

CAHIERS DE TOPOLOGIE ET GÉOMÉTRIE DIFFÉRENTIELLE CATÉGORIQUES

V. KOUBEK

J. SICHLER

Finitely generated universal varieties of distributive double p -algebras

Cahiers de topologie et géométrie différentielle catégoriques, tome
35, n° 2 (1994), p. 139-164

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CTGDC_1994__35_2_139_0

© Andrée C. Ehresmann et les auteurs, 1994, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Cahiers de topologie et géométrie différentielle catégoriques » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

FINITELY GENERATED UNIVERSAL VARIETIES OF DISTRIBUTIVE DOUBLE p -ALGEBRAS

by V. KOUBEK and J. SICHLER

Dedicated to the memory of Jan Reiterman

Résumé: Une catégorie \mathbf{C} s'appelle universelle si la catégorie des graphes est pleinement plongeable dans \mathbf{C} . On se propose ici de caractériser parmi les variétés finiment engendrées de doubles algèbres distributives (DAD) celles qui sont universelles. On montre que pour une variété de DAD finiment engendrée \mathbf{V} les conditions suivantes sont équivalentes: \mathbf{V} est universelle; \mathbf{V} est monoïde-universelle (i.e. tout monoïde est isomorphe au monoïde des endomorphismes d'une algèbre appartenant à \mathbf{V}); \mathbf{V} admet une algèbre rigide infinie; tout groupe est isomorphe au monoïde des endomorphismes d'une algèbre appartenant à \mathbf{V} ; \mathbf{V} contient une algèbre nucléaire A admettant trois éléments sup-irréductibles et comparables qui ne sont ni minimaux ni maximaux et au plus trois d'autres éléments sup-irréductibles non minimaux et non maximaux, et de plus telle que tout endomorphisme de A fixant ces éléments soit identité. On obtient comme corollaire que toute variété universelle finiment engendrée de DAD admet une sous variété universelle engendrée par au plus six algèbres finies sous-directement irréductibles, et qu'aucune variété de DAD engendrée par une seule algèbre finie et sous-directement irréductible n'est universelle. On montre cependant qu'il existe une variété universelle de DAD engendrée par deux algèbres finies sous-directement irréductibles.

1. INTRODUCTION

An algebra $A = (L, \vee, \wedge, *, +, 0, 1)$ of type $(2, 2, 1, 1, 0, 0)$ is a distributive double p -algebra whenever $(L, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$ is a distributive $(0,1)$ -lattice in which $*$ and $+$ are the respective unary operations of pseudocomplementation and dual pseudocomplementation: the operation $*$ is determined by the requirement that $x \leq a^*$ be equivalent to $x \wedge a = 0$, while $y \geq a^+$ exactly when $y \vee a = 1$.

As shown in [6], the category of all distributive double p -algebras and all their homomorphisms is universal, that is, it contains a copy of the category of graphs, and hence also a copy of any category of algebras as a full subcategory, see [12]. This fact implies that every monoid is isomorphic to the endomorphism monoid of some distributive double p -algebra larger than a given cardinal and, in particular,

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 06D15, 08C05, 18B15.

Key words and phrases. distributive double p -algebra, full embedding, universal variety.

The support of the NSERC of Canada is gratefully acknowledged by both authors.

the existence of a proper class of nonisomorphic rigid algebras, that is, algebras with no nontrivial endomorphisms, cf. [12]. We recall that [6] presented an example of a finitely generated universal variety of distributive double p -algebras and asked for a description of all such varieties.

The present paper fully characterizes finitely generated universal varieties of distributive double p -algebras in structural terms.

To formulate our main result, we define the rudiment $Rud(A)$ of a distributive double p -algebra A as the smallest sublattice of A containing all pseudocomplements and dual pseudocomplements of A which is closed also under relative complementation. We say that an algebra A is rudimentary if $Rud(A) = A$, and call it a nucleus whenever $Rud(A) = A$ is directly indecomposable. Finally, for any finite distributive double p -algebra A , we write $Mid(A)$ for the set of all its join irreducible elements which are neither maximal nor minimal.

Our aim is to prove the result below.

Theorem 1.1. *The following eight properties are equivalent for any finitely generated variety \mathbf{V} of distributive double p -algebras:*

- (1) \mathbf{V} is universal;
- (2) \mathbf{V} contains a proper class of non-isomorphic rigid algebras;
- (3) \mathbf{V} contains an infinite rigid algebra;
- (4) \mathbf{V} contains a rigid algebra which is not rudimentary;
- (5) every finite monoid is isomorphic to the endomorphism monoid of some algebra from \mathbf{V} ;
- (6) every prime order cyclic group is isomorphic to the endomorphism monoid of some algebra from \mathbf{V} ;
- (7) \mathbf{V} contains a finite nucleus F such that the poset $Mid(F)$ has an order component C with more than two elements, and such that the identity is the only endomorphism of F whose fixpoints include $Mid(F)$;
- (8) \mathbf{V} contains a finite nucleus G such that $Mid(G)$ has a three-element order component C and at most three other elements, and such that the identity is the only endomorphism of G whose fixpoints include C .

Let A be a distributive double p -algebra. For any $a \in A$ and $n \geq 0$, set $a^{0(++)} = a^{0(++)} = a$, and recursively define $a^{(n+1)(++)} = a^{n(++)+}$ and $a^{(n+1)(+)} = a^{n(++)+}$. Recall that A is of range n if and only if it satisfies the identity $x^{(n+1)(++)} = x^{n(++)}$ or its equivalent dual form $x^{(n+1)(+)} = x^{n(++)}$. Thus the variety of Boolean algebras, which is not universal [8], consists of all distributive double p -algebras of range zero.

Following Beazer [1], we let Φ_A stand for the determination congruence of a distributive double p -algebra A , that is, the congruence consisting of all pairs $(a, b) \in A^2$ for which $a^* = b^*$ and $a^+ = b^+$. For any directly indecomposable algebra A of finite range, the algebra A/Φ_A is simple [1]. From Davey's description [3] of duals of finite subdirectly irreducibles it follows that the determination congruence Φ_A is the least nontrivial congruence – the monolith – of any finite non-simple subdirectly

irreducible algebra.

Corollary 1.2. *If \mathbf{V} is a universal finitely generated variety of distributive double p -algebras, then*

- (1) \mathbf{V} contains a universal subvariety \mathbf{W} generated by a set of no more than six nonisomorphic subdirectly irreducible generators with a common monolith quotient, and
- (2) \mathbf{V} must have at least two nonisomorphic subdirectly irreducible algebras which are not simple and have a common monolith quotient.

In the concluding section we give an example of a universal variety of range one generated by a pair of finite subdirectly irreducibles with a common monolith quotient.

Double p -algebras whose determination congruence is trivial form the variety \mathbf{R} of regular distributive double p -algebras; this variety is universal [7]. It may be of some interest to recall that, in fact, [7] demonstrates the universality of a regular variety generated by finitely many subdirectly irreducibles, none of which has a finite range.

To prove our main result, Theorem 1.1, we proceed as follows.

Since any universal category satisfies 1.1(2) and 1.1(5), see Pultr and Trnková [12], it follows that (1) \Rightarrow (2) and (1) \Rightarrow (5). Implications (2) \Rightarrow (3) and (5) \Rightarrow (6) are trivial, while (3) \Rightarrow (4) will easily obtain once we show, in Section 3, that all rudimentary rigid algebras in \mathbf{V} are finite. The fourth section demonstrates that (4) \Rightarrow (7) and (6) \Rightarrow (7), and the two subsequent sections contain respective proofs of (7) \Rightarrow (8) and (8) \Rightarrow (1).

Throughout the paper, we use Priestley's duality for distributive (0,1)-lattices and its restriction to distributive double p -algebras.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We begin with a brief review of the essentials of Priestley's duality.

Let (X, τ, \leq) be an ordered topological space, that is, let (X, τ) be a topological space and (X, \leq) a partially ordered set. For any $Z \subseteq X$ denote

$$[Z] = \{x \in X \mid \exists z \in Z \ x \leq z\} \quad \text{and} \quad]Z[= \{x \in X \mid \exists z \in Z \ z \leq x\}.$$

A subset Z of X is decreasing if $[Z] = Z$, increasing if $]Z[= Z$, and clopen if it is both τ -open and τ -closed. Any compact ordered topological space (X, τ, \leq) possessing a clopen decreasing set D such that $x \in D$ and $y \notin D$ for any $x, y \in X$ with $x \not\leq y$ is called a Priestley space. Let \mathbf{P} denote the category of all Priestley spaces and all their continuous order preserving mappings.

Clopen decreasing sets of any Priestley space form a distributive (0,1)-lattice, and the inverse image map f^{-1} of any \mathbf{P} -morphism f is a (0,1)-homomorphism

of these lattices. This gives rise to a contravariant functor $D : \mathbf{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ into the category \mathbf{D} of all distributive $(0,1)$ -lattices and all their $(0,1)$ -homomorphisms.

Conversely, for any lattice $L \in \mathbf{D}$, let $P(L) = (F(L), \tau, \leq)$ be the ordered topological space for which $(F(L), \leq)$ is the set $F(L)$ of all prime filters of L ordered by the reversed inclusion, and such that all sets $\{x \in F(L) \mid A \in x\}$ and $\{x \in F(L) \mid A \notin x\}$ with $A \in L$ form an open subbasis of τ . If $h : L \rightarrow L'$ is a morphism in \mathbf{D} then h^{-1} maps $P(L')$ into $P(L)$ and, according to [9], this determines a contravariant functor $P : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$.

Theorem 2.1. (Priestley [9], [10]). *The two composite functors $P \circ D : \mathbf{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$ and $D \circ P : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ are naturally equivalent to the identity functors of their respective domains. Therefore \mathbf{D} is a category dually isomorphic to \mathbf{P} .*

A morphism $f : L \rightarrow L'$ of \mathbf{D} is surjective if and only if $P(f)$ is both a homeomorphism and an order isomorphism of $P(L')$ onto a closed order subspace of $P(L)$, and it is one-to-one just when $P(f)$ is surjective. \square

Following is a useful separation property of Priestley spaces.

Proposition 2.2. *For any closed disjoint subsets Y_0 and Y_1 of any Priestley space (X, τ, \leq) there exists a clopen set C containing Y_1 and disjoint from Y_0 . If, in addition, $Y_0 \cap (Y_1) = \emptyset$, then C may be chosen to be a clopen decreasing set. \square*

Let $Min(X)$ and $Max(X)$ respectively denote the sets of all minimal and maximal elements of an ordered topological space (X, τ, \leq) . For any $Y \subseteq X$, write $Min(Y) = (Y) \cap Min(X)$, $Max(Y) = (Y) \cap Max(X)$ and $Ext(Y) = Min(Y) \cup Max(Y)$. When $Y = \{y\}$, we write $Min(y)$ instead of $Min(\{y\})$, and similarly for Max and Ext . If (X, τ, \leq) is a Priestley space and $y \in X$, then the sets $Min(y)$ and $Max(y)$, and hence also their union $Ext(y)$ are nonvoid and closed.

Theorem 2.3. (Priestley [11]). *Let $P : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$ be the functor assigning Priestley spaces to distributive $(0,1)$ -lattices, and let $f : L \rightarrow L'$ be a morphism in \mathbf{D} . Then:*

- (a) *L is a distributive double p -algebra if and only if (Y) is clopen for every clopen increasing subset Y of $P(L)$ and (W) is clopen for any clopen decreasing set W ; if this is the case, then $W^* = P(L) \setminus (W) = P(L) \setminus (Min(W))$ and $W^+ = (P(L) \setminus W) = (Max(P(L)) \setminus W)$ for any clopen decreasing subset W of $P(L)$;*
- (b) *f is a double p -algebra homomorphism iff $P(f)(Min(x)) = Min(P(f)(x))$ and $P(f)(Max(x)) = Max(P(f)(x))$ for every $x \in P(L')$;*
- (c) *the sets $Min(P(A))$ and $Max(P(A))$ are closed for any distributive double p -algebra A . \square*

The Priestley space $P(A)$ of a distributive double p -algebra A will be called a **dp -space**, and the dual of a double p -algebra homomorphism a **dp -map**. We note that a dp -map $P(f) : P(B) \rightarrow P(A)$ is the Priestley dual of an injective homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ exactly when it is surjective. A double p -algebra homomorphism

$f : A \rightarrow B$ is surjective if and only if $P(f) : P(B) \rightarrow P(A)$ is a homeomorphism and order isomorphism of $P(B)$ onto a closed order subspace $Z \subseteq P(A)$ satisfying $Ext(Z) \subseteq Z$. Any such subspace Z will be called a closed c-set. The kernel $Ker(f)$ of f then consists of all pairs $(d, e) \in A^2$ such that $D \cap Z = E \cap Z$ for the clopen decreasing sets D, E respectively representing $d, e \in A$. It follows that congruences of A are in one-to-one order-reversing correspondence with closed c-sets in $P(A)$.

For any distributive double p -algebra A , let $Cen(A)$ be the center of A , the set of all complemented elements of A .

For any filter F of $Cen(A)$, let $\Theta(F)$ be the least congruence of A that collapses F . According to Beazer [2], the congruence $\Theta(F)$ consists of all $(x, y) \in A^2$ satisfying $x \wedge f = y \wedge f$ for some $f \in F$.

If $c \in Cen(A) \setminus \{0, 1\}$, then the complement $X_1 = P(A) \setminus X_0$ of the nonvoid clopen decreasing set $X_0 \subseteq P(A)$ representing c is also nonvoid, clopen and decreasing, and hence it represents the complement c' of c . But then every clopen decreasing $E \subseteq P(A)$ is a disjoint union of clopen decreasing sets $E \cap X_0$ and $E \cap X_1$. Furthermore, if $E_i \subseteq X_i$ are decreasing and clopen in X_i for $i = 0, 1$, then $E = E_0 \cup E_1$ is decreasing and clopen in $P(A)$. Hence the algebra A is isomorphic to the product $D(X_0) \times D(X_1)$ whose factors $D(X_0) \cong A/\Theta([c])$ and $D(X_1) \cong A/\Theta([c'])$ are nontrivial.

Conversely, if algebras A_0 and A_1 are nontrivial, and if $A = A_0 \times A_1$, then the dp -space $P(A)$ is a disjoint union of nonvoid clopen decreasing sets $X_i = P(A_i)$ with $i = 0, 1$. But then X_0 represents some $c \in Cen(A)$ whose complement c' is represented by X_1 and, for $i = 0, 1$, the closed c-set X_i represents the kernel of the projection $A \rightarrow A_i$. If $d, e \in A$ are respectively represented by clopen decreasing sets $D, E \subseteq P(A)$, then $D \cap X_0 = E \cap X_0$ exactly when $(d, e) \in \Theta([c])$, so that the closed c-set X_0 is the Priestley dual of $\Theta([c])$, and X_1 similarly represents $\Theta([c'])$.

Altogether, nontrivial direct decompositions of a distributive double p -algebra A are in one-to-one correspondence with elements $c \in Cen(A) \setminus \{0, 1\}$. For any such c , we have $A \cong A/\Theta([c]) \times A/\Theta([c'])$. Furthermore, a distributive double p -algebra A is directly indecomposable exactly when $Cen(A) = \{0, 1\}$.

Let $f : A \rightarrow D$ be a homomorphism from A to a directly indecomposable algebra D . Since $Cen(D) = \{0, 1\}$, the set $Q = f^{-1}\{1\} \cap Cen(A)$ is a prime filter of the Boolean algebra $Cen(A)$ and, because $f(q) = f(1)$ for every $q \in Q$, the kernel of f contains the least congruence $\Theta(Q)$ of A collapsing Q . If $\alpha_Q : A \rightarrow A/\Theta(Q)$ is the homomorphism with $Ker(\alpha_Q) = \Theta(Q)$, then $f = f' \circ \alpha_Q$ for some $f' : A/\Theta(Q) \rightarrow D$. For any prime filter Q of $Cen(A)$, the algebra $A_Q = A/\Theta(Q)$, called a component of A , is then a maximal directly indecomposable quotient of A (a rationale for this terminology will soon become apparent).

If $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a homomorphism and $\beta_R : B \rightarrow B_R$ is the natural homomorphism onto a component B_R of B , then $Q = f^{-1}(R) \cap Cen(A)$ is a prime filter of $Cen(A)$ and $\beta_R(f(q)) = 1$ for every $q \in Q$. Thus $Ker(\beta_R \circ f) \supseteq \Theta(Q) = Ker(\alpha_Q)$, and there exists a homomorphism $f' : A_Q \rightarrow B_R$ such that $f' \circ \alpha_Q = \beta_R \circ f$. The

claim below partially complements this observation.

Lemma 2.4. *If $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a homomorphism of distributive double p -algebras which is one-to-one on $Cen(A)$, then for every component A_Q of A there exist a component B_R of B and a homomorphism $f' : A_Q \rightarrow B_R$ such that $f' \circ \alpha_Q = \beta_R \circ f$ for the natural surjections $\alpha_Q : A \rightarrow A_Q$ and $\beta_R : B \rightarrow B_R$.*

Proof. Since the restriction of f to $Cen(A)$ is a one-to-one homomorphism of $Cen(A)$ into $Cen(B)$, the congruence extension property of Boolean algebras implies the existence of a prime filter $R \subseteq Cen(B)$ with $f^{-1}(R) = Q$. But then $\beta_R(f(q)) = 1$ for all $q \in Q$, that is, $Ker(\alpha_Q) = \Theta(Q) \subseteq Ker(\beta_R \circ f)$, and the claim follows. \square

Next we intend to note that components of a distributive double p -algebra A from a variety \mathbf{V} of finite range correspond to order components of its dp -space $P(A)$.

We recall that elements x and y of a poset (X, \leq) are order connected whenever there exists a sequence $x = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k = y$ in which x_i is comparable to x_{i+1} for $i = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1$. The classes of the equivalence ε formed by all pairs of connected elements, that is, the maximal connected subsets in (X, \leq) , are the order components of (X, \leq) . It is clear that C is a component of a dp -space (X, τ, \leq) just when $Ext(C)$ is a component of its closed order subspace $Ext(X)$.

Any finitely generated variety \mathbf{V} of distributive double p -algebras clearly satisfies, for some integer $n \geq 0$, the identity $x^{(n+1)(**)} = x^{n(**)}$ and its equivalent $x^{(n+1)(**)} = x^{n(**)}$, that is, any finitely generated variety \mathbf{V} consists of algebras of range n . According to [7], all order components of dp -spaces of such algebras are closed. If C_0 and C_1 are distinct components of the dp -space X of some algebra $A \in \mathbf{V}$ then, by 2.2, there exists a clopen decreasing set $B \supseteq C_1$ such that $C_0 \subseteq X \setminus B$. Since $B^{**} = ([B])$ is clopen by 2.3 and because A is of range n , the clopen set $B^{n(**)} \supseteq C_1$ is both increasing and decreasing, and disjoint from C_0 . Any two components of X can be thus separated by complementary clopen decreasing sets and, as a result, the quotient space X/ε obtained by collapsing all order components of X is the Priestley dual of $Cen(A)$.

It follows that the dp -space $X = P(A)$ of any algebra A of finite range is the disjoint union of its order components $C_Q = P(A_Q)$ indexed by $Q \in P(Cen(A))$. In algebraic terms, any algebra A of finite range is subdirect in the product $\prod\{A_Q \mid Q \in P(Cen(A))\}$ of its 'algebraic' components $A_Q = D(C_Q)$.

Next we describe dp -spaces of subdirectly irreducible algebras of finite range.

If $Y = P(B)$ is the dual of a subdirectly irreducible algebra B of a finite range then Y must be connected, and hence the dp -space of any nontrivial quotient algebra B' of B must contain the connected closed set $Ext(Y)$. Since Y has a unique maximal closed order subspace Z satisfying $Ext(Z) \subseteq Z$ that represents the monolith of B , and because all points of $Y \setminus Ext(Y)$ are closed, either $Y = Ext(Y)$ and B is simple, or $Y \setminus Ext(Y)$ is a singleton which is clopen because $Ext(Y)$ is closed

in Y . Since the converse is clear, following Davey [3] we conclude that B is simple if and only if $Y = Ext(Y)$ is connected, and that B is subdirectly irreducible but not simple just when Y is connected and $Y \setminus Ext(Y)$ is a clopen singleton; in the latter case, $Ext(Y)$ is the Priestley dual of the quotient of B modulo its monolith.

For any algebra A of finite range and any $x \in X = P(A)$, let $K(x)$ denote the component of X containing x . The subposet $E(x) = \{x\} \cup Ext(K(x))$ of X is then closed in X and $Ext(E(x)) = Ext(K(x)) \subseteq E(x)$, so that $E(x)$ is the dp -space of a subdirectly irreducible quotient of A . Since X is the union of all its subspaces $E(x)$ with $x \in X$, the algebra A is a subdirect product of subdirectly irreducible algebras $D(E(x))$ with $x \in X$.

3. RUDIMENTARY ALGEBRAS AND NUCLEI

For any double p -algebra A , let $L(A)$ be the sublattice of A generated by the set

$$Q(A) = \{a^* \mid a \in A\} \cup \{a^+ \mid a \in A\}$$

of all pseudocomplements and dual pseudocomplements of A . Clearly, any sublattice of A containing $Q(A)$ is a subalgebra of A . Recall that rudiment of A is the least sublattice of A containing $Q(A)$ and closed under relative complementation. Thus $L(A) \subseteq Rud(A)$, and $Rud(A)$ is the subalgebra of A obtained by intersecting all sublattices $S \subseteq A$ that contain $L(A)$ and include every $a \in A$ for which there is an $s \in S$ with $a \vee s \in S$ and $a \wedge s \in S$.

When $Rud(A) = A$, we say that A is rudimentary. Any directly indecomposable and rudimentary algebra is called a nucleus.

The set $R(A)$ consisting of all $a \in A$ such that $g(a) = h(a)$ for any two homomorphisms $g, h : A \rightarrow B$ that coincide on $Q(A)$ is a subalgebra of A containing $L(A)$. From the distributive law it immediately follows that $R(A)$ is closed under relative complementation; hence $Rud(A) \subseteq R(A)$.

Lemma 3.1. *If A is a distributive double p -algebra, then:*

- (1) $R(A) = Rud(A)$; that is, $R(A)$ is the least sublattice of A that contains $Q(A)$ and is closed under relative complementation;
- (2) the dual h of the inclusion $Rud(A) \subseteq A$ satisfies $h(x) = h(y)$ just when $Ext(x) = Ext(y)$ in $P(A)$;
- (3) the order of the Priestley dual of $Rud(A)$ is the least partial order containing all pairs $(h(x), h(y))$ for which $x \leq y$ in the dual of A ;
- (4) A is rudimentary if and only if $Ext(x) \neq Ext(y)$ for any two distinct elements x, y of its Priestley dual.

Proof. Let X denote the dp -space of A . Then, for any $x \in X$ and any clopen decreasing $D \subseteq X$, we have $x \in X \setminus [D] = D^*$ just when $Min(x) \cap D = \emptyset$, while $x \in (X \setminus D] = D^+$ if and only if $Max(x) \setminus D \neq \emptyset$. It easily follows that any two

prime ideals x, y of A satisfy $x \cap Q(A) = y \cap Q(A)$ just when $Ext(x) = Ext(y)$ in X . But then clearly $x \cap L(A) = y \cap L(A)$ and, because x, y are prime ideals, $x \cap Rud(A) = y \cap Rud(A)$. Therefore x, y coincide on $Rud(A)$ if and only if $Ext(x) = Ext(y)$ in the dp -space $X = P(A)$ of A . This demonstrates (2).

For any $d \in A \setminus Rud(A)$ there are prime ideals $x, y \in X$ such that $d \in x, d \notin y$ and $x \cap Rud(A) = y \cap Rud(A)$, see, for instance, p. 141 of [4]. In the dp -space X of A , this means the existence of a clopen decreasing set $D \subseteq X$ and $x, y \in X$ with $Ext(x) = Ext(y)$ for which $y \in D$ and $x \notin D$. To show that $D \in A \setminus R(A)$, let $Y = Ext(X) \cup \{e\}$ be a proper extension of the closed subspace $Ext(X)$ of X by a clopen singleton $\{e\}$ for which $Ext(e) = Ext(x) = Ext(y)$. Then Y is a dp -space. For $z \in \{x, y\}$, let $f_z : Y \rightarrow X$ be the extension of the identity mapping of $Ext(X)$ determined by $f_z(e) = z$. Then $D(f_x)$ and $D(f_y)$ agree on $Q(A)$, by 2.3. Since f_x and f_y are obviously dp -maps such that $e \notin f_x^{-1}(D)$ and $e \in f_y^{-1}(D)$, it follows that $d \in A \setminus R(A)$. Therefore (1) holds.

Since $Rud(A)$ is the largest subalgebra of A whose dual is carried by the quotient space $h(X)$, the least order induced by h defines a dp -space of $Rud(A)$, so that (3) holds. Claim (4) is obviously true. \square

Remark 3.2. The following three claims are easily established:

- (a) The dual h of the inclusion $Rud(A) \subseteq A$ is one-to-one on $Max(X)$ and on $Min(X)$, but not on $Ext(X)$: in fact, it collapses those (and only those) components C of X for which $Max(C)$ and $Min(C)$ are singletons.
- (b) With any Priestley space we may associate its extremal pre-order \leq_E defined by $x \leq_E y$ just when $Min(x) \subseteq Min(y)$ and $Max(x) \supseteq Max(y)$. Then $Ext(x) = Ext(y)$ is equivalent to $x \leq_E y \leq_E x$ for $x, y \in X$. The quotient of \leq_E on $h(X)$ is the partial order which, together with the quotient topology of $h(X)$, determines the dp -space of the subalgebra $L(A)$.
- (c) Any sublattice S of A satisfying $L(A) \subseteq S \subseteq Rud(A)$ is a double p -algebra such that $L(S) = L(A)$; by the congruence extension property, the algebra S is also rudimentary.

Lemma 3.3. Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of distributive double p -algebras. Then $f(Rud(A)) \subseteq Rud(B)$. Moreover, if A is rudimentary and f is surjective, then B is rudimentary. In particular, every component of a rudimentary algebra is a nucleus.

Proof. To prove the first claim, let $g_0, g_1 : B \rightarrow C$ be any two homomorphisms for which $g_0 \upharpoonright L(B) = g_1 \upharpoonright L(B)$. Since $f(L(A)) \subseteq L(B)$, the homomorphisms $g_0 \circ f$ and $g_1 \circ f$ coincide on $L(A)$, and hence also on $Rud(A)$. But then g_0 coincides with g_1 on $f(Rud(A))$, and $f(Rud(A)) \subseteq Rud(B)$ follows from the definition of $Rud(B)$.

Secondly, if $f : A \rightarrow B$ is surjective and $A = Rud(A)$, then $B = f(A) = f(Rud(A)) \subseteq Rud(B)$ as required. \square

We say that a double p -algebra A is uniform if all its components have isomorphic rudiments. For an algebra A of a finite range, this means that its quotients -

represented by the (closed) order components C of $X = P(A)$ – have isomorphic rudiments.

Any finitely generated variety \mathbf{V} of distributive double p -algebras contains only finitely many nonisomorphic nuclei, all of them finite. The claim below shows that the existence of homomorphisms determines a partial order on isomorphism classes of finite nuclei.

Lemma 3.4. *Any endomorphism of a finite nucleus is invertible. Consequently, if F and G are nuclei for which there are homomorphisms $F \rightarrow G$ and $G \rightarrow F$, then $F \cong G$.*

Proof. Write $X = P(F)$. Let $h : X \rightarrow X$ be a dp -map. Then $h(\text{Ext}(X)) = \text{Ext}(X)$ because $\text{Ext}(X)$ is connected; since $\text{Ext}(X)$ is finite, h permutes members of $\text{Ext}(X)$. If $h(x) = h(y)$, then $h(\text{Ext}(x)) = \text{Ext}(h(x)) = \text{Ext}(h(y)) = h(\text{Ext}(y))$. But then $\text{Ext}(x) = \text{Ext}(y)$ because $h \upharpoonright \text{Ext}(X)$ is one-to-one; since F is rudimentary, we obtain $x = y$. Therefore h is invertible.

With f, g as above, the composites $g \circ f$ and $f \circ g$ are automorphisms, so that $(g \circ f)^k = \text{id}_F$ and $(f \circ g)^k = \text{id}_G$ for some integer $k \geq 1$. Hence f is an isomorphism of F onto G . \square

Proposition 3.5. *Any distributive double p -algebra A from a finitely generated variety \mathbf{V} has a uniform direct factor.*

Proof. Let K denote the finite set of non-isomorphic nuclei that occur as rudiments of maximal directly indecomposable factors of the algebra A . By 3.4, there exists a maximal $M \in K$ in the sense that there is no homomorphism from M to any $N \in K \setminus \{M\}$. We aim to exhibit a direct factor B of A such that $\text{Rud}(B/\Theta(R)) \cong M$ for every prime filter R of $\text{Cen}(B)$.

For any prime filter $Q \subseteq \text{Cen}(A)$ of the center of A we have $A_Q = A/\Theta(Q)$ and $\text{Rud}(A_Q) \in K$. Write

$$I = \{Q \in P(\text{Cen}(A)) \mid \text{Rud}(A_Q) \not\cong M\}, \text{ and}$$

$$J = \{Q \in P(\text{Cen}(A)) \mid \text{Rud}(A_Q) \cong M\}.$$

It is clear that I, J form a decomposition of $P = P(\text{Cen}(A))$. Suppose that $I \neq \emptyset$, for else there is nothing to prove.

If D is a component of $S_I = \Pi\{\text{Rud}(A_Q) \mid Q \in I\}$, then $D = S_I/\Theta(U)$ for some prime filter U of the center $\text{Cen}(S_I) = 2^I$. But then U is an ultrafilter on the set I , and hence $D = S_I/\Theta(U)$ is an ultraproduct of finite algebras isomorphic to members of the finite set $K \setminus \{M\}$. It follows that every component of S_I is isomorphic to a member of $K \setminus \{M\}$.

Let $\alpha_Q : A \rightarrow A_Q$ be the surjective homomorphism with $\text{Ker}(\alpha_Q) = \Theta(Q)$, and let a homomorphism $f : \text{Rud}(A) \rightarrow S_I$ be defined by $f(s)(Q) = \alpha_Q(s)$ for all $s \in \text{Rud}(A)$ and $Q \in I$. For any $c \in \text{Cen}(\text{Rud}(A))$ with $f(c) = 1$ we then have $(c, 1) \in \text{Ker}(\alpha_Q) = \Theta(Q)$ for every $Q \in I$, and hence also $c \in \bigcap I$. Should

$\bigcap I = \{1\}$, the homomorphism f would be one-to-one on $Cen(Rud(A))$ and, by 2.4, any component of $Rud(A)$ isomorphic to M would have a homomorphism to a component of S_I isomorphic to a member of $K \setminus \{M\}$. The choice of M makes this impossible, however, and we must have $\bigcap I \neq \{1\}$.

Select any $c \in Cen(Rud(A)) = Cen(A)$ with the complement $c' \in \bigcap I \setminus \{1\}$. Then $B = A/\Theta([c])$ is a nontrivial direct factor of A ; let $k : A \rightarrow B$ be the homomorphism with $Ker(k) = \Theta([c])$. To show that B is uniform, choose any prime filter $R \subseteq Cen(B)$, and let $\beta_R : B \rightarrow B_R$ be the surjective homomorphism with $Ker(\beta_R) = \Theta(R)$. Then $Q = k^{-1}(R) \cap Cen(A)$ is a prime filter of $Cen(A)$, and $c \in Q$. Should $Q \in I$, then $0 = c \wedge c' \in Q$, a contradiction. Thus $Q \in J$ and $Rud(A_Q) \cong M$.

From $c \in Q$ it follows that $\Theta(Q) = Ker(\alpha_Q) \subseteq Ker(\beta_R \circ k)$, and we need only justify the reverse inclusion. To do this, choose any $x, y \in Ker(\beta_R \circ k)$. Since $Ker(\beta_R) = \Theta(R)$, there exists an $r \in R$ such that $k(x) \wedge r = k(y) \wedge r$. The homomorphism k is surjective, so that $k(a) = r$ for some $a \in A$. But A is of finite range, so that $q = a^{n(+)} \in Cen(A)$ for some $n \geq 1$; clearly $k(q) = r$. But then $q \in Q$ and $k(x \wedge q) = k(y \wedge q)$. From $Ker(k) = \Theta([c])$ we obtain $x \wedge (q \wedge c) = y \wedge (q \wedge c)$, and then $(x, y) \in \Theta(Q)$ because $q \wedge c \in Q$.

Altogether, $B/\Theta(R) \cong A_Q$ and hence also $Rud(B/\Theta(R)) \cong Rud(A_Q) \cong M$, as was to be shown. \square

4. NUCLEI IN UNIVERSAL VARIETIES

In this section we show that every finitely generated variety \mathbf{V} of distributive double p -algebras which satisfies 1.1(4) or 1.1(6) contains a nucleus F (which is, of course, finite) such that

- (X1) there are three distinct non-extremal order connected join irreducible elements of F , and
- (X2) the identity map is the only endomorphism of F which fixes every non-extremal join irreducible element of F .

Assume that \mathbf{V} either contains algebras with endomorphism monoids isomorphic to arbitrarily large prime order cyclic groups, or a rigid algebra which is not a product of finitely many nuclei. Since any finitely generated variety \mathbf{V} contains only finitely many non-isomorphic nuclei, the latter requirement is satisfied by any infinite rigid algebra in \mathbf{V} .

We shall consider dp -spaces rather than the algebras themselves, and extend all algebraic terminology to corresponding dp -spaces.

In terms of dp -spaces, we aim to exhibit a finite connected poset (X, \leq) dual to some algebra in \mathbf{V} in which $Ext(x) = Ext(y)$ only when $x = y$, and such that

- (P1) $Mid(X) = X \setminus Ext(X)$ has a component with at least three elements, and

(P2) the identity of X is the only dp -map $f : X \rightarrow X$ whose fixpoints include $Mid(X)$.

Extending our earlier notation, for any subset U of a dp -space X , we write $K(U)$ for the set of all components of X intersecting U . If X is dual to an algebra of finite range then $K(U)$ is a union of components; furthermore,

$K(U)$ is closed whenever U is, and

$K(U)$ is clopen for any clopen $U \subseteq X$ which is increasing or decreasing.

The lemma below is of central importance.

Lemma 4.1. *If X is the dp -space of a uniform algebra from a finitely generated variety, and if Y is the dp -space of the (finite) nucleus isomorphic to the rudiment of every component of X , then there exists a surjective dp -map $h : X \rightarrow Y$.*

Proof. With no loss of generality, we may assume that the space X is rudimentary.

We aim to show that every component C of the rudimentary uniform space X is contained in a clopen union X_C of components of X for which there exists a surjective dp -map $h_C : X_C \rightarrow C$. The existence of the dp -map $h : X \rightarrow Y$ then follows immediately from the compactness of X and the fact that C is isomorphic to Y .

Let C be an arbitrary component of X . Since there is nothing to prove when C is a singleton, we shall assume that $Max(C) \cap Min(C) = \emptyset$.

(a) First we construct a clopen union $D \supseteq C$ of components of X and a family of natural dp -maps $f_{C'} : Ext(C) \rightarrow Ext(C')$ indexed by components $C' \subseteq D$.

Since C is finite and $Max(X)$ is closed, for every $z \in Min(C)$ there exists a clopen decreasing set $dA_z \subseteq X$ such that $dA_z \cap C = \{z\}$ and $dA_z \cap Max(X) = \emptyset$. Then the set $\bigcup\{dA_z \mid z \in Min(C)\}$ is clopen decreasing and disjoint from $Max(X)$. Consequently, for each $u \in Max(C)$ there is a clopen increasing set iA_u such that $iA_u \cap C = \{u\}$ and $iA_u \cap dA_z = \emptyset$ for all $z \in Min(C)$. For each $z \in Min(C)$ and $u \in Max(C)$, respectively, set

$$dX_z = dA_z \setminus (\bigcup\{[dA_t] \mid t \in Min(C) \setminus \{z\}\}), \text{ and}$$

$$iX_u = iA_u \setminus (\bigcup\{[iA_v] \mid v \in Max(C) \setminus \{u\}\});$$

furthermore, denote

$$dB_z = dX_z \cap (\bigcap\{[iX_u] \mid z < u\}), \text{ and}$$

$$iB_u = iX_u \cap (\bigcap\{[dX_z] \mid z < u\}).$$

Since $[dA_t] = dA_t$ for all $t \in Min(C)$ and $[iA_v] = iA_v$ for all $v \in Max(C)$, the finiteness of C and the fact that X is a dp -space imply that every dB_z is clopen decreasing, and that every iB_u is clopen increasing. Moreover, members of the family

$$B = \{dB_z \mid z \in Min(C)\} \cup \{iB_u \mid u \in Max(C)\}$$

are nonvoid and pairwise disjoint.

The set $D = \bigcap\{K(W) \mid W \in B\} \supseteq C$ is a clopen union of components of X . Thus each set $dD_z = dB_z \cap D$ with $z \in Min(C)$ is nonvoid, clopen and decreasing,

while $iD_u = iB_u \cap D$ is nonvoid, clopen and increasing for each $u \in \text{Max}(C)$. All of these sets are pairwise disjoint.

Let $C' \subseteq D$ be any component of X . Since $\text{Min}(C')$ is finite and bijective to $\text{Min}(C)$, and because each dD_z is decreasing, the set $dD_z \cap \text{Min}(C')$ is nonvoid for every $z \in \text{Min}(C)$; having recalled that the sets dD_z are pairwise disjoint, we conclude that every $dD_z \cap \text{Min}(C')$ must be a singleton. A similar observation applies to $iD_u \cap \text{Max}(C')$. Hence there is a bijection $f_{C'} : \text{Ext}(C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(C')$ with $f_{C'}(\text{Max}(C)) = \text{Max}(C')$ and $f_{C'}(\text{Min}(C)) = \text{Min}(C')$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \{f_{C'}(z)\} &= dD_z \cap \text{Min}(C') \text{ for all } z \in \text{Min}(C), \text{ and} \\ \{f_{C'}(u)\} &= iD_u \cap \text{Max}(C') \text{ for all } u \in \text{Max}(C). \end{aligned}$$

An analogous argument shows that $\{f_{C'}(z)\} = dX_z \cap \text{Min}(C') = dB_z \cap \text{Min}(C')$ for all $z \in \text{Min}(C)$ and $\{f_{C'}(u)\} = iX_u \cap \text{Max}(C') = iB_u \cap \text{Max}(C')$ for all $u \in \text{Max}(C)$. If $z \leq u$ in $\text{Ext}(C)$, then $f_{C'}(z) \leq f_{C'}(u)$ by the definition of dB_z ; since $\text{Ext}(C')$ is isomorphic to $\text{Ext}(C)$ and both are finite, we conclude that the bijection $f_{C'}$ is an order isomorphism – and hence a dp -map – of $\text{Ext}(C)$ onto $\text{Ext}(C')$.

For any $p \in dB_z \cap C'$, the set $\text{Min}(p)$ is nonvoid and $\text{Min}(p) \subseteq dB_z \cap \text{Min}(C') = \{f_{C'}(z)\}$; moreover, $\text{Max}(p) \supseteq \{f_{C'}(u) \mid u \in \text{Max}(z)\} = \text{Max}(f_{C'}(z))$. Hence $f_{C'}(z) \leq p$ and $p \leq_E f_{C'}(z)$ in the extremal order \leq_E of the rudimentary poset C' , and this is possible only when $p = f_{C'}(z)$. Therefore, for any component $C' \subseteq D$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{f_{C'}(z)\} &= dD_z \cap C' \text{ for all } z \in \text{Min}(C), \text{ and} \\ \{f_{C'}(u)\} &= iD_u \cap C' \text{ for all } u \in \text{Max}(C), \end{aligned}$$

where the second claim follows dually from the definition of iB_u . Consequently,

$$dD_z \subseteq \text{Min}(D) \text{ and } iD_u \subseteq \text{Max}(D).$$

Together with the finiteness of $\text{Ext}(C)$, this implies that $\text{Min}(D)$ and $\text{Max}(D)$ are clopen sets.

(b) Next we exhibit clopen sets needed in considerations of partial maps between components of the clopen set D .

For any $Z \subseteq \text{Min}(C)$ and $U \subseteq \text{Max}(C)$, write $dD_Z = \bigcup\{dD_z \mid z \in Z\}$ and $iD_U = \bigcup\{iD_u \mid u \in U\}$. The following equalities are easily verified:

$$\begin{aligned} \{y \in D \mid \text{Min}(y) \subseteq dD_Z\} &= D \setminus [dD_{\text{Min}(C) \setminus Z}], \\ \{y \in D \mid \text{Min}(y) \supseteq dD_Z \cap K(y)\} &= \bigcap\{[dD_z] \mid z \in Z\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P(Z) &= \{y \in D \mid \text{Min}(y) = dD_Z \cap K(y)\} \\ &= \left(\bigcap\{[dD_z] \mid z \in Z\} \right) \cap (D \setminus [dD_{\text{Min}(C) \setminus Z}]) \end{aligned}$$

and, dually,

$$\begin{aligned} \{y \in D \mid \text{Max}(y) \subseteq iD_U\} &= D \setminus (iD_{\text{Max}(C) \setminus U}), \\ \{y \in D \mid \text{Max}(y) \supseteq iD_U \cap K(y)\} &= \bigcap \{(iD_u \mid u \in U), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R(U) &= \{y \in D \mid \text{Max}(y) = iD_U \cap K(y)\} \\ &= (\bigcap \{(iD_u \mid u \in U)\} \cap (D \setminus (iD_{\text{Max}(C) \setminus U})). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{Ext}(C)$ is finite and all sets dD_z and iD_u are clopen in the clopen dp -space D , all right hand sides of the above six equalities define sets that are clopen in X . Thus the set $S(Z, U) = P(Z) \cap R(U)$ below is also clopen:

$$S(Z, U) = \{y \in D \mid \text{Min}(y) = dD_Z \cap K(y) \text{ and } \text{Max}(y) = iD_U \cap K(y)\}.$$

For any $x \in D$, write $Mn(x) = f_{K(x)}^{-1}(\text{Min}(x))$ and $Mx(x) = f_{K(x)}^{-1}(\text{Max}(x))$, where $f_{K(x)}$ is the bijection of $\text{Ext}(C)$ onto $\text{Ext}(K(x))$ defined in (a). Clearly, the sets $Mn(x) \subseteq \text{Min}(C)$ and $Mx(x) \subseteq \text{Max}(C)$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} dD_{Mn(x)} \cap K(x) &= f_{K(x)}(Mn(x)) = \text{Min}(x) \text{ and} \\ iD_{Mx(x)} \cap K(x) &= f_{K(x)}(Mx(x)) = \text{Max}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, for each $x \in D$ we now write $M(x) = S(Mn(x), Mx(x))$. The set $M(x)$ is clopen, $x \in M(x)$ and

$$M(x) = \{y \in D \mid \text{Ext}(y) = f_{K(y)}(Mn(x) \cup Mx(x))\};$$

equivalently, $y \in M(x)$ exactly when $f_{K(y)}(f_{K(x)}^{-1}(\text{Ext}(x))) = \text{Ext}(y)$.

Since D is rudimentary, the set $M(x) \cap C'$ has at most one element for any component $C' \subseteq D$. Moreover, since each $f_{C'} : \text{Ext}(C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(C')$ is a dp -map and because C' is rudimentary, in fact we have $M(x) \cap C' = \{f_{C'}(f_{K(x)}^{-1}\{x\})\}$ for every $x \in \text{Ext}(D)$.

(c) For each dp -map $g : \text{Ext}(C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(C)$ we define a set P_g to be the union of all components $C' \subseteq D$ for which $f_{C'} \circ g$ extends to a dp -map $k : C \rightarrow C'$.

Next we show that the finitely many sets P_g form a decomposition of D .

By the hypothesis, for any component C' of D there exists an isomorphism $\alpha : C \rightarrow C'$. The composite $g = f_{C'}^{-1} \circ (\alpha \upharpoonright \text{Ext}(C))$ is, clearly, an automorphism of $\text{Ext}(C)$ such that $f_{C'} \circ g = \alpha \upharpoonright \text{Ext}(C)$ extends to the dp -map $\alpha : C \rightarrow C'$. This shows that every component C' of D lies in some P_g .

If $C' \subseteq P_g \cap P_{g'}$ and $C'' \subseteq P_g$ then there exist dp -maps h, h' and k such that

$$h \upharpoonright \text{Ext}(C) = f_{C'} \circ g, \quad h' \upharpoonright \text{Ext}(C) = f_{C'} \circ g' \text{ and } k \upharpoonright \text{Ext}(C) = f_{C''} \circ g.$$

Since the composite dp -map $k \circ h^{-1} \circ h' : C \rightarrow C''$ extends the isomorphism $f_{C''} \circ f_{C'}^{-1} \circ f_{C'} \circ g' = f_{C''} \circ g'$, we have $C'' \subseteq P_{g'}$. Hence $P_g \subseteq P_{g'}$ and, by symmetry, $P_{g'} = P_g$. Therefore, the sets P_g form a finite decomposition of D as claimed.

(d) Next we show that every set P_g is closed; from (c) it then follows that every P_g is, in fact, clopen. To this end, for any $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in D$ set

$$T(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = (M(\mathbf{x})) \cap M(\mathbf{y}).$$

Since $M(\mathbf{x})$ and $M(\mathbf{y})$ are closed, so is $T(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$, and hence the union $K(T(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}))$ of all components intersecting $T(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is closed as well. Our claim will thus be proved once we show that, for a component $C' \subseteq P_g$,

$$P_g = \bigcap \{K(T(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})) \mid \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in C' \text{ and } \mathbf{x} > \mathbf{y}\}.$$

Let C' and C'' be components contained in P_g and let $\mathbf{x} > \mathbf{y}$ in C' . If $k' : C \rightarrow C'$ and $k'' : C \rightarrow C''$ are dp -maps such that $k' \upharpoonright \text{Ext}(C) = f_{C'} \circ g$ and $k'' \upharpoonright \text{Ext}(C) = f_{C''} \circ g$, then $k = k'' \circ (k')^{-1} : C' \rightarrow C''$ is a dp -map extending $f_{C''} \circ f_{C'}^{-1}$. But then $\text{Ext}(k(\mathbf{x})) = k(\text{Ext}(\mathbf{x})) = (f_{C''} \circ f_{C'}^{-1})(\text{Ext}(\mathbf{x})) = f_{C''}(Mn(\mathbf{x}) \cup Mx(\mathbf{x}))$, so that $k(\mathbf{x}) \in M(\mathbf{x})$; similarly we find that $k(\mathbf{y}) \in M(\mathbf{y})$. In addition, $k(\mathbf{x}) \geq k(\mathbf{y})$ because k preserves order, so that $k(\mathbf{y}) \in (M(\mathbf{x})) \cap M(\mathbf{y}) = T(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ and, consequently, $C'' \subseteq K(T(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}))$.

To prove the reverse inclusion, we need to show that for any component $C'' \subseteq P_g$ with $P_g \cap P_g = \emptyset$ there exists a pair $\mathbf{x} > \mathbf{y}$ in C' such that $T(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \cap C'' = \emptyset$.

Recall that, for any $\mathbf{x} \in C'$, either $M(\mathbf{x}) \cap C'' = \emptyset$ or $M(\mathbf{x}) \cap C'' = \mathbf{x}''$ with $\text{Ext}(\mathbf{x}'') = f_{C''}(Mn(\mathbf{x}) \cup Mx(\mathbf{x})) = f_{C''}(f_{C'}^{-1}(\text{Ext}(\mathbf{x})))$. This fact allows us to define a partial mapping $\kappa : C' \rightarrow C''$ by setting $\kappa(\mathbf{x}) = M(\mathbf{x}) \cap C''$ whenever the latter set is nonvoid. Should κ be a dp -map, then the dp -map $\kappa \circ f_{C'} : \text{Ext}(C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(C'')$ would coincide with $f_{C''}$. If $k' : C \rightarrow C'$ is the dp -map extending $f_{C'} \circ g$, then $(\kappa \circ k') \upharpoonright \text{Ext}(C) = (\kappa \circ f_{C'} \circ g) \upharpoonright \text{Ext}(C) = (f_{C''} \circ g) \upharpoonright \text{Ext}(C)$, that is, the composite $\kappa \circ k'$ extends $f_{C''} \circ g$ in a contradiction to the choice of C'' . Hence κ cannot be a dp -map.

If the domain of κ does not include all of C' , then $M(\mathbf{x}) \cap C'' = \emptyset$ for some $\mathbf{x} \in C'$ and, by definition, $T(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \cap C'' = T(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x}) \cap C'' = \emptyset$ whenever $\mathbf{x} > \mathbf{y}$ or $\mathbf{z} > \mathbf{x}$ in C' . The existence of such \mathbf{y} or \mathbf{z} follows from the fact that C' is not a singleton.

Suppose that $\kappa : C' \rightarrow C''$ is defined on all of C' , so that $\{\kappa(\mathbf{x})\} = M(\mathbf{x}) \cap C''$ and $\text{Ext}(\kappa(\mathbf{x})) = (f_{C''} \circ f_{C'}^{-1})(\text{Ext}(\mathbf{x}))$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in C'$. Since C' and C'' are isomorphic finite nuclei, the mapping κ is a bijection of C' onto C'' that maps $\text{Ext}(C')$ isomorphically onto $\text{Ext}(C'')$. Since κ is not a dp -map, there must exist a pair $\mathbf{y} < \mathbf{x}$ in C' such that $\kappa(\mathbf{y}) \not\leq \kappa(\mathbf{x})$ in C'' . But then $T(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \cap C'' = (\kappa(\mathbf{x})) \cap \kappa(\mathbf{y}) = \emptyset$ again.

Every set P_g is thus clopen in D , and hence also in the original dp -space X .

(e) Select $g = id_{\text{Ext}(C)} = id$. Then $X_C = P_{id}$ is a clopen union of components of X , and for every component $C' \subseteq X_C$ there exists a dp -map $k_{C'}$ of C onto C' that extends $f_{C'}$. For every $\mathbf{x} \in C$ we thus have $M(\mathbf{x}) \cap C' = \{k_{C'}(\mathbf{x})\}$. Since each $M(\mathbf{x})$ is clopen, a mapping $h_C : X_C \rightarrow C$ defined by $h_C^{-1}\{\mathbf{x}\} = M(\mathbf{x})$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in C$

is continuous; it is a dp -map because its restriction to any component C' of X_C is the inverse of the dp -map $k_{C'}$.

Together with the initial remarks, this completes the proof. \square

In algebraic terms, Lemma 4.1 says that any uniform algebra from a finitely generated variety \mathbf{V} contains an isomorphic copy of its nucleus F . If S is a rudimentary uniform algebra, then F is also a homomorphic image of S and, consequently, every rudimentary uniform rigid algebra must be a nucleus.

We say that the dp -space $X = P(A)$ of an algebra $A \in \mathbf{V}$ is \mathbf{V} -cyclic whenever the endomorphism monoid $End(A)$ is isomorphic to the cyclic group C_p of an odd prime order $p > |Ext(P(F))|$ for any nucleus $F \in \mathbf{V}$. The space X is said to be \mathbf{V} -rigid whenever it is rigid and non-rudimentary.

Lemma 4.2. *Any dp -map $f : X \rightarrow X$ of a \mathbf{V} -cyclic space maps $Ext(X)$ identically onto itself and, consequently, every \mathbf{V} -cyclic space is non-rudimentary.*

Proof. Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be any non-identity dp -map. Suppose that there exists a component C of X for which $f(C) \cap C = \emptyset$. Since f is invertible, $f(C)$ is another component of X and, by 2.2, there exists a clopen decreasing set B such that $C \subseteq B$ and $f(C) \subseteq X \setminus B$. Since X represents an algebra of a finite range n , the clopen decreasing set $W = B^{n(++)}$ is also increasing, contains C , and is disjoint from $f(C)$. Therefore $A = \bigcap \{W \setminus f^i(W) \mid i \in \{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}\}$ is again clopen, both decreasing and increasing, and contains C ; furthermore, $f^i(A)$ intersects $f^j(A)$ only when $i = j \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$.

It is now routine to verify that the mapping $g : X \rightarrow X$ defined as the identity on $X \setminus (A \cup f(A))$ extended by $g \upharpoonright A = f \upharpoonright A$ and $g \upharpoonright (f(A)) = f^{-1} \upharpoonright (f(A))$ is an invertible dp -map of order two, in contradiction to the hypothesis.

Therefore $f(C) = C$, and hence also $f(Ext(C)) = Ext(C)$ for every component C of X ; since the prime order p of f exceeds $|Ext(C)|$, all orbits of f on $Ext(C)$ must be trivial. Finally, if X were rudimentary then, by 3.1, f would have to be the identity on X . \square

We say that S is a set of mutually rigid objects if the identity morphisms are the only morphisms between members of S .

Lemma 4.3. *Any rigid dp -space X with $D(X) \in \mathbf{V}$ which is not a finite disjoint union of mutually rigid nuclei must contain a \mathbf{V} -rigid uniform subspace Y representing a direct factor of $D(X)$.*

Proof. Recall that 4.1 implies that any rudimentary uniform rigid algebra from \mathbf{V} is a nucleus.

Let X be a rigid dp -space with $D(X) \in \mathbf{V}$. By 3.5, the space X contains a uniform subspace H_0 representing a direct factor of $D(X)$. The rigidity of X implies that both H_0 and $X_1 = X \setminus H_0$ are rigid. If H_0 is not rudimentary, then $Y = H_0$ is \mathbf{V} -rigid, and the conclusion follows. If H_0 is rudimentary, and hence a nucleus, we apply 3.5 to the rigid dp -space $X_1 = X \setminus H_0$ to obtain a uniform subspace H_1

of X_1 representing a direct factor of $D(X_1)$. Again, if H_1 is not rudimentary, then $Y = H_1$ is \mathbf{V} -rigid, and we are done. Else H_1 is a nucleus and, because X is rigid, there are no dp -maps between the rigid nuclei H_0 and H_1 . An inductive extension of this argument completes the proof because \mathbf{V} can contain only finitely many mutually rigid nuclei. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Any \mathbf{V} -cyclic dp -space X contains a uniform subspace Y representing a direct factor of $D(X)$ that is either \mathbf{V} -cyclic or \mathbf{V} -rigid.*

Proof. As in 4.3, we note that every rigid rudimentary algebra in \mathbf{V} is a nucleus.

If the \mathbf{V} -cyclic space X is not uniform then, according to 3.5, it must contain a uniform subspace H_0 representing a direct factor of $D(X)$. Since the respective endomorphism monoids satisfy $End(X) \cong End(H_0) \times End(X \setminus H_0)$, and because $End(X)$ is isomorphic to a prime order cyclic group, one of the subspaces $H_0, X \setminus H_0$ must be rigid and the other \mathbf{V} -cyclic. There is nothing to prove if H_0 is \mathbf{V} -cyclic or \mathbf{V} -rigid. In the remaining case, the space H_0 is rigid and rudimentary – and hence a nucleus – while $X_1 = X \setminus H_0$ must be \mathbf{V} -cyclic. Applying the above argument to X_1 instead of X and then extending it inductively, we find that this procedure terminates after finitely many steps because the variety \mathbf{V} contains only a finite number of nuclei. But then the terminal step supplies a uniform subspace Y of X representing a uniform direct factor of $D(X)$ that is either \mathbf{V} -cyclic or \mathbf{V} -rigid. \square

Thus, in particular, any finitely generated universal variety contains a non-rudimentary uniform algebra A for which $End(A)$ is a finite group.

Lemma 4.5. *Let X be a uniform dp -space of an algebra from \mathbf{V} such that all endomorphisms of X are invertible. Then either X is a chain with at most two elements or else $Ext(e) \neq Ext(x)$ for all $e \in Ext(X)$ and $x \in X \setminus \{e\}$.*

Proof. If X has a singleton component $\{e\}$, then the constant map $k : X \rightarrow X$ with $k(X) = \{e\}$ is an idempotent endomorphism of X , and k is invertible only when $X = \{e\}$. Secondly, assume that X has no singleton components and that $\{c, d\}$ with $c < d$ is a component of $Ext(X)$. Since $Max(X)$ and $Min(X)$ are compact monotone disjoint sets, 2.2 supplies a clopen decreasing set C containing $Min(X)$ and disjoint from $Max(X)$. But then the mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$ given by $f^{-1}\{c\} = C$ and $f^{-1}\{d\} = X \setminus C$ is an idempotent dp -map that is invertible only when $X = \{c, d\}$.

Suppose that all components of $Ext(X)$ have more than two elements, so that $Ext(d) \neq Ext(e)$ whenever $d, e \in Ext(X)$ are distinct. Let $h : X \rightarrow S$ be the surjective dp -map dual to the inclusion homomorphism of the rudiment $D(S) = Rud(D(X))$ into $D(X)$. Then h is bijective on $Ext(X)$, so that we may replace $Ext(S) \subseteq S$ by $Ext(X)$. For any $e \in Ext(X)$, the set $K_e = \{x \in C \mid Ext(x) = Ext(e)\} = h^{-1}\{e\}$ is closed because h is continuous, and these sets are pairwise disjoint. Define $g : X \rightarrow X$ by $g(x) = e$ for every $e \in Ext(X)$ and all $x \in K_e$, and by $g(x) = x$ for all other $x \in X$. To prove that g is a dp -map, it suffices to show

that it is continuous. Observe that, for every $Z \subseteq X$,

$$g^{-1}(Z) = (Z \setminus (\bigcup\{K_e \mid e \in \text{Ext}(X)\})) \cup h^{-1}(Z \cap \text{Ext}(X)).$$

If Z is closed then $g^{-1}(Z)$ is closed whenever $\bigcup\{K_e \mid e \in \text{Ext}(X)\}$ is open because h is continuous and $\text{Ext}(S) = \text{Ext}(X)$ is closed. Let Y be the nucleus isomorphic to the rudiment of any component of X , and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be the surjective dp -map from 4.1. Then $f^{-1}(\text{Ext}(Y)) = \bigcup\{K_e \mid e \in \text{Ext}(X)\}$ and, because Y is finite and f is continuous, it follows that the set $\bigcup\{K_e \mid e \in \text{Ext}(X)\}$ is, in fact, clopen.

Thus $g : X \rightarrow X$ is a dp -map. Since all such maps are invertible, it follows that $|K_e| = 1$ for every $e \in \text{Ext}(X)$. But $e \in K_e$ and the claim follows. \square

In particular, by 3.1, for any V -rigid or V -cyclic space X , the dual $h : X \rightarrow S$ of the inclusion of the rudiment $Rud(D(X))$ into the algebra $D(X)$ satisfies $h^{-1}(h\{e\}) = \{e\}$ for all $e \in \text{Ext}(X)$.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $Y = P(F)$, where F is the nucleus associated with the dual $D(X)$ of a uniform V -rigid or V -cyclic dp -space X . Then $Mid(Y) = Y \setminus \text{Ext}(Y)$ has an order component with more than two elements.*

Proof. Suppose, for contradiction, that all components of $Mid(Y)$ are either singletons or two-element chains.

Let $h : X \rightarrow Y$ be the dp -map from 4.1, and let C be an arbitrarily selected component of X . Then the restriction $k = h \upharpoonright C$ maps C onto Y . Since k is a dp -map, $k(u) \leq k(v)$ is equivalent to $u \leq v$ whenever u or v is extremal. By 4.5, k is the identity on $\text{Ext}(C) = \text{Ext}(Y)$ and $k(Mid(C)) = Mid(Y)$ and, by 3.1, the order of $Y = k(C)$ is the induced quotient order. Since any order component of $Mid(Y)$ has at most two elements, $k(u) \leq k(v)$ in $Mid(Y)$ if and only if $u' \leq v'$ in $Mid(C)$ for some u' and v' satisfying $k(u') = k(u)$ and $k(v') = k(v)$. Hence there exists an order preserving mapping $f : Y \rightarrow C$ for which $k \circ f = id_Y$. Since Y is finite and f is the identity on $\text{Ext}(Y) = \text{Ext}(C)$, it follows that f is a dp -map. The composite $f \circ h : X \rightarrow X$ is invertible only when $h = k$ is one-to-one. But then X is isomorphic to the rudimentary space Y , so that X can be neither V -rigid nor, by 4.2, V -cyclic. \square

The proof of (P1) is now complete. The claim below provides a final step towards (P2).

Lemma 4.7. *Assume the hypothesis of 4.6. Then the identity is the only endomorphism of F whose dual fixes $Mid(Y)$ elementwise.*

Proof. Set $Y = P(F)$ as in 4.6, and suppose that $f : Y \rightarrow Y$ is a dp -map such that $f(y) = y$ for every $y \in Mid(Y)$.

Let $h : X \rightarrow Y$ be the dp -map from 4.1, and let C_Q be a component of X . Then h maps $\text{Ext}(C_Q)$ bijectively onto $\text{Ext}(Y)$ and $h(Mid(C_Q)) = Mid(Y)$, so that there

is a unique mapping $g_Q : C_Q \rightarrow C_Q$ such that g_Q is the identity on $Mid(C_Q)$ and $(f \circ h) \upharpoonright C_Q = (h \circ g_Q) \upharpoonright C_Q$. By 3.4, the dp -map f is a permutation of $Ext(Y)$ and, consequently, the mapping g_Q is a permutation of C_Q whose all nontrivial orbits, if any, are contained in $Ext(C_Q)$. In addition, $Ext(g_Q(x)) = Ext(x)$ for any non-extremal $x \in C_Q$. Since the action of g_Q copies that of f on $Ext(C_Q)$, it follows that g_Q preserves the order and $g_Q(Ext(x)) = Ext(g_Q(x))$ for all $x \in C_Q$. The continuity of g_Q follows from the fact that the (finitely many) extremal points it permutes are open in C_Q . Therefore each $g_Q : C_Q \rightarrow C_Q$ is an invertible dp -map.

The mapping $g : X \rightarrow X$ defined as the joint extension of all g_Q thus preserves order and satisfies $g(Ext(x)) = Ext(g(x))$ and $f \circ h = h \circ g$.

Next we show that g is continuous. Since X is a totally disconnected compact space it suffices to show that $g^{-1}(Z)$ is clopen for every clopen $Z \subseteq X$. The mapping h is continuous and $Y = h(X)$ is finite, so that we may assume that $Z \subseteq h^{-1}(y)$ for some $y \in Y$. If $y \in Mid(Y)$ then $g^{-1}(Z) = Z$ because $Z \subseteq Mid(X)$ and g is the identity on $Mid(X)$. Secondly, for $y \in Min(Y)$ we have $Z \subseteq Min(X)$ and, because $D(X)$ is of a finite range n , the union $Z^{n(++)}$ of all components that intersect Z is clopen. Clearly, $g^{-1}(Z) = Z^{n(++)} \cap (f \circ h)^{-1}\{y\}$. From the continuity of $f \circ h$ and the fact that the singleton $\{y\}$ is clopen in Y it follows that $g^{-1}(Z) \subseteq X$ is clopen as well. Since an analogous argument applies when $y \in Max(Y)$, the continuity of g follows.

Therefore $g : X \rightarrow X$ is a dp -map. If X is V -rigid then $g = id_X$ follows immediately. For a V -cyclic X we apply 4.2 to obtain the same conclusion. Thus $f \circ h = h$, and $f = id_Y$ follows because h is surjective. \square

Corollary 4.8. *If V is a finitely generated universal variety of distributive double p -algebras, then V contains a nucleus whose dp -space X satisfies (P1) and (P2). \square*

This completes the proof of the implications (4) \Rightarrow (7) and (6) \Rightarrow (7) in Theorem 1.1.

5. SMALLER NUCLEI

To prove the implication (7) \Rightarrow (8) of Theorem 1.1, we shall assume the existence of a nucleus $F \in V$ whose dp -space $X = P(F)$ satisfies (P1) and (P2), and construct a nucleus $G \in V$ whose dp -space $Y = P(G)$ satisfies the following two conditions:

- (Y1) $Mid(Y)$ has exactly one three-element order component C and at most three other components, all of them singletons, and
- (Y2) no dp -map $g : Y \rightarrow Y$ other than the identity fixes all members of the three-element component C .

Recall that, for any $m \in Mid(X)$, the induced subposet $E(m) = Ext(X) \cup \{m\}$ of X is the dual of a subdirectly irreducible algebra from V .

Let $N \subseteq Mid(X)$, and let \leq be any partial order on N contained in the restriction of the extremal order \leq_E of X described in 3.2(b) to the set N ; in other words,

$n_0 \leq n_1$ implies, but it is not necessarily equivalent to, $Min(n_0) \subseteq Min(n_1)$ and $Max(n_0) \supseteq Max(n_1)$. On the disjoint union $Ext(X) \cup N$ we now define an extension $E(N, \leq)$ of (N, \leq) by the requirement that, for every $n \in N$, the subposet $Ext(X) \cup \{n\}$ of $E(N, \leq)$ coincide with $E(n)$. It is clear that the inclusion of $E(n)$ into $E(N, \leq)$ is a dp -map, and that $E(N, \leq)$ is the union of all $E(n)$ with $n \in N$. Therefore, for any subposet (N, \leq) of $(Mid(X), \leq_E)$, the poset $E(N, \leq)$ is the dp -space of a nucleus in the variety \mathbf{V} .

While it is clear that any variety \mathbf{V} containing a nucleus F satisfying (P1) contains also a nucleus G for which (Y1) holds, in general, however, any such G may fail to satisfy (Y2). On the other hand, the subalgebra of F generated by any order connected triple of members of $Mid(F)$ satisfies (Y1) and (Y2), but need not be rudimentary. These difficulties will be resolved through careful selection of a generating set of G within a suitable quotient algebra of F . An adequate supply of suitable generators is ensured by the following claim.

Lemma 5.1. *If X is the dp -space of a finite nucleus such that the identity is the only dp -map $f : X \rightarrow X$ which fixes $Mid(X)$, then*

- (a) $d, e \in Min(X)$ and $[d] \setminus \{d\} = [e] \setminus \{e\}$ imply $d = e$, and
- (b) $d, e \in Max(X)$ and $[\bar{d}] \setminus \{\bar{d}\} = [\bar{e}] \setminus \{\bar{e}\}$ imply $d = e$.

Proof. For distinct $d, e \in Min(X)$ with $[d] \setminus \{d\} = [e] \setminus \{e\}$, define a mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$ by $f(d) = e$, $f(e) = d$ and $f(x) = x$ for all $x \in X \setminus \{d, e\}$. Then f is a nontrivial dp -map such that $f \upharpoonright Mid(X)$ is the identity. This proves (a), and a similar argument leads to (b). \square

We say that $x \in Mid(X)$ is min-defective whenever $Max(v) = Max(x)$ for all $v \in Min(x)$, and max-defective if $Min(u) = Min(x)$ for all $u \in Max(x)$. A consequence of the conclusion of 5.1 is that for every min-defective $x \in Mid(X)$ and any two distinct elements of $Min(x)$ there exists some $y \in Mid(X)$ such that $Min(y)$ contains exactly one of them.

Let A be a finite distributive double p -algebra. For any join irreducible $a \in A$, let \bar{a} be the largest element of A with $\bar{a} \not\geq a$; then \bar{a} is the join of all join irreducibles $j \not\geq a$. If $x \in P(A)$ represents the prime filter $[a] \subset A$, then $a \in A$ is represented by $(x) \subseteq P(A)$, and \bar{a} corresponds to $P(A) \setminus [x]$. When there is no danger of confusion, we shall also write $\bar{x} = P(A) \setminus [x]$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let A be a finite distributive double p -algebra. Then the subalgebra B of A generated by the set $T(A) = Mid(A) \cup \{\bar{a} \mid a \in Mid(A)\}$ satisfies $Mid(B) \cong Mid(A)$.*

Moreover, if A is a nucleus with $|Mid(A)| \geq 2$, then the algebra B is rudimentary whenever $X = P(A)$ is such that

- (1) for every min-defective a which is minimal in $Mid(X)$ there is some $y \in Mid(X)$ which splits $Min(a)$ in the sense that both $Min(a) \cap Min(y)$ and $Min(a) \setminus Min(y)$ are nonvoid and, dually,

- (2) for every max-defective b which is maximal in $Mid(X)$ there is some $z \in Mid(X)$ such that both $Max(b) \cap Max(z)$ and $Max(b) \setminus Max(z)$ are nonvoid.

Proof. Let $h : X \rightarrow Y$ be the surjective dp -map dual to the inclusion $B \subseteq A$. Then $h(x_0) \leq h(x_1)$ in Y if and only if $x_1 \in b$ implies $x_0 \in b$ for every $b \subseteq X$ representing a member of B . Equivalently, $h(x_0) \not\leq h(x_1)$ exactly when there is a $b \in B$ such that $x_1 \in b$ and $x_0 \notin b$.

Let $x_0 \in Mid(X)$. Then $(x_0]$ and \bar{x}_0 represent members of B . If $x_1 \not\leq x_0$ then $x_0 \in (x_0] \not\supseteq x_1$ and the above observation implies that $h(x_1) \not\leq h(x_0)$. Similarly, for any $x_1 \not\geq x_0$ it follows that $x_1 \in \bar{x}_0 \not\supseteq x_0$ and hence $h(x_0) \not\leq h(x_1)$. In particular, h is an order isomorphism of $Mid(X)$ onto an order subspace of Y .

Should $x_0 \in Mid(X)$ and $h(x_0) \in Min(Y)$, then $x \not\geq x_0$ and $h(x) = h(x_0)$ for every $x \in Min(x_0)$, a contradiction. Dually, $h(x_0) \notin Max(Y)$. Hence $h(Mid(X)) \subseteq Mid(Y)$ and, since $h : X \rightarrow Y$ is a surjective dp -map, $h(Mid(X)) = Mid(Y)$. Therefore h gives an isomorphism of $Mid(X)$ onto $Mid(Y)$.

Furthermore, if $x_0 \in Mid(X)$ and $h(x) \in Min(h(x_0))$, then $x \in Min(X)$ and $x \leq x_0$. Thus $h^{-1}(Min(h(x_0))) = Min(x_0)$ and, dually, $h^{-1}(Max(h(x_0))) = Max(x_0)$, for every $x_0 \in Mid(X)$.

Let A be a nucleus. Suppose that $Ext(w_0) = Ext(w_1)$ in Y , and let $w_i = h(x_i)$ for $i = 0, 1$.

Let $w_0 \in Mid(Y)$, so that $x_0 \in Mid(X)$. For any $x \in Min(x_1)$ we have $h(x) \in Min(w_1) = Min(h(x_0))$, and hence $x \in Min(x_0)$. Together with a dual observation, this shows that $Ext(x_1) \subseteq Ext(x_0)$. If also $w_1 \in Mid(Y)$, then $Ext(x_0) = Ext(x_1)$, and $w_0 = w_1$ follows because X is rudimentary.

Next assume that $w_0 \in Mid(Y)$ and $w_1 \in Min(Y)$. Then $Ext(h(x_0)) = \{w_1\} \cup Max(w_1)$ and, since $x_0 \in Mid(X)$, we have $Max(x_0) = h^{-1}(Max(w_1))$ and $Min(x_0) = h^{-1}\{w_1\}$. If $z \leq x_0$ and $u \in Max(z)$, then $h(u) \in Max(w_1)$, and hence $u \in Max(x_0)$. But $Max(x_0) \subseteq Max(z)$ for all $z \leq x_0$, and $Max(x_0) = Max(z)$ follows. Should $z \in Mid(X)$, then $Min(z) = f^{-1}\{w_1\}$, so that $Ext(x_0) = Ext(z)$, and $x_0 = z$ because X is rudimentary. Therefore x_0 is minimal in $Mid(X)$ and, because $Max(z) = Max(x_0)$ for every $z \in Min(x_0)$, the element x_0 is also min-defective. If $Min(x_0) \cap Min(y) \neq \emptyset$ for some $y \in Mid(X)$, then for every $z \in Min(x_0)$ we have $h(z) = w_1 \leq h(y)$ and, consequently, $z \in Min(y)$. Thus no $y \in Mid(X)$ splits $Min(x_0)$, in contradiction to (1). A dual argument uses (2) to show that $Ext(w_0) \neq Ext(w_1)$ for any $w_0 \in Mid(Y)$ and $w_1 \in Max(Y)$.

Since Y is connected, for extremal w_0 and w_1 we need only consider the case when $Min(Y) = \{w_0\}$ and $Max(Y) = \{w_1\}$. But X contains at least two distinct $x, x' \in Mid(X)$; as shown earlier, $Ext(h(x)) \neq Ext(h(x'))$ in Y , so that this case cannot occur. \square

Let X be the dp -space of a nucleus F satisfying 1.1(7), and let \leq_E be the extremal order on $Mid(X)$. First we construct a three-element component C of \leq_E as follows.

If \leq_E contains a three-element chain, we select a \leq_E -chain $C = C_0 = \{a, c, b\}$ in which a is an \leq_E -minimal and b is an \leq_E -maximal member of $Mid(X)$.

Let C_1 denote the poset in which $a < c$ and $b < c$, and where a is incomparable to b ; let C_2 denote the dual of C_1 . If \leq_E has no three-element chains in $Mid(X)$, then $(Mid(X), \leq_E)$ must contain a copy of C_1 or of C_2 ; we select $C = C_i$ accordingly and note that a is incomparable to b in the extremal order \leq_E . Furthermore, in all three cases, the extremal elements of C_i are also extremal in the poset $(Mid(X), \leq_E)$.

In each of the three cases, we shall select a subset K of $Mid(X)$ ordered so that C is a component of K while $K \setminus C$ is an antichain, and show that (Y1) and (Y2) hold for the subalgebra $G = D(Y)$ generated by the set $T(E) = K \cup \{\bar{n} \mid n \in K\}$ in the algebra dual to $E = E(K, \leq)$.

We begin with an observation that will be needed in all three cases.

Assume that a minimal element $a \in C$ is min-defective. Then $Min(a)$ has at least two elements because X is rudimentary; by 5.1,

$$M_0(a) = \{y \in Mid(X) \mid Min(a) \setminus Min(y) \neq \emptyset \neq Min(a) \cap Min(y)\} \neq \emptyset.$$

For any $y \in M_0(a)$ we have $Max(y) \subseteq Max(a)$, so that for each $u \in Max(y)$ it follows that $Min(u) \setminus Min(y) \neq \emptyset$; thus y is not max-defective. Either $Max(a) \setminus Max(y) \neq \emptyset$ and hence y is not min-defective, or else $Max(y) = Max(a)$ and then, since a is minimal in the extremal order of $Mid(X)$, we must have $Min(y) \setminus Min(a) \neq \emptyset$, and hence also $a \in M_0(y)$. This shows that adding any $y \in M_0(a)$ to an arbitrary poset containing $C \cup Ext(X)$ produces a space in which both a and y satisfy 5.2(1) and 5.2(2).

Dually, in the case of a max-defective maximal $b \in C$ the set $M_1(b)$ of all $z \in Mid(X)$ which split $Max(b)$ in the sense that $Max(b) \setminus Max(z) \neq \emptyset \neq Max(b) \cap Max(z)$ is nonvoid, no member of $M_1(b)$ is min-defective, and $b \in M_1(z)$ for any max-defective $z \in M_1(b)$. Thus b and z satisfy (1) and (2) of 5.2 as well.

Case 0. The component $C_0 = \{a, c, b\}$ with $a < c < b$.

If $M_0(a)$ intersects $M_1(b)$, select $y \in M_0(a) \cap M_1(b)$ arbitrarily and note that y is neither max-defective nor min-defective. Set $K = C_0 \cup \{y\}$, and order K so that C_0 and the singleton $\{y\}$ are the components of K . As indicated earlier, we set $Y = P(G)$, where G is generated within $E(K, \leq)$ by $K \cup \{\bar{k} \mid k \in K\}$. Then $Mid(Y) = K$ and Y is rudimentary by 5.2. Therefore Y satisfies (Y1). Any dp -map g of the rudimentary space Y into itself is invertible, so that it maps the three-element chain C_0 identically onto itself, and hence $g(y) = y$; thus $g(k) = k$ and, by the invertibility of g also $g(\bar{k}) = \bar{k}$ for all $k \in K$. Altogether, g is the identity since it fixes every generator of $G = D(Y)$. Hence G is, in fact, rigid, and (Y2) follows.

If $M_0(a)$ and $M_1(b)$ are nonvoid and disjoint, select $y \in M_0(a)$ and $z \in M_1(b)$ arbitrarily. This time set $K = C_0 \cup \{y, z\}$, again with the trivial extension of the order of C_0 . If y is min-defective then $a \in M_0(y)$, and dually for z , so that 5.2 applies again to yield a rudimentary Y with $Mid(Y) = K$ which satisfies (Y1). To see that Y is rigid, note that, as before, any dp -map $g : Y \rightarrow Y$ is invertible, fixes

elements of C_0 and hence preserves the antichain $\{y, z\}$. Since $y \notin M_1(b)$, either $Max(b) \subseteq Max(y)$ or $Max(b) \cap Max(y) = \emptyset$, while z splits $Max(b)$; thus $g(y) = y$ and $g(z) = z$ as required by (Y2).

If a is the only defective element in C_0 then we set $K = C_0 \cup \{y\}$ with an arbitrarily selected $y \in M_0(a)$, and make a dual selection when b is the only defective member of C_0 . The arguments for these two cases coincide with those already used. Finally, when C_0 has no defective elements, we set $K = C_0$.

In every possible instance we thus obtain a rigid nucleus $G_0 = G$ such that $Mid(G_0)$ is the union of a three-element chain $C_0 = \{a, c, b\}$ with at most two other order components, both of which are singletons.

Case 1. The component $C_1 = \{a, b, c\}$ with $a < c$ and $b < c$.

Recall that all three elements of C_1 are extremal in the extremal ordering on $Mid(X)$.

Assume first the existence of some $v \in Min(a) \cap Min(b)$. If a is min-defective, then $Max(b) \subseteq Max(v) = Max(a)$. Since $a \not\leq_E b$, we must have $Min(a) \setminus Min(b) \neq \emptyset$, and this shows that b splits $Min(a)$. Hence 5.2(1) holds true for a and, by symmetry, also for b , whenever $Min(a) \cap Min(b) \neq \emptyset$. If c is max-defective, we select $z \in M_1(c)$ arbitrarily and define K as the disjoint union of the component C_1 and the singleton $\{z\}$; otherwise we set $K = C_1$. Lemma 5.2 applies to either case, and the rudimentary space Y satisfies $Mid(Y) \cong K$. Thus (Y1) holds. To demonstrate (Y2), we again recall that any dp -map $g : Y \rightarrow Y$ is invertible; since g fixes C_1 elementwise, it must also fix the complementary singleton component $\{z\}$ whenever there is one included in $Mid(Y) \cong K$.

Secondly, for $Min(a) \cap Min(b) = \emptyset$, no member of C_1 can split the extremal elements of another one, and we proceed as in the case of the chain component. To obtain K , we extend C_1 by a least size antichain Z intersecting each set $M_0(a)$, $M_0(b)$ and $M_1(c)$ which is nonvoid. Then $|Z| \leq 3$ and, because of the minimality requirement, every $z \in Z$ is uniquely determined by its inclusion in, and its exclusion from each of these three sets. As in all previous cases, 5.2 applies and produces a nucleus whose dp -space Y satisfies $Mid(Y) \cong C_1 \cup Z$, and hence also (Y1). Any dp -map $g : Y \rightarrow Y$ fixing C_1 elementwise permutes Z and, since each $z \in Z$ is uniquely determined by the set of members of C_1 whose extremals it splits, the permutation $g \upharpoonright Z$ must be the identity on Z . Thus Y satisfies (Y2) as well.

The remaining case of the component C_2 submits to arguments dual to those used for C_1 . Altogether, 1.1(8) follows from 1.1(7), and gives the following consequence.

Corollary 5.3. *Any finitely generated universal variety \mathbf{V} contains one of the nuclei G_i with $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ such that $Mid(G_i)$ is the union of a component isomorphic to C_i and an antichain of at most three elements. Furthermore, the identity is the only endomorphism of G_i which is the identity on C_i . \square*

This also concludes the proof of 1.2.

6. THE REPRESENTATION

In this section we prove the remaining implication $(8) \Rightarrow (1)$ of Theorem 1.1 by constructing, for each $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, a full embedding Φ_i of a universal category \mathbf{D}_i of suitably augmented Priestley spaces into the dual of the variety $Var(G_i)$ generated by the nucleus G_i of 5.3.

Each category \mathbf{D}_i is formed by Priestley spaces with two distinct open points u and v and by all continuous order preserving mappings g for which $\{g(u), g(v)\} = \{u, v\}$. In any object of \mathbf{D}_0 one of the elements u, v is maximal and the other is minimal, while both u and v are maximal for all spaces of \mathbf{D}_1 and both are minimal in all spaces of \mathbf{D}_2 .

The following result of Koubek [5] will be used.

Theorem 6.1. [5]. *Let \mathbf{H} be the universal (cf. [12]) category of all undirected graphs and all their compatible mappings. Then, for each $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, there is a full contravariant embedding $\Psi_i : \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_i$ such that any \mathbf{D}_i -morphism $g : \Psi_i(H) \rightarrow \Psi_i(H')$ satisfies $g(u) = u$ and $g(v) = v$. \square*

For each $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and any graph H , a connected dp -space $\Phi_i(H)$ dual to an algebra with the rudiment G_i will be constructed so that the Priestley space $\Psi_i(H)$ from 6.1 replaces the element c of $P(G_i)$ as follows.

Set $Y = P(G_i)$ and let $\Psi_i(H) = (X, \tau, \leq, u, v)$, where u and v are the two distinguished elements of $\Psi_i(H) \in \mathbf{D}_i$. Define $\Phi_i(H) = \Phi(H) = (W, \sigma, \leq)$ so that

- $W = (Y \setminus \{c\}) \cup X$, where the union is disjoint,
- σ is the union of τ and the discrete topology on the finite set $Y \setminus \{c\}$,
- the partial order \leq on W coincides with the respective orders of $Y \setminus \{c\}$ or of X on these subsets, and satisfies
- $([x] \cup [x]) \cap Y = Ext(c)$ for all $x \in X \setminus \{u, v\}$,
- $([u] \cup [u]) \cap Y = Ext(c) \cup \{a\}$ and $([v] \cup [v]) \cap Y = Ext(c) \cup \{b\}$
- in such a way that, in the latter two clauses, $u \geq a$ when u is maximal in X while $u \leq a$ when u is minimal and, similarly, $v \geq b$ for a maximal v while $v \leq b$ when v is minimal in X .

It is routine to verify that, in each of the three cases, this defines a partial order on W satisfying

$$([a] \cup [a]) \cap X = \{u\} \text{ and } ([b] \cup [b]) \cap X = \{v\}.$$

Lemma 6.2. *The ordered space (W, σ, \leq) is the dp -space of an algebra A from the variety $Var(G_i)$ generated by the nucleus $G = G_i$, and $G = Rud(A)$.*

Proof. Since (X, τ) is compact and $Y \setminus \{c\}$ is finite, the space (W, σ) is compact.

For any $w \in W \setminus X$, the set $[w] \cap X$ is \emptyset or X , or one of the open singletons $\{u\}, \{v\}$, and the same is true for the set $[w] \cap X$. Since (X, τ, \leq) is totally order disconnected, so is its extension (W, σ, \leq) by finitely many open points $y \in Y \setminus \{c\}$

such that $([y] \cup (y)) \cap X$ is τ -open. Furthermore, if $A \subseteq W$ is increasing or decreasing then $[A] = (Max(A))$ or $[A] = (Min(A))$, respectively; since $Ext(A) \subseteq W \setminus X$, either of the latter two sets intersects X in one of the σ -open sets \emptyset , X , $\{u\}$, $\{v\}$, or $\{u, v\}$. Thus (W, σ, \leq) is a dp -space.

Since $Ext(x) = Ext(c)$ for all $x \in X$ and because $X \subseteq W$ is clopen and convex in (W, σ) , the finite space Y is the rudimentary quotient of W . Depending on whether or not $w \in X$, the finite subspace $E(w) = Ext(W) \cup \{w\} = Ext(Y) \cup \{w\}$ is either isomorphic, or equal to, a subspace of Y . Therefore $\Phi(H)$ is the dp -space of an algebra in the variety generated by its nucleus G . \square

For any morphism $f : H \rightarrow H'$ of \mathbf{H} we now define $\Phi(f) : \Phi(H) \rightarrow \Phi(H')$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(f)(x) &= \Psi_i(f)(x) \text{ for all } x \in X, \text{ and} \\ \Phi(f)(y) &= y \text{ for all } y \in Y \setminus \{c\}. \end{aligned}$$

The mapping $\Phi(f)$ is continuous and order preserving since $\Psi_i(f)$ is a morphism in \mathbf{D}_i and because $\Phi(f)$ is the identity on $W \setminus X$. The latter fact also implies that $\Phi(f)$ maps $Ext(w)$ onto $Ext(\Phi(f)(w))$ for every $w \in \Phi(H)$. Therefore Φ is a contravariant functor from the category \mathbf{H} of all graphs and all their compatible mappings into the category of all dp -spaces of algebras from the variety \mathbf{V} .

Once we prove that the functor Φ is full, from 6.1 it will follow that the category \mathbf{H} has a full covariant embedding into the variety \mathbf{V} .

To do this, assume $g : \Phi(H) \rightarrow \Phi(H')$ to be a dp -map, and write $\Phi(H) = W = ((Y \setminus \{c\}) \cup X, \sigma, \leq)$ and $\Phi(H') = W' = ((Y \setminus \{c\}) \cup X', \sigma', \leq)$.

By 3.3, the homomorphism $D(g)$ maps the rudiment of $D(W')$ into the rudiment of $D(W)$; since the nucleus G is the rudiment of either algebra, the restriction of $D(g)$ to G is an automorphism of $D(G)$, by 3.4. Thus the unique three-element component $C_i = \{a, b, c\}$ of $Mid(G)$ is preserved by $D(g)$. Since c is, respectively, the unique non-extremal, maximal, or minimal member of $Mid(G_i)$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$, it follows that $D(g)(c) = c$ and $\{D(g)(a), D(g)(b)\} = \{a, b\}$ in all three cases.

When interpreted through the duality, this implies that $g(X) \subseteq X'$, that g permutes $Y \setminus \{c\} = W \setminus X = W' \setminus X'$, and that $\{g(a), g(b)\} = \{a, b\}$. Since u is the only member of X or X' comparable to a and v is the only element of X or X' comparable to b in each of the three cases, it follows that $\{g(u), g(v)\} = \{u, v\}$. Hence the restriction of g to X is a morphism in the appropriate category \mathbf{D}_i . By 6.1, the restriction of g to X is the image $\Psi_i(f)$ of some morphism $f : H' \rightarrow H$ of \mathbf{H} , and $g(u) = u$ and $g(v) = v$. Since a and b are uniquely determined non-extremal elements of $Y \setminus \{c\}$ comparable to u and v , respectively, the restriction of $D(g)$ to $C_i = \{a, b, c\}$ is the identity. But then $D(g)$ is the identity on the rudiment G and hence g maps $W \setminus X$ identically onto $W' \setminus X'$. Altogether, $g = \Phi(f)$, and the functor Φ is full, as required.

The proof of Theorem 1.1. is now complete.

7. CONCLUDING REMARKS

There exists a universal variety \mathbf{V} of range one generated by two finite subdirectly irreducibles with the same monolith quotient. To see this, let $\mathbf{V} = \text{Var}(F)$, where F is the nucleus whose poset $X = \{p, q, r, s, a, b, c, u\}$ of join irreducibles is given by the following requirements:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Max}(X) &= \{u\} \text{ and } \text{Min}(X) = \{p, q, r, s\}, \\ \text{Min}(a) &= \{p, q\}, \text{Min}(b) = \{q, r\} \text{ and } \text{Min}(c) = \{p, q, r\}, \\ a &\leq c \text{ and } b \leq c. \end{aligned}$$

It is easily seen that $E(a) = \text{Ext}(X) \cup \{a\}$ is isomorphic to $E(b)$ but not to $E(c)$. These posets are dp -spaces of subdirectly irreducible members of \mathbf{V} whose common monolith quotient is represented by the dp -space $\text{Ext}(X)$. The variety \mathbf{V} is of range one: since $\text{Max}(X)$ is a singleton, we have $f^+ = 1$ for any $f \in F \setminus \{1\}$. The universality of \mathbf{V} then easily follows when 1.1(8) is applied.

This example also shows why the lower limit in 1.2(2) cannot be higher than two.

We are tempted to call for a characterization of all universal varieties of distributive double p -algebras, even though such project may be a little too ambitious at this time. A more realistic approach might attempt a syntactic characterization of finitely generated universal varieties suitable for a description of minimal ones, or aim to describe minimal finitely generated universal varieties of a small finite range.

REFERENCES

1. R. Beazer, *The determination congruence on double p-algebras*, Algebra Universalis **6** (1976), 121-129.
2. ———, *Congruence uniform algebras with pseudocomplementation*, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. (1985), 43-48.
3. B. Davey, *Subdirectly irreducible distributive double p-algebras*, Algebra Universalis **8** (1978), 73-88.
4. G. Grätzer, *Lattice Theory, First Concepts and Distributive Lattices*, H. Freeman, San Francisco, 1971.
5. V. Koubek, *Infinite image homomorphisms of distributive bounded lattices*, Lectures in universal algebra, Szeged (Hungary) 1983, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985, pp. 241-281, in Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai 43.
6. V. Koubek and J. Sichler, *Universal varieties of distributive double p-algebras*, Glasgow Math. J. **26** (1985), 121-131.
7. ———, *Categorical universality of regular double p-algebras*, Glasgow Math. J. **32** (1990), 329-340.
8. R. McKenzie and J. D. Monk, *On automorphism groups of Boolean algebras*, Infinite and finite sets, Keszthely (Hungary) 1973; dedicated to P. Erdős on his 60th birthday, Vol. 2, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1975, pp. 951-988, in Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai 10.
9. H. A. Priestley, *Representation of distributive lattices by means of ordered Stone spaces*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **2** (1970), 186-190.
10. ———, *Ordered topological spaces and the representation of distributive lattices*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **24** (1972), 507-530.

11. ———, *Ordered sets and duality for distributive lattices*, Ann. Discrete Math. **23** (1984), 36–90.
12. A. Pultr and V. Trnková, *Combinatorial, algebraic and topological representations of groups, semigroups and categories*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1980.

MFF KU
MALOSTRANSKÉ NÁM. 25
118 00 PRAHA 1
CZECH REPUBLIC
E-mail address: vkoubek@cspguk11.bitnet

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA
WINNIPEG, MANITOBA
CANADA R3T 2N2
E-mail address: sichler@ccm.umanitoba.ca