Compositio Mathematica

IMRE Z. RUZSA Andrzej Schinzel

An application of Kloosterman sums

Compositio Mathematica, tome 96, nº 3 (1995), p. 323-330

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1995__96_3_323_0

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1995, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (http://http://www.compositio.nl/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.



Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

An application of Kloosterman sums

IMRE Z. RUZSA*1 and ANDRZEJ SCHINZEL2

¹ Mathematical Institute of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, Pf. 127, H-1364 Hungary

Received: 16 December 1993; accepted in final form 11 May 1994

To the memory of Professor A. V. Malyshev.

Let n be a positive integer

$$A_n = \{a : 1 \le a \le n, (a, n) = 1\},\$$

and for $a \in A_n$ let \bar{a} denote the unique element of A_n satisfying $a\bar{a} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$. For n odd, $\varepsilon = 0$ or $1, \ \delta = \pm 1$ put

$$L_n^{\varepsilon} = \{ a \in A_n : a - \bar{a} \equiv \varepsilon \pmod{2} \},$$

$$L_n^{\varepsilon,\delta} = \left\{ a \in A_n : a - \bar{a} \equiv \varepsilon \pmod{2}, \; \left(\frac{a}{n}\right) = \delta \right\}.$$

Zhang Wenpeng [5] recently conjectured that for every odd n and $\eta > 0$

$$#L_n^1 = \frac{1}{2}\phi(n) + O(n^{1/2+\eta})$$

and proved it, even in a somewhat stronger form for n being a prime power or a product of two primes.

On the other hand, G. Terjanian [4] conjectured that $L_p^{\varepsilon,\delta} \neq \emptyset$ for every prime p > 29 and every choice of ε and δ . This conjecture has been proved by Chaładus [1] by applying Nagell's bound for the least quandratic nonresidue modulo p.

We prove the following theorem, which confirms Zhang's conjecture, improves his error term for n being a prime power, and improves Chaładus's theorem except for finitely many primes.

THEOREM 1. For every choice of $\varepsilon = 0$, 1 and $\delta = \pm 1$ we have

$$#L_n^{\varepsilon,\delta} = \frac{\phi(n)}{4} c_{n,\delta} + O(2^{\nu(n)} \sqrt{n} (\log n)^2), \tag{1}$$

² Instytut Matematyczny PAN, ul. Śniadeckich 8, Skr. poczt. 137, 00-950 Warszawa, Poland

^{*} Supported by Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research, Grant No. 1901.

where

$$c_{n,\delta} = \begin{cases} 1 + \delta & \text{if } n \text{ is a perfect square,} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and $\nu(n)$ is the number of distinct prime factors of n.

Consider a positive integer n, not necessarily odd, a positive integer m coprime to n, $0 \le j$, k < m, an odd divisor r of n, $\delta = \pm 1$ and put

$$S_n^m(j, k, r, \delta) = \#\left\{a \in A_n : a \equiv j \pmod{m}, \ \bar{a} \equiv k \pmod{m}, \ \left(\frac{a}{r}\right) = \delta\right\}.$$

We shall deduce Theorem 1 from the following estimate of this quantity.

THEOREM 2. For any choice of m < n, coprime to n, $0 \le j$, k < m, odd r dividing n and $\delta = \pm 1$ we have

$$S_n^m(j, k, r, \delta) = \frac{\phi(n)}{2m^2}c_{r,\delta} + O(2^{\nu(n)}\sqrt{n}(\log n)^2),$$

where the constant in the O symbol is absolute and effective.

To obtain Theorem 1 from Theorem 2 we only need to observe that

$$#L_n^{0,\delta} = S_n^2(0, 0, n, \delta) + S_n^2(1, 1, n, \delta),$$

$$#L_n^{1,\delta} = S_n^2(0, 1, n, \delta) + S_n^2(1, 0, n, \delta).$$

The proof of Theorem 2 is based on four lemmas.

LEMMA 1. If r is an odd divisor of n, we have

$$\left| \sum_{u \in A_n} \left(\frac{u}{r} \right) e\left(\frac{uv}{n} \right) \right| \leqslant \sqrt{n(v, n)},$$

where $e(t) = \exp(2\pi i t)$.

Proof. $(\frac{u}{r})$ is a character mod n, whose conductor f is equal to the squarefree kernel of r. Hence by a known formula (see [2], Chapter IV, Sect. 20, assertion IV)

$$\left| \sum_{u \in A_n} \left(\frac{u}{r} \right) e\left(\frac{uv}{n} \right) \right| = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{\phi(n)}{\phi(n/(v,\,n))} \left| \mu\left(\frac{n}{(v,\,n)} \right) \right| \sqrt{f} & \text{if } f \left| \frac{n}{(v,\,n)} \right., \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{array} \right.$$

Since

$$\phi\left(\frac{n}{(v,\ n)}\right)\geqslant\frac{\phi(n)}{(v,\ n)},$$

we obtain

$$\left| \sum_{u \in A_n} \left(\frac{u}{r} \right) e\left(\frac{uv}{n} \right) \right| \leqslant \begin{cases} \sqrt{f(v, n)} & \text{if } f(v, n) | n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

which gives the lemma.

LEMMA 2. For all integers v, w and an odd integer r dividing n

$$\left| \sum_{u \in A_n} \left(\frac{u}{r} \right) e\left(\frac{uv + \bar{u}w}{n} \right) \right| \leqslant \sqrt{2n2^v} \sqrt{(v, w, n)}, \tag{2}$$

where ν is the number of distinct prime factors of n.

Proof. This is a slight improvement of a result of Malyshev [3], where instead of the last factor $\min\{\sqrt{(v, n)}, \sqrt{(w, n)}\}$ is obtained. We indicate only the necessary changes to Malyshev's proof to obtain (2).

We use $K_r(v, w, n)$ to denote the sum in the left side of (2). For prime-powers Malyshev shows

$$|K_r(v, w, p^t)| \leq C_p p^{t/2} (v, p^t)^{1/2}$$

where $C_p = 2$ for odd primes and $C_2 = 2\sqrt{2}$. By symmetry we also have

$$|K_r(v, w, p^t)| \leq C_p p^{t/2} (w, p^t)^{1/2},$$

and, taking into account that

$$\min\{(v, p^t), (w, p^t)\} = (v, w, p^t),$$

we conclude that

$$|K_r(v, w, p^t)| \le C_p p^{t/2} (v, w, p^t)^{1/2}.$$
 (3)

To treat the case of composite numbers Malyshev establishes the composition rule

$$K_r(v, w, n) = \pm \prod_{p_i^{s_i}} (v, w_i, p_i^{t_i}),$$
 (4)

where

$$n = \prod p_i^{t_i}, \quad r = \prod p_i^{s_i}$$

and the numbers w_i satisfy

$$w \equiv \sum w_i (n/p_i^{t_i})^2 \pmod{n}.$$

This implies that $(v, w_i, p_i^{t_i}) = (v, w, p_i^{t_i})$ and hence

$$\prod (v, \ w_i, \ p_i^{t_i}) = (v, \ w, \ n).$$

Consequently on substituting (3) into (4) we obtain

$$|K_r(v, w, n)| \leq \sqrt{n} \sqrt{v, w, n} \prod_{p|n} C_p,$$

and (2) follows by noting that $\Pi C_p \leq \sqrt{2} \cdot 2^{\nu}$.

LEMMA 3. For any integer $n \ge 2$ we have

$$\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{\sqrt{(v, n)}}{v} \ll 2^{\nu} \log n,$$

where ν is the number of distinct prime divisors of n. Proof.

$$\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{\sqrt{(v, n)}}{v} \le \sum_{d|n} \sum_{j=1}^{[n/d]} \frac{\sqrt{d}}{dj} \le \sum_{d|n} d^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} 1/j.$$

Here the second sum is $O(\log n)$. We estimate the first sum as follows:

$$\sum_{d|n} d^{-1/2} \leqslant \prod_{p|n} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p^{-i/2} = \prod_{p|n} \frac{1}{1 - p^{-1/2}} \ll 2^{\nu},$$

since each term is at most 2, except possibly those corresponding to p=2 and p=3.

LEMMA 4. For any integer $n \ge 2$ we have

$$\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{\sqrt{(v, w, n)}}{vw} \ll (\log n)^2.$$

Proof.

$$\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \sum_{w=1}^{n-1} \frac{\sqrt{(v, w, n)}}{vw} \leqslant \sum_{d|n} \sum_{i=1}^{[n/d]} \sum_{j=1}^{[n/d]} \frac{\sqrt{d}}{(di)(dj)} \leqslant \sum_{d|n} d^{-3/2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1/i \sum_{j=1}^{n} 1/j.$$

Here the first sum is bounded from above by the convergent sum $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-3/2}$, and the second and third sum is $O(\log n)$.

Proof of Theorem 2. For $0 \le j < m$, $0 \le u < n$ we define $\phi_j(u)$ as

$$\phi_j(u) = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} 1 & \quad ext{if } u \equiv j \ (ext{mod } m), \\ 0 & \quad ext{otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

and extend it periodically with period n. Clearly we have

$$S = S_n^m(j, k, r, \delta) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \in A_n} \phi_j(u) \phi_k(\bar{u}) \left(1 + \delta \left(\frac{u}{r} \right) \right). \tag{5}$$

We develop ϕ_j into a trigonometric series:

$$\phi_j(u) = \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} \alpha_{jv} e\left(\frac{uv}{n}\right). \tag{6}$$

A substitution of expansion (6) into (5) yields

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v,w=0}^{n-1} \alpha_{jv} \alpha_{kw} \sum_{u \in A_n} e\left(\frac{uv + \bar{u}w}{n}\right) \left(1 + \delta\left(\frac{u}{r}\right)\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v,w=0}^{n-1} \alpha_{jv} \alpha_{kw} T_{vw}.$$
(7)

To estimate T_{vw} we distinguish four cases.

- (i) If v = w = 0, then clearly $T_{vw} = \phi(n)c_{r,\delta}$.
- (ii) If $v \neq 0$, w = 0, then we have

$$T_{vw} = \sum_{u=1}^{n-1} e\left(\frac{uv}{n}\right) \left(1 + \delta\left(\frac{u}{r}\right)\right).$$

Applying Lemma 1 twice we obtain

$$|T_{vw}| \leqslant 2\sqrt{n(v, n)}. (8)$$

(iii) If v = 0, $w \neq 0$, then by symmetry

$$|T_{vw}| \leqslant 2\sqrt{n(w, n)}. (9)$$

(iv) If $v \neq 0$ and $w \neq 0$, then by Lemma 2

$$|T_{vw}| \le 2^{\nu} (2n(v, w, n))^{1/2}. \tag{10}$$

Substituting these estimates into (7) we obtain

$$S = \frac{\phi(n)}{2} c_{r,\delta} \alpha_{j0} \alpha_{k0} + R,\tag{11}$$

where

$$|R| \leq 2\sqrt{n} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\alpha_{jv} \alpha_{k0}| \sqrt{(v, n)} + \sum_{w=1}^{n-1} |\alpha_{j0} \alpha_{kw}| \sqrt{(w, n)} \right) + 2^{\nu} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \sum_{w=1}^{n-1} |\alpha_{jv} \alpha_{kw}| \sqrt{(v, w, n)} \right).$$
(12)

The coefficients can be determined from an inversion formula:

$$\alpha_{jv} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{u=0}^{n-1} \phi_j(u) e\left(-\frac{vu}{n}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=0}^{L} e\left(-\frac{v}{n}(j+lm)\right), \quad L = \left[\frac{n-1-j}{m}\right].$$
(13)

In particular,

$$\alpha_{j0} = \frac{1}{n} \left[\frac{n-1-j}{m} + 1 \right], \quad \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{n} \leqslant \alpha_{j0} \leqslant \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n}.$$

Hence the main term of (11) satisfies

$$\frac{\phi(n)}{2}c_{r,\delta}\alpha_{j0}\alpha_{k0} = \frac{\phi(n)}{2m^2}c_{r,\delta} + R_1, \quad |R_1| \leqslant \frac{3}{m}.$$
 (14)

On the other hand, the geometric series in (13) can be easily summed. With z=e(vm/n) we have

$$\alpha_{jv} = \frac{1}{n}e\left(-\frac{vj}{n}\right)\frac{1-z^{L+1}}{1-z},$$

thus

$$|\alpha_{jv}| = \frac{1}{n} \frac{|1 - z^{L+1}|}{|1 - z|} \le \frac{1}{n} \frac{2}{|1 - z|} = \frac{1}{n|\sin \pi v m/n|}$$

(we used the fact that $|1 - e(t)| = 2|\sin \pi t|$). As v runs from 1 to n - 1, the residue of vm modulo n assumes the values 1 to n - 1, since (m, n) = 1, and we have (vm, n) = (v, n). Hence

$$\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\alpha_{jv}| \sqrt{(v, n)} \leqslant \frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{\sqrt{(v, n)}}{|\sin \pi v m/n|}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{\sqrt{(v, n)}}{\sin \pi v/n}$$

$$\leqslant \frac{2}{n} \sum_{v=1}^{[n/2]} \frac{\sqrt{(v, n)}}{\sin \pi v/n}.$$

Since $\sin t \ge (2/\pi)t$ on $[0, \pi/2]$, this sum is

$$\leqslant \sum_{v=1}^{[n/2]} \frac{\sqrt{(v, n)}}{v} \ll 2^{\nu} \log n$$

by Lemma 3. Thus the first sum in estimate (12) of R is $O(2^{\nu} \log n)$, and by symmetry so is the second.

By the same arguments, the third sum can be estimated as follows:

$$\begin{split} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \sum_{w=1}^{n-1} |\alpha_{jv} \alpha_{kw}| \sqrt{(v, w, n)} &\leqslant \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \sum_{w=1}^{n-1} \frac{\sqrt{(v, w, n)}}{|\sin \pi v m / n \sin \pi w m / n|} \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \sum_{w=1}^{n-1} \frac{\sqrt{(v, w, n)}}{\sin \pi v / n \sin \pi w / n} \\ &\leqslant \frac{4}{n^2} \sum_{v=1}^{[n/2]} \sum_{w=1}^{[n/2]} \frac{\sqrt{(v, w, n)}}{\sin \pi v / n \sin \pi w / n} \\ &\leqslant \sum_{v=1}^{[n/2]} \sum_{w=1}^{[n/2]} \frac{\sqrt{(v, w, n)}}{vw} \\ &\leqslant (\log n)^2 \end{split}$$

by Lemma 4.

Substituting these estimates into (12) we obtain

$$|R| \ll 2^{\nu} \sqrt{n} (\log n)^2. \tag{15}$$

Theorem 2 follows from (12), (14) and (15).

References

- 1. Chaładus, S.: An application of Nagell's estimate for the least quadratic non-residue, *Demonstratio Math.*, to appear.
- 2. Hasse, H.: Vorlesungen über Zahlentheorie, Berlin, 1950.
- 3. Malyshev, A. V.: A generalization of Kloosterman sums and their estimates (in Russian), *Vestnik Leningrad. Univ.* 15 (1960) No. 13, vypusk 3, 59-75.
- 4. Terjanian, G.: Letter to A. Schinzel of February 15, 1993.
- Wenpeng, Zhang: On a problem of D. M. Lehmer and its generalization, Compositio Math. 86 (1993) 307–316.