URL: http://www.emath.fr/cocv/

## REMARKS ON WEAK STABILIZATION OF SEMILINEAR WAVE EQUATIONS

## Alain Haraux<sup>1</sup>

**Abstract**. If a second order semilinear conservative equation with essentially oscillatory solutions such as the wave equation is perturbed by a possibly non monotone damping term which is effective in a non negligible sub-region for at least one sign of the velocity, all solutions of the perturbed system converge weakly to 0 as time tends to infinity. We present here a simple and natural method of proof of this kind of property, implying as a consequence some recent very general results of Judith Vancostenoble.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 35B35, 35L55, 35L90.

Received June 19, 2000. Revised November 11, 2000 and May 4, 2001.

### 1. Introduction

Following a recent work of Vancostenoble [20], we investigate the weak stabilization to 0 of solutions to the equations

$$u_{tt} + Au + Q(u_t) = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbb{R}^+ \tag{1.1}$$

$$u_{tt} + Au + g(u) + Q(u_t) = 0$$
 on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  (1.2)

where A is a linear positive selfadjoint operator of elliptic type on  $H = L^2(\Omega)$ ,  $\Omega$  is a bounded open domain of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , the term  $-Q(u_t)$  represents a possibly non monotone feedback dissipation acting on a "non negligible" part Y of  $\overline{\Omega}$  and g(u) stands for the Nemytsckii operator associated to some numerical function  $g \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Concerning (1.1), the original proof from [20] was inspired both by the work of Slemrod [19] and the techniques of Conrad and Pierre [10]; here we present a new simplified proof relying on almost periodicity of generalized solutions to

$$u_{tt} + Au = 0$$
 on  $\mathbb{R}$ 

which implies some essential oscillatory behavior of those solutions on  $\mathbb{R} \times Y$ . Weak convergence is proved, following the philosophy introduced in [11] (*cf.* also [12,13]) under the hypothesis that the damping is effective at least for one sign of the velocity (one-sided dissipation). This method is applicable to more complicated problems of the form (1.2) when solutions of

$$u_{tt} + Au + q(u) = 0$$
 on  $\mathbb{R}$ 

are known to be oscillatory on  $\mathbb{R} \times Y$ . A typical example is the nonlinear string equation

$$u_{tt} - u_{xx} + g(u) + a(x)q(u_t) = 0$$
 on  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega$ 

Keywords and phrases: Weak stabilization, semilinear, wave equations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Analyse Numérique, Tour 55-65 5<sup>e</sup> étage, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France; e-mail: haraux@ann.jussieu.fr

with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions on  $\partial\Omega$  with  $\Omega=(0,L)$  when g is odd nonincreasing,  $a\geq 0$ , a>0 on an open subdomain  $\omega$  and q satisfies:

$$q \in C^1$$
,  $q(v)v > 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $q(v) > 0$  for all  $v > 0$ .

Here even if q is monotone, compactness of trajectories in the energy space is not known. The consideration of more general similar examples sheds a new light on the interest of oscillatory behavior of semilinear conservative systems.

#### 2. Internal damping

In this section, we consider the case of equation (1.1) with internal damping, which means that  $Y = \omega$ , an open subset of  $\Omega$ . In other terms we consider the equation

$$u_{tt} + Au + a(x)q(u_t) = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbb{R}^+$$
 (2.1)

where  $a \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ ,  $a \ge 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$  and  $a \ge \eta > 0$  a.e. in  $\omega$ . The function  $q \in C(\mathbb{R})$  satisfies

$$q(v)v \ge 0$$
 on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $q(v) > 0$  for all  $v > 0$ . (2.2)

We consider a Hilbert space  $V \subset H = L^2(\Omega)$  with compact and dense imbedding. The linear operator  $A: V \to V'$  satisfies the following conditions

$$A \in \mathcal{L}(V, V'); \quad \forall v \in V, \langle Av, v \rangle \geq \alpha ||v||^2$$

where  $\alpha > 0$  and ||v|| denotes the norm of v in V. Assume that

$$W = L^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap V$$
 is dense in  $V$ .

We say that a function  $u: \mathbb{R}^+ \to V$  is a solution of (2.1) if u satisfies the following conditions:

$$u \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, V) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}^+, H) \cap W^{2,1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+, V'), \qquad a(x)q(u') \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+, L^1(\Omega))$$

$$\forall \varphi \in W, \quad \langle u''(t) + Au(t) + a(x)q(u'(t)), \varphi \rangle = 0 \quad a.e. \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbb{R}^+.$$

In addition, we say that u satisfies the energy inequality if

$$\forall T > 0, \quad E(T) + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} a(x)q(u'(t,x))u'(t,x) dx dt \le E(0)$$

with

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad E(t) := \frac{1}{2}\{|u'|^2(t) + < Au(t), u(t) >\} \cdot$$

Finally we say that unique determination of eigenfunctions of A holds in  $\omega$  if

$$\forall \lambda > 0, \forall \varphi \in V, \quad A\varphi = \lambda \varphi \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi \equiv 0 \quad \text{in } \omega \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \varphi \equiv 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

The main result of this section is:

**Theorem 2.1.** Under the above hypotheses, let u be a solution of (2.1) satisfying the energy inequality and assume that unique determination of eigenfunctions of A holds in  $\omega$ . Then as  $t \to \infty$ :

$$(u(t), u_t(t)) \rightharpoonup (0, 0) \quad in V \times H.$$

Proof. Let  $t_n$  be a sequence of positive real numbers tending to  $+\infty$  with n and  $u_n(t,x) = u(t+t_n,x)$  for all  $(t,x) \in [-t_n,+\infty) \times \Omega$ . Given any  $\tau > 0$ , the function  $u_n(t,x)$  is well defined a.e. on  $\Omega$  as an element of V for all  $t \in [-\tau,\tau] =: J_\tau$  as soon as  $t_n \geq \tau$ . In addition it follows easily from the energy inequality that  $u_n$  is bounded uniformly in  $C(J_\tau,V) \cap C^1(J_\tau,H)$  for  $n \geq \tau$ . In particular, by Ascoli–Arzela's theorem, we can assume that a certain subsequence  $u_{n_k} =: z_k$  converges in  $C(J_\tau,H)$  for all  $\tau > 0$ , to a certain limiting function  $z \in C(\mathbb{R},H)$ . Moreover z is bounded in H and weakly differentiable  $\mathbb{R} \to H$  with bounded derivative. From the energy inequality it also follows, by using continuity of q at 0, that

$$\forall \tau > 0, \quad a(x)q(u'_n(t,x)) \to 0 \quad \text{in} \quad L^1(J_\tau \times \Omega) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty$$

for all  $\tau > 0$ . By using as test functions the eigenfunctions of A, it follows easily that z is in fact a solution of

$$z \in C(\mathbb{R}, V) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}, H) \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}, V'), \qquad z'' + Az = 0.$$

In particular, z is a  $C^1$  almost periodic vector function:  $\mathbb{R} \to H$ , cf. e.g. [1,3,16]. From (2.2) we infer that in fact

$$z' = z_t \le 0$$
 a.e. on  $\mathbb{R} \times \omega$ . (2.3)

Assuming (2.3) the conclusion follows easily. Indeed then the trace of z on  $\omega$  is a non-increasing function:  $\mathbb{R} \to L^2(\omega)$ . Classically, such a function has to remain constant with respect to t for almost all  $x \in \omega$  (this can be checked easily on multiplying by any smooth nonnegative function supported in  $\omega$  and applying a classical recurrence property of real-valued almost periodic vector function,  $cf.\ e.g.\ [1,3]$  or Cor. 4.2.6, p. 50 of [16], or even Cor. I.3.1.6 of [15]), therefore if we consider ( $cf.\ e.g.\ [1,18]$ ) the Fourier–Bohr expansion of z given by the formula

$$z(t,x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \varphi_n(x) \cos\left(t\sqrt{\lambda_n}\right) + \psi_n(x) \sin\left(t\sqrt{\lambda_n}\right) \right]$$

where  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  is the increasing sequence of eigenvalues of A and

$$\varphi_n(x) = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{-T}^{T} \cos(t\sqrt{\lambda_n}) z(t, x) dx$$

$$\psi_n(x) = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{-T}^T \sin(t\sqrt{\lambda_n}) z(t, x) dx$$

the functions  $\varphi_n(x)$  and  $\psi_n(x)$  are eigenfunctions of A which vanish in  $\omega$ . By the unique determination of eigenfunctions of A in  $\omega$  the result follows at once. Now (2.3) will follow as an easy consequence of the following

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $(U, \mu)$  be any finitely measured space and  $w_n \in L^p(U, d\mu)$  with p > 1. Assume

$$w_n \rightharpoonup w \quad in \ L^p(U, d\mu) \quad as \quad n \to \infty$$
 (2.4)

$$\mu\{w_n \ge 0\} \to 0 \quad as \quad n \to \infty. \tag{2.5}$$

Then we have:

$$\mu\{w > 0\} = 0. \tag{2.6}$$

Proof of Lemma 2.2. Let  $y_n = \inf\{w_n, 0\} = -w_n^- \le 0$ . We have

$$||y_n - w_n||_{L^1(U)} \le ||w_n||_{L^p(U)} [\mu\{w_n \ge 0\}]^{1 - \frac{1}{p}} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$
 (2.7)

In particular we have

$$y_n \rightharpoonup w \quad \text{in } L^1(U, d\mu) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$
 (2.8)

Since by construction,  $y_n \leq 0$ ,  $\mu.a.e.$  on U, (2.6) follows immediately.

End of proof of Theorem 2.1. From (2.2) we finally deduce (2.3) as follows. Let  $\tau > 0$  be fixed and set  $U = J_{\tau} \times \omega$  and denote by  $\mu$  the Lebesgue measure on U in  $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ . We establish that  $w = z' \leq 0$ , a.e. on U. In order to do that it is sufficient to establish, for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the inequality  $w = z' \leq \varepsilon$ ,  $\mu$  – a.e. on U. First, given any  $\delta > 0$  we select  $M = M(\delta)$  such that

$$\forall n \ge \tau, \quad \mu\{(t, x) \in U, z'_n(t, x) \ge M\} \le \delta.$$

This is made possible by boundedness of u' in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . In particular we have

$$\forall n \ge \tau, \quad \mu\{(t,x) \in U, z_n'(t,x) \ge \varepsilon\} \le \delta + \mu\{(t,x) \in U, \varepsilon \le z_n'(t,x) \le M\}.$$

As a consequence of (2.2) and by compactness of  $[\varepsilon, M]$  it now follows easily from the properties  $a \ge \eta > 0$  a.e. in  $\omega$  and  $a(x)q(u'_n(t,x)) \to 0$  in  $L^1(U)$  as  $n \to \infty$ , that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu\{(t, x) \in U, \varepsilon \le z'_n(t, x) \le M\} = 0.$$

Therefore

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \mu\{(t, x) \in U, z'_n(t, x) \ge \varepsilon\} \le \delta.$$

Since  $\delta > 0$  is arbitrary, this means

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu\{(t,x)\in U, z_n'(t,x)\geq \varepsilon\} = 0.$$

By Lemma 2.2 applied with  $w_n = z_n' - \varepsilon$  we deduce  $z' \le \varepsilon$ ,  $\mu$  – a.e. on U. The proof is now complete.  $\square$ 

### 3. The general case

In this section, we consider the case of equation (1.1) with a damping possibly distributed on a lower dimensional subset. For instance Y can be a relatively open subset of  $\partial\Omega$ , in which case (1.1) can take the form of a wave equation with boundary dissipation

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u = 0$$
 on  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega$ ;  $\frac{\partial u(t, x)}{\partial \nu} + a(x)q(u_t) = 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \partial \Omega$  (3.1)

considered in [21] by Vancostenoble.

In the general case we consider a function  $q \in C(\mathbb{R})$  satisfying (2.2) and the stronger condition

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \quad \inf_{s \ge \varepsilon} q(s) > 0.$$
 (3.2)

We consider a Hilbert space  $V \subset H = L^2(\Omega)$  with compact and dense imbedding. The linear operator  $A: V \to V'$  satisfies the following conditions

$$A \in \mathcal{L}(V, V'); \quad \forall v \in V, \langle Av, v \rangle \geq \alpha ||v||^2$$

where  $\alpha > 0$  and ||v|| denotes the norm of v in V. Assume that

$$W = C(\overline{\Omega}) \cap V$$
 is dense in  $V$ .

In addition we consider a compact subset Y of  $\overline{\Omega}$  and a nonegative bounded measure  $\mu \in M_B(Y)$ . We say that a function  $u : \mathbb{R}^+ \to V$  is a solution of (1.1) if u satisfies the following conditions:

$$u \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, V) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}^+, H) \cap W^{2,1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+, V')$$

$$a(y)q(u'(t,y)) \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+, L^1(Y, d\mu))$$

$$\forall \varphi \in W, \quad \langle u''(t) + Au(t), \varphi \rangle + \int_{Y} a(y)q(u'(t,y))\varphi(y) d\mu(y) = 0 \quad a.e. \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbb{R}^{+}.$$

In addition, we say that u satisfies the energy inequality if

$$\forall T > 0, \quad E(T) + \int_0^T \int_Y a(y)q(u'(t,y))u'(t,y)\mathrm{d}\mu(y)\mathrm{d}t \le E(0)$$

with

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad E(t) := \frac{1}{2} \{ |u'|^2(t) + \langle Au(t), u(t) \rangle \}.$$

Finally we say that unique determination of eigenfunctions of A holds in  $\omega \subset Y$  if

$$\forall \lambda > 0, \forall \varphi \in V, \quad A\varphi = \lambda \varphi \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi \equiv 0 \quad \mu - \text{a.e.} \quad \text{in } \omega \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \varphi \equiv 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

The main result of this section is:

**Theorem 3.1.** Under the above hypotheses, assume that unique determination of eigenfunctions of A holds in  $\omega$  with  $\inf_{y \in \omega} a(y) > 0$ . In addition assume that the trace  $z \longrightarrow z|Y$  is well defined and continuous:  $V \longrightarrow L^1(Y, d\mu)$ . Let u be a solution of (1.1) satisfying the energy inequality. Then as  $t \to \infty$ :

$$(u(t), u_t(t)) \rightharpoonup (0, 0) \quad in V \times H.$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let  $t_n$  be a sequence of positive real numbers tending to  $+\infty$  with n and  $u_n(t,x) = u(t+t_n,x)$  for all  $(t,x) \in [-t_n,+\infty) \times \Omega$ . Keeping the notation of Section 2, by Ascoli–Arzela's theorem, we can assume that a certain subsequence  $u_{n_k} =: z_k$  converges in  $C(J_\tau, H)$  for all  $\tau > 0$ , to a limiting function  $z \in C(\mathbb{R}, H)$ . Moreover z is bounded in H and weakly differentiable  $\mathbb{R} \to H$  with bounded derivative. From the energy inequality it also follows, by using continuity of q at 0, that

$$\forall \tau > 0$$
,  $a(y)g(u'_n(t,y)) \to 0$  in  $L^1(J_\tau \times Y)$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

By using as test functions the eigenfunctions of A, it follows easily that z is in fact a solution of

$$z \in C(\mathbb{R}, V) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}, H) \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}, V'), \qquad z'' + Az = 0.$$

In particular, z is a  $C^1$  almost periodic vector function:  $\mathbb{R} \to H$ . However in the general case the analog of (2.3) is more delicate to establish and in fact, in order to use the trace operator:  $V \longrightarrow L^1(Y, d\mu)$  we shall rely on a smoothing procedure replacing  $u_{n_k} =: z_k$  by some auxiliary functions which have bounded time-derivatives in V. For any  $\delta > 0$ , we consider

$$u_{\delta}(t) := \int_{t}^{t+\delta} u(s) \mathrm{d}s$$

and we define accordingly  $u_{\delta,n}(t)$  and  $z_{\delta}(t)$ . From (2.2) and (3.2) we infer that in fact

$$z'_{\delta}(t,y) \le 0 \quad \mu - \text{a.e. on} \quad \mathbb{R} \times \omega.$$
 (3.3)

In order to establish (3.3), first of all from the energy inequality we deduce

$$\int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \int_{\omega} (u'_n - \varepsilon)^+(t, y) d\mu(y) dt \to 0$$

valid for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ . On the other hand we have for each  $\delta \in (0, \tau)$ 

$$u'_{\delta,n}(t,y) - \delta\varepsilon \le \int_t^{t+\delta} (u'_n - \varepsilon)^+(t,y) ds$$

almost-everywhere on  $\Omega$  and in particular for any nonnegative function  $\zeta \in L^{\infty}(\omega, d\mu)$  we find, since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrarily small

 $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_{\omega} (u'_{\delta,n}(t,y)\zeta(y)\mathrm{d}\mu(y)\mathrm{d}t \leq 0.$ 

Now since  $u'_{\delta,n}(t,x) = u_n(t+\delta,x) - u_n(t,x)$ , the convergence of to z(t,.) in V weak implies the convergence pointwise in t of  $u'_{\delta,n}(t,.)$  to  $z'_{\delta}(t,.)$  in V weak. Since V is a Hilbert space, there is, for each given t, a convex combination of the functions  $u'_{\delta,n}(t,.)$  which converges in fact to  $z'_{\delta}(t,.)$  in V strong. By continuity of the trace:  $V \to L^1(Y, d\mu)$  we obtain (3.3), more precisely we find

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall \zeta \in L_+^{\infty}(\omega, d\mu), \quad \int_{\omega} z'_{\delta}(t, y) \zeta(y) d\mu(y) dt \leq 0.$$

Now the conclusion follows easily. Indeed then the trace of  $z_{\delta}$  on  $\omega$  is a non-increasing almost periodic function:  $\mathbb{R} \to L^1(\omega, \mathrm{d}\mu)$  which is also the trace of a solution of the linear equation. Classically, such a function has to remain constant, and by the unique determination of eigenfunctions of A in  $\omega$ , reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we find that  $z_{\delta} = 0$  for all  $\delta > 0$ . By letting  $\delta \to 0$  we obtain z = 0. Since the result is valid for any convergent subsequence of  $(u_n, u'_n)$  we conclude easily.

# 4. Additional results and remarks

The method of proof of Theorems 2.1 and 3.1 is applicable to more complicated problems of the form (1.2) when solutions of

$$u_{tt} + Au + g(u) = 0$$
 on  $\mathbb{R}$ 

are known to be oscillatory on  $\mathbb{R} \times Y$ . As a typical example we consider the nonlinear string equation

$$u_{tt} - u_{xx} + g(u) + a(x)q(u_t) = 0$$
 on  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times (0, L)$ ;  $u(t, 0) = u(t, L)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  (4.1)

when g is odd nonincreasing,  $a \in L^{\infty}(0, L)$ ,  $a \ge 0$ ,  $a(x) \ge \alpha > 0$  on some open subdomain  $\omega$  and q satisfies:

$$q \in C^1$$
,  $q(v)v > 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $q(v) > 0$  for all  $v > 0$ .

Here we obtain:

**Theorem 4.1.** Under the above hypotheses, let u be a solution of (4.1) satisfying the energy inequality

$$\forall T > 0, \quad E(T) + \int_0^T \int_0^L a(x)q(u_t(t,x))u_t(t,x)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \le E(0)$$

with

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad E(t) := \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \{u_t^2(t, x) + u_x^2(t, x)\} \mathrm{d}x + \int_0^L G(u(t, x) \mathrm{d}x) \mathrm{d}x + \int_0^L G(u(t, x) \mathrm{d}x)$$

where

$$G(r) := \int_0^r g(s) \mathrm{d}s$$

Then as  $t \to \infty$ :

$$(u(t), u_t(t)) \rightharpoonup (0, 0) \quad in V \times H$$

with

$$V = H_0^1(0, L)$$
 and  $H = L^2(0, L)$ .

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let  $\Omega = (0, L)$ , let  $t_n$  be a sequence of positive real numbers tending to  $+\infty$  with n and  $u_n(t, x) = u(t + t_n, x)$  for all  $(t, x) \in [-t_n, +\infty) \times \Omega$ . Keeping the notation of Section 2, we obtain that a certain subsequence  $u_{n_k} =: z_k$  converges in  $C(J_\tau, H)$  for all  $\tau > 0$ , to a certain limiting function  $z \in C(\mathbb{R}, H)$ . From the energy inequality it also follows, by using continuity of q at 0, that

$$\forall \tau > 0, \quad a(x)q(u'_n(t,x)) \to 0 \quad \text{in} \quad L^1(J_\tau \times \Omega) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

It follows easily that z is in fact a solution of

$$z \in C(\mathbb{R}, V) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}, H) \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}, V'),$$

$$z_{tt} - z_{xx} + g(z) = 0$$
 on  $\mathbb{R} \times (0, L)$ 

with in addition

$$z' = z_t \le 0$$
 a.e. on  $\mathbb{R} \times \omega$ .

As a consequence of [2, 3], it follows that z = 0. The conclusion then follows easily from the fact that any sequence  $(u(t_n), u'(t_n))$  has a subsequence converging weakly to (0, 0).

**Remark 4.2.** Here even if q is monotone, compactness of trajectories in the energy space is not known.

**Remark 4.3.** When q(s) = cs for some c > 0, compactness of positive trajectories in the energy space is satisfied as a special case of the classical theorem of Webb [22]. Indeed then the equation

$$u_{tt} - u_{xx} + ca(x)(u_t) = 0$$
 on  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times (0, L)$ ;  $u(t, 0) = u(t, L)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ 

generates an exponentially damped linear semi-group in  $V \times H$  and the Nemytskii operator  $u \to g(u)$  is compact  $V \to H$ .

Remark 4.4. The method of proof of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 applies also to the more general case of the equation

$$u_{tt} + Au + Q(t, u_t) = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbb{R}^+$$

$$\tag{4.2}$$

where  $Q(t, u_t)$  is realized in the form

$$a(t,y)q(t,y,u_t)$$

with

$$\inf_{y \in \omega, t > 0} a(t, y) > 0$$

when q satisfies the uniform conditions

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \quad \inf_{s \ge \varepsilon, y \in \omega, t \ge 0} q(t, y, s) > 0$$

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sup_{|s| \le \varepsilon, y \in \omega, t \ge 0} |q(t, y, s)| = 0.$$

This is in particular applicable to the problems

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + a(x)\tilde{q}(x, \nabla u, u_t) = 0$$
 on  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega$ ;  $u(t, x) = 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \partial \Omega$ 

and

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u = 0$$
 on  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega$ ;  $\frac{\partial u(t,x)}{\partial u} + a(x)\tilde{q}(x,\nabla u, u_t) = 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \partial \Omega$ 

with

$$\tilde{q}(x, \nabla u, u_t) = \tilde{q}(y, \nabla u(t, y), u_t(t, y)) =: q(t, y, u_t).$$

In this case we recover some recent results of Vancostenoble [21] which generalize Slemrod [19].

The author is grateful to the referees for their remarks which led to a useful reorganization of this paper.

#### References

- [1] L. Amerio and G. Prouse, Abstract almost periodic functions and functional equations. Van Nostrand, New-York (1971).
- [2] J.M. Ball and M. Slemrod, Feedback stabilization of distributed semilinear control systems. Appl. Math. Optim. 5 (1979) 169-179.
- [3] M. Biroli, Sur les solutions bornées et presque périodiques des équations et inéquations d'évolution. Ann. Math. Pura Appl. 93 (1972) 1-79.
- [4] T. Cazenave and A. Haraux, Propriétés oscillatoires des solutions de certaines équations des ondes semi-linéaires. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 298 (1984) 449-452.
- [5] T. Cazenave and A. Haraux, Oscillatory phenomena associated to semilinear wave equations in one spatial dimension. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 300 (1987) 207-233.
- [6] T. Cazenave and A. Haraux, Some oscillatory properties of the wave equation in several space dimensions. J. Funct. Anal. 76 (1988) 87-109.
- [7] T. Cazenave, A. Haraux and F.B. Weissler, Une équation des ondes complètement intégrable avec non-linéarité homogène de degré 3. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 313 (1991) 237-241.
- [8] T. Cazenave, A. Haraux and F.B. Weissler, A class of nonlinear completely integrable abstract wave equations. *J. Dynam. Differential Equations* 5 (1993) 129-154.
- [9] T. Cazenave, A. Haraux and F.B. Weissler, Detailed asymptotics for a convex hamiltonian system with two degrees of freedom. J. Dynam. Differential Equations 5 (1993) 155-187.
- [10] F. Conrad and M. Pierre, Stabilization of second order evolution equations by unbounded nonlinear feedbacks. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 11 (1994) 485-515.
- [11] A. Haraux, Comportement à l'infini pour une équation des ondes non linéaire dissipative. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 287 (1978) 507-509.
- [12] A. Haraux, Comportement à l'infini pour certains systèmes dissipatifs non linéaires. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Ser. A 84 (1979) 213-234.
- [13] A. Haraux, Stabilization of trajectories for some weakly damped hyperbolic equations. J. Differential Equations 59 (1985) 145-154.
- [14] A. Haraux and V. Komornik, Oscillations of anharmonic Fourier series and the wave equation. Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 1 (1985) 57-77.
- [15] A. Haraux, Semi-linear hyperbolic problems in bounded domains, Mathematical Reports Vol. 3, Part 1, edited by J. Dieudonné. Harwood Academic Publishers, Gordon & Breach (1987).
- [16] A. Haraux, Systèmes dynamiques dissipatifs et applications, R.M.A. 17, edited by Ph. Ciarlet and J.L. Lions. Masson, Paris
- [17] A. Haraux, Strong oscillatory behavior of solutions to some second order evolution equations, Publication du Laboratoire d'Analyse Numérique 94033, 10 p.
- [18] B.M. Levitan and V.V. Zhikov, Almost periodic functions and differential equations. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1982).
- [19] M. Slemrod, Weak asymptotic decay via a relaxed invariance principle for a wave equation with nonlinear, nonmonotone damping. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Ser. A 113 (1989) 87-97.
- [20] J. Vancostenoble, Weak asymptotic stability of second order evolution equations by nonlinear and nonmonotone feedbacks. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 30 (1998) 140-154.
- [21] J. Vancostenoble, Weak asymptotic decay for a wave equation with weak nonmonotone damping, 17p (to appear).
- [22] G.F. Webb, Compactness of trajectories of dynamical systems in infinite dimensional spaces. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Ser. A 84 (1979) 19-34.