CAHIERS DE TOPOLOGIE ET GÉOMÉTRIE DIFFÉRENTIELLE CATÉGORIQUES

TAHAR MOKRI

On Lie algebroid actions and morphisms

Cahiers de topologie et géométrie différentielle catégoriques, tome 37, n° 4 (1996), p. 315-331

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CTGDC 1996 37 4 315 0>

© Andrée C. Ehresmann et les auteurs, 1996, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Cahiers de topologie et géométrie différentielle catégoriques » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.



Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

ON LIE ALGEBROID ACTIONS AND MORPHISMS by Tahar MOKRI

Résumé: Si AG est l'algebroïde de Lie d'un groupoïde de Lie G, nous démontrons que toute action infinitésimale de AG sur une variété M, par des champs complets de vecteurs fondamentaux, se reléve en une unique action du groupoïde de Lie G sur M, si les $\alpha-$ fibres de G sont connexes et simplement connexes. Nous appliquons ensuite ce résultat pour intégrer des morphismes d'algébroïdes de Lie, lorsque la base commune de ces algebroïdes est compacte.

Introduction

It is known [12] that if a finite-dimensional Lie algebra \mathcal{G} acts on a manifold M with complete infinitesimal generators, then this action arises from a unique action of the connected and simply connected Lie group G whose Lie algebra is \mathcal{G} , on the manifold M.

In the Lie algebroid case, the local integration of infinitesimal actions was studied by Pourreza in [5], and the local integration of Lie algebroid morphisms by Almeida and Kumpera in [2], following the earlier work of Pradines in [14].

In the local trivial case, the integration of Lie algebroid morphisms was dealt with in [8], and more recently Mackenzie and Xu have obtained in [9] a general version of the theorem 3.1. For a symplectic groupoid G on base B with α -connected fibers and with a complete symplectic realization (M, f) of the Poisson manifold B, Dazord in [4] and Xu in [19] have showed that the Lie algebroid action $\omega \longmapsto P(f_*\omega)$ integrates to a (global) action of the groupoid G on the manifold M, here the Lie algebroid AG of G is identified with the cotangent bundle T^*B , and P denotes the bundle isomorphism $P: T^*M \to TM$ induced by the symplectic structure on M. We prove here a global integration

result of Lie algebroid actions when the actions are by complete infinitesimal generators. As application, we prove that any Lie algebroid morphism

 $F:AG\longrightarrow AG'$, over the same base B, integrates to a unique base preserving Lie groupoid morphism $f:G\longrightarrow G'$, provided that the common base B is compact and the α -fibres of G are connected and simply connected, where α is the source map of G. This method of dealing with Lie algebroid morphisms applies only when the Lie algebroids are over a common compact base.

For a Lie groupoid G on base B, we denote by α_G , $\beta_G : G \longrightarrow B$ the source and the target maps of G, respectively. For $g \in G$, we denote by g^{-1} or by $i_G g$ the inverse of g, and for $b \in B$ we denote by 1_b^G the value of the identity map $1^G : B \longrightarrow G$ at b. We omit then the subscripts when there is no confusion in doing so.

If M and N are two manifolds, with a surjective submersion $\pi: M \to N$, we call M a π -(simply) connected manifold if for all $b \in B$ the fibres $\pi^{-1}(b)$ are (simply) connected subspaces of M. Lastly, C(M) refers always to the module of smooth real valued maps on a manifold M, and all manifolds are assumed C^{∞} , real, Hausdorff and second countable.

1 Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids

We begin by recalling the notions of Lie groupoid actions and morphisms. Let G be a Lie groupoid on base B, and let $p: M \longrightarrow B$ be a smooth map, where M is a manifold. A (left) action of G on M is a smooth map $\Phi: G*M \longrightarrow M$, where $G*M = \{(g,m) \in G \times M \mid \alpha(g) = p(m)\}$, such that

(i)
$$p(\Phi_q(m)) = \beta(g)$$
, where $\Phi_q(m) = \Phi(g, m)$.

(ii)
$$\Phi_{gh}(m) = \Phi_g \Phi_h(m)$$
,

(iii)
$$\Phi_{1_{n(m)}}(m) = m$$
,

for all $g, h \in G$ and $m \in M$ which are suitably compatible. A right action of G on M is defined similarly.

We recall now the definition of a base preserving Lie groupoid morphism,

see [6] for the general case. Let G and G' be two Lie groupoids with a common base B. A smooth map $f: G \longrightarrow G'$ is a Lie groupoid morphism if

- (iv) $\alpha_{G'} \circ f = \alpha_G$,
- (v) $\beta_{G'} \circ f = \beta_G$,
- (vi) f(gh) = f(g)f(h),

for all composable pair $(g,h) \in G \times G$, that is $\alpha_G(g) = \beta_G(h)$.

A Lie algebroid on base B is a vector bundle $q: A \longrightarrow B$ together with a map $a: A \longrightarrow TB$ of vector bundles over B, called the anchor of A, and an \mathbb{R} -bilinear, antisymmetric bracket of sections $[\ ,\]: \Gamma A \times \Gamma A \longrightarrow \Gamma A$, which obey the Jacobi identity, and satisfies the relations

(vii)
$$a[X, Y] = [a(X), a(Y)],$$

(viii)
$$[X, fY] = f[X, Y] + (a(X)f)Y$$
,

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma A$, $f \in C(B)$. Here a(X)(f) is the Lie derivative of f with respect to the vector field a(X).

Let A be a Lie algebroid on B and let $f: M \longmapsto B$ be a smooth map. Then an action of A on M is an \mathbb{R} -linear map $X \longmapsto X^{\dagger}$, $\Gamma A \longrightarrow \chi(M)$ such that

- (ix) $[X, Y]^{\dagger} = [X^{\dagger}, Y^{\dagger}], \text{ for } X, Y \in \Gamma A,$
- (x) $(uX)^{\dagger} = (u \circ f)X^{\dagger}$ for $X \in \Gamma A$, $u \in C(B)$,
- (xi) $Tf(X^{\dagger}(m)) = a(X)(f(m))$, for $X \in \Gamma A, m \in M$,

where $\chi(M)$ denotes the module of smooth vector fields on M.

The construction of the Lie algebroid of a Lie groupoid follows closely the construction of a Lie algebra of a Lie group; see [8] for a a full account. Let G be a Lie groupoid on base B, and let $T^{\alpha}G = Ker(T\alpha)$ be the vertical bundle along the fibres of α . Let $AG \longrightarrow B$ be the

vector bundle pullback of the vector bundle $T^{\alpha}G$ accross the identity map $1: B \longrightarrow G$. Notice that a section $X \in \Gamma AG$ is characterized by $X(b) \in T_{1_b}G_b, \ \forall \ b \in B$, where $G_b = \alpha^{-1}(b)$. Now take $X \in \Gamma AG$ and denote by \overrightarrow{X} the right invariant vector field on G, defined by $\overrightarrow{X}(g) = TR_gX(\beta(g))$; the correspondence $X \longmapsto \overrightarrow{X}$ from ΓAG to the module of right invariant vector fields is a bijection; we equip ΓAG with the Lie algebra structure obtained by transferring the Lie algebra structure of the module of right invariant vector fields on G to G to G to the bijection G to G the invariant vector field on G. Lastly, if G is denoted by G and is a left invariant vector field on G. Lastly, if G is the one parameter group of local diffeomorphisms which generates a right invariant vector field G to G then G to G then G to G t

A left action Φ of a Lie groupoid G with base B on $p: M \longrightarrow B$ induces an action $X \longmapsto X_{\Phi}^{\dagger}$ of the Lie algebroid AG of G on the map $p: M \longrightarrow B$, by the formula:

$$X_{\Phi}^{\dagger}(m) = T\left(g \longmapsto \Phi_g(m)\right) X(p(m)), \forall m \in M.$$
 (1)

The vector field X^{\dagger} is called the fundamental vector field associated to X or the infinitesimal generator of the action corresponding to the section $X \in \Gamma AG$.

Lastly, let A and A' be two Lie algebroids on a common base B, with anchor maps a and a', respectively, and let $F: A \longrightarrow A'$ be a vector bundle map. Then, F is a *Lie algebroid morphism* if the relations

(xii)
$$F[X, Y] = [F(X), F(Y)],$$

(xiii)
$$a' \circ F = a$$

hold, for all $X, Y \in \Gamma A$.

A base preserving Lie groupoid morphism $f: G \longrightarrow G'$ differentiates to a Lie algebroid morphism $Tf: AG \longrightarrow AG'$. The tangent linear map Tf, when restricted to AG, is sometimes called *the Lie functor* of f and is denoted by A(f).

2 Integration of Lie Algebroid Actions

We assume here that there are given a Lie groupoid G on base B, a manifold M and a surjective submersion $p: M \longrightarrow B$. For $b \in B$, we denote by G_b and by G^b the α -fibre $\alpha^{-1}(b)$, and the β -fibre $\beta^{-1}(b)$, respectively. The following theorem is the main result of this section.

Theorem 2.1 Let $X \longmapsto X^{\dagger}$ be an action of the Lie algebroid AG on the manifold M, by complete infinitesimal generators. Assume that the module of right invariant vector fields on G is composed of complete vector fields (hence, the module of left invariant vector fields is also composed of complete vector fields). Then, if the Lie groupoid G is α -connected and α -simply connected there exists a unique left action Φ of G on $p: M \longrightarrow B$, with

$$X_{\Phi}^{\dagger} = X^{\dagger}, \ \forall \ X \in \Gamma AG.$$
 (2)

Since p is a surjective submersion, the subspace $G*M=\{(g,m)\in G\times M, \mid \alpha(g)=p(m)\}$ is a submanifold of $G\times M$. Let Δ be the subbundle of T(G*M)=TG*TM generated by the set of pairs of vector fields of the form (X, X^{\dagger}) , with $X\in \Gamma AG$. The subbundle Δ is an involutive differentiable distribution of rank dimG-dimB on G*M, therefore Δ is integrable by the Frobenius theorem. Let $\mathcal F$ be the corresponding foliation on G*M, and let $\mathcal S_{(g,m)}$ be the leaf through $(g,m)\in G*M$. We denote the leaf through $(1_{p(m)}^G,m)$ simply by $\mathcal S_{(1,m)}$.

Lemma 2.2 For all $(g', m') \in S_{(g,m)}$, the relation $\beta(g') = \beta(g)$ holds.

Proof: For $(g', m') \in \mathcal{S}(g, m)$, there exist p vector fields $(X_1, X_1^{\dagger}), \dots, (X_p, X_p^{\dagger})$, tangent to the foliation \mathcal{F} such that if x_t^i and ξ_t^i are the flows of X_i and of X_i^{\dagger} , respectively, then [17] (see also [16] or [11]).

$$(g', m') = (x_{t_1}^1 \circ \dots \circ x_{t_p}^p(g), \xi_{t_1}^1 \circ \dots \circ \xi_{t_p}^p(m)).$$
 (3)

It follows that $\beta(g') = \beta(g)$, since the maps x_t^i are right translations, for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, p$. \square

For $h \in G$, let l_h be the left translation defined in $G^{\alpha(h)}$, by $l_h(g) = hg$; if we extend the map l_h to $G^{\alpha(h)} * M$, by setting $l_h(g, m) = (hg, m)$, then l_h is defined in the whole leaf $\mathcal{S}_{(g,m)}$ by 2.2, provided that $\alpha(h) = \beta(g)$. In this case we have:

Lemma 2.3 For all $(g, m) \in G * M$ with $\beta(g) = \alpha(h)$, the following relation

$$l_h \mathcal{S}_{(g,m)} = \mathcal{S}_{(hg,m)} \tag{4}$$

holds.

Proof: The conclusion is clear, since the first factor of the distribution Δ is invariant under left translations. \square

Lemma 2.4 For all $b \in B$, there exists an open connected neighbourhood V^b of 1_b in the β fibre G^b , and for all $m \in p^{-1}(b)$ there exists an open connected neighbourhood V_m of (1,m) in $\mathcal{S}_{(1,m)}$, such that if P denotes the projection $G \times M \longrightarrow G$ of $G \times M$ onto the first factor G then

- (i) $P_m: V_m \longrightarrow V^b$ is a diffeomorphism, where P_m is the restriction of P to the leaf $\mathcal{S}_{(1,m)}$; furthermore, V_m is the connected component of (1,m) in $P_m^{-1}(V^b)$;
- (ii) the union $V = \bigcup_{b \in B} V^b$ is an open neighbourhood of the base B in G.

Proof: Fix $b_0 \in B$, and let X^1, X^2, \ldots, X^p be p left invariant vector fields on G, such that for g in a connected neighbourhood U_{b_0} of 1_{b_0} in G, the vector tangents $X^i(g), i = 1, \ldots, p$ generate the tangent space $T_gG^{\beta(g)}$. Furthermore, it is always possible to assume that U_{b_0} is α -saturated, that is $U_{b_0} = \alpha^{-1}(\alpha(U_{b_0})$ [1]. Let $Y^i = (X^i)^{\dagger}$ be the corresponding fundamental vector field on M, for $i = 1, 2 \ldots p$. We denote

by x_t^i and by y_t^i , for $i=1,2,\ldots,p$, the (global) flows of X^i and Y^i , respectively. Consider the maps $f_{b_0}: \mathbb{R}^p \longrightarrow G^{b_0}$, and $f: \mathbb{R}^p \times \overline{U}_{b_0} \longrightarrow G$ defined, respectively, by

$$f_{b_0}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_p) = x_{t_1}^1 \circ x_{t_2}^2 \circ \dots \circ x_{t_p}^p(1_{b_0}),$$
 (5)

and by

$$f(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_p, b) = x_{t_1}^1 \circ x_{t_2}^2 \circ \dots \circ x_{t_p}^p(1_b), \tag{6}$$

where $\overline{U}_{b_0} = \alpha(U_{b_0})$.

The map f_{b_0} is étale at the origin 0 of \mathbb{R}^p , (the β -fibre G^{b_0} is equipped with the induced topology); so there is an open connected neighbourhood W_{b_0} of the origin in \mathbb{R}^p , and an open connected neighbourhood V^{b_0} of 1_{b_0} in G^{b_0} , such that $f_{b_0}: W_{b_0} \longrightarrow V^{b_0}$ is a diffeomorphism. It follows that, for $m \in M$ with $p(m) = b_0$, the map

$$F_m(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_p) = (x_{t_1}^1 \circ x_{t_2}^2 \circ \dots \circ x_{t_p}^p(1_{p(m)}), y_{t_1}^1 \circ y_{t_2}^2 \circ \dots \circ y_{t_p}^p(m))$$
(7)

is a diffeomorphism, from W_{b_0} onto an open connected neighbourhood $V_m = F_m(W_{b_0})$ of (1, m) in $\mathcal{S}_{(1,m)}$ (equipped with its own leaf topology). Now if $P_{m|V_m}$ denotes the restriction of the projection P_m to V_m , then $P_{m|V_m} = f_{b_0} \circ F_m^{-1} \colon V_m \longrightarrow V^{b_0}$ is a diffeomorphism. V_m contains (1, m) and is open in $P_m^{-1}(V^b)$, and since $P_m \colon V_m \longrightarrow V^b$ is a diffeomorphism V_m is closed in $P_m^{-1}(V^b)$. It follows that V_m is the connected component of (1, m) in $P_m^{-1}(V^b)$.

We prove now the second assertion of the lemma. The left invariant vector fields $X^1, X^2, \ldots X^p$ are nowhere tangent to the base 1_B ; it follows then easily that the map f defined by the relation (6) is étale at $(0, b_0) \in \mathbb{R}^p \times \overline{U_{b_0}}$. Hence, we can select an open neighbourhood W'_{b_0} of the origin in \mathbb{R}^p , and an open neighbourhood U'_{b_0} of b_0 in $\overline{U_{b_0}}$, such that $f: W'_{b_0} \times U'_{b_0} \longrightarrow A(b_0) = f(W'_{b_0} \times U'_{b_0})$ is a diffeomorphism. By shrinking, if necessary, W'_{b_0} we can assume that $W'_{b_0} \subset W_{b_0}$; hence, $A(b_0)$ is an open neighbourhood of 1_{b_0} in G, contained in V. Since this construction is valid for all $b_0 \in B$, the subset V is open in G. \square .

Lemma 2.5 Under the notations of 2.4, the projection $P_m: \mathcal{S}_{(1,m)} \longrightarrow G^{p(m)}$ is a covering map, and since the fibres of G are α simply connected, P_m is a diffeomorphism.

Proof: We start by proving that the map P_m is étale. If $(g, x) \in \mathcal{S}_{(1,m)}$, then $\mathcal{S}_{(g,x)} = \mathcal{S}_{(1,m)}$, and hence

$$T_{(g,x)}\mathcal{S}_{(1,m)} = T_{(g,x)}\mathcal{S}_{(g,x)} = \left\{ \left(\stackrel{\longleftarrow}{X} (g), X^{\dagger}(x) \right), \mid X \in \Gamma AG \right\}.$$

It is clear now that the projection on the first factor

$$T_{(q,x)}P_m:T_{(q,x)}\mathcal{S}_{(1,m)}\longrightarrow T_qG^{p(m)}$$

is surjective. By a dimension counting argument $T_{(g,x)}P_m$ is a bijective map.

The map P_m is surjective: since the distribution D generated by the family of left invariant vector fields on G is completely integrable, with the β -fibres as the leaves of the corresponding foliation, for $g \in G^{p(m)}$ there exist p left invariant vector fields X^1, X^2, \ldots, X^p on G, such that $g = x_{t_1}^1 \circ x_{t_2}^2 \circ \cdots \circ x_{t_p}^p(1_{p(m)})$, where x_t^i is the flow of X^i , for $i = 1, 2 \ldots p$. If $Y^i = X^{i\dagger}$, then $(g, y_{t_1}^1 \circ y_{t_2}^2 \circ \cdots \circ y_{t_p}^p(1_{p(m)}) \in \mathcal{S}_m$, where y_t^i is the flow of $Y^i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, p$.

It is enough now to prove that any $g \in G^{p(m)}$ has an open neighbourhood U_g in $G^{p(m)}$, such that if C denotes a connected component of $P_m^{-1}(U_g)$ in $\mathcal{S}_{(1,m)}$, then $P_m \colon C \longrightarrow U_g$ is a diffeomorphism. In 2.4 we have constructed, for all $m \in M$, an open connected neighbourhood V_m of (1,m) in $\mathcal{S}_{(1,m)}$, and an open neighbourhood $V^{p(m)}$ of $1_{p(m)}$ in $G^{p(m)}$, such that $P_m \colon V_m \longrightarrow V^{p(m)}$ is a diffeomorphism, and $V = \bigcup_{b \in B} V^b$ is open in G. By using the smoothness of the map $(h,g) \longmapsto h^{-1}g$, defined for $\beta(h) = \beta(g)$, we establish easily the existence of an open connected neighbourhood U of 1_b in G, such that $U^{-1}U \subset V$, for all $b \in B$.

For $g \in G^{p(m)}$, let U_g be the connected open neighbourhood gU of g in $G^{p(m)}$, where U is the open neighbourhood of $1_{p(m)}$, with $U^{-1}U \subset V$. Let C be a connected component of $P_m^{-1}(U_g)$, and fix $(h, x) \in C$. In particular h = gl for some $l \in U$; therefore $\beta(h) = \beta(g) = p(m)$. It follows from $(h, x) \in G * M$, that $\alpha(h) = p(x)$. Now we have $(h, x) \in \mathcal{S}_{(1,m)} = \mathcal{S}_{(h,x)} = l_h \mathcal{S}_{(1,x)}$, by 2.3, and the map $l_h \colon \mathcal{S}_{(1,x)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_{(1,m)}$ is a diffeomorphism. We have $h^{-1}gU = l^{-1}U \subset U^{-1}U \cap G^{p(x)} = V^{p(x)}$; in particular, $h^{-1}gU$ is a connected neighbourhood of $(1_{p(x)})$ in $V^{p(x)}$, and $l_h \colon P_x^{-1}(h^{-1}gU) \longrightarrow P_m^{-1}(gU)$ is a diffeomorphism. It follows that $C = l_h(K)$, where K is the component of (1, x) in $P_x^{-1}(h^{-1}gU)$. Since, from 2.4(i), $P_x \colon K \longrightarrow h^{-1}gU$ is a diffeomorphism, and since $P_{m+C} = I_{m+C}$

 $l_h \circ P_x \circ l_h^{-1}$, the result follows. \square .

Proof of the theorem 2.1: Let $(g,m) \in G * M$, then $ig \in G^{p(m)}$. Let $\Phi_g(m)$ be the unique element in M such that $(ig, \Phi_g(m)) \in \mathcal{S}_{(1,m)}$. We start by proving that Φ is a smooth map from G * M to M. The distribution D on G generated by the family of left invariant vector fields on G is completely integrable with the β fibres as leaves. Let p = dim G - dim B be its rank.

For $g_0 \in G$, there exists an open neighbourhood U of g_0 in G, and p left invariant vector fields X^1, X^2, \ldots, X^p in G, such that X^1, X^2, \ldots, X^p generate the distribution D in U, and the brackets $[X^i, X^j], i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, p\}$, vanish identically in U [11]. Let $Y^i = (X^i)^{\dagger}$, and let y^i_t be the (global) flow of Y^i , for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, p$. The open set U can always be taken in such a way that $U = \beta^{-1}(\beta(U))$ [1]. Let x^i_t be the flow of X^i , for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, p$. Since $U \cap G^b = G^b$ for all $b \in \beta(U)$, and since G is β -connected, the distribution D induces a distribution D_U on U, whose leaves of the corresponding foliation are the β -fibres G^b , with $b \in \beta(U)$. It follows that, for $b_0 = \beta(g_0)$,

$$g_0 = x_{s_1}^1 \circ x_{s_2}^2 \cdots \circ x_{s_p}^p(1_{b_0}),$$

for some real numbers s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_p . Let now $F: \mathbb{R}^p \times V \longrightarrow G$, be the map defined by

$$F(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_p, b) = x_{t_1}^1 \circ x_{t_2}^2 \cdots \circ x_{t_p}^p(1_b),$$

where V is an open neighbourhood of b_0 in B, contained in $\beta(U)$. A direct computation of the tangent linear map TF of F, using the relations $[X^i, X^j] = 0$ for $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots p$, shows that

$$T_{(s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_p,b_0)}F(c_1,c_2,\ldots,c_p,X_{b_0}) = c_1X^1(g_0) + c_2X^2(g_0) + \cdots + c_pX^p(g_0) + T(1)X_{b_0},$$
(8)

where c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_p are p real numbers. Hence, if

$$T_{(s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_p)}F(c_1,c_2,\ldots,c_p,X_b)=0,$$

then $X_{1_b} = 0$, by applying $T\beta$ to the left and the right hand side of (8), and then $c_1 = c_2 = \dots c_p = 0$. Now, by a dimensional counting

argument, the map F is étale at the point $(s_1, s_2, \ldots s_p, b_0)$, and its image contains g_0 . So, there exists an open neighbourhood I of $(s_1, s_2, \ldots s_p)$ in in \mathbb{R}^p , and and an open neighbourhood V' of b_0 in B, contained in V, such that that F is a diffeomorphism from $I \times V'$ to an open neighbourhood U' of g_0 , contained in U.

For $(g_0, m_0) \in G * M$, let

$$W = \{(g, m) \in G * M \mid g \in U'\},\$$

then W is an open neighbourhood of (g_o, m_0) in G * M. For $(g, m) \in W$, we have

$$\Phi_g(m) = y_{t_1}^1 \circ y_{t_2}^2 \circ \cdots \circ y_{t_p}^p(m),$$

with $(t_1, t_2, ..., t_p) = p_1(F^{-1}(g))$, where p_1 is the projection of $\mathbb{R}^p \times B$ onto the first factor \mathbb{R}^p . Since the smoothness is a local property, $\Phi: G * M \longrightarrow M$ is a smooth map.

We prove now that Φ satisfies the relations (i), (ii) and (iii) in the definition of a Lie groupoid action, see §1.

(i) From $(ig, \Phi_q(m)) \in G * M$, we obtain

$$p(\Phi_g(m)) = \beta(g), \ \forall \ (g,m) \in G * M.$$

(ii) Let (h, g) be a composable pair in $G \times G$ and take $m \in M$ such that $\alpha(g) = p(m)$, then $\Phi_{hg}(m)$ is the unique element in M with

$$(i(hg), \Phi_{hg}(m)) \in \mathcal{S}_{(1,m)}.$$

If $y = \Phi_g(m)$, then $(ig, y) \in \mathcal{S}_{(1,m)}$ so $\mathcal{S}_{(1,m)} = \mathcal{S}_{(ig,y)} = l_{ig}\mathcal{S}_{(1,y)}$, by (4). Since $(igih, \Phi_{hg}(m)) \in \mathcal{S}_{(1,m)} = l_{ig}\mathcal{S}_{(1,y)}$, we deduce that $(ih, \Phi_{hg}(m)) \in \mathcal{S}_{(1,y)}$, then we have necessarily

$$\Phi_{hq}(m) = \Phi_h(y) = \Phi_h(\Phi_q(m)).$$

(iii) It follows from $(1_{p(m)}, m) \in \mathcal{S}_{(1,m)}$, that $\Phi_{1_{p(m)}}(m) = m, \forall m \in M$.

We have now proved that the map Φ is a left action of G on M. The curve $(iExptX(p(m)), \Phi_{ExptX(p(m))}(m))$ lies in $\mathcal{S}_{(1,m)}$, for all $X \in \Gamma AG$,

and all $m \in M$. By differentiating this curve with respect to t at t = 0, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} \Phi_{ExptX(p(m))}(m) = X^{\dagger}(m), \forall X \in \Gamma AG, \ \forall \ m \in M,$$

and that proves that $X_{\Phi}^{\dagger} = X^{\dagger}, \ \forall \ X \in \Gamma AG$.

The uniqueness: assume the existence of a left action Ψ of G on M such that:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \Psi_{ExptX(p(m))}(m) = X^{\dagger}(m), \ \forall \ X \in \Gamma AV, \ \forall \ m \in M.$$

For any $(h, m) \in G * M$, let

$$d_{(h,m)} = \{(hig, \Psi_g(m)), g \in G_{p(m)}\}.$$

Since G is α -connected, $d_{(h,m)}$ is a connected submanifold of G * M of dimension dimG - dimB. For any $X \in \Gamma AG$, the integral curve $(hiExptX(p(m)), \Psi_{ExptX(p(m))}(m))$ lies in $d_{(h,m)}$. It follows, by a differentiation process that

$$\Delta_{(h,m)} \subseteq T_{(h,m)}d_{(h,m)},$$

and then, by a dimensional argument, $\Delta_{(h,m)} = T_{(h,m)}d_{(h,m)}$; that is, $d_{(h,m)}$ is an integral manifold for the distribution Δ . Therefore, $(hig, \Psi_g(m)) \in \mathcal{S}_{(h,m)}$. By the lemma 2.3, we have $(ig, \Psi_g(m)) \in \mathcal{S}_{(1,m)}$. Since $\Phi_g(m)$ is the unique element of M such that $(ig, \Phi_g(m)) \in \mathcal{S}_{(1,m)}$, we have $\Phi = \Psi \square$.

Remark 2.6 The module of left (or right) invariant vector fields on G is composed of complete vector fields if B is compact [7](see also [1]).

Remark 2.7 The conclusion on the uniqueness subsistes if we assume that Ψ is only defined in an open neighbourhood W of M in G*M, the manifold M is then identified with the submanifold $\{(1_{p(m)}, m) | m \in M\}$ of G*M. The properties (i), (ii) and (iii) defining a Lie groupoid action being satisfied locally by Ψ , that is Ψ is a local action of G on M.

Remark 2.8 Replacing the left invariant vector field X by the right invariant X in the expression of the distribution Δ , yields the existence of a unique right action of G on $p: M \longrightarrow B$ such that the induced Lie algebroid action is the given Lie algebroid action.

3 Integration of morphisms

We assume here that there are given two Lie groupoids G and G' on a common compact base B, such that G is α -simply connected and α -connected. We denote by by G * G' the submanifold $\{(g,g') \in G \times G' \mid \alpha_G(g) = \beta_{G'}(g')\}$ of $G \times G'$. The following theorem is the main result of this section. It was pointed out to me by K. Mackenzie that he has obtained with P. Xu a general version in [9].

Theorem 3.1 If $F: AG \longrightarrow AG'$, be a base preserving Lie algebroid morphism, there exists then a unique Lie groupoid morphism $f: G \longrightarrow G'$, over the base B, such that Af = F.

For $X \in \Gamma AG$, let X^{\dagger} be the right invariant vector field F(X) on G'. We check easily that the map $\longrightarrow X^{\dagger}$ is an action of AG on $\beta: G' \longrightarrow B$, by complete infinitesimal generators, since B is compact. Now since G is α -connected and α -simply connected, there exists a unique left action Φ of G on $\beta: G' \longrightarrow B$, such that $X_{\Phi}^{\dagger} = X^{\dagger}$, by the theorem 2.1.

Proposition 3.2 For all $(g, g') \in G * G'$ the relation

$$\Phi_g(g') = \Phi_g(1_{\alpha(g)}^{G'})g \tag{9}$$

holds.

Lemma 3.3 1. The relation $\alpha \Phi_g(g') = \alpha(g')$ holds, for all $(g, g') \in G * G$

2. if
$$g = g_1.g_2...g_p$$
, and if $\Phi_{g_i}(g') = \Phi_{g_i}(1_{a_i}^{G'})g', \forall g' \in G^{\alpha(g_i)}$, and for all $i = 1, 2, ...p$, with $a_i = \alpha(g_i)$, then

(a)
$$\Phi_q(1_{\alpha q}^{G'}) = \Phi_{q_1}(1_{q_1}^{G'}) \cdot \Phi_{q_2}(1_{q_2}^{G'}) \cdot \dots \cdot \Phi_{q_n}(1_{\alpha q}^{G'}), \text{ and}$$

(b)
$$\Phi_g(g') = \Phi_g(1_{\alpha g}^{G'})g'$$
, for all g' such that $\beta g' = \alpha g$.

Proof: 1) For any $X \in \Gamma AG$, we have

$$T\alpha X^{\dagger}(g') = 0 = T(g \longmapsto \alpha \Phi_q(g')) X(\beta(g')), \forall g' \in G'.$$

Since the fibre $G_{\beta(g')}$ is connected, the map $g \longrightarrow \Phi_g(g')$ is constant on $G_{\beta(g')}$. It follows that

$$\alpha\left(\Phi_g(g')\right) = \alpha\left(\Phi_{1_b}(g')\right) = \alpha(g'),$$

where
$$b = 1_{\beta(g')}^{G'} = 1_{\alpha(g)}^{G'}$$
.

2) We prove simultaneously the assertions (a) and (b) by induction on the number of elements g_i involved in the decomposition of g. Assume that

(i)
$$\Phi_{g_2...g_p}(1_{\alpha(g)}^{G'}) = \Phi_{g_2}(1_{a_2}^{G'}) \dots \Phi_{g_p}(1_{a_n}^{G'})$$
, and

(ii)
$$\Phi_{g_2...g_p}(g') = \Phi_{g_2...g_p}(1_{\alpha(g')}^{G'})g',$$

then we get the relation (a) by applying Φ_{g_1} to the left and the right hand side of (i); we get the relation (b) by applying Φ_{g_1} to (ii), and by using the relation (a). \square

Proof of the proposition 3.2: Let $(g, g') \in G * G'$; since the α fibres of G are connected, there exist, [1], p sections X^1, X^2, \ldots, X^p of the Lie algebroid AG such that

$$g = x_{t_1}^1 \circ x_{t_2}^2 \circ \dots \circ x_{t_p}^p(1_{\beta(q')}^G),$$

where x_t^i denotes the (global) flow of the right invariant vector field X^i . As the maps x_t^i are left translations, one can write

$$g = x_{t_1}^1(1_{a_1}^G).x_{t_2}^2(1_{a_2}^G)...x_{t_p}^p(1_{a_p}^G),$$

where each a_i is in B and depends on the numbers $t_1, t_2, \ldots t_p$. The vector fields $X^{i\dagger}$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, p$ are right invariant, by construction; hence [6]

$$\Phi_{x_t^1(1_{\beta(h)}^G)}(h) = \Phi_{x_t^1(1_{\beta(h)}^G)}(1_{\beta(h)}^{G'})h, \tag{10}$$

for i = 1, 2, ..., p and for all $h \in G'$. The conclusion now follows by applying the lemma 3.3, with $g_i = x_t^i(1_{g_i}^G)$. \square

Proof of the theorem 3.1: Let $f: G \longrightarrow G'$ be the map defined by

$$f(g) = \Phi_g(1_{\alpha(g)}^{G'}), \forall g \in G, \tag{11}$$

then

- $\alpha'(f(g)) = \alpha'\left(\Phi_g(1_{\alpha(g)}^{G'})\right) = \alpha(g), \ \forall \ g \in G, \text{ by } 3.3;$
- $\beta'(f(g)) = \beta(g), \forall g \in G$, since Φ is a left action.
- $f(g_1g_2) = f(g_1)f(g_2)$, by a straightforward calculation, using the proposition 3.2.

It follows from the above relations that, the map f is a Lie groupoid morphism. On the other hand, for any $X \in \Gamma AG$, we have

$$TfX(b) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} f(Exp\ tX(b)) = X^{\dagger}(1_b) = F(X)(b), \forall\ b \in B;$$
(12)

that is, Af = F.

Assume the existence of another Lie groupoid morphism $h: G \longrightarrow G'$, such that Ah = Af = F. Let Ψ be the map

$$\Psi_g(g') = h(g)g',\tag{13}$$

defined for all $(g, g') \in G * G'$. One can check then easily that the map Ψ , defined by the relation (10), is a left action of G on $\beta: G' \longrightarrow B$, such that

$$X_{\Psi}^{\dagger} = X_{\Phi}^{\dagger}, \ \forall \ X \in \Gamma AG.$$

By the theorem 2.1, $\Psi = \Phi$, hence f = h. \square

Corollary 3.4 Let $f: G \longrightarrow G'$ a Lie groupoid morphism. If

- (1) $Af: G \longrightarrow G'$ is an injection then f is an immersion.
- (2) If Af is a Lie algebroid isomorphism, and if the α' fibres of G' are connected and simply connected, then $f: G \longrightarrow G'$ is a Lie groupoid isomorphism.

Proof: (1) Let X_g be a vector tangent to G such that $Tf(X_g) = 0$. It follows that $T\alpha X_g = 0$, and

$$TR_{f(g)}^{-1}Tf(X_g) = Tf(TR_g^{-1}X_g) = Af(TR_g^{-1}X_g) = 0,$$

which implies $X_q = 0$.

(2) Since the inverse $(Af)^{-1} \colon AG' \longrightarrow AG$ of the Lie algebroid isomorphism Af is a Lie algebroid isomorphism, there exists a unique Lie groupoid morphism $f' \colon G' \longrightarrow G$ such that $Af' = (Af)^{-1}$. From the relation $A(f \circ f') = A(f) \circ Af' = id_{AG'}$, and from 3.1 we deduce that $f \circ f' = id_{G'}$, and similarly $f' \circ f = id_G \square$.

Acknowledgements

I owe my education in Lie groupoids to K. Mackenzie, and I am grateful to him for conversations related to this paper. I would like also to thank Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach for her comments which improved the original version of this paper which was a part of my PhD thesis, and J. Pradines for pointing out to me the reference [5]. Lastly, I wish to thank the School of Mathematics and Statistics of the University of Sheffield for support in writing this paper.

References

- [1] C. Albert and P. Dazord, *Théorie genérale des groupoïdes de Lie*, Publication du département de mathématiques de l'université de Lyon 1, 53-105, 1989.
- [2] R. Almeida and A. Kumpera, Structure produit dans la catégorie des algébroïdes de Lie, An. Acad. brasil. Ciênc., 53 (2), 247-250, 1981.
- [3] R. Brown and O. Mucuk, *The monodromy groupoid of a Lie groupoid*, Cah. Top. Géom. Diff. Cat., Vol XXXVI-4, 345-369, 1995.
- [4] P. Dazord, Groupoïdes symplectiques et troisième théorème de Lie "non linéaire". Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1416. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer, pp. 39-44, 1990.
- [5] E. Pourreza, thése 3^{em} Cycle, Toulouse, 1972.
- [6] P. J. Higgins and K. Mackenzie, Algebraic constructions in the category of Lie algebroids, Journal of Algebra 129, 194-230, 1990.
- [7] A. Kumpera and D. C. Spencer, *Lie equations, volume 1: General theory*, Princeton University Press, 1972.
- [8] K. Mackenzie, Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids in differential geometry, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, Vol 124, Cambridge Univ Press, Cambridge.
- [9] K. Mackenzie and P. Xu, Integration of Lie bialgebroids, preprint.
- [10] T. Mokri, PhD thesis, University of Sheffield, 1995.
- [11] P. Molino, Riemannian foliations, Birkhauser, Boston, 1988.

MOKRI - ON LIE ALGEBROID ACTIONS AND MORPHISMS

- [12] R. Palais, Global formulation of the Lie theory of transformation groups, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc., 23, 1-123, 1957.
- [13] J. Pradines, Théorie de Lie pour les groupoïdes différentiables. Relations entre les propriétés locales et globales, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A 263, 907-910, 1966.
- [14] J. Pradines, Théorie de Lie pour les groupoïdes différentiables. Calcul différentiel dans la catégorie des groupoïdes infinitésimaux, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A 264, 245-248, 1967.
- [15] J. Pradines, Géométrie différentielle au dessus d'un groupoïde, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A 266, 1194-1196, 1967.
- [16] P. Stefan, Accessible sets, orbits, and foliations with singularities, Bulletin Amer. Math. Soc., 80, (6), 1142-1145, 1974.
- [17] H. J. Sussmann, Orbits of families of vector fields and integrability of distributions, Transactions of the Amer. math. Soc., vol 180, 171-188, 1973.
- [18] V. S Varadarajan, Lie groups, Lie algebras, and their representations, Springer-Verlag, 1984.
- [19] P. Xu, Morita equivalence of symplectic groupoids, Commun. Math. Phys. 142, 493-509, 1991.

Tahar Mokri, School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, S3 7RH, England email: t.mokri@sheffield.ac.uk