

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

CAO HUI-ZHONG

Essentially different factorizations of a natural number

Compositio Mathematica, tome 77, n° 3 (1991), p. 343-346

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1991__77_3_343_0

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1991, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

Essentially different factorizations of a natural number

CAO HUI-ZHONG

Department of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, Shandong, China

Received 31 August 1989; accepted in revised form 10 May 1990

Let $f(n)$ denote the number of essentially different factorizations of a natural number n . In this paper, we prove that for any given $A > 0$, $f(n) \leq C(n/\log^4 n)$ for every odd number $n > 1$, where C is a constant only related to A .

Let $f(n)$ denote the number of ways to write n as the product of integers ≥ 2 , where we consider factorizations that differ only in the order of the factors to be the same. We define $f(1) = 1$.

In 1983, John F. Hughes and J.O. Shallit [1] proved that $f(n) \leq 2n^{\sqrt{2}}$.

In this note, we shall prove the following

THEOREM. For any given $A > 0$, we have

$$f(n) \leq C \frac{n}{\log^4 n} \quad \text{for every odd number } n > 1,$$

where C is a constant only related to A .

In this note, let $P(n)$, $P_1(n)$ be the largest and the smallest prime divisor of n respectively.

To prove the theorem, we need the following

LEMMA. If $n > 1$ and p is a prime divisor of n , then $f(n) \leq \sum_{d|n/p} f(d)$.

Proof. Let $d|n/p$, and let $m_1 \dots m_s$ be a factorization of d . Then $n/d (m_1 \dots m_s)$ is a factorization of n . However, each factorization of n can be obtained in this way. Namely, let $n = n_1 \dots n_k$ and suppose p divides n_1 . Then choose $d = n/n_1$. Hence $f(n) \leq \sum_{d|n/p} f(d)$.

Proof of the theorem. For any given $A > 0$, take a sufficiently large $k_0 > 2$ such that $(1 - 2/k_0)^A > \frac{1}{2}$. Let $A_0 = \frac{1}{2}(k_0/k_0 - 2)^A$. Then $0 < A_0 < 1$.

It is well-known that $d(n) = O(n^\delta)$ and $\log^4 n = O(n^\delta)$ for every positive δ , where $d(n)$ is the number of divisors of n . Hence we have

$$d(n) \leq C_0 n^{1/k_0}, \tag{1}$$

$$\log^4 n \leq C_1 n^{1/k_0}, \tag{2}$$

where C_0, C_1 are constants and $C_0C_1 \geq \log^4 3/3$.

Let $n = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{a_i}$, $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_r$. It is easy to prove

$$\sum_{d|n/p_r} d \leq \frac{n}{p_1 - 1} \tag{3}$$

In fact, we either have

$$\sum_{d|n/p_1} d = \frac{p_1^{a_1} - 1}{p_1 - 1} < \frac{n}{p_1 - 1} \quad (\gamma = 1)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d|n/p_r} d &= \frac{p_r^{a_r} - 1}{p_r - 1} \prod_{i=1}^{\gamma-1} \frac{p_i^{a_i+1} - 1}{p_i - 1} = \frac{p_r^{a_r} - 1}{p_1 - 1} \prod_{i=1}^{\gamma-1} \frac{p_i^{a_i+1} - 1}{p_{i+1} - 1} \\ &\leq \frac{p_r^{a_r}}{p_1 - 1} \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \frac{p_i^{a_i+1}}{p_i} = \frac{n}{p_1 - 1} \quad (r \geq 2). \end{aligned}$$

Let $C = C_0C_1/1 - A_0$, we shall prove that $f(n) \leq C(n/\log^4 n)$ holds for every odd number $n > 1$ by induction.

When $n=3$, we have $f(3)=1 < C(3/\log^4 3)$.

Let n be any odd number larger than 3. Suppose that $f(d) \leq C(d/\log^4 d)$ holds for all odd numbers $d < n$. We shall prove that $f(n) \leq C(n/\log^4 n)$.

By the lemma, we have

$$f(n) \leq \sum_{d|n/p(n)} f(d) = \sum_{\substack{d|n/p(n) \\ d \leq n^{1-2/k_0}}} f(d) + \sum_{\substack{d|n/p(n) \\ d > n^{1-2/k_0}}} f(d) = S_1 + S_2 \tag{4}$$

By means of induction on n , our lemma and (3), we immediately obtain

$$f(n) \leq n. \tag{5}$$

By (1), (2) and (5), we get

$$S_1 \leq n^{1-2/k_0} d(n) \leq C_0 n^{1-1/k_0} \leq C_0 C_1 \frac{n}{\log^4 n}. \tag{6}$$

By (3), $p_1(n) > 2$ and the inductive hypothesis, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_2 &\leq \frac{C_0 C_1}{1 - A_0} \sum_{\substack{d|n/p(n) \\ d > n^{1-2/k_0}}} \frac{d}{\log^4 d} \leq \frac{C_0 C_1}{1 - A_0} \left(\frac{k_0}{k_0 - 2}\right)^4 \frac{1}{\log^4 n} \sum_{d|n/p(n)} d \\
 &\leq \frac{C_0 C_1}{1 - A_0} \left(\frac{k_0}{k_0 - 2}\right)^4 \frac{1}{p_1(n) - 1} \frac{n}{\log^4 n} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k_0}{k_0 - 2}\right)^4 \frac{C_0 C_1}{1 - A_0} \frac{n}{\log^4 n} \\
 &= \frac{A_0}{1 - A_0} C_0 C_1 \frac{n}{\log^4 n}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

By (4), (6) and (7), we get

$$\overline{f(n)} \leq C_0 C_1 \frac{n}{\log^4 n} + \frac{A_0}{1 - A_0} C_0 C_1 \frac{n}{\log^4 n} = \frac{C_0 C_1}{1 - A_0} \frac{n}{\log^4 n} = C \frac{n}{\log^4 n}.$$

Our theorem is now proved by induction.

Finally, we point out that $f(n) = O(n^\alpha)$, $\alpha < 1$, does not hold for every odd number $n > 1$. In fact, if $f(n) \leq Cn^\alpha$ for all odd number $n > 1$, then as the argument runs through the sequence formed by all odd numbers $n > 1$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{\log f(n)}}{\log n} \leq \alpha.$$

Let $B(n)$ denote the n th Bell number, and let $a_n = p_2 p_3 \dots p_{n+1}$, where p_i is i th prime, we have

$$\log f(a_n) = \log B(n) \sim n \log n$$

and

$$\log a_n = \sum_{i=2}^{n+1} \log p_i = \sum_{p \leq p_{n+1}} \log p - \log 2 \sim p_{n+1} \sim (n + 1) \log(n + 1).$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log f(a_n)}{\log a_n} = 1.$$

This is a contradiction.

Reference

1. Hughes, J.F. and Shallit, J.O., On the number of multiplicative partitions, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 90 (1983), 468–471.