

CAHIERS DE TOPOLOGIE ET GÉOMÉTRIE DIFFÉRENTIELLE CATÉGORIQUES

PETER HILTON

Commuting limits

Cahiers de topologie et géométrie différentielle catégoriques, tome 10, n° 1 (1968), p. 127-138

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CTGDC_1968__10_1_127_0>

© Andrée C. Ehresmann et les auteurs, 1968, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Cahiers de topologie et géométrie différentielle catégoriques » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

COMMUTING LIMITS

by Peter HILTON

In a previous contribution [5], we discussed the completion of a filtration in an abelian category \mathcal{A} . Precisely, let

$$\dots \subseteq X^{p-1} \subseteq X^p \subseteq \dots \subseteq X$$

be a filtration of X in \mathcal{A} , $-\infty < p < \infty$. Then we may form the completion (in a functorial manner),

$$\dots \subseteq X_{-\infty}^{p-1} \subseteq X_{-\infty}^p \subseteq \dots \subseteq X_{-\infty}^{\infty}$$

without affecting the associated graded object. Moreover if $X_q^p = X^p / X^q$, $p \geq q$, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_q^p & \xrightarrow{\psi} & X_q^{p+1} \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi \\ X_{q+1}^p & \xrightarrow{\psi} & X_{q+1}^{p+1} \end{array}$$

and (theorem 2.7 of [5])

$$X_{-\infty}^{\infty} = \lim_{\rightarrow p} \lim_{\leftarrow q} X_q^p = \lim_{\leftarrow q} \lim_{\rightarrow p} X_q^p.$$

Our interest in this note centres on the phenomenon that we may commute the limit $\lim_{\leftarrow q}$ with the colimit $\lim_{\rightarrow p}$. Let us give a simple (but natural) example where these limits do not commute; first, however, we remark that there is always a limit-switching transformation

$$\omega : \lim_{\rightarrow} \lim_{\leftarrow} \rightarrow \lim_{\leftarrow} \lim_{\rightarrow}$$

which we will describe precisely in the next section.

Consider now a family of abelian groups D_{mn} , doubly-indexed by

the positive integers, and let

$$X_i^j = \bigoplus_{\substack{m \leq i \\ n \leq j}} D_{mn}.$$

We then have a commutative diagram, of injections and projections

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_i^j & \xrightarrow{\psi} & X_i^{j+1} \\ \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \varphi \\ X_{i-1}^j & \xrightarrow{\psi} & X_{i-1}^{j+1} \end{array}$$

and ω is, in this case, the natural morphism

$$\omega : \bigoplus_n \prod_m D_{mn} \rightarrow \prod_m \bigoplus_n D_{mn}$$

which is monic but not, in general, epic.

Let us introduce a third example. Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & D \\ \gamma \searrow & & \swarrow \beta \\ & E & \end{array}$$

be an exact couple in \mathcal{A} . Associated with this exact couple there is a spectral sequence $(E_n, d_n; n \geq 0)$ with limit term E_∞ . Here

$$E_n = \gamma^{-1}(\alpha^n D) / \beta \alpha^{-n} \gamma(0)$$

and d_n is induced by $\beta \alpha^{-n} \gamma$. Now (see [3]) we may define

$$E_{mn} = \gamma^{-1}(\alpha^n D) / \beta \alpha^{-m} \gamma(0),$$

so that $E_n = E_{nn}$, and there is then a commutative diagram, of projections and injections,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_{mn} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & E_{m+1, n} \\ \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \varphi \\ E_{m, n-1} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & E_{m+1, n-1} \end{array}$$

and (theorem 4.13 of [3])

$$E_\infty = \lim_{\leftarrow m} \lim_{\leftarrow n} E_{mn} = \lim_{\leftarrow n} \lim_{\leftarrow m} E_{mn} .$$

Then in this case the limits do commute, but there is an important difference between this case and that of our original example arising from a filtered object, since in the latter the ψ -morphisms are monic and the φ -morphisms are epic, while in this case the ψ -morphisms are epic and the φ -morphisms are monic.

Our object is to find a common generalization (theorem 3.6) of these two cases. We emphasize that until we actually come to theorem 3.6 our definitions and arguments are valid in any category over which we may take the appropriate limits.

Details of this work, which is joint work with B. Eckmann, will appear elsewhere [4]. We refer the reader to the papers of J.E. Roos [6] for a systematic study of the transformation ω in categories of sheaves (topos).

1. The transformation ω .

Let C be a category, I a small connected index category and $F : I \rightarrow C$ a functor. Then F is an object of the functor category C^I and there is a full embedding functor $P : C \rightarrow C^I$. We suppose P to have a right adjoint

$$P \underset{\tau}{\dashv} R, \quad R : C^I \rightarrow C .$$

Thus $\tau : PR \rightarrow 1, RP = 1, \tau P = 1, R\tau = 1$. We may write R for $R(F)$ if the functor F may be understood; we may also write F_i for $F(i), i \in |I|$, and $\varphi : F_i \rightarrow F_j$ for $F(\varphi) : F_i \rightarrow F_j$, if $\varphi : i \rightarrow j$ in I . We write $\tau_i : R \rightarrow F_i$ for the i^{th} component of τ_F so that

$$\varphi \tau_i = \tau_j \quad \text{if} \quad \varphi : i \rightarrow j \text{ in } I .$$

Then (R, τ_i) is the limit of the functor F and we may write, even, $R = \lim_{\leftarrow} F$.

We adopt similar conventions for the colimit. If J is a small connected index category and $F : J \rightarrow C$ a functor, then F is an object of

C^J and we write Q for the embedding functor $Q : C \rightarrow C^J$. We suppose Q to have a left adjoint

$$L \overset{\cdot\pi}{\longleftarrow} Q, \quad L : C^J \rightarrow C.$$

Thus $\pi : 1 \rightarrow QL$, $LQ = 1$, $\pi Q = 1$, $L\pi = 1$. We may write L for $L(F)$, F_j for $F(j)$, $j \in |J|$, and $\psi : F_i \rightarrow F_j$ for $F(\psi) : F_i \rightarrow F_j$ if $\psi : i \rightarrow j$ in J . We write $\pi_j : F_j \rightarrow L$ for the j^{th} component of π_F so that

$$\pi_j \psi = \pi_i \quad \text{if } \psi : i \rightarrow j \text{ in } J.$$

Then (L, π_j) is the colimit of the functor F and we may write $L = \lim_{\rightarrow} F$.

Consider now the product category $I \times J$. We then have the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^{I \times J} & \xrightarrow{L^I} & C^I \\ \downarrow R^J & & \downarrow R \\ C^J & \xrightarrow{L} & C \end{array}$$

which is not, in general, commutative. However,

$$RL^I P^J R^J = RPLR^J = LR^J$$

so we have a natural transformation

$$RL^I \tau^J : LR^J \rightarrow RL^I.$$

Dually, we have a natural transformation

$$LR^J \pi^I : LR^J \rightarrow RL^I.$$

THEOREM 1.1.

$$RL^I \tau^J = LR^J \pi^I : LR^J \rightarrow RL^I.$$

We call this self-dual transformation the *limit-switching transformation*

$$\omega : LR^J \rightarrow RL^I.$$

We may even write it as

$$\omega : LR \rightarrow RL.$$

If we are given a functor $F : I \times J \rightarrow C$ then we may write

$$\omega_F : \lim_{\substack{\rightarrow \\ J}} \lim_{\substack{\leftarrow \\ I}} F \rightarrow \lim_{\substack{\leftarrow \\ I}} \lim_{\substack{\rightarrow \\ J}} F,$$

even abbreviating ω_F to ω .

2. The index categories.

We now restrict the index categories I and J . We will describe explicitly the conditions we impose on the category J and the results which follow from those conditions. It will then be understood that we impose dual conditions on the category I so that the dual results are valid.

We say that J is *quasi-filtered* (see [1]) if it has the following two properties ¹⁾:

(2.1) given $\begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \\ \xrightarrow{\beta} \end{matrix}$ in J , we may find $\begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} \\ \searrow & \nearrow \\ & \xrightarrow{\delta} \end{matrix}$ in J with $\gamma\alpha = \delta\beta$.

(2.2) given $\begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{\rho} \\ \xrightarrow{\sigma} \end{matrix}$ in J , we may find $\begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{\rho} & \xrightarrow{\eta} \\ \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{matrix}$ in J with $\eta\rho = \eta\sigma$.

This is an obvious generalization of a directed set; we are thus led to call a full subcategory J_o of J *cofinal* if, given $j \in |J|$, there exists $\varphi: j \rightarrow j_o$ in J with $j_o \in |J_o|$.

PROPOSITION 2.3. Let $j_1 \in |J|$, where J is quasi-filtered and let J_o be the full subcategory of J such that $j_o \in |J_o|$ if and only if there exists $\varphi: j_1 \rightarrow j_o$ in J . Then J_o is cofinal in J .

PROPOSITION 2.4. Let $F: J \rightarrow C$ be a functor from the quasi-filtered category J to C . Let J_o be cofinal in J and let $F_o = F|_{J_o}$. Then

$$\lim_{\substack{\rightarrow \\ J}} F = \lim_{\substack{\rightarrow \\ J_o}} F_o.$$

More precisely, if $E: C^J \rightarrow C^{J_o}$ is the restriction functor and if $L \xrightarrow{\cdot\pi_o} Q_o$, where $Q_o: C \rightarrow C^{J_o}$ is the embedding so that $Q_o = EQ$, then there exists a unique left adjoint extending π_o


$$L \xrightarrow{\cdot\pi} Q$$

1) Notice that we require that a quasi-filtered category be connected.

with $L = L_o E$, $E\pi = \pi_o E$.

We remark that these two propositions remain valid if we only require (2.1); property (2.2) does not enter into their proof.

Now, given $j_1 \in |J|$, let J^1 be the category under j_1 . Thus an object of J^1 is a morphism $\varphi: j_1 \rightarrow j$ in J and a morphism $\theta: \varphi \rightarrow \psi$ in J^1 is a morphism $\theta: j \rightarrow k$ such that $\psi = \theta \varphi$,



There is an evident functor $E_1: J^1 \rightarrow J$, given by $E_1(\varphi) = j$, $E_1(\theta) = \theta$, and E_1 maps J^1 onto the category J_o of proposition 2.3. Let E_1 induce $E_1^*: C^J \rightarrow C^{J^1}$.

PROPOSITION 2.5. *Let $F: J \rightarrow C$ be a functor from the quasi-filtered category J to C and let $F_1 = FE_1: J^1 \rightarrow C$. Then J^1 is also quasi-filtered and*

$$\lim_{\rightarrow J} F = \lim_{\rightarrow J^1} F_1.$$

More precisely, if $L \overset{\cdot\pi}{\dashv} Q$ and $Q^1 = E_1^* Q$, then there exists a unique left adjoint

$$L^1 \overset{\cdot\pi^1}{\dashv} Q^1$$

with $L = L^1 E_1^*$, $E_1^* \pi = \pi^1 E_1^*$.

In proving this proposition we first use propositions 2.3 and 2.4 to replace J by the category J_o of proposition 2.3 and then use property (2.2) to establish that

$$\lim_{\rightarrow J_o} F_o = \lim_{\rightarrow J^1} F_1.$$

We use proposition 2.5 to establish

THEOREM 2.6. *Let J be a quasi-filtered category and let $\kappa: A \rightarrow B: J \rightarrow C$*

be a natural transformation of functors, thus giving rise, for every $\psi : j \rightarrow k$ in J , to a commutative diagram

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A_j & \xrightarrow{\psi} & A_k \\ \downarrow \kappa_j & & \downarrow \kappa_k \\ B_j & \xrightarrow{\psi} & B_k \end{array}$$


and, for every j_0 in $|J|$, a commutative diagram (see § 1 for notation)

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A_{j_0} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{j_0}} & LA \\ \downarrow \kappa_{j_0} & & \downarrow L\kappa \\ B_{j_0} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{j_0}} & LB \end{array}$$

Then if (2.7) is a push-out for every ψ , (2.8) is a push-out for every j_0 .

Plainly we could refine this by replacing J by the category J_0 of proposition 2.3.

We remark that theorem 2.6 is not true for arbitrary index categories. Thus we may take J to be the category



and C to be the category of abelian groups and we may consider the squares

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & A \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \kappa \\ B & \xrightarrow{\psi_1} & A \oplus B \\ & \xrightarrow{\psi_2} & \end{array}$$

where A is cyclic infinite, generated by a , B is cyclic infinite generated by b ,

$$\kappa(a) = (a, 0), \quad \psi_1(b) = (0, b), \quad \psi_2(b) = (a, b).$$

Plainly the two squares in (2.9) are push-outs. Passing to the colimit

(= difference cokernel) we obtain

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow 0 \\ B & = & B \end{array}$$

(since the cokernel of $\psi_1 - \psi_2$ is the projection $A \oplus B \rightarrow B$) and plainly (2.10) is not a push-out. We describe the passage from (2.7) to (2.8) as the *L-process*; in fact, if $j = j_0$ there is an evident morphism

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \pi_k \\ 1 & \pi_k \end{pmatrix}$$

from (2.7) to (2.8) but we do not exploit this.

3. The main diagram.

Let $F : I \times J \rightarrow C$ be a functor and let $\varphi : i_2 \rightarrow i_1$ in I , $\psi : j_1 \rightarrow j_2$ in J . Then we have the commutative square (with evident extensions of the notation of §1)

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} F_{i_2 j_1} & \xrightarrow{\psi_{i_2}} & F_{i_2 j_2} \\ \downarrow \varphi_{j_1} & & \downarrow \varphi_{j_2} \\ F_{i_1 j_1} & \xrightarrow{\psi_{i_1}} & F_{i_1 j_2} \end{array}$$


We may then submit (3.1) to either the *L-process* or its dual, the *R-process*. If we carry out the *L-process* we obtain, again with evident notation

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} F_{i_2 j_1} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{i_2 j_1}} & L_{i_2} \\ \downarrow \varphi_{j_1} & & \downarrow L\varphi \\ F_{i_1 j_1} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{i_1 j_1}} & i_1 \end{array}$$

However we may then apply the R -process to (3.2), obtaining

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} R_{j_1} & \xrightarrow{R \pi_{j_1}} & RL \\ \downarrow \tau_{i_1 j_1} & & \downarrow \tau_{i_1} \\ F_{i_1 j_1} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{i_1 j_1}} & L_{i_1} \end{array}$$

Conversely we may apply first the R -process to (3.1) and then the L -process to the result. We thus obtain the *main diagram*



Moreover there is a morphism $\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \omega \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : \textcircled{4} \rightarrow \textcircled{5}$.

PROPOSITION 3.5. *Let C be an abelian category, let \mathbb{Q} be a push-out and let \mathbb{S} be a pull-back. Then $\omega : LR \rightarrow RL$ is an isomorphism.*

THEOREM 3.6. *If \mathbb{D} is bicartesian (pull-back and push-out) in the abelian category C and if the L -processes and R -processes in (3.4) preserve the bicartesian property, then $\omega : LR \rightarrow RL$ is an isomorphism.*

We use theorem 2.6 (and its dual) to show how this theorem provides the common generalization of the two examples of commuting limits quoted in the introduction. We suppose henceforth in this section that C is abelian, that \mathbb{D} is bicartesian for fixed i_1, j_1 and variable φ, ψ , that J is quasi-filtered and that I is quasi-cofiltered. Then invoking theorem 2.6 and its dual we deduce from theorem 3.6 :

COROLLARY 3.7. *If ψ_{i_1} is epic for all ψ and φ_{j_1} is monic for all φ , then ω is an isomorphism.*

COROLLARY 3.8. *If ψ_i is a prefiltration²⁾ for every ψ and every $i \rightarrow i_1$ in I , and if φ_j is a precofiltration for every φ and every $j_1 \rightarrow j$ in J , then ω is an isomorphism.*

Corollary 3.7 incorporates the spectral sequence example; corollary 3.8 incorporates the filtration example. In the remaining example of the introduction (commuting direct sum with direct product) all the conditions of corollary 3.8 are satisfied except that \mathbb{D} is not bicartesian.

4. Commuting limits in a category of modules.

Plainly the situation is very much simplified if C is an abelian category in which L is exact. This is certainly so in a category of modules. Thus, to apply the main diagram to a functor F from $I \times J$ to a category of modules we need information as to when R preserves exactness. We state the result for a functor to groups. It is evidently equally valid for a functor to modules.

Let $F : I \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a functor from the quasi-cofiltered category I to

²⁾ See p. 250 of [5]; *precofiltration* is just the dual notion.

the category \mathcal{G} of groups. We say (generalizing the definition in [2]) that F has the *Mittag-Leffler property* if, given any $i \in |I|$, there exists $\varphi: j \rightarrow i$ in I such that

$$\varphi \psi (F_k) = \varphi (F_j)$$

for all k and all $\psi: k \rightarrow j$ in I ; notice that this property certainly holds if for some cofinal subcategory I_o of I , $F(\psi)$ is epic for all ψ in I_o .

We say that I is *special* if

- (i) $|I|$ is countable,
- (ii) given $i, j \in |I|$ we may simultaneously equalize the whole of $I(i, j)$.

Precisely, (ii) asserts the existence of φ in I , with codomain i , such that $\psi \varphi$ is independent of the choice of $\psi \in I(i, j)$; of course, if $I(i, j)$ is finite, (ii) follows from the dual of (2.2). We then may prove (compare (3.8) of [2]).

THEOREM 4.1. *Let I be a special quasi-cofiltered category and let*

$$F \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\kappa} \\ \rhd \end{array} G \xrightarrow{\lambda} H$$

be an exact sequence of functors $I \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$. Then if F has the Mittag-Leffler property the limit sequence

$$RF \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{R\kappa} \\ \rhd \end{array} RG \xrightarrow{R\lambda} RH$$

is exact.

From this theorem and the main diagram (3.4), we may deduce

COROLLARY 4.2. *Let $F: I \times J \rightarrow M$ be a functor such that I is a special quasi-cofiltered category, J is a quasi-filtered category, and M is a category of modules. Suppose, for fixed i_1, j_1 and variable φ, ψ that $\textcircled{1}$ in (3.4) is bicartesian and that $F_{j_1}: I \rightarrow M$ has the Mittag-Leffler property. Then $\omega: LR \rightarrow RL$ is an isomorphism.*

Of course there are also evident simplifications of corollaries 3.7, 3.8 for functors to M . Under the same presuppositions as stated in the prologue to those corollaries ³⁾ but also assuming that C is a category of modules we have, referring to (3.4):

3) Thus in theorem 4.3, I need not be special; we do not know to what extent the hypotheses of speciality are essential for the conclusion of theorem 4.1.

THEOREM 4.3. *If ψ_i is epic for all ψ or if φ_j is a prefiltration for every φ and every $j_1 \rightarrow j$ in J , then $\omega: LR \rightarrow RL$ is an isomorphism.*

References.

- [1] M. ARTIN. Grothendieck topologies, Lecture notes (Harvard University) (1962).
- [2] M. F. ATIYAH. Characters and cohomology of finite groups, Publ. Math., I.H.E.S (1961), 23-64.
- [3] B. ECKMANN and P. J. HILTON. Exact couples in an abelian category, Journ. of Alg. 3 (1966), 38-87.
- [4] B. ECKMANN and P. J. HILTON. Commuting limits with colimits, Journ. of Alg (1963).
- [5] P. J. HILTON. Filtrations, Cahiers de Topologie et Géométrie Différentielle, Paris, IX (1967), 243-253.
- [6] J. E. ROOS. Sur la distributivité des foncteurs \lim par rapport aux \lim dans les catégories des faisceaux (topos), C.R. Acad.Sci. Paris 259 (1964), 969-972; 1605-1608; 1801-1804.