

NAMI KOBAYASHI

**The closure under division and a characterization
of the recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subsets**

Informatique théorique et applications, tome 30, n° 3 (1996),
p. 209-230

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ITA_1996__30_3_209_0

© AFCET, 1996, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Informatique théorique et applications » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

THE CLOSURE UNDER DIVISION AND A CHARACTERIZATION OF THE RECOGNIZABLE \mathcal{Z} -SUBSETS (*)

by Nami KOBAYASHI (***) (¹)

Communicated by Christian CHOFFRUT

Abstract. – We show that the family of recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subsets of A^* is closed under (integer) division by a positive integer. The technique that we use to prove this result is constructive and, by generalizing this construction, we obtain a characterization of recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subsets of A^+ as a sum of finitely many simple \mathcal{Z} -subsets of A^+ . We also show that the family of recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subsets of A^* is not closed under division by a negative integer, or under taking the remainder of the division by an integer of absolute value greater than 1.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the seventies, S. Eilenberg [2] studied the recognizable subsets with multiplicities in an arbitrary semiring K , paying special attention to the cases of the Boolean semiring and the semiring of natural numbers. A more algebraic treatment of recognizable K -subsets is given by Berstel and Reutenauer [1].

In [7] and [8], we studied some properties of \mathcal{M} -subsets of A^* , where \mathcal{M} is the tropical semiring. For background and the most important results about \mathcal{M} -subsets, *see* Simon [13, 14, 15, 16, 17], Hashiguchi [3, 4, 5, 6], Leung [12] and Krob [10, 11].

This paper is concerned with the corresponding theory for the semiring \mathcal{Z} , which is just an extension of \mathcal{M} to the set of all integers; that is, \mathcal{Z} consists

(*) Received July 5, 1994; accepted December 20, 1995.

(**) This research was supported by FAPESP, Proc. No. 93/0603-1, and by CNPq, PROTEM-2-TCPAC project and Proc. No. 523390/94-7 (NV).

(¹) Departamento de Ciência da Computação, Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade de São Paulo, Rua do Matão, 1010, 05508-900 - São Paulo, Brasil. e-mail: nami@ime.usp.br

of the integer numbers extended with ∞ and equipped with the minimum and addition operations.

Here, by considering a certain construction of \mathcal{Z} -automata, we prove two results concerning to the recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subsets of A^* . The first of these (see Theorem 5) asserts that, if X is a recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subset of A^* and d is a positive integer, the \mathcal{Z} -subset $Y = X \operatorname{div} d$ is recognizable, where wY is the division quotient of wX by d , for all w in A^* . The second result (see Theorem 20) gives a characterization of recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subsets through simple \mathcal{Z} -subsets. More precisely, we show that every recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subset of A^+ is the sum of a finite number of simple \mathcal{Z} -subsets of A^+ .

We also show (see Lemma 11) that if d is a negative integer, $X \operatorname{div} d$ is not always a recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subset, and (see Lemma 13) if d is an integer of absolute value greater than 1, $Y = X \operatorname{mod} d$ is not always a recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subset, where wY is the division remainder of wX by d , for all w in A^* . Indeed, these lemmas are particular cases of two more general results (Theorems 14 and 15) which show that $X\phi$ is not always a recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subset when ϕ is a strictly decreasing or a non-constant periodic map.

Eilenberg [2] showed that if X is a recognizable \mathbb{N} -subset of A^* , where \mathbb{N} is the semiring of the natural numbers, and d is a positive integer, the \mathbb{N} -subsets $Y_1 = X \operatorname{div} d$ and $Y_2 = X \operatorname{mod} d$ are recognizable. Moreover, in [2] it is proved that $X = dY_1 + Y_2$, and hence X can be “recovered” from Y_1 and Y_2 . However, we prove that for the recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subsets, such an “inversion operation” to division does not exist.

2. THE SEMIRING \mathcal{Z} , \mathcal{Z} -SUBSETS AND \mathcal{Z} -A-AUTOMATA

The semiring \mathcal{Z} has as support $\mathbb{Z} \cup \infty$ and as operations the minimum and the addition (denoted by \min and $+$, respectively). The minimum plays the role of semiring addition and the addition plays the role of semiring multiplication. Note that \mathcal{Z} is a commutative semiring and the identities with respect to minimum and addition are ∞ and 0 , respectively.

The subsemiring \mathcal{Z}^- of \mathcal{Z} consists of the nonpositive integers and ∞ . It is isomorphic to \mathcal{M}^d , the dual of \mathcal{M} , whose support is $\mathbb{N} \cup -\infty$ and whose operations are the maximum and the addition.

Let A be a finite alphabet. A \mathcal{Z} -subset X of A^* is a function $X : A^* \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$. For each w in A^* , wX is called the *multiplicity* with which w belongs to X . If $1X = \infty$ then we also say that X is a \mathcal{Z} -subset of A^+ .

The following operations are defined over \mathcal{Z} -subsets of A^* , where $\{X_i \mid i \in I\}$ is a family of \mathcal{Z} -subsets of A^* indexed by a set I , X and Y are \mathcal{Z} -subsets of A^* , and $m \in \mathcal{Z}$. For (a) and (b) we assume that I is finite, and for (e) and (f) we assume that $1X = \infty$.

(a) $\forall w \in A^*, \quad w(\min_{i \in I} X_i) = \min_{i \in I}(wX_i)$ (minimum)

(b) $\forall w \in A^*, \quad w(\sum_{i \in I} X_i) = \sum_{i \in I}(wX_i)$ (addition)

(c) $\forall w \in A^*, \quad w(XY) = \min_{xy=w}(xX + yY)$ (concatenation)

(d) $\forall w \in A^*, \quad w(m + X) = m + wX$

(e) $\forall w \in A^*, \quad wX^+ = w(\min_{n \geq 1} X^n) = \min_{n \geq 1}(wX^n)$

(f) $X^* = \min(\mathbf{1}, X^+)$, where the \mathcal{Z} -subset $\mathbf{1}$ is defined by $\forall w \in A^*, \quad w\mathbf{1} = 0$ if $w = 1$ and $w\mathbf{1} = \infty$, otherwise.

Recall that, for any semiring K , one naturally has the operations of addition, intersection, and multiplication of K -subsets. In the case in which $K = \mathcal{Z}$, these operations are, respectively, the ones given in (a), (b) and (c) above.

Observe that if $I = \emptyset$, $\min_{i \in I}(m_i) = \infty$ and $\sum_{i \in I} m_i = 0$.

The family $\mathcal{Z}\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle$ of all \mathcal{Z} -subsets of A^* with the minimum (a) and concatenation (c) operations constitutes a semiring, whose identities are, respectively, the \mathcal{Z} -subset \emptyset (where, for all $w \in A^*, w\emptyset = \infty$) and the \mathcal{Z} -subset $\mathbf{1}$.

A \mathcal{Z} - A -automaton $\mathcal{A} = (Q, I, T)$ is an automaton over A , with a finite set Q of states, two \mathcal{Z} -subsets I and T of Q and a \mathcal{Z} -subset $E_{\mathcal{A}}$ of $Q \times A \times Q$.

If $pI \neq \infty$ (resp. $pT \neq \infty$), we say that p is an *initial state* (resp. *final state*) of \mathcal{A} .

If (p, a, q) is an *edge* in \mathcal{A} , we say that its *label* is a and that its *multiplicity* is $(p, a, q)E_{\mathcal{A}}$. If $(p, a, q)E_{\mathcal{A}} \neq \infty$, the edge (p, a, q) is said to be a *useful edge* of \mathcal{A} .

If P is a *path* of length n in \mathcal{A} , with *origin* p_0 and *terminus* p_n , that is

$$P = (p_0, a_1, p_1)(p_1, a_2, p_2) \dots (p_{n-1}, a_n, p_n) ,$$

then its *label* is $|P| = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$ and its *multiplicity* $\|P\|$ is the sum of the multiplicities of its edges, that is

$$\|P\| = \sum_{i=1}^n (p_{i-1}, a_i, p_i)E_{\mathcal{A}} .$$

For convenience, if P is the path above, we also write

$$P = (p_0, a_1 a_2 \dots a_n, p_n) \quad \text{and} \quad P : p_0 \xrightarrow{a_1 a_2 \dots a_n} p_n .$$

Concatenations, factorizations and factors of paths are defined as usual.

A path P is *useful* if $\|P\| \neq \infty$. A useful path, whose origin i and terminus t satisfy $iI \neq \infty$ and $tT \neq \infty$, is called *successful*.

The *behavior* of \mathcal{A} is the \mathcal{Z} -subset $\|\mathcal{A}\|$ of A^* that associates a multiplicity to each word as follows. Let w be in A^* and let C be the set of successful paths P in \mathcal{A} with label $|P| = w$. Then,

$$w\|\mathcal{A}\| = \min_{P \in C} (iI + \|P\| + tT) ,$$

where i and t are the origin and the terminus of the path P , respectively.

A successful path P in \mathcal{A} , with label w , origin i and terminus t , is called *victorious*, if $iI + \|P\| + tT = w\|\mathcal{A}\|$.

The structure $\mathcal{C} = (Q, E_C)$ over A , consisting of a finite set of states Q , a set of edges $Q \times A \times Q$ and a \mathcal{Z} -subset E_C of $Q \times A \times Q$, is called a *\mathcal{Z} - A -semiautomaton*. From \mathcal{C} we can construct a *\mathcal{Z} - A -automaton* \mathcal{A} by introducing two \mathcal{Z} -subsets I and T of Q . In this case, \mathcal{A} can also be denoted by $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{C}, I, T)$.

We say that a *\mathcal{Z} - A -automaton* $\mathcal{A} = (Q, I, T)$ is *normalized* if \mathcal{A} has a unique initial state i and a unique final state t , with $t \neq i$ and $iI = tT = 0$, and, moreover, there are neither useful edges with terminus i nor useful edges with origin t .

We say that a *\mathcal{Z} - A -automaton* $\mathcal{A} = (Q, I, T)$ is *simple* if

$$(Q \times A \times Q)E_{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \{0, 1, -1, \infty\}, \quad QI \subseteq \{0, \infty\} \quad \text{and} \quad QT \subseteq \{0, \infty\} .$$

It is important to observe that in a normalized or simple *\mathcal{Z} - A -automaton* \mathcal{A} , every victorious path P with label w satisfies $\|P\| = w\|\mathcal{A}\|$ (because $QI, QT \subseteq \{0, \infty\}$) and every successful path P' with label w is such that $w\|\mathcal{A}\| \leq \|P'\|$ (because $\|P\| \leq \|P'\|$). These properties will be frequently used in the proofs.

A *\mathcal{Z} -subset* of A^* is *recognizable* if it is the behavior of some *\mathcal{Z} - A -automaton*. It is well known that every recognizable *\mathcal{Z} -subset* of A^+ is the behavior of a normalized *\mathcal{Z} - A -automaton*. The family of all recognizable *\mathcal{Z} -subsets* of A^* is denoted by $\mathcal{Z} \text{Rec } A^*$.

A class of recognizable *\mathcal{Z} -subsets* of A^* that has received some attention is that of simple *\mathcal{Z} -subsets* of A^* , denoted by $\mathcal{Z} \text{SRec } A^*$. A *\mathcal{Z} -subset* of A^* is *simple* if it is the behavior of some simple *\mathcal{Z} - A -automaton*. We showed [7, 8] that the family of simple *\mathcal{M} -subsets* of A^* is a proper subfamily of

all recognizable \mathcal{M} -subsets of A^* . This result can be easily extended to the family of recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subsets of A^* ; that is, $\mathcal{Z} \text{SRec } A^* \not\subseteq \mathcal{Z} \text{Rec } A^*$.

Let us denote by \mathbf{A}^+ the \mathcal{Z} -subset of A^* such that

$$\forall w \in A^*, \quad w\mathbf{A}^+ = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } w = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, one can easily verify the following result.

PROPOSITION 1: *For every recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subset X of A^* there exists a normalized \mathcal{Z} - A -automaton \mathcal{A} such that $\|\mathcal{A}\| = X + \mathbf{A}^+$. ■*

Now, we state some results that will be used in the next section.

PROPOSITION 2 (Fatou property - see [11, Prop. 4.1]): *\mathcal{Z} is a Fatou extension of \mathcal{M} . That is, every recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subset X of A^* such that $A^*X \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ is a recognizable \mathcal{M} -subset of A^* . ■*

PROPOSITION 3 (see [11, Prop. 3.2] or [7, Prop. 2.3]): *Let X be a recognizable \mathcal{M} -subset of A^* . Then, $\forall m \in \mathcal{M}$, the subset $X_m = \{w \in A^* : wX = m\}$ is a recognizable subset of A^* . ■*

The following result, although not found in the literature, can be easily established by using Propositions 2 and 3.

PROPOSITION 4: *Let X be a recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subset of A^* such that the set A^*X is finite. Then, $\forall m \in \mathcal{Z}$, the subset $X_m = \{w \in A^* : wX = m\}$ is a recognizable subset of A^* . ■*

3. CLOSURE OF $\mathcal{Z} \text{Rec } A^*$ UNDER THE DIVISION BY AN INTEGER

We studied the closure properties of the family of recognizable \mathcal{M} -subsets of A^* and of two of its subfamilies under several operations. These results can be found in our doctoral thesis [7] and in [8]. Here, we investigate the closure properties of families of \mathcal{Z} -subsets under taking the quotient and the remainder of the division by an integer different from zero.

The quotient (div) and the remainder (mod) of the integer division over the natural numbers can be extended to the semiring \mathcal{Z} by putting

$$\forall d \neq 0, \quad \infty \text{ div } d = \infty, \quad \infty \text{ mod } d = \infty \quad \text{and} \\ \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad m \text{ div } d = k \quad \text{and} \quad m \text{ mod } d = r,$$

where k and r are the unique integers such that $kd+r = m$ and $0 \leq r < |d|$.

Observe that in this definition the remainder is always non-negative and the following properties are satisfied:

$$m \operatorname{div} d = -(m \operatorname{div} -d) \quad \text{and} \quad m \operatorname{mod} d = m \operatorname{mod} -d .$$

We can extend the operations div and mod to the \mathcal{Z} -subsets of A^* as follows. Let X be a \mathcal{Z} -subset of A^* and let $d \neq 0$. The \mathcal{Z} -subsets $X \operatorname{div} d$ and $X \operatorname{mod} d$ of A^* are defined by:

$$\forall w \in A^*, \quad w(X \operatorname{div} d) = wX \operatorname{div} d \quad \text{and} \quad w(X \operatorname{mod} d) = wX \operatorname{mod} d .$$

The main purpose of this section is to prove that the family of recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subsets of A^* is closed with respect to the integer division by a positive integer.

Initially, we shall prove this closure property for the recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subsets of A^+ and then, this result will be easily extended for the recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subsets of A^* .

THEOREM 5 : *Let d be a positive integer. If X is a recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subset of A^+ then $X \operatorname{div} d$ is a recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subset of A^+ .*

In the proof of Theorem 5 we will construct a \mathcal{Z} - A -automaton $\mathcal{B} = (Q, I, T)$ from a normalized \mathcal{Z} - A -automaton $\mathcal{A} = (Q_{\mathcal{A}}, I_{\mathcal{A}}, T_{\mathcal{A}})$ such that $\|\mathcal{B}\| = \|\mathcal{A}\| \operatorname{div} d$. The idea is to construct \mathcal{B} from d “copies” of \mathcal{A} .

Let us first construct a \mathcal{Z} - A -semiautomaton \mathcal{C} , depending on \mathcal{A} , which will also be used in the next section. For convenience, for an integer $d \geq 1$, put $[1, d] = \{1, \dots, d\}$. Let $\mathcal{C} = (Q, E_{\mathcal{C}})$, where $Q = Q_{\mathcal{A}} \times [1, d]$ and all useful edges of \mathcal{C} with their respective multiplicities are defined as follows.

Let $\alpha' = (p, a, q)$ be a useful edge of \mathcal{A} . Let us consider

$$k = \alpha' E_{\mathcal{A}} \operatorname{div} d \quad \text{and} \quad r = \alpha' E_{\mathcal{A}} \operatorname{mod} d .$$

Then $\alpha' E_{\mathcal{A}} = kd + r$.

For each $i \in [1, d]$, $\alpha = ((p, i), a, (q, j))$ is a useful edge of \mathcal{C} , satisfying

- if $i > r$, then $j = i - r$ and $\alpha E_{\mathcal{C}} = k$; thus,

$$\alpha' E_{\mathcal{A}} = kd + r = d(\alpha E_{\mathcal{C}}) + i - j ;$$

- if $i \leq r$, then $j = i - r + d$ and $\alpha E_{\mathcal{C}} = k + 1$; thus,

$$\alpha' E_{\mathcal{A}} = kd + r = kd + d + r - d = d(k + 1) + r - d = d(\alpha E_{\mathcal{C}}) + i - j .$$

In both cases, $j \in [1, d]$ and

$$\alpha' E_{\mathcal{A}} = d(\alpha E_{\mathcal{C}}) + i - j. \tag{1}$$

Note that this condition uniquely defines both j and $\alpha E_{\mathcal{C}}$, for every i and $\alpha' E_{\mathcal{A}}$.

In the sequel, we study some properties relating paths in \mathcal{A} with the corresponding paths in \mathcal{C} and *vice versa*.

Let $P_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $P_{\mathcal{C}}$ be the sets of useful paths in \mathcal{A} and in \mathcal{C} , respectively. Let us define a function $\Psi: P_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow P_{\mathcal{A}}$ as follows. If

$$P = ((p_0, i_0), a_1, (p_1, i_1)) \dots ((p_{n-1}, i_{n-1}), a_n, (p_n, i_n))$$

is a useful path in \mathcal{C} , then

$$P\Psi = (p_0, a_1, p_1) \dots (p_{n-1}, a_n, p_n).$$

It is easy to see that $P\Psi$ is a useful path in \mathcal{A} and we say that $P\Psi$ is the *projection* of P in \mathcal{A} . On the other hand, one can see that for each useful path P' in \mathcal{A} and for each $i \in [1, d]$, there exists a unique useful path P in \mathcal{C} , with origin in $Q_{\mathcal{A}} \times \{i\}$, whose projection in \mathcal{A} is P' . Such a path P will be called the *i-lifting* of P' in \mathcal{C} . The following lemma relates the multiplicities of a useful path in \mathcal{C} and of its projection.

LEMMA 6: *Let P be a useful path in \mathcal{C} from (p, i) to (q, j) , i and $j \in [1, d]$. Then its projection P' in \mathcal{A} satisfies*

$$\|P'\| = d\|P\| + i - j.$$

Proof: It follows readily from (1) and the fact that the multiplicity of a path is the sum of the multiplicities of its edges. ■

The crucial property of the construction of \mathcal{C} is stated in Lemma 6 above; it says that for every useful path P in \mathcal{C} and its projection P' in \mathcal{A} , the difference $\|P'\| - d\|P\|$ only depends on the origin and the terminus of the path P .

COROLLARY 7: *Let P be a useful path in \mathcal{C} from (p, i) to (q, j) , i and $j \in [1, d]$. Let P' be the projection of P in \mathcal{A} . Then*

$$\|P\| = \begin{cases} \|P'\| \operatorname{div} d & \text{if } i - j \geq 0 \\ 1 + \|P'\| \operatorname{div} d & \text{if } i - j < 0. \end{cases} \quad \blacksquare$$

Proof of Theorem 5: For $d = 1$ we have nothing to prove.

Let $d \geq 2$. Let X be a recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subset of A^+ and let $\mathcal{A} = (Q_{\mathcal{A}}, I_{\mathcal{A}}, T_{\mathcal{A}})$ be a normalized \mathcal{Z} - \mathcal{A} -automaton such that $\|\mathcal{A}\| = X$.

Let us construct a \mathcal{Z} - \mathcal{A} -automaton $\mathcal{B} = (C, I, T)$ from the \mathcal{Z} - \mathcal{A} -semiautomaton $\mathcal{C} = (Q, E_{\mathcal{C}})$, whose construction and properties we just described. For this, let us define the \mathcal{Z} -subsets I and T of Q :

$$\begin{aligned} (q, d)I &= qI_{\mathcal{A}} \quad (\forall q \in Q_{\mathcal{A}}) \quad \text{and} \\ (q, j)I &= \infty \quad (\forall q \in Q_{\mathcal{A}}, \forall j \in [1, d-1]); \\ (q, j)T &= qT_{\mathcal{A}} \quad (\forall q \in Q_{\mathcal{A}}, \forall j \in [1, d]). \end{aligned}$$

We wish to prove that $\|\mathcal{B}\| = \|\mathcal{A}\| \operatorname{div} d$. Let $w \in A^+$ be such that $w\|\mathcal{A}\| \neq \infty$ and let P' be a victorious path in \mathcal{A} , with label w . By Corollary 7, the d -lifting P of P' in \mathcal{B} satisfies

$$\|P\| = \|P'\| \operatorname{div} d;$$

hence,

$$w\|\mathcal{B}\| \leq \|P\| = w\|\mathcal{A}\| \operatorname{div} d. \quad (2)$$

Let now P_1 be a victorious path in \mathcal{B} , with label w . Let P_1' be the projection of P_1 in \mathcal{A} . Then, remembering that the origin of P_1 lies in $Q_{\mathcal{A}} \times \{d\}$, and using Corollary 7, we have that

$$w\|\mathcal{B}\| = \|P_1\| = \|P_1'\| \operatorname{div} d \geq \|P'\| \operatorname{div} d = w\|\mathcal{A}\| \operatorname{div} d. \quad (3)$$

Thus, from (2) and (3), we have that $w\|\mathcal{B}\| = w\|\mathcal{A}\| \operatorname{div} d$.

Moreover, we observe that $1\|\mathcal{B}\| = \infty$ and if $w\|\mathcal{A}\| = \infty$ then Corollary 7 implies that $w\|\mathcal{B}\| = \infty$. Thus, $\|\mathcal{B}\| = \|\mathcal{A}\| \operatorname{div} d = X \operatorname{div} d$. Therefore, $X \operatorname{div} d$ is a recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subset of A^+ . ■

In the proof of Theorem 5, if \mathcal{A} is an \mathcal{M} - \mathcal{A} -automaton (resp. \mathcal{Z}^- - \mathcal{A} -automaton), \mathcal{B} will be an \mathcal{M} - \mathcal{A} -automaton (resp. \mathcal{Z}^- - \mathcal{A} -automaton). Thus, Theorem 5 is also valid to the recognizable \mathcal{M} -subsets (resp. \mathcal{Z}^- -subsets).

COROLLARY 8: *Let d be a positive integer. If X is a recognizable \mathcal{M} -subset (resp. \mathcal{Z}^- -subset) of A^+ then $X \operatorname{div} d$ is a recognizable \mathcal{M} -subset (resp. \mathcal{Z}^- -subset) of A^+ . ■*

Theorem 5 can be easily extended for the family of all recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subsets as can Corollary 8 for the family of all recognizable \mathcal{M} -subsets and \mathcal{Z}^- -subsets of A^* .

COROLLARY 9: *Let d be a positive integer. Then, $\mathcal{Z} \text{ Rec } A^*$, $\mathcal{M} \text{ Rec } A^*$ and $\mathcal{Z}^- \text{ Rec } A^*$ are closed under $\text{div } d$.*

Proof: Let $X \in \mathcal{Z} \text{ Rec } A^*$. By Theorem 5, $(X + \mathbf{A}^+) \text{ div } d$ is a recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subset of A^+ . Thus,

$$X \text{ div } d = \min((X + \mathbf{A}^+) \text{ div } d, (X + \mathbf{1}) \text{ div } d)$$

is a recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subset of A^* .

The proof for X in $\mathcal{M} \text{ Rec } A^*$ or $\mathcal{Z}^- \text{ Rec } A^*$ is similar. ■

It follows from Theorem 5 and Corollary 9 that the family of simple \mathcal{Z} -subsets of A^* is also closed under integer division by a positive integer.

COROLLARY 10: *Let d be a positive integer. $\mathcal{Z} \text{ SRec } A^*$ is closed under $\text{div } d$.* ■

In the sequel, we verify that if d is a negative integer, $\mathcal{Z} \text{ Rec } A^*$ is not closed under $\text{div } d$.

LEMMA 11: *Let d be a negative integer. Then, $\mathcal{Z} \text{ Rec } A^*$ is not closed under $\text{div } d$.*

Proof: Let $A = \{a, b\}$ and let X be the \mathcal{Z} -subset of A^* defined by

$$1X = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \forall w \in A^+, \quad wX = \min\{-|w|_a, -|w|_b\}.$$

It is clear that $X \in \mathcal{Z} \text{ Rec } A^*$.

Let us consider the \mathcal{Z} -subset $Y = X \text{ div } -1$. By the definition of Y , we have $1Y = \infty$ and $\forall w \in A^+, wY = w(X \text{ div } -1) = wX \text{ div } -1 = -wX = -\min\{-|w|_a, -|w|_b\} = \max\{|w|_a, |w|_b\}$.

Consider the recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subset S defined by

$$\forall w \in A^*, \quad wS = -|w|_a.$$

Suppose that Y is a recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subset of A^* . Then so is the \mathcal{Z} -subset $Y + S$ and

$$\forall w \in A^+, \quad w(Y + S) = wY + wS = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |w|_a \geq |w|_b \\ |w|_b - |w|_a & \text{if } |w|_b > |w|_a \end{cases}$$

and $1(Y + S) = \infty$. That is, $\forall w \in A^+, w(Y + S) \in \mathcal{M}$. Hence, by Proposition 2, $Y + S$ is a recognizable \mathcal{M} -subset of A^* . But then, by Proposition 3,

$$(Y + S)_0 = \{w \in A^* : w(Y + S) = 0\} = \{w \in A^* : |w|_a \geq |w|_b\}$$

is a recognizable subset of A^* . This is a contradiction.

Thus, Y is not a recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subset of A^* . Therefore, $\mathcal{Z} \text{ Rec } A^*$ is not closed under $\text{div } d$, when d is a negative integer. ■

A consequence of the proof of the previous lemma is the statement in the next lemma (see [8]) which was also showed by Krob [11] in another context.

LEMMA 12: *There is a \mathcal{Z} -subset X of A^* such that X is recognizable but $-X$ is not.* ■

We saw that $\mathcal{Z} \text{ Rec } A^*$ is closed under the $\text{div } d$ operation when d is a positive integer. It turns out that, however, this is not true for the mod operation.

LEMMA 13: *Let d be an integer, $|d| > 1$. Then, $\mathcal{Z} \text{ Rec } A^*$ is not closed under $\text{mod } d$.*

Proof: Let us consider $d > 1$. The proof for $d < -1$ is analogous.

Let $A = \{a, b\}$ and let X be the \mathcal{Z} -subset of A^* defined by

$$\forall w \in A^*, \quad wX = \min\{-d|w|_a, -d|w|_b - 1\}.$$

It is clear that $X \in \mathcal{Z} \text{ Rec } A^*$.

Let us consider the \mathcal{Z} -subset $Y = X \text{ mod } d = X \text{ mod } -d$. Then,

$$\forall w \in A^*, \quad wY = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |w|_a > |w|_b \\ d-1 & \text{if } |w|_a \leq |w|_b. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that Y is a recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subset of A^* . By Proposition 4, we have that

$$Y_0 = \{w \in A^* : wY = 0\} = \{w \in A^* : |w|_a > |w|_b\}$$

is a recognizable subset of A^* . This is a contradiction.

Therefore, $Y = X \text{ mod } d = X \text{ mod } -d$ is not a recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subset of A^* . ■

Remark: Our original proofs of Lemmas 11 and 13 involved the construction of certain \mathcal{Z} -automata [9]. The simpler proofs above were suggested by one of the referees. However, as pointed out by another referee, our original approach gives the two following more general results, which are interesting on their own right.

Given a map $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, we extend ϕ to \mathcal{Z} by setting $\infty\phi = \infty$, and for a \mathcal{Z} -subset X of A^* , we define the \mathcal{Z} -subset $X\phi$ by $w(X\phi) = (wX)\phi$, $\forall w \in A^*$.

THEOREM 14: *Let ϕ be a strictly decreasing map. Then, its extension to \mathcal{Z} -subsets does not preserve recognizability.*

Proof: Let ϕ be a strictly decreasing map. That is, $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$, if $x < y$ then $x\phi > y\phi$.

Consider $A = \{a, b\}$ and let X be the \mathcal{Z} -subset of A^* defined by

$$1X = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \forall w \in A^+, \quad wX = \min\{|w|_a, |w|_b\} .$$

It is clear that $X \in \mathcal{Z} \text{ Rec } A^*$.

Assume that $X\phi$ is a recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subset of A^* . Then, there is a normalized \mathcal{Z} - A -automaton \mathcal{A} with n states such that $\|\mathcal{A}\| = X\phi$.

Consider the word $w = a^n b^n$ and let P be a victorious path in \mathcal{A} , spelling w . Then, $\|P\| = w\|\mathcal{A}\| = w(X\phi) = (wX)\phi = n\phi$ and there are naturals r, s and t , with $s > 0$ and $r+s+t = n$ such that the path P can be factorized as

$$P : i \xrightarrow{a^n} p \xrightarrow{b^r} q \xrightarrow{b^s} q \xrightarrow{b^t} f .$$

If $\|(q, b^s, q)\| < 0$, the successful path P' in \mathcal{A} ,

$$P' : i \xrightarrow{a^n} p \xrightarrow{b^r} q \xrightarrow{b^s} q \xrightarrow{b^s} q \xrightarrow{b^t} f ,$$

spells the word $w' = a^n b^{n+s}$ and $\|P'\| < \|P\| = n\phi$. Then,

$$n\phi = w'(X\phi) = w'\|\mathcal{A}\| \leq \|P'\| < n\phi .$$

This is a contradiction.

If $\|(q, b^s, q)\| \geq 0$, the successful path P'' in \mathcal{A} ,

$$P'' : i \xrightarrow{a^n} p \xrightarrow{b^r} q \xrightarrow{b^t} f ,$$

spells the word $w'' = a^n b^{n-s}$ and $\|P''\| \leq \|P\| = n\phi$. Then,

$$(n-s)\phi = w''(X\phi) = w''\|\mathcal{A}\| \leq \|P''\| \leq n\phi .$$

But, $(n-s)\phi \leq n\phi$ contradicts the fact that ϕ is strictly decreasing.

Hence, X is a recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subset, but $X\phi$ is not a recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subset of A^* . ■

THEOREM 15: *Let ϕ be a non-constant periodic map. Then, its extension to \mathcal{Z} -subsets does not preserve recognizability.*

Proof: Let ϕ be a non-constant periodic map. Then, for some positive integer d , $(x + d)\phi = x\phi$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $i_0\phi \neq j_0\phi$, for some i_0, j_0 . Assume without loss of generality that $i_0 < j_0 < d$.

Consider $A = \{a, b\}$ and let X be the \mathcal{Z} -subset of A^* defined by

$$1X = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \forall w \in A^+, \quad wX = \min\{d|w|_a + i_0, d|w|_b + j_0\}.$$

Clearly, $X \in \mathcal{Z} \text{Rec } A^*$ and

$$1(X\phi) = \infty$$

and

$$\forall w \in A^+, \quad w(X\phi) = (wX)\phi = \begin{cases} i_0\phi & \text{if } |w|_a \leq |w|_b \\ j_0\phi & \text{if } |w|_a > |w|_b. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that $X\phi$ is a recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subset of A^* . In this case, there is a normalized \mathcal{Z} - A -automaton \mathcal{A} with n states such that $\|\mathcal{A}\| = X\phi$.

Let us first assume that $i_0\phi < j_0\phi$.

Consider the word $w = a^n b^n$ with a victorious path P in \mathcal{A} . Then, $\|P\| = w\|\mathcal{A}\| = w(X\phi) = (wX)\phi = i_0\phi$. Moreover, there are naturals r, s and t , with $s > 0$ and $r + s + t = n$ such that the path P can be decomposed as

$$P : i \xrightarrow{a^r} p \xrightarrow{a^s} p \xrightarrow{a^t} q \xrightarrow{b^n} f.$$

If $\|(p, a^s, p)\| \leq 0$, the successful path

$$P_1 : i \xrightarrow{a^r} p \xrightarrow{a^s} p \xrightarrow{a^s} p \xrightarrow{a^t} q \xrightarrow{b^n} f$$

spells the word $w_1 = a^{n+s} b^n$ and $\|P_1\| \leq \|P\| = i_0\phi$. Then,

$$j_0\phi = w_1(X\phi) = w_1\|\mathcal{A}\| \leq \|P_1\| \leq i_0\phi,$$

which contradicts $i_0\phi < j_0\phi$.

Now, if $\|(p, a^s, p)\| > 0$, the successful path

$$P_2 : i \xrightarrow{a^r} p \xrightarrow{a^t} q \xrightarrow{b^n} f$$

spells the word $w_2 = a^{n-s} b^n$ and $\|P_2\| < \|P\| = i_0\phi$. Then,

$$i_0\phi = w_2(X\phi) = w_2\|\mathcal{A}\| \leq \|P_2\| < i_0\phi;$$

this is a contradiction.

Let us now assume that $i_0\phi > j_0\phi$.

Consider the word $v = a^{n+1}b^n$ with a victorious path P' in \mathcal{A} . Then, $\|P'\| = v\|\mathcal{A}\| = v(X\phi) = (vX)\phi = j_0\phi$. Moreover, there are naturals r' , s' and t' , with $s' > 0$ and $r' + s' + t' = n$ such that the path P' can be decomposed as

$$P' : i \xrightarrow{a^{n+1}} p' \xrightarrow{b^{r'}} q' \xrightarrow{b^{s'}} q' \xrightarrow{b^{t'}} f.$$

If $\|(q', b^{s'}, q')\| \leq 0$, the successful path

$$P_1' : i \xrightarrow{a^{n+1}} p' \xrightarrow{b^{r'}} q' \xrightarrow{b^{s'}} q' \xrightarrow{b^{s'}} q' \xrightarrow{b^{t'}} f$$

spells the word $v_1 = a^{n+1}b^{n+s'}$ and $\|P_1'\| \leq \|P'\| = j_0\phi$. Then, $i_0\phi = v_1(X\phi) = v_1\|\mathcal{A}\| \leq \|P_1'\| \leq j_0\phi$, which contradicts $i_0\phi > j_0\phi$.

Otherwise, if $\|(q', b^{s'}, q')\| > 0$, the successful path

$$P_2' : i \xrightarrow{a^{n+1}} p' \xrightarrow{b^{r'}} q' \xrightarrow{b^{t'}} f$$

spells the word $v_2 = a^{n+1}b^{n-s'}$ and $\|P_2'\| < \|P'\| = j_0\phi$. Then, $j_0\phi = v_2(X\phi) = v_2\|\mathcal{A}\| \leq \|P_2'\| < j_0\phi$; another contradiction.

Thus, X is a recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subset, but $X\phi$ is not a recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subset of A^* . ■

Remark: Lemmas 11 and 13 can also be obtained, respectively, from Theorems 14 and 15 considering $\phi = \text{div} - 1$ and $\phi = \text{mod} d$.

The quotient (div) and the remainder (mod) were defined in such a way that the remainder is always non-negative. However, there are cases in which one defines the integer division (div' and mod') so that the remainder has the same sign as the dividend. That is,

$$\forall d \neq 0, \quad \infty \text{div}' d = \infty, \quad \infty \text{mod}' d = \infty \quad \text{and}$$

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad m \text{div}' d = k \quad \text{and} \quad m \text{mod}' d = r,$$

where k and r are the unique integers such that $kd + r = m$, $0 \leq |r| < |d|$ and $rm \geq 0$.

The following properties are also satisfied:

$$m \text{div}' d = -(m \text{div}' - d) \quad \text{and} \quad m \text{mod}' d = m \text{mod}' - d.$$

As before, we can extend the operation div' and mod' to the \mathcal{Z} -subsets of A^* . Let X be a recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subset of A^* and let $d \neq 0$. The \mathcal{Z} -subsets $X \text{div}' d$ and $X \text{mod}' d$ of A^* are defined by

$$\forall w \in A^*, \quad w(X \text{div}' d) = wX \text{div}' d \quad \text{and} \quad w(X \text{mod}' d) = wX \text{mod}' d.$$

Let us see how the two operations div' and mod' relate to the standard div and mod .

Let $d \neq 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ be given, and let

$$m \text{ div } d = k_1, \quad m \text{ mod } d = r_1,$$

$$m \text{ div}' d = k_2, \quad m \text{ mod}' d = r_2.$$

Then

$$k_2 = \begin{cases} k_1 & \text{if } m \geq 0 \text{ or } r_1 = 0 \\ k_1 + 1 & \text{if } m < 0 \text{ and } r_1 > 0 \text{ and } d > 0 \\ k_1 - 1 & \text{if } m < 0 \text{ and } r_1 > 0 \text{ and } d < 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\text{and } r_2 = \begin{cases} r_1 & \text{if } m \geq 0 \text{ or } r_1 = 0 \\ r_1 - |d| & \text{if } m < 0 \text{ and } r_1 > 0. \end{cases}$$

Let us show that there is a recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subset X of A^* such that $X \text{ mod}' d$ and $X \text{ div}' d$ are not recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subsets of A^* .

THEOREM 16: *Let d be an integer, $d > 1$. Then, $\mathcal{Z}\text{Rec } A^*$ is not closed under $\text{div}' d$.*

Proof: Let $A = \{a, b\}$ and let X be the \mathcal{Z} -subset of A^* defined by

$$\forall w \in A^*, \quad wX = d(|w|_a - |w|_b) + 1.$$

It is clear that X is a recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subset and we observe that $\forall w \in A^*$, $wX \text{ mod } d = 1$.

Consider the \mathcal{Z} -subsets of A^* , $F = X \text{ div } d$ and $G = X \text{ div}' d$. Then, from the observations in the definition of div' , we have that

$$\forall w \in A^*, \quad wG = \begin{cases} wF & \text{if } wF \geq 0 \\ wF + 1 & \text{if } wF < 0. \end{cases}$$

But, we can observe that, $\forall w \in A^*$, $wF = |w|_a - |w|_b$. Therefore, G can be described by

$$\forall w \in A^*, \quad wG = \begin{cases} |w|_a - |w|_b & \text{if } |w|_a \geq |w|_b \\ |w|_a - |w|_b + 1 & \text{if } |w|_a < |w|_b. \end{cases}$$

Now, consider the recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subset H defined by

$$\forall w \in A^*, \quad wH = |w|_b - |w|_a.$$

Let us suppose that G is a recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subset of A^* . Then so is the \mathcal{Z} -subset $G + H$ and

$$\forall w \in A^*, \quad w(G + H) = wG + wH = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |w|_a \geq |w|_b \\ 1 & \text{if } |w|_a < |w|_b. \end{cases}$$

Hence, by Proposition 4,

$$(G + H)_0 = \{w \in A^* : w(G + H) = 0\} = \{w \in A^* : |w|_a \geq |w|_b\}$$

is a recognizable subset of A^* . This is a contradiction.

Therefore, $G = X \operatorname{div}' d$ is not a recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subset of A^* . ■

A consequence of the proof of Theorem 16 is given in the sequence.

COROLLARY 17: *There is a recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subset X of A^* such that the \mathcal{Z} -subset Y defined by*

$$\forall w \in A^*, \quad wY = \begin{cases} wX & \text{if } wX \geq 0 \\ wX + 1 & \text{if } wX < 0 \end{cases}$$

is not recognizable. ■

LEMMA 18: *Let d be a negative integer. Then, $\mathcal{Z} \operatorname{Rec} A^*$ is not closed under $\operatorname{div}' d$.*

Proof: For all $m \in \mathcal{Z}$, $m \operatorname{div}' - 1 = m \operatorname{div}' - 1$, because in this case the remainder is zero. Thus, from the proof of Lemma 11, we can conclude that $\mathcal{Z} \operatorname{Rec} A^*$ is not closed under $\operatorname{div}' d$, when d is a negative integer. ■

LEMMA 19: *Let d be an integer, $|d| > 1$. Then, $\mathcal{Z} \operatorname{Rec} A^*$ is not closed under $\operatorname{mod}' d$.*

Proof: Let us consider $d > 1$. The proof for $d < -1$ is analogous. Let us consider the \mathcal{Z} -subset X in the proof of Lemma 13:

$$\forall w \in A^*, \quad wX = \min\{-d|w|_a, -d|w|_b - 1\}$$

and take $Y = X \operatorname{mod}' d = X \operatorname{mod}' - d$. Then,

$$\forall w \in A^*, \quad wY = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |w|_a > |w|_b \\ -1 & \text{if } |w|_a \leq |w|_b. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that Y is a recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subset of A^* . By Proposition 4, we have that

$$Y_0 = \{w \in A^* : wY = 0\} = \{w \in A^* : |w|_a > |w|_b\}$$

is a recognizable subset of A^* . This is a contradiction.

Therefore, $Y = X \text{ mod}' d = X \text{ mod}' -d$ is not a recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subset of A^* . ■

The closure properties of $\mathcal{Z} \text{ Rec } A^*$ that we have seen in this section are summarized in Table 1.

TABLE 1
Closure properties of $\mathcal{Z} \text{ Rec } A^$ under quotient and remainder by a non-zero integer d .*

Operator	yes	no
div	$d > 0$	$d < 0$
mod	$ d = 1$	$ d > 1$
div'	$d = 1$	$d < 0$ or $d > 1$
mod'	$ d = 1$	$ d > 1$

4. A CHARACTERIZATION OF RECOGNIZABLE \mathcal{Z} -SUBSETS OF A^+

Eilenberg [2] showed that, for any semiring K , the family of recognizable K -subsets is closed under intersection. But we showed [7] that the family of simple \mathcal{M} -subsets, $\mathcal{M} \text{ SRec } A^*$, is not closed under addition. (Recall that the addition of \mathcal{M} -subsets plays the role of intersection of K -subsets for a general semiring K .) This fact led us to investigate the following question:

Is it true that for every recognizable \mathcal{M} -subset of A^+ , there exist $n > 0$ simple \mathcal{M} -subsets X_1, \dots, X_n such that $wX = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} wX_i$ holds for all $w \in A^+$?

For instance, one can verify that the recognizable \mathcal{M} -subset X defined by

$$\forall w \in \{a, b\}^+, \quad wX = 2|w|_a + 3|w|_b$$

is not a simple \mathcal{M} -subset, but it can be described as the sum of five simple \mathcal{M} -subsets X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4 and X_5 defined by

$$\forall w \in \{a, b\}^+, \quad wX_1 = wX_2 = |w|_a \quad \text{and} \quad wX_3 = wX_4 = wX_5 = |w|_b.$$

In fact, X may also be written as the sum of three simple \mathcal{M} -subsets Y_1, Y_2 and Y_3 defined by

$$\forall w \in \{a, b\}^+, \quad wY_1 = wY_2 = |w| \quad \text{and} \quad wY_3 = |w|_b.$$

We obtained an affirmative answer for this question (see [7] and [8]). The next theorem generalizes this result to the semiring \mathcal{Z} .

THEOREM 20: *A \mathcal{Z} -subset of A^+ is recognizable if and only if it is the sum of a finite number of simple \mathcal{Z} -subsets of A^+ .*

Proof: Let X be a recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subset of A^+ . Let $\mathcal{A} = (Q_{\mathcal{A}}, I_{\mathcal{A}}, T_{\mathcal{A}})$ be a normalized \mathcal{Z} - \mathcal{A} -automaton such that $\|\mathcal{A}\| = X$ and let d be the maximum of the absolute values of the multiplicities of the useful edges of \mathcal{A} .

Let us construct \mathcal{Z} - \mathcal{A} -automata $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_d$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^d \|\mathcal{A}_i\| = \|\mathcal{A}\|$.

For each $i \in [1, d]$, the \mathcal{Z} - \mathcal{A} -automaton $\mathcal{A}_i = (C, I_i, T)$ is constructed from the \mathcal{Z} - \mathcal{A} -semiautomaton $C = (Q, E_C)$ which was introduced in the previous section. We define the \mathcal{Z} -subsets I_i and T of Q :

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\forall q \in Q_{\mathcal{A}}, \quad \forall j \in [1, d]) \quad & (q, j)I_i = \delta(i, j) + qI_{\mathcal{A}}, \\
 \text{where} \quad \delta(i, j) = & \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = j \\ \infty & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \\
 (\forall q \in Q_{\mathcal{A}}, \quad \forall j \in [1, d]) \quad & (q, j)T = qT_{\mathcal{A}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that $QI_i, QT \subseteq \{0, \infty\}$ and as the edge multiplicities of C are in $\{0, 1, -1, \infty\}$, \mathcal{A}_i is a simple \mathcal{Z} - \mathcal{A} -automaton. We can also observe that the \mathcal{Z} - \mathcal{A} -automata \mathcal{A}_i ($1 \leq i \leq d$) differ from each other only in the initial states.

Before we continue the proof of this theorem, we study, through the next lemmas, the properties which relate the paths in each \mathcal{A}_i ($1 \leq i \leq d$) with their projections in \mathcal{A} . We also study the relations existing between the paths in \mathcal{A}_i and in \mathcal{A}_j , for $i \neq j$.

Let $i \in [1, d]$. Let P be a victorious path in \mathcal{A}_i with terminus in $Q_{\mathcal{A}} \times \{j\}$, for some $j \in [1, d]$. We say that P is a *tallest victorious path* in \mathcal{A}_i , if there are no victorious paths in \mathcal{A}_i with the same label of P and with terminus in $Q_{\mathcal{A}} \times \{k\}$, for $k \in [1, d]$, $k > j$.

LEMMA 21: *Let P be a tallest victorious path in \mathcal{A}_i , $i \in [1, d]$. Then its projection P' is a victorious path in \mathcal{A} .*

Proof: Let P be a tallest victorious path in \mathcal{A}_i . Then, P has its origin in $Q_{\mathcal{A}} \times \{i\}$. Let us suppose that the terminus of P lies in $Q_{\mathcal{A}} \times \{j\}$, for some $j \in [1, d]$. If the projection P' of P is not a victorious path in \mathcal{A} , there is a victorious path P_1' in \mathcal{A} such that $|P_1'| = |P'|$ and $\|P_1'\| < \|P'\|$.

Let P_1 be the i -lifting of P_1' in \mathcal{A}_i and we suppose that P_1 terminates in $Q_{\mathcal{A}} \times \{k\}$, for some $k \in [1, d]$. Then, using Lemma 6,

$$d\|P_1\| = \|P_1'\| - i + k < \|P'\| - i + k = d\|P\| + i - j - i + k = d\|P\| + k - j.$$

Therefore,

$$d(\|P_1\| - \|P\|) < k - j .$$

Moreover, P_1 is a successful path in \mathcal{A}_i . In fact, its origin (p, i) and its terminus (q, k) satisfy $(p, i)I_i = pI_{\mathcal{A}} \neq \infty$ and $(q, k)T = qT_{\mathcal{A}} \neq \infty$, since its projection P_1' is a victorious path in \mathcal{A} . But, as P is a victorious path in \mathcal{A}_i , $\|P_1\| \geq \|P\|$.

If $\|P_1\| = \|P\|$ then P_1 is also a victorious path in \mathcal{A}_i and $k - j > 0$. That is, $k > j$. So, P is not a tallest victorious path in \mathcal{A}_i ; a contradiction.

Thus, $\|P_1\| > \|P\|$. Then,

$$d \leq d(\|P_1\| - \|P\|) < k - j .$$

This is impossible, because $k, j \in [1, d]$. Therefore, P' is a victorious path in \mathcal{A} . ■

LEMMA 22: Let P_i ($i \in [1, d]$) be a tallest victorious path in \mathcal{A}_i with label w and let us assume that P_i terminates in $Q_{\mathcal{A}} \times \{j\}$ ($j \in [1, d]$). Let P_k ($k \in [1, d]$ and $k \neq i$) be a tallest victorious path in \mathcal{A}_k with label w and let us assume that P_k terminates in $Q_{\mathcal{A}} \times \{l\}$ ($l \in [1, d]$). Then $i - j \equiv k - l \pmod{d}$.

Proof: Let P_i be a tallest victorious path in \mathcal{A}_i with terminus in $Q_{\mathcal{A}} \times \{j\}$ and label w . Let P_k be a tallest victorious path in \mathcal{A}_k with terminus in $Q_{\mathcal{A}} \times \{l\}$ and label w .

Let us consider the projections P_i' and P_k' of P_i and P_k , respectively, in \mathcal{A} . From Lemma 21, it results that P_i' and P_k' are victorious paths in \mathcal{A} . Then $\|P_i'\| = \|P_k'\|$. But, from Lemma 6,

$$\|P_i'\| = d\|P_i\| + i - j \quad \text{and} \quad \|P_k'\| = d\|P_k\| + k - l .$$

So, from $\|P_i'\| = \|P_k'\|$, it follows that

$$d\|P_i\| + i - j = d\|P_k\| + k - l .$$

Then

$$i - j = d(\|P_k\| - \|P_i\|) + k - l .$$

Thus, $i - j \equiv k - l \pmod{d}$. ■

Note that the previous lemma implies that $l \neq j$ and if $k = i + 1$ then $l \equiv j + 1 \pmod{d}$.

We continue the proof of Theorem 20 considering $X_i = \|\mathcal{A}_i\|$ ($1 \leq i \leq d$). Then, X_1, \dots, X_d are simple \mathcal{Z} -subsets of A^+ . Moreover, one can verify that $\forall w \in A^+$, $wX = \infty$ if, and only if, $\exists i \in [1, d]$, $wX_i = \infty$. Hence, $wX = \infty$ iff $w \sum_{i=1}^d X_i = \infty$. Then, for $w \in A^+$, we can assume that $wX \neq \infty$ and $wX_i \neq \infty, \forall i$ ($1 \leq i \leq d$).

For each $i \in [1, d]$, there is a tallest victorious path P_i in \mathcal{A}_i , with $|P_i| = w$ and

$$\|P_i\| = w\|\mathcal{A}_i\| = wX_i. \tag{4}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 21, for each $i \in [1, d]$, the projection P_i' of P_i in \mathcal{A} is a victorious path and

$$\|P_i'\| = w\|\mathcal{A}\| = wX.$$

Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^d \|P_i'\| = d(wX). \tag{5}$$

We suppose that for each $i \in [1, d]$, P_i terminates in $Q_{\mathcal{A}} \times \{k_i\}$, for some $k_i \in [1, d]$. Then, by Lemma 22, for each pair j and $l \in [1, d]$, if $j \neq l$ it results that $k_j \neq k_l$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=1}^d k_i = \sum_{i=1}^d i. \tag{6}$$

But, by Lemma 6, for each $i \in [1, d]$,

$$\|P_i'\| = d\|P_i\| + i - k_i.$$

Then, using (5) and (6) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} d(wX) &= \sum_{i=1}^d \|P_i'\| = \sum_{i=1}^d (d\|P_i\| + i - k_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d d\|P_i\| + \sum_{i=1}^d i - \sum_{i=1}^d k_i = d \sum_{i=1}^d \|P_i\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from (4),

$$wX = \sum_{i=1}^d \|P_i\| = \sum_{i=1}^d wX_i.$$

Thus,

$$\forall w \in A^+, \quad wX = \sum_{i=1}^d wX_i = w \sum_{i=1}^d X_i .$$

Therefore,

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^d X_i .$$

The converse of this Theorem follows from the definition of simple \mathcal{Z} -subset and the closure of $\mathcal{Z} \text{ Rec } A^*$ under addition. ■

In the proof of Theorem 20, if \mathcal{A} is an \mathcal{M} - A -automaton (resp. \mathcal{Z}^- - A -automaton), from Corollary 8 it follows that each \mathcal{A}_i ($1 \leq i \leq d$) is an \mathcal{M} - A -automaton (resp. \mathcal{Z}^- - A -automaton). Moreover, Lemmas 21 and 22 stay valid when each \mathcal{A}_i is an \mathcal{M} - A -automaton (resp. \mathcal{Z}^- - A -automaton). Thus, the characterization given in Theorem 20 is also valid to \mathcal{M} -subsets (resp. \mathcal{Z}^- -subsets).

COROLLARY 23: *An \mathcal{M} -subset (resp. \mathcal{Z}^- -subset) of A^+ is recognizable if and only if it is the sum of a finite number of simple \mathcal{M} -subsets (resp. \mathcal{Z}^- -subsets) of A^+ . ■*

The following corollaries consider the general case of recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subsets, \mathcal{M} -subsets and \mathcal{Z}^- -subsets of A^* .

COROLLARY 24: *Let X be a recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subset (resp. \mathcal{M} -subset, \mathcal{Z}^- -subset) of A^* . Then, X is the sum of a finite number of simple \mathcal{Z} -subsets (resp. \mathcal{M} -subsets, \mathcal{Z}^- -subsets) of A^* if and only if $1X \in \{0, \infty\}$.*

Proof: Let X be a recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subset of A^* such that $1X \in \{0, \infty\}$. By Theorem 20, it is enough to consider the case in which $1X = 0$. Let X_1, \dots, X_d be the simple \mathcal{Z} -subsets of A^+ obtained from Theorem 20 for $X + \mathbf{A}^+$. For each $i \in [1, d]$, let us consider the \mathcal{Z} -subset $Y_i = \min(X_i, \mathbf{1})$. It is clear that Y_i is simple and $1Y_i = 0$. Then, $X = \sum_{i=1}^d Y_i$.

The converse of this corollary follows immediately from the definitions of simple \mathcal{Z} -subsets and of the \mathcal{Z} -subsets addition operation.

The proof for X in $\mathcal{M} \text{ Rec } A^*$ or $\mathcal{Z}^- \text{ Rec } A^*$ is similar. ■

COROLLARY 25: *Let X be a recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subset (resp. \mathcal{M} -subset, \mathcal{Z}^- -subset) of A^* such that $1X \notin \{0, \infty\}$. Then, there are $d > 0$ simple \mathcal{Z} -subsets (resp. \mathcal{M} -subsets, \mathcal{Z}^- -subsets) X_1, \dots, X_d of A^* , and a recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subset (resp. \mathcal{M} -subset, \mathcal{Z}^- -subset) Y of A^* satisfying $1Y \notin \{0, \infty\}$ and*

$wY = \infty, \forall w \in A^+$ such that

$$X = \min \left(\sum_{i=1}^d X_i, Y \right).$$

Proof: It is enough to consider for X_1, \dots, X_d the simple \mathcal{Z} -subsets (resp. \mathcal{M} -subsets, \mathcal{Z}^- -subsets) obtained from Theorem 20 (resp. Corollary 23) for $X + \mathbf{A}^+$ and to consider Y as being the \mathcal{Z} -subset $1X + 1$. ■

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am most grateful to Prof. Imre Simon for his incentive, and for helpful discussions and suggestions. I am also indebted to the referees for their valuable suggestions, some of which led to simplifications of the proofs of Lemmas 11, 13, 19 and Theorem 16, and to Theorems 14 and 15.

REFERENCES

1. J. BERSTEL and C. REUTENAUER, *Les Séries Rationnelles et Leurs Langages*, Masson, Paris, 1984.
2. S. EILENBERG, *Automata, Languages and Machines*, vol. A, Academic Press, New York, 1974.
3. K. HASHIGUCHI, A Decision Procedure for the Order of Regular Events, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 1979, 8, pp. 69-72.
4. K. HASHIGUCHI, Limitedness Theorem on Finite Automata with Distance Functions, *J. Comput. System Sci.*, 1982, 24, 2, pp. 233-244.
5. K. HASHIGUCHI, Improved Limitedness Theorem on Finite Automata with Distance Functions, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 1990, 72, pp. 27-38.
6. K. HASHIGUCHI, Algorithms for Determining Relative Star Height and Star Height, *Inform. and Comput.*, 1988, 78, pp. 124-169.
7. N. KOBAYASHI, Propriedades de \mathcal{M} -subconjuntos Reconhecíveis de um Monóide Livre, *Tese de Doutorado*, Departamento de Ciência da Computação, Instituto de Matemática e Estatística da Universidade de São Paulo, 1991.
8. N. KOBAYASHI, Properties of Recognizable \mathcal{M} -subsets of a Free Monoid, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., 583, I. Simon (Ed.), Springer-Verlag, *Proceedings of LATIN'92, 1st Latin American Symposium on Theoretical Informatics*, São Paulo, Brazil, 1992, pp. 314-328.
9. N. KOBAYASHI, The Closure Under Division and a Characterization of the Recognizable \mathcal{Z} -subsets, *Technical Report RT-MAC-9415*, IME-USP, São Paulo, 1994.
10. D. KROB, The Equality Problem for Rational Series with Multiplicities in the Tropical Semiring is Undecidable, *Internat. J. Algebra and Comput.*, 1994, 4, 3, pp. 405-425.
11. D. KROB, Some Consequences of a Fatou Property of the Tropical Semiring, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 1994, 93, 3, pp. 231-249.
12. H. LEUNG, Limitedness Theorem on Finite Automata with Distance Functions: an Algebraic Proof, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 1991, 81, pp. 137-145.

13. I. SIMON, Limited Subsets of a Free Monoid, in *Proc. 19th. Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Piscataway, N.J., 1978, pp. 143-150.
14. I. SIMON, The Nondeterministic Complexity of a Finite Automaton, in M. Lothaire(ed.), *Mots - mélanges offerts à M. P. Schützenberger*, pp. 384-400, Hermès, Paris, 1990.
- 15 I. SIMON, Factorization Forests of Finite Height, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 1990, 72, pp. 65-94.
16. I. SIMON, Recognizable Sets with Multiplicities in the Tropical Semiring, *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, 1988, 324, Springer-Verlag, pp. 107-120.
17. I. SIMON, On Semigroups of Matrices over the Tropical Semiring, *RAIRO Inform. Théor. Appl.*, 1994, 28, pp. 277-294.