# CAHIERS DE TOPOLOGIE ET GÉOMÉTRIE DIFFÉRENTIELLE CATÉGORIQUES

# Alberto Cavicchioli Luigi Grasselli

## Minimal atlases of manifolds

Cahiers de topologie et géométrie différentielle catégoriques, tome 26, nº 4 (1985), p. 389-397

<a href="http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CTGDC\_1985\_\_26\_4\_389\_0">http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CTGDC\_1985\_\_26\_4\_389\_0</a>

© Andrée C. Ehresmann et les auteurs, 1985, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Cahiers de topologie et géométrie différentielle catégoriques » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.



Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

# MINIMAL ATLASES OF MANIFOLDS \* by Alberto CAVICCHIOLI and Luigi GRASSELLI

RÉSUMÉ. On montre que chaque "ball-intersection atlas" minimal d'une n-variété M connexe et linéaire par morceaux a exactement n boules si la frontière de M est non vide. Ceci améliore divers résultats connus relatifs aux recouvrements par boules minimaux des variétés.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION.

Given a connected compact n-manifold M, a natural invariant of M is the minimal number of balls which are needed to cover M.

Following [SN] the Ljusternik-Schnirelmann category (resp. the strong Ljusternik-Schnirelmann category), written cat M (resp. C(M)), is the minimal number of open contractible subsets (resp. of balls) of M which suffice to cover M. Obviously

$$C(M) \ge cat M$$
.

W. Singhof proved that C(M) = cat M if cat M is not too small compared with the dimension of M.

If M is a closed connected combinatorial n-manifold (n > 0) which is geometrically  $\lfloor n/r \rfloor$  - connected,  $r \ge 2$ , then M can be covered by r combinatorial balls  $\lfloor Z2 \rfloor$ . If M is r-connected and  $r \le n - 3$ , then  $\lfloor n/(r+1) \rfloor + 1$  balls suffice to cover M as was later proved by E.C. Zeeman for PL-manifolds  $\lfloor Z1 \rfloor$  and by E. Luft in the topological case  $\lfloor L \rfloor$ .

Classical results for particular classes of spaces are:

 $1^{\rm o}$  A closed piecewise-linear 3-manifold covered by 3 open 3-balls is a 3-sphere-with-handles [HM].

 $2^{\circ}$  If M is a locally trivial *n*-dimensional sphere bundle over a sphere, having a cross-section, then M admits coverings by 3 open *n*-balls [M1].

Theorems which improve some quoted statements are obtained in [M2, PD, S1, S2] by making use of  $residual\ sets$ , a concept introduced in [DH].

(\*) Work performed under the auspices of the G.N.S.A.G.A. of the C.N.R. (National Research Council of Italy) and within the Project "Geometria delle Varietà Differenziabili" of the M.P.I. (Italy).

Relations between the Poincaré conjecture and ball coverings arguments are studied in [OS, Z2].

In order to cover a manifold with balls whose intersections are nice, R. Osborne and J. Stern proved this theorem: If M is a closed k-connected topological n-manifold and  $q = \min\{k, n-3\}$ , then M can be covered by p open balls if p(q+1) > n. Further, these balls may be chosen so that the intersection of any collection of them is (q-1)-connected.

The boundary case is also considered in [OS, KT].

In the present paper, we prove that each minimal "ball-intersection atlas" of a connected piecewise-linear n-manifold M has exactly n balls if  $\partial M$  is non-void. This improves some results of [OS] and [KT] in the piecewise-linear category.

### 2. NOTATIONS.

Let  $\Delta_n$  be the set  $\{0, 1, ..., n\}$  and  $N_n = \Delta_n - \{0\}$ . The symbol #A means the cardinality of the set A.

All (compact) spaces and maps considered belong to the piecewise-linear (PL) category in the sense of [H] or [ZI]. The prefix PL will always be omitted.

The ball-complexes B,  $B_2$  are said to be abstractly isomorphic if there exists a bijection f:B,  $\to B_2$  preserving the face-incidence relation.

An n-pseudocomplex K is an n-dimensional principal ball-complex in which every r-ball, considered with all their faces, is abstractly isomorphic with the complex underlying an r-simplex ([HW], p. 49). K is said to be a p-seudodissection of the polyhedron |K|. By  $S_r(K)$  and  $K^{\mathcal{S}}$ , we respectively denote the set of all the r-balls of K and the s-skeleton of K. We shall also call r-simplex (resp. vertex) each r-ball (resp. 0-ball) of K.

Given a simplex s in an n-pseudocomplex K, the disjoined star  $\operatorname{std}(s,K)$  is defined to be the disjoint union of the n-simplexes of K containing s, with re-identification of the (n-1)-faces containing s and of their faces. The subcomplex

$$lkd(s, \ \ \ ) \ = \big\{ \tau \ \in \ std(s, \ \ \ ) \ \big| \ \tau \cap s = \emptyset \ \big\}$$

is called the *disjoined link* of s in K. If K is a pseudodissection of a manifold, the star  $\operatorname{st}(s,K)$  and the link  $\operatorname{lk}(s,K)$  of a simplex s in K are not necessarily balls or spheres; however,  $\operatorname{std}(s,K)$  and  $\operatorname{lkd}(s,K)$  are the balls or spheres obtained by a minimal set of severings on  $\operatorname{st}(s,K)$  and  $\operatorname{lk}(s,K)$  respectively. A vertex v of an n-pseudocomplex K

will be called a cone-vertex if it belongs to all n-simplexes of K (or, equivalently, if st(v, K) = K).

An r-simplex s of a closed n-pseudomanifold K (cf. [SP]) is said to be regular (resp. singular) if lkd(s, K) is (resp. is not) a combinatorial (n-r-1)-sphere.

An *identification system* of a principal n-pseudocomplex K is defined to be a set G of simplicial isomorphisms such that, for any pair

 $s_{\alpha}^{n-1}$ ,  $s_{\beta}^{n-1} \in S_{n-1}(K)$ ,

there exists at most one map

$$\varphi_{\alpha\beta}: \bar{s}_{\alpha}^{n-1} \rightarrow \bar{s}_{\beta}^{n-1}$$

belonging to G. Let  $^{\circ}$  G be the equivalence relation on

$$S(K) = \bigcup_{r \in \Delta_n} S_r(K)$$

defined as follows:

 $s^h_{\alpha} \sim_{\mathsf{G}} s^k_{\beta}$  iff  $s^h_{\alpha} = s^k_{\beta}$  or there exists a sequence of isomorphisms in  $\mathsf{G}$  (or their inverses) taking one to the other.

The symbol  $\widetilde{K}_{G}$  will denote the quotient complex  $S(K)/_{G}$ .

### 3. MINIMAL BALL COVERINGS.

Let M be a closed connected n-manifold and  $B=\{B_i\mid i\in I\}$  be a finite set of closed n-balls such that  $M=\bigcup\limits_{i\in I}B_i$ .

**Definition 1.** B is said to be a  $P_0$ -ball covering if it satisfies the following property:

 $(P_o)$  For every  $i, j \in I (i \neq j)$ ,

$$B_i \cap B_j = \partial B_i \cap \partial B_j$$

has (n-1)-manifolds as connected components.

 ${\it B}$  is said to be a P<sub>1</sub>-ball covering if it satisfies the following property

(P,) For every  $i, j \in I (i \neq j)$ ,

$$B_i \cap B_j = \partial B_i \cap \partial B_j$$

has (n-1)-balls as connected components.

B is said to be a  $P_2$ -ball covering if it satisfies the following property

 $(P_2)$  For every  $J \subset I$ , #J = k,  $k \le n+1$ ,

$$\bigcap_{j \in J} \mathsf{B}_j = \bigcap_{j \in J} (\partial \mathsf{B}_j)$$

has (n - k + 1)-balls as connected components.

Obviously  $P_2 \implies P_1 \implies P_0$ 

**Definition 2.** Let M be an n-manifold with h (h>0) boundary components  $M_j$   $(j \in N_h)$  and  $B = \{B_j \mid i \in I\}$  be a finite set of closed n-balls such that  $M = \bigcup_{i \in I} B_i$ . B is said to be a  $P_{\alpha}$ -ball covering  $(\alpha \in \Delta_2)$  of M if B satisfies the property  $P_{\alpha}$  and

$$B_{j} = \{B_{i} \cap M_{j} \mid i \in I\}$$

is a P\_{\alpha}-ball covering of the closed (n -1)-manifold M\_j , for every j  $\epsilon$  N\_h.

Note that a  $P_0$ -ball (resp.  $P_1$ -ball) covering is a ball covering (resp. strong ball covering) in the sense of [[Y, KT] (resp. [FG2]).

Let M be a connected *n*-manifold. For  $\alpha \in \Delta_2$ , define:

$$b_{\alpha}(M) = \min \{ \#B \mid B \text{ is a } P_{\alpha}\text{-ball covering of } M \}$$
 .

Obviously,

$$b_0(M) \leq b_1(M) \leq b_2(M)$$
.

The following results are known.

**Proposition 1.** 1° If M is a closed n-manifold,  $b_2(M) = n + 1$  [P1, FG1]. 2° If M has non-empty connected boundary,  $b_2(M) \le n$  [FG2]. 3° If M has non-empty boundary,  $b_0(M) \le n$  [KT].

The statements 2 and 3 of the above proposition can be obtained as easy consequences of the following:

**Proposition 2.** If M is a connected n-manifold with non-empty boundary, then  $b_2(M) = n$ .

**Proof.** We first prove that  $b_{\mathfrak{c}}(M) \leq n$  by exhibiting a  $P_2$ -ball covering  $B^*$  of M with n balls. Let  $M_i$  ( $i \in N$ ) be the boundary components of M,  $M'_i$  a copy of  $M_i$  and  $\varphi_i \colon M_i \to M'_i$  the identification map. Let  $w_i$  ( $i \in N_h$ ) be a point such that the adjunction space

$$Q = M_1 \cup_{\varphi_1} (w_{1\star} M_1) \cup_{\varphi_2} \dots \cup_{\varphi_h} (w_{h\star} M_h)$$

is a closed n-pseudomanifold.

Moreover, if K is a simplicial triangulation of Q, the set of the singular simplexes of K is  $\{w_i \mid i \in N_h\}$  and the disjoined star of each simplex of K is strongly-connected.

We give an inductive algorithm for constructing a pseudodissection  $\widetilde{\mathsf{K}}_p$  ( $0 \le p \le n$ ) of Q such that  $\mathsf{S}_0(\widetilde{\mathsf{K}}_p)$  has p regular cone-vertices. Set  $\widetilde{\mathsf{K}}_0 = \mathsf{K}$ . Let now  $\mathsf{A}_j$  ( $j \in \mathsf{N}_p$ ) be a regular cone-vertex of  $\widetilde{\mathsf{K}}_p$ . There exist a finite sequence  $\xi_1 = \{\sigma_\alpha^{n-p}\}_{\alpha=0}^S$  of all the (n-p)-simplexes of  $\widetilde{\mathsf{K}}_p$  not containing  $\mathsf{A}_1$ , ...,  $\mathsf{A}_p$  and a finite sequence  $\varepsilon_1 = \{\tau_\beta^{n-1}\}_{\beta=1}^S$  of (n-1)-simplexes of  $\widetilde{\mathsf{K}}_p$  such that, for every  $\beta \in \mathsf{N}_s$ ,

$$\tau_{\beta}^{n-1} \in \, \operatorname{st}(\sigma_{\beta}^{n-p} \, , \, \widetilde{\mathsf{K}}_{p}) \, \cap \, \operatorname{st}(\sigma_{\gamma}^{n-p} \, , \, \widetilde{\mathsf{K}}_{p})$$

for some  $\gamma<\beta$ . For each  $\sigma_{\alpha}^{n-p}\in\xi_1$ , consider the disjoined star  $\operatorname{std}(\sigma_{\alpha}^{n-p},\!\widetilde{K}_p)$  and glue them pairwise together by identifying the two copies of every (n-1)-simplex of  $\epsilon_1$ . The pseudocomplex B so obtained is a pseudodissection of an n-ball. Moreover, there exists an identification system G on B such that the quotient  $\widetilde{B}_G$  is isomorphic with  $\widetilde{K}_p$ . Define  $A_{p+1}$  as an interior point of B and set  $\Sigma=A_{p+1}*^{\beta}B$ . If G' is the identification system induced by G on  $\Sigma$ , set  $\widetilde{K}_{p+1}=\widetilde{\Sigma}_{G}$ .

There exist a finite sequence  $\xi_2 = \{v_{\delta}\}_{\delta=0}^u$  of all the vertices of  $\widetilde{K}_n$  different from the regular cone-vertex  $A_j$   $(j \in N_n)$  and a finite sequence  $\varepsilon_2 = \{\rho_{\delta}^{n-1}\}_{\delta=1}^u$  of (n-1)-simplexes of  $\widetilde{K}_n$  such that, for every  $\delta \in N_u$ ,

$$\rho_{\delta}^{n-1} \in \operatorname{st}(\mathbf{v}_{\delta}, \, \mathbf{k}_{n}) \cap \operatorname{st}(\mathbf{v}_{\mu}, \, \mathbf{k}_{n}),$$

for some  $\mu < \delta$ . Note that

$$\{\ w_i\ \}_{i=1}^h\ \subset\ \{v_\delta\}_{\delta=0}^u\ .$$

By the strong connectedness of std  $(w_i, \widetilde{K}_n)$ , it is possible to obtain a triangulated *n*-ball  $B_i$  ( $i \in N_i$ ) such that :

 $1^{\circ}$  all the vertices of  $B_i$  belong to  $\partial B_i$ ,

 $2^{o} w_{i}$  is a cone-vertex of  $B_{i}$  ,

3° there exists an identification system  $G_i$  on  $B_i$  such that  $\widetilde{B}_{i_{G_i}}$  is isomorphic with std( $w_i$ ,  $\widetilde{K}_n$ ).

Let  $\xi_3$  be the finite sequence obtained from  $\xi_2$  by considering the disjoined stars of all the regular vertices of  $\xi_2$  and all the *n*-balls  $B_i$  's. By identifying the elements of  $\xi_3$  along suitable (*n*-1)-simplexes of  $\xi_2$ , we can obtain exactly *h* triangulated *n*-balls  $D_{ij}$ , ...,  $D_h$  such that

$$\{w_k \mid k \in N_h\} \cap D_i = \{w_i\}.$$

$$\Sigma_i = \overline{\partial D_i - \operatorname{st}(w_i, \partial D_i)},$$

set  $C_i = w_i * \Sigma_i$ .

If

There exist an identification system  $G^*$  induced by  $\xi_3$  and a triangulated *n*-ball E obtained from  $C_1, ..., C_h$  such that  $|\widetilde{E}_{G^*}| = Q, A_1, ..., A_n$  are cone-vertices of  $\widetilde{E}_{G^*}$  and

$$S_{o}(\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{n*}) = \left\{ A_{j} \mid j \in \mathbb{N}_{n} \right\} \cup \left\{ w_{j} \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_{n} \right\} .$$

Set  $T = \tilde{E}_{G*}$ . If T' is the first barycentric subdivision of T, define

$$B = \{ B_i \mid i \in N_{n+h} \},\$$

where

$$B_j = st(A_j, T')$$
 if  $1 \le j \le n$ ,  $B_j = st(w_j, T')$  if  $n+1 \le j \le n+h$ .

Note that, by construction,

$$B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$$
 if  $i \neq j$ , and  $i, j \in N_{n+h} - N_n$ .

 $B^* = \{B_i \mid i \in N_n\}$  is a P<sub>2</sub>-ball covering of M. Now we show that no such covering of smaller cardinality exists. Let

$$B = \{ B_i \mid i \in N_k \} \qquad (k < n)$$

be a  $P_2$ -ball covering of M. For each  $i \in N_k$ ,

$$H_{j}(B_{j} \cap M_{S}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j > 0 \\ Z & \text{if } j = 0 \end{cases}$$

 $H_{j}(.)$  being the j-th homology group. The Mayer-Vietoris sequence gives:

... 
$$\rightarrow$$
  $H_j(B_1\cap M_S)$   $\oplus$   $H_j(B_2\cap M_S)$   $\rightarrow$   $H_j((B_1\cup B_2)\cap M_S)$   $\rightarrow$   $H_{j-1}$   $(B_1\cap B_2\cap M_S)$   $\rightarrow$  ... Then 
$$H_j((B_1\cup B_2)\cap M_S) = 0 \qquad \text{if} \qquad j \ \geqq \ 2,$$

while, for i = 1, it is a free abelian group (possibly zero). By induction on  $m \le k$ , the Mayer-Vietoris sequence gives:

$$0 = H_{j}((\bigcup_{i=1}^{m-1} \mathbb{B}_{i}) \cap \mathbb{M}_{S}) \oplus H_{j}(\mathbb{B}_{m} \cap \mathbb{M}_{S}) \rightarrow H_{j}((\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{B}_{i}) \cap \mathbb{M}_{S}) \rightarrow H_{j-1}((\bigcup_{i=1}^{m-1} \mathbb{B}_{i}) \cap \mathbb{B}_{m} \cap \mathbb{M}_{S}) = 0.$$

Then

$$H_j((\bigcup_{i=1}^m B_i)\cap M_S) = 0$$
 if  $j \ge m$ ,

while, for j = m-1, it is a free abelian group. If k < n, setting m = k, we have that

$$H_j(M \cap M_S) = H_j(M_S)$$

vanishes for  $j \ge k$  and is a free abelian group for j = k - 1. In particular  $H_{n-1}(M_s) = 0$  and  $H_{n-2}(M_s)$  is a free abelian group.

This is a contradiction because either  $H_{n-1}(M_S) = Z$  or  $H_{n-1}(M_S) = 0$ and  $H_{n-2}(M_s)$  has torsion,  $M_s$  being a closed (n-1)-manifold.

**Remark.** For the proof of  $b_2(M) \ge n$  it is sufficient that each  $B_i$  is a  $P_2$ -ball covering of  $M_i$  without assuming the property  $P_2$  for B in the interior of M.

Note that Proposition 2 improves the statement of the Theorem 4.1 in [OS] in the case q = 0.

#### 4. MINIMAL ATLASES.

A BI-atlas (ball-intersection atlas) of a closed connected n-manifold M in the sense of [P2] is a finite covering

$$A = \{ V_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in A \}$$

of M such that:

- a) each  $V_\alpha$  is an open n-ball, b) the intersection of any number of  $V_\alpha$  's has open balls as connec-

In order to define a concept of BI-atlas for manifolds with boundary, we need the following

**Definition 3.** Let M be a connected n-manifold. An open subset P of M is said to be an open n-quasi-ball if P is homeomorphic with the union of an open n-ball B with a finite number (possibly null) of open disjoint (n-1)-balls on  $\partial B$ .

**Definition 4.** A finite covering  $A = \{ V_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in A \}$  of a connected *n*-manifold M with h (h > 0) boundary components  $M_i$   $(i \in N_h)$  is said to be a if the following conditions hold:

- a') each  $V_{\alpha}$  is an open *n*-quasi-ball,
- b') the intersection of any number of  $V_{\alpha}$ 's has open quasi-balls as connected components,

$$A_{i} = \{ V_{\alpha} \cap M_{i} \mid \alpha \in A \}$$

is a BI-atlas of the closed (n-1)-manifold  $M_i$   $(i \in N_h)$ .

Let us define

$$a(M) = \min \{ \# A \mid A \text{ is a BI-atlas of } M \}$$
.

A BI-atlas A of M such that #A = a(M) is said to be a minimal atlas of M.

In ([P2], Proposition 5.1), M. Pezzana proved that a(M) = n + 1 for every closed connected n-manifold M.

**Proposition 3.** If M is a connected n-manifold with h (h > 0) boundary components  $M_i$  (i  $\in N_i$ ), a(M) = n.

**Proof.** Let Q be the closed *n*-pseudomanifold constructed as in Proposition 2 startingfrom M. If  $T = E_G *$  is the pseudodissection of Q obtained in Proposition 2, the interior of the space  $|std(A_i, T)|$ , underlying the disjoined star of each cone-vertex  $A_i \in S_o(T)$   $(i \in N_D)$ , is an open *n*-ball of Q. If T' is the first barycentric subdivision of T, set

$$B_i = | st(A_i, T') |$$
.

The polyhedron  $M' = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} B$  is homeomorphic with M. Since  $M' \subset Q$ , the collection

$$A = \{ | \operatorname{std}(A_i, T) | \cap M' | i \in N_D \}$$

is a BI-atlas of M' such that #A = n. In fact, each connected component of  $|\operatorname{Std}(A_i, T)| \cap \partial M'$  is an open collar of the (n-1)-ball

$$|Std(b_{ir}, T')| \cap \partial M',$$

 $b_{ir}$  being the barycenter of the edge  $< A_i$ ,  $w_r >$  for some singular vertex  $w_r \in S_0(T)$ . This proves that  $a(M) \le n$ . Conditions b' and c' of Definition 4 give  $a(M) \ge n$ , according to a Mayer-Vietoris argument as in Proposition 2.

### REFERENCES

- DH. P. DOYLE & J. HOCKING, A decomposition theorem for n-dimensional manifolds, Proc. A.M.S. 13 (1962), 469-471.
- FG1. M. FERRI & C. GAGLIARDI, Crystallisation moves, Pac. J. Math. 100 (1982), 85-103.
- FG2. M. FERRI & C. GAGLIARDI, Strong ball coverings of manifolds, Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena 28 (1979), 289-293.
- H. J.F.P. HUDSON, Piecewise linear topology, W.A. Benjamin Inc., 1969.
- HM. J.P. HEMPEL & D.R. MCMILLAN Jr, Covering three-manifolds with open cells, Fund. Math. **64** (1969), 99-104.
- HW. P.J. HILTON & S. WYLIE, An introduction to algebraic topology, homology theory, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1960.
- KT. K. KOBAYASHI & Y. TSUKUI, The ball coverings of manifolds, J. Math. Soc. Japan **28** (1976), 133-143.
- IY. H. IKEDA & M. YAMASHITA, Closed 4-manifolds covered by three 4-balls, Yokohama Math. J. 26 (1978), 109-117.
- L. E. LUFT, Covering manifolds with open discs, Illinois J. Math. 13 (1969), 321-326.
- M1. D. MORAN, Minimal cell coverings of some sphere bundles, Comm. Math. Univ. Carolinae 14 (1973), 647-650.
- M2. D. MORAN, Cell coverings and residual sets of closed manifolds, Illinois J. Math. 20 (1976), 516-518.
- OS. R. OSBORNE & J. STERN, Covering manifolds with cells, Pac. J. of Math.  $\bf 30$  (1969), 201-207.
- Pl. M. PEZZANA, Sulla struttura topologica delle varietà compatte, Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena 23 (1974), 269-277.
- P2. M. PEZZANA, Atlanti minimali di varietà differenziabili compatte, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. 6 (1968), 749-752.
- PD. E.K. PEDERSEN, Spines of topological manifolds, Comm. Math. Helv. **50** (1975), 41-44.
- S1. R. SUMMERHILL, General position for closed subsets of euclidean spaces, Gen. Top. and Appl. 3 (1973), 339-345.
- S2. R. SUMMERHILL, A characterization of the connectivity of a manifold in terms of large open cells, Proc. A.M.S. 45 (1974), 285-290.
- SN. W. SINGHOF, Minimal coverings of manifolds with balls, Manuscripta Math. 29 (1979), 385-415.
- SP. E.H. SPANIER, Algebraic topology, McGraw-Hill, 1966.
- Z1. E.C. ZEEMAN, Seminar on combinatorial topology, Inst. H.E.S., Paris 1963.
- Z2. E.C. ZEEMAN, The Poincaré conjecture for  $n \ge 5$ , Topology of 3-manifolds and related topics, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J. 1963.

Dipartimento di Matematica Pura ed Applicata "G. Vitali" Via Campi 213/B I-41100 MODENA. ITALY