

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

DAVID E. ROHRLICH

Jacobi sums and explicit reciprocity laws

Compositio Mathematica, tome 60, n° 1 (1986), p. 97-114

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1986__60_1_97_0

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1986, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

JACOBI SUMS AND EXPLICIT RECIPROCITY LAWS

David E. Rohrlich *

Let p be an odd prime and let $q = p^n$, where n is a positive integer. We write μ_q for the group of q -th roots of unity, K for the cyclotomic field $\mathbf{Q}(\mu_q)$, O for the ring of integers of K , and \mathfrak{p} for the prime ideal of O lying above p . If \mathfrak{l} is a nonzero prime ideal of O different from \mathfrak{p} and x is an element of O relatively prime to \mathfrak{l} , then the q -th power norm residue symbol (x/\mathfrak{l}) is defined by the conditions

$$\left(\frac{x}{\mathfrak{l}}\right) \in \mu_q$$

and

$$\left(\frac{x}{\mathfrak{l}}\right) \equiv x^{(N\mathfrak{l}-1)/q} \pmod{\mathfrak{l}},$$

where N denotes the absolute norm. Note in particular that the value of the symbol depends only on the residue class of x modulo \mathfrak{l} . Now let r and s be fixed rational integers; to avoid trivial cases we assume that

$$r, s, \text{ and } r + s \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}.$$

The Jacobi sum associated to these data is

$$J(\mathfrak{l}) = - \sum_x \left(\frac{x}{\mathfrak{l}}\right)^r \left(\frac{1-x}{\mathfrak{l}}\right)^s,$$

where x runs over the residue classes of O modulo \mathfrak{l} , the classes of 0 and 1 being omitted. If \mathfrak{a} is an arbitrary fractional ideal of K relatively prime to \mathfrak{p} , then we write \mathfrak{a} as a product over prime ideals

$$\mathfrak{a} = \prod_{\mathfrak{l}} \mathfrak{l}^{n_{\mathfrak{l}}} \quad (n_{\mathfrak{l}} \in \mathbf{Z})$$

and put

$$J(\mathfrak{a}) = \prod_{\mathfrak{l}} J(\mathfrak{l})^{n_{\mathfrak{l}}}.$$

* Partially supported by an N.S.F. grant.

In this way J becomes a homomorphism from the group of fractional ideals of K relatively prime to \mathfrak{p} into the multiplicative group K^* of K .

The fundamental fact about this homomorphism, proved by Weil [9], is that it is a Hecke character of K with conductor equal to a power of \mathfrak{p} . The exact value of the conductor is not known in general. Weil's proof shows that the conductor divides q^2 , but subsequent work has provided more precise information (cf. Hasse [3], Jensen [5], Schmidt [6]). In particular, Hasse determined the conductor completely in the case $n = 1$ ([3], p. 63, Satz 2) and Jensen proved that the conductor divides $\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{p}_1\mathfrak{p}_2$, where

$$\mathfrak{p}_\nu = \mathfrak{p}^{p^{n-\nu}} \quad (1 \leq \nu \leq n)$$

([5], p. 95, Satz 3a). We shall prove the following.

THEOREM: *The conductor of J divides \mathfrak{p}_1^2 .*

As we shall see, there is always a pair (r, s) for which the conductor is precisely \mathfrak{p}_1^2 . Nevertheless, it is possible for the conductor to be a proper divisor of \mathfrak{p}_1^2 : thus the precise value of the conductor as a function of (r, s) remains to be determined. We return to this point at the end of the paper.

1. Let G denote the Galois group of K over \mathbf{Q} and $\mathbf{Z}[G]$ its integral group ring; let $O_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the completion of O at \mathfrak{p} and $O_{\mathfrak{p}}^*$ the multiplicative group of $O_{\mathfrak{p}}$. What we need from Weil's paper [9] can be summarized in one sentence: There is an element Φ of $\mathbf{Z}[G]$ and a continuous homomorphism

$$\epsilon: O_{\mathfrak{p}}^* \rightarrow \mu_{2q}$$

such that for $\alpha \in K^* \cap O_{\mathfrak{p}}^*$ we have

$$J((\alpha)) = \epsilon(\alpha)\alpha^{\Phi}$$

(let (α) denote the principal ideal generated by α). The key point here is that the domain of ϵ is $O_{\mathfrak{p}}^*$ and that ϵ is continuous: if we were to suppress these features and view ϵ simply as a homomorphism from $K^* \cap O_{\mathfrak{p}}^*$ into μ_{2q} , then we would be asserting nothing more than a weak form of Stickelberger's theorem (which in its strong form gives an explicit formula for Φ in terms of r and s). Thus from our point of view, the essential content of Weil's theorem is that ϵ is trivial on some subgroup of $K^* \cap O_{\mathfrak{p}}^*$ of the form

$$K^* \cap (1 + \mathfrak{p}^k O_{\mathfrak{p}}) \quad (k \geq 1),$$

or equivalently, that ϵ extends to a continuous homomorphism from O_p^* to μ_{2q} . Now let Ω be the group of roots of unity in O_p^* of order dividing $p - 1$. In view of the decomposition

$$O_p^* = \Omega \times (1 + \mathfrak{p}O_p),$$

we may write ϵ as a product

$$\epsilon = \kappa\lambda,$$

with continuous homomorphisms

$$\kappa: \Omega \rightarrow \mu_2$$

and

$$\lambda: 1 + \mathfrak{p}O_p \rightarrow \mu_q.$$

It is easy to see that κ is the Legendre symbol modulo \mathfrak{p} , but this fact will not be needed. To prove the theorem stated in the introduction, we must show that λ is trivial on the subgroup $1 + \mathfrak{p}_1^2 O_p$.

In order to accomplish this, we need two further properties of the Jacobi sum. The first property is the equivariance of the Jacobi sum with respect to the Galois group: for α prime to \mathfrak{p} and σ in G we have

$$J(\alpha^\sigma) = J(\alpha)^\sigma,$$

as follows at once from the definitions. The second property is a congruence for the Jacobi sum due to Hasse. Let \mathfrak{l} be a nonzero prime ideal of O different from \mathfrak{p} . Since $1 - \xi$ is in \mathfrak{p} for any $\xi \in \mu_q$, we have

$$\sum_x \left(1 - \left(\frac{x}{\mathfrak{l}}\right)^r\right) \left(1 - \left(\frac{1-x}{\mathfrak{l}}\right)^s\right) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^2},$$

where x runs over a set of representatives for the residue classes of O modulo \mathfrak{l} , the classes of 0 and 1 being excluded. We write this congruence in the form

$$J(\mathfrak{l}) \equiv \sum_x 1 - \sum_x \left(\frac{x}{\mathfrak{l}}\right)^r - \sum_x \left(\frac{1-x}{\mathfrak{l}}\right)^s \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^2}$$

and substitute the values

$$\sum_x 1 = N\mathfrak{l} - 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_x \left(\frac{x}{\mathfrak{l}}\right)^r = \sum_x \left(\frac{1-x}{\mathfrak{l}}\right)^s = -1.$$

(To obtain the latter value, observe that the norm residue symbol (\cdot / \mathfrak{l}) defines a character of order q on the multiplicative group of O/\mathfrak{l} , and recall our assumption $r, s \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}$.) Since q divides $N\mathfrak{l} - 1$ we find

$$J(\mathfrak{l}) \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^2}.$$

It follows that

$$J(\alpha) \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^2}$$

for arbitrary fractional ideals α prime to \mathfrak{p} . This is Hasse's congruence (cf. [3], p. 61).

From these two properties of the Jacobi sum we deduce corresponding statements about λ .

PROPOSITION 1: (i) For $\sigma \in G$ and $\alpha \in K^* \cap (1 + \mathfrak{p}O_{\mathfrak{p}})$ we have $\lambda(\alpha^\sigma) = \lambda(\alpha)^\sigma$.

(ii) For $\alpha \in K^* \cap (1 + \mathfrak{p}^2O_{\mathfrak{p}})$ we have $\lambda(\alpha)^{p^{n-1}} = 1$.

PROOF: (i) Since $J((\alpha^\sigma)) = J((\alpha))^\sigma$ and $(\alpha^\sigma)^\Phi = (\alpha^\Phi)^\sigma$ we have $\epsilon(\alpha^\sigma) = \epsilon(\alpha)^\sigma$. But $K^* \cap (1 + \mathfrak{p}O_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is invariant under G and ϵ coincides with λ on this subgroup.

(ii) Following Hasse, we observe that his congruence gives

$$\epsilon(\alpha) \equiv \alpha^{-\Phi} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^2}$$

for all α in $K^* \cap O_{\mathfrak{p}}^*$. If $\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$, then $\epsilon(\alpha) = \lambda(\alpha)$. If in addition $\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^2}$, then $\alpha^\Phi \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^2}$, whence

$$\lambda(\alpha) \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^2}.$$

Now if ζ is a generator of μ_q , then $\zeta \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^2}$. Hence $\lambda(\alpha)$ is not a generator of μ_q .

2. We now focus on the local aspects of the argument and change our notation accordingly, writing respectively K , O , and \mathfrak{p} for the field $\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_q)$, the ring of integers of this field, and the latter's maximal ideal. Also, we identify G with the Galois group of K over \mathbb{Q}_p , so that

$$\lambda: 1 + \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \mu_q$$

is a continuous G -equivariant homomorphism by Proposition 1 (i).

We define the Hilbert symbol

$$(\cdot, \cdot): K^* \times K^* \rightarrow \mu_q$$

as follows: Given $\alpha, \beta \in K^*$, let $\alpha^{1/q}$ denote an arbitrary q -th root of α , and let

$$\sigma_\beta = (\beta, K(\alpha^{1/q})/K)$$

be the local Artin symbol attached to β . Then

$$(\alpha, \beta) = (\alpha^{1/q})^{\sigma_\beta^{-1}}.$$

This is the normalization of the Hilbert symbol used by Iwasawa [4] (and the inverse of the normalization used by Artin-Tate [1]).

PROPOSITION 2: *There are integers a and b , uniquely determined modulo q , such that*

$$\lambda(\alpha) = (\alpha, p^a(1+p)^b) \quad (\alpha \in 1+p).$$

PROOF: First we shall extend λ to a continuous G -equivariant homomorphism

$$\hat{\lambda}: K^* \rightarrow \mu_q.$$

Fix a generator ζ of μ_q , put $\pi = \zeta - \zeta^{-1}$, and let Π be the infinite cyclic group generated by π . Then K^* decomposes as a direct product

$$K^* = \Pi \times \Omega \times (1+p),$$

where Ω is the group of roots of unity of order dividing $p-1$. Given $\alpha \in K^*$ with

$$\alpha = \pi^k \omega \beta \quad (k \in \mathbf{Z}, \omega \in \Omega, \beta \in 1+p),$$

we define

$$\hat{\lambda}(\alpha) = \lambda(\beta).$$

We must check that $\hat{\lambda}$ is equivariant. Now $\hat{\lambda}$ is certainly equivariant on O^* , because the decomposition $O^* = \Omega \times (1+p)$ is G -invariant. Thus it suffices to check that

$$\hat{\lambda}(\pi^\sigma) = \hat{\lambda}(\pi)^\sigma \quad (\sigma \in G),$$

or in other words, that

$$\hat{\lambda}(\pi^\sigma) = 1 \quad (\sigma \in G).$$

But

$$\hat{\lambda}(\pi^\sigma) = \hat{\lambda}(\pi)\hat{\lambda}(\pi^\sigma/\pi) = \hat{\lambda}(\pi^\sigma/\pi),$$

and π^σ/π belongs to O^* , on which $\hat{\lambda}$ is already known to be equivariant. Letting $\tau \in G$ be the automorphism which takes ζ to ζ^{-1} , we have

$$(\pi^\sigma/\pi)^\tau = \pi^\sigma/\pi$$

and therefore

$$\hat{\lambda}(\pi^\sigma/\pi)^\tau = \hat{\lambda}(\pi^\sigma/\pi).$$

Since $\hat{\lambda}(\pi^\sigma/\pi)$ is a q -th root of unity, it follows that $\hat{\lambda}(\pi^\sigma/\pi) = 1$, as required.

We note in passing that the extension $\hat{\lambda}$ is unique. Indeed, suppose that $\tilde{\lambda}$ is another G -equivariant extension of λ . Since Ω has order prime to p , we see that $\hat{\lambda}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}$ coincide on O^* , and in particular, that

$$\tilde{\lambda}(\pi^\sigma/\pi) = \hat{\lambda}(\pi^\sigma/\pi) = 1 \quad (\sigma \in G).$$

Then the relation

$$\tilde{\lambda}(\pi)^\sigma / \tilde{\lambda}(\pi) = \tilde{\lambda}(\pi^\sigma/\pi) = 1 \quad (\sigma \in G)$$

shows that $\tilde{\lambda}(\pi)$ is invariant under G , whence $\tilde{\lambda}(\pi) = 1$.

Now we apply local class field theory and Kummer theory: every character $K^* \rightarrow \mu_q$ has the form $\alpha \mapsto (\alpha, \beta)$ for some $\beta \in K^*$. Writing

$$\hat{\lambda}(\alpha) = (\alpha, \beta)$$

and using the equivariance of $\hat{\lambda}$, we find

$$(\alpha^\sigma, \beta) = (\alpha, \beta)^\sigma = (\alpha^\sigma, \beta^\sigma) \quad (\sigma \in G),$$

whence $(\alpha, \beta^{\sigma^{-1}}) = 1$ for all $\alpha \in K^*$. It follows that $\beta^{\sigma^{-1}}$ is a q -th power in K^* . Choosing σ to be a generator of G and writing

$$\beta^{\sigma^{-1}} = \gamma^q$$

with $\gamma \in K^*$, we see that

$$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}_p}(\gamma)^q = 1,$$

where N denotes norm. Thus

$$N_{K/\mathbf{Q}_p}(\gamma) = 1.$$

Then $\gamma = \delta^{\sigma-1}$ for some $\delta \in K^*$, so that

$$(\beta/\delta^q)^{\sigma-1} = 1$$

and

$$\beta \in \delta^q \mathbf{Q}_p^*.$$

Since \mathbf{Q}_p^* is generated modulo q -th powers by the cosets of p and $1+p$, we conclude that there are integers a and b such that

$$\hat{\lambda}(\alpha) = (\alpha, p^a(1+p)^b).$$

Finally, suppose that for some integers c and d we have

$$(\alpha, p^c(1+p)^d) = 1$$

for all $\alpha \in 1 + \mathfrak{p}$. We must show that q divides c and d . From the uniqueness of the extension $\hat{\lambda}$, we deduce that the above equation holds for all $\alpha \in K^*$, whence $p^c(1+p)^d$ is a q -th power in K^* . Now the natural map

$$\mathbf{Q}_p^*/\mathbf{Q}_p^{*q} \rightarrow K^*/K^{*q}$$

is injective (the Galois cohomology group $H^1(G, \mu_q)$ is trivial), and p and $1+p$ represent multiplicatively independent elements of order q in $\mathbf{Q}_p^*/\mathbf{Q}_p^{*q}$. Hence q divides c and d and the proposition is proved.

3. The following proposition completes the proof of the theorem.

PROPOSITION 3: (i) *The conductor of the character $\alpha \mapsto (\alpha, 1+p)$ divides \mathfrak{p}_1^2 .*

(ii) *The conductor of the character $\alpha \mapsto (\alpha, p^p)$ divides \mathfrak{p}_1^2 .*

(iii) *We have $a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.*

PROOF: (i) This statement is a step in the proof of Iwasawa's explicit reciprocity laws (see [4], p. 162, remark following Theorem 2).

(ii) Let ζ be a generator of μ_q and put $\pi = 1 - \zeta$ (note the change in notation). We apply one of Iwasawa's explicit reciprocity laws ([4], p. 162), according to which

$$(\alpha, \beta) = \zeta^{-q^{-1} \text{Tr}(\zeta \alpha^{-1} (d\alpha/d\pi) \log \beta)}$$

for $\alpha \in K^*$ and $\beta \in 1 + \mathfrak{p}_1^2$. Here \log is the p -adic logarithm and Tr denotes the trace from K to \mathbf{Q}_p . The derivative $d\alpha/d\pi$ stands for $g'(\pi)$, where

$$g(X) = \sum_{m \geq k} c_m X^m$$

is any formal Laurent series with the following properties:

- (1) $c_m \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ for $m \geq k$,
- (2) $c_k \in \mathbf{Z}_p^*$,
- (3) $g(\pi) = \alpha$.

Of course, the value of $d\alpha/d\pi$ depends on the choice of g . Now if g is an admissible power series for $\alpha = p$, then

$$h(X) = 1 + g(X)$$

is an admissible power series for $\alpha = 1 + p$, and $g'(\pi) = h'(\pi)$. Hence with a suitable interpretation of the derivatives we have

$$p \left(p^{-1} \frac{d}{d\pi} p \right) = (1 + p) \left((1 + p)^{-1} \frac{d}{d\pi} (1 + p) \right).$$

Applying Iwasawa's formula, we see that for $\beta \in 1 + \mathfrak{p}_1^2$,

$$(p, \beta)^p = (1 + p, \beta)^{1+p},$$

whence

$$(\beta, p^p) = (\beta, 1 + p)^{1+p}.$$

Thus (ii) follows from (i).

(iii) Let ζ and π be as in the proof of (ii). We make the preliminary remark that $(\zeta, p) = 1$. This follows, for example, from the formula

$$(\pi, \beta) = \zeta^{-q^{-1} \text{Tr}(\zeta \pi^{-1} \log \beta)} \quad (\beta \in 1 + \mathfrak{p}),$$

which is one of the explicit reciprocity laws of Artin-Hasse (cf. [4], p.

151). Indeed, since $\log \zeta = 0$, we have $(\pi, \zeta) = 1$, whence $(\pi, \zeta^\sigma) = 1$ for every $\sigma \in G$ (every conjugate of ζ is a power of ζ). Then

$$(p, \zeta) = \prod_{\sigma \in G} (\pi^\sigma, \zeta) = \prod_{\sigma \in G} (\pi, \zeta^{\sigma^{-1}})^\sigma = 1,$$

as claimed.

To prove (iii), we note that the character $\alpha \mapsto (\alpha, p)$ on $1 + \mathfrak{p}$ has order q (and not a proper divisor of q): this is implicit in the uniqueness of a modulo q (Proposition 2). Hence there exists $\alpha \in 1 + \mathfrak{p}$ such that (α, p) is a primitive q -th root of unity. Now for some j ($1 \leq j \leq p$) we have $\zeta^j \alpha \in 1 + \mathfrak{p}^2$, and in view of our preliminary remark, $(\zeta^j \alpha, p)$ is still a primitive q -th root of unity. Hence without loss of generality, $\alpha \in 1 + \mathfrak{p}^2$.

By Proposition 1 (ii),

$$(\alpha, p^a(1+p)^b)^{p^{n-1}} = 1,$$

whence

$$(\alpha, p)^{ap^{n-1}} = (\alpha^{p^{n-1}}, 1+p)^{-b}.$$

Thus by (i) it suffices to show that

$$\alpha^{p^{n-1}} \in 1 + \mathfrak{p}_1^2.$$

Write

$$\alpha = 1 + \pi^2 \beta$$

with β in O . Then

$$\alpha^{p^{n-1}} \equiv 1 + \pi^{2p^{n-1}} \beta^{p^{n-1}} \pmod{pO}.$$

Since

$$pO = \mathfrak{p}^{(p-1)p^{n-1}} \subset \mathfrak{p}^{2p^{n-1}}$$

we obtain

$$\alpha^{p^{n-1}} \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{2p^{n-1}}},$$

as desired.

4. We would still like to show that there is a pair (r, s) for which the conductor is precisely \mathfrak{p}_1^2 . In preparation for this we prove the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 4: *If $n \geq 2$, then the conductor of the character $\alpha \mapsto (\alpha, p^p)$ is \mathfrak{p}_1^2 .*

PROOF: We shall prove the proposition by induction on n , and therefore, for the duration of this proof only, we adjust our notation by adding a subscript n . Thus for $n \geq 1$, K_n is the extension of \mathbf{Q}_p obtained by adjoining the p^n -th roots of unity, O_n is the ring of integers of K_n , and \mathfrak{p}_n is the maximal ideal of O_n . The new meaning for \mathfrak{p}_1 is essentially compatible with the old, but to be completely consistent, we should reformulate the proposition as follows: If $n \geq 2$, then the conductor of the character $\alpha \mapsto (\alpha, p^p)_n$ is $\mathfrak{p}_1^2 O_n$.

Let ζ_n be a primitive p^n -th root of unity and put $\pi_n = 1 - \zeta_n$. Since the conductor of $\alpha \mapsto (\alpha, p^p)_n$ is already known to divide $\mathfrak{p}_1^2 O_n$ (Proposition 3 (ii)), it will suffice to show that for $n \geq 2$ there exists $\beta \in O_n$ with

$$(\exp(\pi_1^2 \pi_n^{-1} \beta), p^p)_n \neq 1,$$

where \exp is the p -adic exponential function. Equivalently, we must show that there exists $\beta \in O_n$ with

$$(\exp(p \pi_1^2 \pi_n^{-1} \beta), p)_n \neq 1.$$

The latter formulation is meaningful even for $n = 1$, and we begin by proving it in this case.

Choose $\alpha \in 1 + \mathfrak{p}_1$ so that $(\alpha, p)_1 \neq 1$. As in the proof of Proposition 3 (iii), after multiplying α by some p -th root of unity, we may assume that $\alpha \in 1 + \mathfrak{p}_1^2$. Let G_1 be the Galois group of K_1 over \mathbf{Q}_p , and let

$$\omega: G_1 \rightarrow \Omega$$

be the character giving the action of G_1 on p -th roots of unity:

$$\zeta_1^\sigma = \zeta_1^{\omega(\sigma)} \quad (\sigma \in G_1).$$

Then

$$(\alpha^\sigma, p)_1 = (\alpha, p)_1^\sigma = (\alpha, p)_1^{\omega(\sigma)}.$$

Therefore, if we put

$$\theta = (p-1)^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in G_1} \omega(\sigma)^{-1} \sigma \in \mathbf{Z}_p[G_1],$$

then we have

$$(\alpha^\theta, p)_1 = (\alpha, p)_1.$$

Hence after replacing α by α^θ , we may assume that $(\alpha, p)_1 \neq 1$, that $\alpha \in 1 + \mathfrak{p}_1^2$, and in addition, that

$$\alpha^\sigma = \alpha^{\omega(\sigma)}$$

for $\sigma \in G_1$.

Now write

$$\alpha = \exp(\pi_1^j \gamma)$$

with $j \geq 2$ and $\gamma \in O_1^*$. The last equation of the preceding paragraph gives

$$\pi_1^{\sigma j} \gamma^\sigma = \omega(\sigma) \pi_1^j \gamma,$$

whence

$$(\pi_1^\sigma / \pi_1)^j = \omega(\sigma) \gamma / \gamma^\sigma$$

and

$$\omega(\sigma)^j \equiv \omega(\sigma) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_1}.$$

(Observe that $\gamma^\sigma \equiv \gamma \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_1}$ and that $\pi_1^\sigma / \pi_1 = 1 + \zeta_1 + \dots + \zeta_1^{k-1}$, where k is the smallest positive integer congruent to $\omega(\sigma)$ modulo p .) Choosing σ to be a generator of G_1 , we deduce that $j-1$ is a multiple of $p-1$, whence $j \geq p$. Thus if we put

$$\beta = \pi_1^{j-1} \gamma / p,$$

then $\beta \in O_1$, and

$$\exp(p \pi_1 \beta) = \exp(\pi_1^j \gamma) = \alpha.$$

So $(\exp(p \pi_1 \beta), p)_1 \neq 1$, as desired.

Before proving the inductive step, we make some observations. First note that the relative different ideal of K_{n+1} over K_n is generated by p : indeed, the different is multiplicative in towers, and the different of K_p over \mathbf{Q}_p is generated by p^v / π_1 . Now let $\text{Tr}_{n+1, n}$ denote the trace from K_{n+1} to K_n . We claim that

$$\text{Tr}_{n+1, n}(O_{n+1} \pi_n \pi_{n+1}^{-1} p^{-1}) = O_n.$$

Since p generates the relative different of K_{n+1} over K_n , the left-hand side is at least contained in O_n , and is therefore equal to an ideal of O_n . If

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{n+1,n}(O_{n+1}\pi_n\pi_{n+1}^{-1}p^{-1}) \subset \pi_n O_n,$$

then

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{n+1,n}(O_{n+1}\pi_n^{-1}p^{-1}) \subset O_n,$$

and this contradicts the fact that p generates the relative different. Hence $\mathrm{Tr}_{n+1,n}(O_{n+1}\pi_n\pi_{n+1}^{-1}p^{-1})$ is not contained in the maximal ideal of O_n , and equality holds as claimed.

Now we assume the inductive hypothesis: for some integer $n \geq 1$ there exists $\beta \in O_n$ such that

$$(\exp(p\pi_1^2\pi_n^{-1}\beta), p)_n \neq 1.$$

Choosing $\gamma \in O_{n+1}$ so that

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{n+1,n}(\gamma\pi_n\pi_{n+1}^{-1}p^{-1}) = \beta,$$

and writing $N_{n+1,n}$ for the norm from K_{n+1} to K_n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\exp(p\pi_1^2\pi_{n+1}^{-1}\gamma), p)_{n+1} &= (\exp(\pi_1^2\pi_{n+1}^{-1}\gamma), p^p)_{n+1} \\ &= (N_{n+1,n}(\exp(\pi_1^2\pi_{n+1}^{-1}\gamma)), p)_n \\ &= (\exp(\pi_1^2 \mathrm{Tr}_{n+1,n}(\pi_{n+1}^{-1}\gamma)), p)_n \\ &= (\exp(p\pi_1^2\pi_n^{-1}\beta), p)_n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(\exp(p\pi_1^2\pi_{n+1}^{-1}\gamma), p)_{n+1} \neq 1,$$

as desired.

5. We return to global considerations and to the corresponding notational conventions. In order to indicate the dependence of J on the pair (r, s) we write $J_{r,s}$ instead of J .

PROPOSITION 5: *There is an integer s such that $J_{1,s}$ has conductor \mathfrak{p}_1^2 . If $n = 1$ or 2 , then s may be chosen to satisfy $1 \leq s \leq p - 2$, and if $n \geq 3$, then s may be chosen to satisfy $1 \leq s \leq p - 1$.*

PROOF: In the case $n = 1$, this was proved by Hasse ([3], p. 64). Hence we assume that $n \geq 2$. We shall deduce the proposition from a well-known relation of Davenport-Hasse, which we write in the form

$$\prod_{k=1}^{p-1} J_{1,p^{n-1}k}(\alpha) = \left(\frac{p^p}{\alpha}\right) \prod_{k=1}^{p-1} J_{1,k}(\alpha)$$

(cf. [2], formulas (0.6) and (0.9₂)). Here α is an arbitrary fractional ideal of K relatively prime to \mathfrak{p} , and (\cdot/α) is the q -th power norm residue symbol, defined for prime α as in the introduction and extended to arbitrary α by complete multiplicativity. Now in the case where α is a principal ideal (α) , the reciprocity law for the norm residue symbol shows that

$$\left(\frac{p}{(\alpha)}\right) = (\alpha, p),$$

(See [1], p. 172, Theorem 14. One consequence, incidentally, is that $(\zeta, p) = 1$, as we have already seen by a different method.) In particular, it follows from Proposition 4 that the conductor of the Hecke character $\alpha \mapsto (p^p/\alpha)$ is \mathfrak{p}_1^2 . On the other hand, the conductor of each $J_{1,s}$ divides \mathfrak{p}_1^2 . Hence we conclude from the Davenport-Hasse relation that for at least one integer s satisfying

$$s = p^{n-1}k \quad (1 \leq k \leq p-1) \text{ or } 1 \leq s \leq p-1,$$

the conductor of $J_{1,s}$ is precisely \mathfrak{p}_1^2 . To complete the proof of the proposition, it will suffice to show that the conductor of $J_{1,p^{n-1}k}$ is a proper divisor of \mathfrak{p}_1^2 , and that for $n = 2$, the conductor of $J_{1,p-1}$ is also a proper divisor of \mathfrak{p}_1^2 . Using an argument of Hasse, we shall prove instead the following statement, which contains both of the preceding ones: If one of the integers r, s and $r + s$ is congruent to 0 modulo p^{n-1} , then the conductor of $J_{r,s}$ divides $\mathfrak{p}_1\mathfrak{p}$.

In proving this assertion we may assume, say, that $s \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{n-1}}$, because $J_{r,s} = J_{s,r}$ and $J_{r,s} = J_{r,-s-r}$. (To verify these identities write

$$J_{r,s}(\mathfrak{l}) = - \sum_x \left(\frac{x}{\mathfrak{l}}\right)^r \left(\frac{1-x}{\mathfrak{l}}\right)^s$$

with a prime ideal \mathfrak{l} , and make the substitutions $x \mapsto 1 - x$ and $x \mapsto -x/(1 - x)$ respectively, noting in the latter case that $(-1/\mathfrak{l}) = 1$.) Now for $s \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{n-1}}$, the congruence of Hasse recalled in Section 1 takes the stronger form

$$J_{r,s}(\alpha) \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_1\mathfrak{p}}$$

(cf. [3], p. 61): the proof is the same as before, except that now

$$\left(1 - \left(\frac{x}{l}\right)^r\right) \left(1 - \left(\frac{1-x}{l}\right)^s\right) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_1\mathfrak{p}},$$

because $(1 - x/l)^s$ is a p -th root of unity. In particular, for a principal ideal $\alpha = (\alpha)$ Hasse's congruence gives

$$\epsilon_{r,s}(\alpha) \equiv \alpha^{-\Phi_{r,s}} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_1\mathfrak{p}},$$

and if $\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_1\mathfrak{p}}$, then

$$\lambda_{r,s}(\alpha) \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_1\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Since $\lambda_{r,s}(\alpha)$ is a q -th root of unity, it follows that $\lambda_{r,s}(\alpha) = 1$. Therefore the conductor of $J_{r,s}$ divides $\mathfrak{p}_1\mathfrak{p}$, as claimed.

6. In conclusion, we would like to draw attention to a problem which we have not discussed so far: the calculation of the integers a and b modulo q .

The calculation of b presents no difficulties. If j is an integer relatively prime to q , let $\sigma(j)$ be the element of G satisfying

$$\xi^{\sigma(j)} = \xi^j \quad (\xi \in \mu_q),$$

and for any integer t , let $\langle t \rangle$ be the integer satisfying

$$t \equiv \langle t \rangle \pmod{q} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \langle t \rangle \leq q - 1.$$

Stickelberger's theorem provides the following explicit formula for the infinity type Φ of J :

$$\Phi = \sum_j ((\langle jr \rangle + \langle js \rangle - \langle j(r+s) \rangle) / q) \sigma(-j)^{-1},$$

where j runs over a set of representatives for the invertible residue classes modulo q . Let w be an integer satisfying

$$w \equiv - \sum_j ((\langle jr \rangle + \langle js \rangle - \langle j(r+s) \rangle) / q) j^{-1} \pmod{q},$$

and let ζ be a primitive q -th root of unity. Since ζ generates the unit ideal, we have $J((\zeta)) = 1$; on the other hand,

$$J((\zeta)) = \epsilon(\zeta)\zeta^\Phi = \lambda(\zeta)\zeta^\Phi = (\zeta, p^a(1+p)^b)\zeta^w,$$

whence

$$\left(\zeta, p^a(1+p)^b\right) = \zeta^{-w}.$$

Now we have already seen in the proofs of Propositions 3 and 5 that $(\zeta, p) = 1$. We also have, either by the explicit formulas of Artin-Hasse or by the global reciprocity law applied to the extension $\mathbf{Q}(\mu_{q^2})$ over $\mathbf{Q}(\mu_q)$,

$$(\zeta, 1+p) = \zeta^{(p-1)\log(1+p)/p}.$$

(To derive this from the global reciprocity law, use the congruence

$$\left((1+p)^{p^{n-1}(p-1)} - 1\right)/q \equiv (p-1)\log(1+p)/p \pmod{q},$$

which is elementary.) Putting these facts together, we obtain

$$b \equiv -\frac{pw}{(p-1)\log(1+p)} \pmod{q},$$

and thus we have calculated b modulo q .

The calculation of a modulo q probably depends on properties of the curve

$$y^q = x^r(1-x)^s.$$

Here we shall treat only the special case $r = s = 1$. Let C be a smooth model over \mathbf{Q} of the hyperelliptic curve

$$y^q = x(1-x),$$

and let A be the Jacobian variety of C ; let $A[2]$ be the group of points on A which are annihilated by 2. If we identify A with the group of divisor classes of degree 0 on C , then $A[2]$ is the subgroup of A generated by divisor classes of the form $[P - Q]$, where P and Q run over the fixed points of the hyperelliptic involution of C . Now relative to the equation $y^q = x(1-x)$, the hyperelliptic involution of C has the form $(x, y) \mapsto (1-x, y)$, and its fixed points are

$$(1/2, \zeta^j 4^{-1/q}), \quad 1 \leq j \leq q, \text{ and } (1, 0, 0).$$

Putting $K = \mathbf{Q}(\mu_q)$ and $L = K(2^{1/q})$, we conclude that every point of $A[2]$ is rational over L .

The next step is a standard application of ℓ -adic representations. The abelian variety A is of complex multiplication type, and therefore, for every rational prime ℓ , the Tate module $T_\ell(A)$ affords a representation

$$\rho_\ell: \text{Gal}(L_{\text{ab}}/L) \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell \otimes T_\ell(A)),$$

where L_{ab} is an abelian closure of L . After choosing a basis for $T_\ell(A)$ over \mathbf{Z}_ℓ , we may view ρ_ℓ as a map

$$\rho_\ell: \text{Gal}(L_{\text{ab}}/L) \rightarrow \text{GL}_{2g}(\mathbf{Z}_\ell),$$

with $g = (q-1)/2$. Since $A[2]$ is pointwise rational over L , the image of ρ_2 is contained in the subgroup

$$\{S \in \text{GL}_{2g}(\mathbf{Z}_2): S \equiv \text{identity matrix mod } 2\},$$

and therefore the image of ρ_2^2 is contained in the subgroup

$$\{S \in \text{GL}_{2g}(\mathbf{Z}_2): S \equiv \text{identity matrix mod } 4\}.$$

In particular, the image of ρ_2^2 is torsion-free. On the other hand, since A has potential good reduction ([7], p. 503), the image under ρ_2^2 of the inertia group of any prime above \mathfrak{p} is finite, and therefore trivial (since torsion-free). We conclude that ρ_2^2 is unramified at the primes above \mathfrak{p} .

Let us now return to the Hecke character $\alpha \mapsto J(\alpha)$, with $r = s = 1$. Since J is a Hecke character of K of type A_0 , it determines an ℓ -adic representation of $\text{Gal}(K_{\text{ab}}/K)$, and according to theorems of Davenport-Hasse [2] and Weil [8], this representation is a direct summand of the representation of $\text{Gal}(K_{\text{ab}}/K)$ on $\mathbf{Q}_\ell \otimes T_\ell(A)$. It follows that the ℓ -adic representation of $\text{Gal}(L_{\text{ab}}/L)$ determined by $J \circ N_{L/K}$ is a direct summand of the representation ρ_ℓ considered above. In particular, the Hecke character $(J \circ N_{L/K})^2$ is unramified at every prime of L above \mathfrak{p} . Let \mathfrak{P} be a prime of L above \mathfrak{p} , and let $L_{\mathfrak{P}}$ be the completion of L at \mathfrak{P} . Then for every $\alpha \in L_{\mathfrak{P}}$ such that

$$N_{L_{\mathfrak{P}}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\alpha) \in 1 + \mathfrak{p}O_{\mathfrak{p}},$$

we have

$$\lambda(N_{L_{\mathfrak{P}}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\alpha)) = 1,$$

or in other words,

$$(N_{L_{\mathfrak{P}}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\alpha), p^a(1+p)^b) = 1.$$

At this point we observe that

$$L_{\mathfrak{q}} = K_{\mathfrak{p}}(2^{1/q}) = K_{\mathfrak{p}}(2^{(p-1)/q}) \subset K_{\mathfrak{p}}((1+p)^{1/q}).$$

(The group $1 + p\mathbf{Z}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is generated by $1 + p$ topologically.) Denoting the norm from $K_{\mathfrak{p}}((1+p)^{1/q})$ to $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ simply by N , we deduce that if $\alpha \in K_{\mathfrak{p}}((1+p)^{1/q})$ satisfies

$$N(\alpha) \in 1 + \mathfrak{p}O_{\mathfrak{p}},$$

then

$$(N(\alpha), p^a(1+p)^b) = 1.$$

Now the kernel of the character $\beta \mapsto (\beta, 1+p)$ (viewed as a character of $1 + \mathfrak{p}O_{\mathfrak{p}}$) is

$$N(K_{\mathfrak{p}}((1+p)^{1/q})) \cap (1 + \mathfrak{p}O_{\mathfrak{p}}),$$

by the local reciprocity law. Hence the kernel of $\beta \mapsto (\beta, p^a(1+p)^b)$ contains the kernel of $\beta \mapsto (\beta, 1+p)$, and therefore the former character is a power of the latter. On the other hand, the characters $\beta \mapsto (\beta, p)$ and $\beta \mapsto (\beta, 1+p)$ are multiplicatively independent modulo q -th powers: this is implicit in the uniqueness of a and b modulo q (Proposition 2). It follows that

$$a \equiv 0 \pmod{q},$$

and thus we have computed a modulo q in the special case $r = s = 1$.

It remains to compute a modulo q in general. Once this is accomplished, we will have a formula which expresses the value of a Jacobi sum at a principal ideal explicitly in terms of Hilbert symbols. Questions about the conductor will then reduce to questions about the explicit reciprocity laws.

References

- [1] A. ARTIN and J. TATE: *Class Field Theory*. Benjamin (1967).
- [2] H. DAVENPORT and H. HASSE: Die Nullstellen der Kongruenzzetafunktionen in gewissen zyklischen Fällen. *J. reine angew. Math.*, 172 (1934) 151–182.
- [3] H. HASSE: Zetafunktion und L-Funktionen zu einem arithmetischen Funktionenkörper vom Fermatschen Typus. *Abhand. der Deut. Akad. der Wissen. zu Berlin* (1955).
- [4] K. IWASAWA: On explicit formulas for the norm residue symbol. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 20 (1968) 151–165.
- [5] C. JENSEN: Über die Führer einer Klasse Heckscher Größencharaktere. *Math. Scand.*, 8 (1960) 81–96.

- [6] C.-G. SCHMIDT: Über die Führer von Gauss'schen Summen als Grössencharaktere. *J. Number Theory*, 12 (1980) 283–310.
- [7] J.-P. SERRE and J. TATE: Good reduction of abelian varieties. *Ann. of Math.*, 88 (1968) 492–517.
- [8] A. WEIL: Number of solutions of equations in finite fields. *Bull. AMS*, 55 (1949) 497–508.
- [9] A. WEIL: Jacobi sums as Grössencharaktere. *Trans. AMS*, 73 (1952) 487–495.

(Oblatum 31-V-1985)

D.E. Rohrlich
Department of Mathematics
Rutgers University
New Brunswick, NJ 08903
USA

Added in proof

A complete solution to the problem has been obtained by R. Coleman and W. McCallum (to appear).