal{V}} \leq c^{*}(\varepsilon_{\mathcal{V}}^{-(s+(a-2)\mu)}g_{\mathcal{V}-1}^{2} + \varepsilon_{\mathcal{V}-1}^{-(t+a^{2}\mu+(1-a)\lambda)}).$ Hence $g_{v} \leq C^{*}((g_{v-1})^{2} + \varepsilon_{v})$. We now prove $g_{v} \leq 1/2 C_{*}$ by induc-

tion on v.

We apply the above lemma in the following setting : we consider open sets F', G' in Frechet spaces F, G and a map

$$\Phi : \mathbf{F'} \to \mathbf{G'}$$

Each of these Frechet spaces is assumed to be endowed with an increasing sequence of semi-norms || $||_k$ which defines its topology. In practice, we consider the Frechet spaces of \tilde{C}^{∞} sections of vector bundles over a manifold M. $|| ||_k$ is defined by measuring the partial derivatives up to degree k of sections. Φ is given by a non-linear partial differential operator involving partial derivatives up to order, say r. This is translated into an assumption

(5)
$$\left|\left|\Phi(\mathbf{f})\right|\right|_{k} \leq C_{k}(1+\left|\left|\mathbf{f}\right|\right|_{k+r})$$

For k sufficiently large any map with the above assumption is called tame (cf. R. Hamilton [1] for more details). We assume that Φ is infinitely differentiable and all partial derivatives are tame. In particular there is for each $f \in F'$ a continuous linear map.

$$d_{f} \Phi : F \to G$$

such that with $R_f(h) = \Phi(f+h) - \Phi(f) - d_f \Phi(h)$

(6)
$$||\mathbf{R}_{f}(\mathbf{h})||_{k} \leq C_{k}(||\mathbf{f}||_{k+r} ||\mathbf{h}||_{k}^{2} + ||\mathbf{h}||_{n+r}^{2})$$

for $k \ge k_1$. We also assume that there is a mollifier M_{ϵ} ($\epsilon > 0$) with the standard properties : for $s \ge 0$

$$\left|\left|M_{\varepsilon}f\right|\right|_{k+s} \leq C_{k,s} \varepsilon^{-s} \left|\left|f\right|\right|_{k}$$

(7)

$$||\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{M}_{\varepsilon}\mathbf{f}||_{k} \leq \mathbf{C}_{k,s} \varepsilon^{s} ||\mathbf{f}||_{k+s}$$

2

We now wish to show that an element g G' is in the image of Φ . We may assume that g = 0. We solve the problem by a successive approximation. Namely, for a_{v} - th approximation f_{v} we define f_{v+1} as follows : note that $\Phi(f_v+h)$ is very closed to $\Phi(f_v) + d_{f_v}\Phi(h)$. Hence we solve the equation :

(8)
$$\Phi(f_{v}) + d_{f_{v}} \Phi(h) = 0$$

However, in the process we usually lose derivatives. We compensate this by setting

(9)
$$\mathbf{f}_{\nu+1} = \mathbf{f}_{\nu} + \mathbf{M}_{\varepsilon_{\nu+1}} \mathbf{h}_{\nu}$$

where h_{V} is a solution of (8) and where ε_{V} is given in (1). In fact, we assume that we can find h_{V} with

(10)
$$||\mathbf{h}_{\mathcal{V}}||_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{r}_{1}} \leq C_{\mathbf{k}}||\Phi(\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{V}})||_{\mathbf{k}}$$

This estimate is essential for this method to work. In order to show that f_{v} converge to a solution f of our problem, it is enough to show that $p_{v} = ||\Phi(f_{v})||_{k}$ satisfy (3) in the lemma. If this is the case, p_{v} has estimate (4). In view of (9) and (10) it then follows that f_{v} will also converge. Now :

$$\Phi(\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{V}} + \mathbf{M}_{\varepsilon_{\mathcal{V}+1}} \mathbf{h}_{\mathcal{V}}) = \Phi(\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{V}}) + \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{V}}} \Phi(\mathbf{M}_{\varepsilon_{\mathcal{V}+1}} \mathbf{h}_{\mathcal{V}}) + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{V}}} (\mathbf{M}_{\varepsilon_{\mathcal{V}+1}} \mathbf{h}_{\mathcal{V}})$$
$$= \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{V}}} (\mathbf{M}_{\varepsilon_{\mathcal{V}+1}} \mathbf{h}_{\mathcal{V}}) - \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{V}}} \Phi(\mathbf{h}_{\mathcal{V}} - \mathbf{M}_{\varepsilon_{\mathcal{V}+1}} \mathbf{h}_{\mathcal{V}})$$

Note (7) and (6). From the first term (resp. the second term) we obtain terms $C^{\#} \varepsilon_{\nu+1}^{-s} (p_{\nu})^{2}$ (resp. $\varepsilon_{\nu+1}^{\lambda} \varepsilon_{\nu}^{-\lambda-t}$) for a choice of s and t.

The above shows that we can solve the equation $\Phi(f) = g$ for a given g provided we find a very good approximation f_0 so that the last inequality in (30) is satisfied. In particular, we find that a small neighborhood of f_0 is covered by Φ .

For a local embedding theorem mentioned in the beginning we have a following more general setting. Namely, we have a manifold M and for each open $U \subseteq M$ we have :

3

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Phi & \Psi \\ F'(U) \rightarrow G'(U) \rightarrow H'(U) \end{array}$$

with $\Psi.\Phi = 0$. They are related by compatible restriction maps. We are given $g \in G'(M)$ with $\Psi(g) = 0$ and a reference point p_0 in M. We wish to show that the restriction of g to a suitable open neighborhood U of p_0 is in the image of Φ . We may assume that g = 0. The existence of Ψ means that we may not be able to solve the equation (8). We have to replace $\Phi(f_V)$ by its projection to the image of $d_{f_V}\Phi$. Moreover, we could find such projection only for U satisfying certain conditions which also depend on f_V . Namely, for each $f \in F(U_1)$, where $p_0 \in U_1$, we have a way to define $r_f > 0$ and a distance function t_f to p_0 with the following properties : for $0 < r < r_f$ set

(11)
$$U(f,r) = \{p \in U_1 ; t_f(p) < r\}$$

Let f' be the restriction of f to F(U(f,r)), f' = f|U(f,r). Then there is

(12)
$$V_{f'}: G(U(f,r)) \rightarrow F(U(f,r))$$

such that with $h' = V_{f'}(\Phi(f'))$

(13)
$$-\Phi(f') = (d_{e}, \Phi)(h') + A(\Phi(f'))$$

where $A(\Psi)$ is given by a composition.

(14)
$$A(\Psi) = A_1 \circ A_2(\Psi)$$

 A_1 is a linear map. A_2 is a non-linear partial differential operator starting with quadratic terms. Since our error term $A(\Phi(f'))$ is of quadratic nature as R_f in (6) we may try to solve our problem by the same method as in the standard case.

We first find $f_o \in \mathcal{F}(U_o)$ such that :

(15)
$$||\Phi(\mathbf{f}_0)| U(\mathbf{f}_0,\mathbf{r})||_k \leq O(\mathbf{r}^N)$$

for all N. This is achieved by solving the differential equation $\Phi(f) = 0$ as a formal power series centered at p_o whose Taylor series agree with the solution formal power series will satisfy our requirement. For $\alpha > \beta$ we set for $0 < r_o < r_{f_o}$

(16)
$$\varepsilon_o = r_o^{\alpha}$$
, $\delta_o = r_o^{\beta}$

and define ε_{v} and δ_{v} as in (1). We then set

(17)
$$r_{y+1} = r_y - 3 \delta_y$$

Starting from $f_0 | U(f_0, r_0)$ we construct f_1 as in the standard case replacing h in (8) by h' in (13). We the, show that, if r_{f_0} is properly chosen, $r_1 + \delta_1 \leq r_{f_1}$ and $U(f_1, r_1 + \delta_1) \subseteq U(f_0, r_0 - \delta_0)$. We then consider $f_1 | U(f_1, r_1)$ and proceed inductively. We do this construction for all r_0 in $]0, r_{f_0}[$.

Thus the success of our method depends essentially on the nature of $V_{f'}$ in (12) which solves the equations (13) and how we could handle the new error term $A(\Phi(f'))$. In our case $V_{f'}$ is obtained by using the solution mapping $N_{f'}$ of generalized Neumann boundary value problem on U(f,r) associated with $d_{f'}\Phi$. N_{f} also enters in the construction of A_1 in (14). The fact is we could only find N_f for U(f,r) as in (11), where t_f satisfies certain conditions. This is the reason why we had to change U as each step of the successive approximation. On the other hand, since $U(f_{V+1},r_{V+1}) \not\subset U(f_V,r_V)$, we could use the interior estimate. In such estimate a factor $(\delta_V)^{-\ell}$ (cf. (17)) will come in the constant of the inequality. However, we can admit such factor in view of (3). Using estimates for N_f on U(f,r) as well as interior estimate, we prove the first inequality in (3) for all r in $]0,r_{f_O}[$, provided P_O, \dots, P_{V-1} is sufficiently small. We now need the second and the third inequality in (3). In view fo (16) the second is satisfied for sufficiently small r_O . Similarly the third is satisfied in view of (15). **REFERENCES** :

[1]: R. HAMILTON: "The inverse function theorem of Nash and Moser". Bulletin of the Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 7, N° 1 (1982) 65-222.

 [2] : M. KURANISHI : "Strongly pseudoconvex CR structures over small balls".
I. Ann. of Math. 115 (1982), 451-500, II. Ann. of Math. 116 (1982), 669-732, III. Ann. of Math. 116 (1982).

[3] : J. MOSER : "A new technique for the construction of solution of nonlinear differential equations". Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 47, N° 11 (1961), 1824-1831.

6