# CAHIERS DE TOPOLOGIE ET GÉOMÉTRIE DIFFÉRENTIELLE CATÉGORIQUES

# JOHN W. GRAY

# Categorical aspects of the classical Ascoli theorems

Cahiers de topologie et géométrie différentielle catégoriques, tome 22, n° 3 (1981), p. 337-342

<a href="http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CTGDC\_1981\_\_22\_3\_337\_0">http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CTGDC\_1981\_\_22\_3\_337\_0</a>

© Andrée C. Ehresmann et les auteurs, 1981, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Cahiers de topologie et géométrie différentielle catégoriques » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.



Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/ CAHIERS DE TOPOLOGIE
ET GEOMETRIE DIFFERENTIELLE
Vol. XXII-3 (1981)

3<sup>e</sup> COLLOQUE SUR LES CATEGORIES

DEDIE A CHARLES EHRESMANN

Amiens, Juillet 1980

# CATEGORICAL ASPECTS OF THE CLASSICAL ASCOLITHEOREMS

by John W. GRAY

#### 0. INTRODUCTION

In a forthcoming paper [4] (summarized below) a version of Ascoli's Theorem for topological categories enriched in bomological sets is proved. In this paper it is shown how the two classical versions as found in [1] or [9] fit into this format.

### 1. GENERAL THEORY

Let Bom denote the category of bomological sets and bounded maps. (See [7].) It is well known that Bom is a cartesian closed topological category. (See [6].) Let  $\underline{T}$  denote a topological category (cf. [5] or [11]) which is enriched in Bom in such a way that the enriched hom functors  $\underline{T}(X, -)$  preserve sup's of structures and commute with  $f^*$ , with dual assumptions on  $\underline{T}(-, Y)$ . If  $H \subset \underline{T}(X, Y)$  belongs to the bomology we shall call H bounded.

- 1.1 LEMMA. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a function and let  $(Y, \eta_Y) \in \underline{T}$ . Given  $H \subset Y^X$  then there is a largest  $\underline{T}$ -structure  $\eta_X$  on X such that  $H \subset \underline{T}(X, Y)$  and H is bounded.
- 1.2 DEFINITION. i) Let  $S \in Sets$  and  $(Y, \eta_Y) \in \underline{T}$ . The uniform  $\underline{T}$ -structure  $\underline{F}_u(S, Y)$  on  $Y^S$  is the largest structure such that

$$\{pr_s\}_{s \in S} \subset \underline{T}(\underline{F}_u(S, Y), Y)$$

is bounded.

ii) Let  $(X, \beta_X) \in Born$  and  $(Y, \eta_Y) \in \underline{T}$ . Then

$$\underline{F}_{\beta}(X,Y) = \lim_{B \in \beta_X} \underline{F}_u(B,Y).$$

1.3 PROPOSITION.  $\underline{T}$  is tensored and cotensored over Bom. The cotensor

is  $\underline{F}_{\beta}(X,Y)$  and the tensor is a suitable structure on  $X\times Y$ .

1.4 DEFINITION. i) Let  $\underline{BT}$  denote the pullback of  $\underline{T}$  and Bom over Sets.  $(X, \beta_X, \eta_X) \in \underline{BT}$  is called  $\beta_X$ -generated if

$$(X, \eta_X) = \underset{B \in \beta_X}{\underline{\lim}} (B, \eta_B) \text{ where } \eta_B = \eta_X | B.$$

- ii) Let  $(X, \beta_X, \eta_X) \in \underline{BT}$ . Then  $\underline{T}_{\beta}(X, Y) \subset \underline{F}_{\beta}(X, Y)$  denotes the induced  $\underline{T}$ -structure on the set of  $\underline{T}$ -maps from  $(X, \eta_X)$  to  $(Y, \eta_Y)$ .
- iii) Let  $G: \underline{T} \to Bom$  be a functor over Sets.  $(X, \eta_X)$  is called a G-space if  $G(X, \eta_X) = (X, I_X)$  where  $I_X$  denotes the discrete bornology on X.
- iv) Let  $i:(A,\eta_X|A) \rightarrow (X,\eta_X)$  be an inclusion. A is called G-closed in X if  $G(A,\eta_X|A) = i*(G(X,\eta_X))$ .
- 1.5 AXIOMS.
  - A.  $G(T_n(X,Y)) \leq T(X,Y)$ .
  - B. i) G preserves products.
    - ii)  $\underline{T}_{\beta}(X, Y)$  is G-closed in  $\underline{F}_{\beta}(X, Y)$ .
- iii) Let  $H \subset \underline{T}(X,Y)$  be bounded. Then  $H \subset H' \subset \underline{T}(X,Y)$  where H' is bounded and G-closed with respect to the product structure  $\underline{F}_p(X,Y)$ . Furthermore, if  $pr_X(H) \in G(Y)$ , then  $pr_X(H') \in G(Y)$ .
  - C. If  $(X, \eta_X)$  is a G-space and  $H \subset \underline{T}(X, Y)$  is bounded, then

$$\underline{F}_u(X,Y)|H = \underline{F}_p(X,Y)|H.$$

1.6 THEOREM. Let  $(X, \beta_X, \eta_X)$  be  $\beta_X$ -generated and let  $(Y, \eta_Y) \in \underline{T}$ .

i) (Weak Ascoli) If G, X and Y satisfy A, then

$$G(\underline{T}_{\beta}(X,Y)) \leq \underline{T}(X,Y) \cap \bigcap_{x \in X} pr_x^* G(Y).$$

ii) (Strong Ascoli) If  $\beta_X$  has a cofinal subset consisting of G-spaces and G, X and Y satisfy B and C, then the opposite inclusion holds.

## 2. UNIFORM SPACES

The most straightforward example of this theory is given by the category *Unif* of uniform spaces and uniformly continuous maps. It is well known to be a topological category and one defines an enrichment in Born by calling a set  $H \subset Unif(X, Y)$  bounded if it is uniformly equicontinuous (cf. [1]), i.e., if  $V \in U_Y$  is an entourage for Y, then

$$\left[\bigcap_{h\in H}(h\times h)^{-1}(V)\right]\in U_X$$
.

To see that this is a hom-functor, observe that if

$$H \subset Unif(X, Y)$$
 and  $K \subset Unif(Y, Z)$ 

are bounded, then so is  $K \circ H \subset Unif(X, Z)$  since

$$\bigcap_{\substack{h \in H \\ k \in K}} (k \, h \times k \, h)^{-1}(W) = \bigcap_{\substack{h \in H \\ k \in K}} (h \times h)^{-1} \left[\bigcap_{\substack{k \in K}} (k \times k)^{-1}(W)\right].$$

The other properties are easily checked.

If S is a set and Y is a uniform space, then the uniformity of uniform convergence on  $Y^S$  has as a basis the sets

$$W(S, V) = \{ (f, g) \mid (f(s), g(s)) \in V \text{ for all } s \in S \} \\
= \bigcap_{s \in S} (pr_s \times pr_s)^{-1}(V);$$

i.e., it is the smallest uniformity (= largest structure in the sense of topological categories) such that  $\{pr_s\}_{s \in S}$  is uniformly equicontinuous. The definition of  $\underline{F}_{\beta}(X,Y)$  agrees with what is called the uniformity of G-convergence in [1]. It is a standard calculation that  $\underline{F}_{\beta}(X,Y)$  is the cotensor, i.e., given  $(X,\beta_X) \in Bom$  and  $(Y,\mu_Y)$ ,  $(Z,\mu_Z) \in Unif$  then there is a bijection

$$\frac{(X, \beta_X) \to Unif((Z, \mu_Z), (Y, \mu_Y)) \text{ in } Bom}{(Z, \mu_Z) \to \underline{F}_{\beta}(X, (Y, \mu_Y)) \text{ in } Unif}$$

The tensor product has not been discussed before, but it follows immediately from Wyler's Taut Lift Theorem [11] that given  $(X, \beta_X) \in Bom$  and  $(Y, \mu_Y)$  in Unif, then  $X \otimes Y$  is the largest uniformity (smallest structure) on  $X \times Y$  such that  $\eta_Y : Y \to \underline{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(X, X \times Y)$  is uniformly continuous.

The other important ingredient is the functor G. Here we take  $Tb: Unif \rightarrow Bom$  where  $Tb(Y, \mu_Y)$  denotes the set of totally bounded (precompact) subsets of Y. It is immediate that these form a bomology which

is functorial in Y. It is proved in [9], I, 5.10 that Tb preserves arbitrary initial structures, thus inf's and  $f^*$ . Hence, by [11] again, Tb has a left adjoint Tb; namely, given  $(X, \beta_X) \in Bom$ , then  $Tb(X, \beta_X)$  is the largest uniformity (smallest structure) on X whose totally bounded sets include  $\beta_X$ . Note well however that neither Tb nor Tb is an enriched functor. A Tb-space is a totally bounded space in the usual sense. Furthermore, an inclusion map  $i:(A,\mu_X|A) \rightarrow (X,\mu_X)$  is an equalizer and hence preserved by Tb, so every such A is Tb-closed. Therefore the properties in Axiom B are automatically satisfied by Tb. Axiom A says that a totally bounded subset of uniformly continuous functions for the uniformity of uniform convergence is uniformly equicontinuous, which is a standard result. Axiom C says that if X is totally bounded and B is equicontinuous, then the uniformities of uniform convergence and of pointwise convergence coincide on B, which is also a standard result. Theorem 1.6 then is a restatement of [1], B, 2.5, Theorem 2, for the case of uniform structures.

## 3. MIXED TOPOLOGICAL AND UNIFORM STRUCTURES

The pre-Bourbaki version of Ascoli's Theorem refers to compact subsets of the set of continuous maps from a topological space to a uniform space (or, even more classically, to a metric space). In order to deal with such mixed structures one has to consider more general tensor-hom-cotensor situations as described in [3]. In this case, instead of a single topological category enriched in Bom, one has a pair of topological categories,  $\underline{T}_1$  and  $\underline{T}_2$ , together with a «hom»-functor  $H': \underline{T}_1^{op} \times \underline{T}_2 \to Born$ . The tensors and cotensors are functors

$$T: Bom \times \underline{T}_1 \rightarrow \underline{T}_2$$
 and  $C: Bom^{op} \times \underline{T}_2 \rightarrow \underline{T}_1$ .

In our case,  $\underline{T}_I = Top$  and  $\underline{T}_2 = Unif$ . If  $S \in Bom$ ,  $X \in Top$  and  $Y \in Unif$ , then writing

$$S \otimes^{1} X = T(S, X)$$
 and  $S \wedge^{1} Y = C(S, Y)$ ,

the required natural isomorphisms are

$$Unif(S \otimes' X, Y) \approx Bom(S, H'(X, Y)) \approx Top(X, S \wedge' Y).$$

This can be interpreted either at the level of sets or in Born providing a meaning is given to the last term.

In Section 2, we described such a situation for  $\mathit{Top}$  replaced by  $\mathit{Unif}$ . There

$$H(X, Y) = Unif(X, Y), S \cap Y = \underline{F}_{B}(S, Y)$$

and  $S \otimes X$  was a suitable structure on  $S \times X$ . Let  $| \cdot | : Unif \rightarrow Top$  be the forgetful functor with left adjoint  $F : Top \rightarrow Unif$ . (F for fine, cf. [8].) In [3], Proposition 1.2, let  $G_I = G_3 = id$ , and  $G_2 = | \cdot |$ . Then

$$S \otimes' X = S \otimes F X$$
,  $H'(X, Y) = Unif(F X, Y)$   
and  $S \phi' Y = |S \phi Y| = |\underline{F}_{B}(S, Y)|$ 

is a THC-situation for Bom, Top and Unif.

Classically, H'(X,Y) = Top(X,|Y|) with the bomology given by equicontinuous sets. However, the cotensor which is contructed (e.g., in [1]) is easily seen to be  $|\underline{F}_{\beta}(S,Y)|$ . Since the cotensors agree, so do the other functors and hence

$$Top(X, |Y|) \approx Unif(FX, Y)$$

holds in Bom (i.e., an equicontinuous family of maps from X to Y is the same as a uniformly equicontinuous family of maps from FX to Y).

We cannot take G(X) = Cp(X) to be the compact subsets of X since they don't form a bornology. However, they generate a bornology; namely

$$G(X) = Sc(X) = \{ A \subset X \mid \exists compact A', A \subset A' \subset X \}.$$

Sc(X) is called the subcompact subsets of X. It clearly defines a functor  $Sc: Top \rightarrow Bom$  over Sets. Since it does not preserve equalizers, it has no left adjoint, but it does preserve products so Axiom Bi is satisfied. An Sc-space is a compact space while an Sc-closed subspace is a closed subspace. For Axiom A, it is sufficient to show that a compact set of continuous functions for the topology of uniform convergence is equicontinuous. Here we have

$$Cp(Top_u(X, |Y|)) = Cp(|Unif_u(FX, Y)|) \le Tb(Unif_u(FX, Y))$$

$$\le Unif(FX, Y) = Top(X, |Y|).$$

Axiom Bii is the statement that continuous functions form a closed set with respect to the topology of uniform convergence on sets in  $\beta_X$ . In Axiom Biii, one takes H' to be the closure of H, which is equicontinuous if H is. Axiom C is a standard result and then Theorem 1.6 yields the other case of [1], X, 2.5, Theorem 2.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. BOURBAKI, N., General Topology, Part 2, Addison-Wesley Pub. Co, 1966.
- 2. DUBUC, E., Concrete quasitopoi, Lecture Notes in Math. 753, Springer (1979).
- GRAY, J. W., Closed categories, lax limits and homotopy limits, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 19 (1980), 127-158.
- 4. GRAY, J. W., Ascoli's Theorem in topological categories (to appear).
- 5. HERRLICH, H., Topological functors, Gen. Top. Appl. 4 (1974), 125-142.
- 6. HERRLICH, H., Cartesian closed topological categories, Math. Coll. Univ. Cape Town 9 (1974), 1-16.
- 7. HOGBE-NLEND, H., Théorie des bornologies et applications, Lecture Notes in Math. 213, Springer (1971).
- 8. ISBELL, J., Uniform spaces, Math. Surveys 12, A.M.S. Providence, RI, 1964.
- 9. PAGE, W., Topological uniform structures, J. Wiley, New York, 1978.
- 10. SCHUBERT, H., Topology, Allyn & Bacon, Boston, 1968.
- 11. WYLER, O., On the categories of general topology and topological algebra, Archiv. der Math. 22 (1971), 7-17.

Mathematics Department
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
URBANA, Ill. 61801. U.S.A.