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## Numdam

# THE STABILITY OF CERTAIN CLASSES OF UNI-RULED VARIETIES 

Marc Levine

## Introduction

The first results on the deformations of ruled varieties were obtained by Kodaira and Spencer [8], where they show that all small deformations of a ruled surface are also ruled. Their argument uses the Castelnuovo-Enriques criterion for ruledness in an essential way, and thus, in view of the absence of a similar criterion for varieties of higher dimension, their method cannot be applied to the study of the deformations of a general ruled variety. In fact, our paper [9] shows that the straightforward generalization of the Kodaira-Spencer theorem is false, in that there is a ruled threefold that can be deformed into a threefold that is not ruled. Here we will study the deformations of ruled varieties, and other related varieties, and we will give some natural conditions which allow one to recover the stability results.

Section 1 is concerned with a technique of an approximate resolution of singularities in a family of varieties. In Section 2, we define the various classes of varieties that we will study, and we prove our main results in Section 3 (see especially Theorem 3.11).

We should mention that the smooth deformations of uni-ruled varieties have been studied by ourselves in [10] and in a work of Fujiki [4]. Both of these papers use somewhat different techniques from those employed in this work; the main result in both papers is that all smooth deformations of a uni-ruled variety are uni-ruled in characteristic zero. In characteristic $p>0$ a similar result holds after imposing a separability hypothesis.

If $X$ and $Y$ are cycles, intersecting properly on a smooth variety $U$, we let $X \cdot Y$ denote the cycle intersection; if $X$ and $Y$ intersect in a zero cycle, we denote the degree of $X \cdot Y$ by $I(X \cdot Y ; U)$. Subvarieties of $U$ will be identified with cycles, without additional notation, as the context requires; if $Z$ is a subscheme of $U$, we let $|Z|$ denote the associated cycle. We fix an algebraically closed base field $k$ and a discrete valuation ring $\mathcal{O}$ with residue field $k$ and quotient field $K$. Unless otherwise specified, all schemes, morphisms, and rational maps will be over $k$. If $X$ and $Y$ are
schemes, with morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$, we call $X$ a fiber variety over $Y$ if $X$ is of finite type over $Y$, and $f$ is faithfully flat with connected and reduced fibers.

If $f: V \rightarrow M$ is a flat, projective morphism, we denote the Hilbert scheme of $V$ over $M$ by $\operatorname{Hilb}(V / M)$, the universal bundle of subschemes of $V$, flat over $M$, by $h: H(V / M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hilb}(V / M)$, and the Hilbert point of a subscheme $X$ of $V$ by $h(X)$.

This paper is a modification of the author's Brandeis doctoral thesis. I am greatly indebted to my thesis advisor, Teruhisa Matsusaka, for his guidance and encouragement.

## §1. Resolution of singularities in a family

In this section we consider the following problem: suppose we are given a smooth projective fiber variety $p: V \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$ over a DVR $\mathcal{O}$, and a rational map $\phi$ from the closed fiber $V_{0}$ to a variety $Y$. How can we resolve the indeterminacy of $\phi$ by replacing $V$ with a birationally equivalent family $p^{*}: V^{*} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$, and keep the new family as smooth as possible?

We will use without comment the elementary properties of monoidal transformations; for definitions and proofs of these basic results we refer the reader to [5].

If $X$ is a noetherian scheme and $W$ a closed subscheme, we let

$$
u_{W}: X_{W} \rightarrow X
$$

denote the monoidal transformation with center $W$. Let $Y$ be a closed subscheme of $X$. We define $\operatorname{Sing}_{X}(Y)$ to be the closed subset of $Y$,

$$
\operatorname{Sing}_{X}(Y)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y \in Y \mid y \text { is not smooth on } X, \\
\text { or } y \text { is not smooth on } Y
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

Let $p: X \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$ be an $\mathcal{O}$-scheme with closed subscheme $Y$. We define $\operatorname{Sing}(Y)$ to be the closed subset of $Y$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Sing}(Y)= & \operatorname{Sing}_{X \otimes K}(Y \otimes K) \cup \operatorname{Sing}_{X \otimes k}(Y \otimes k) \\
& \cup\{y \mid X \otimes k \text { and } Y \text { do not intersect properly at } y\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In general, if $u: Y \rightarrow X$ is a birational morphism of smooth varieties, then the exceptional locus of $u$ is a pure codimension one subset of $Y$. If this exceptional locus has irreducible components $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{s}$, we call the divisor $E=\sum_{1}^{s} E_{i}$ the exceptional divisor of $u$.

The following result is an easy consequence of the basic properties of monoidal transformations, and we leave its proof to the reader.

Lemma 1.1: Let $p: X \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$ be a (quasi-) projective fiber variety over $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$ and let $W$ be a reduced closed subscheme of $X$. Let $X^{\prime}=X-$ $\operatorname{Sing}(W), W^{\prime}=W-\operatorname{Sing}(W)$ and let $u: X_{W} \rightarrow X, u^{\prime}: X_{W^{\prime}}^{\prime} \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ be the respective monoidal transformation. Then
(i) $\mathrm{p} \circ u: X_{W} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$ is a (quasi-)projective fiber variety over $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$.
Suppose further that $p: X \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$ is a smooth quasi-projective fiber variety with geometrically irreducible fibers and that $X \otimes k$ is not contained in $\operatorname{Sing}(W)$. Then
(ii) $p \circ u^{\prime}: X_{W^{\prime}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$ is a smooth quasi-projective morphism with geometrically irreducible fibers
(iii) $X_{W^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ is an open subscheme of $X_{W}$
(iv) $X_{W^{\prime}}^{\prime} \otimes K \rightarrow X \otimes K$ and $X_{W^{\prime}}^{\prime} \otimes k \rightarrow X \otimes k$ are birational morphisms.
(v) Let $\mathscr{E}$ be the exceptional divisor of $u^{\prime}$, and $E$ the exceptional divisor of $u^{\prime} \otimes k$. Then

$$
\mathscr{E} \cdot\left(X_{W}^{\prime} \otimes k\right)=E .
$$

Definition 1.2: Let $p: V \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$ be a smooth projective fiber variety of fiber dimension $n$. Suppose there is a smooth complete variety $S$ and a dominant rational map $\phi: V \otimes k \rightarrow S$. A good resolution of the singularities of $\phi$ for the family $V$ is a pair ( $u: V^{\prime} \rightarrow V, U^{\prime}$ ) where $u: V^{\prime} \rightarrow V$ is a projective birational morphism, $V^{\prime}$ is irreducible, and $U^{\prime}$ is an open subscheme of $V^{\prime}$ satisfying
(i) $p \circ u: U^{\prime} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$ is a smooth quasi-projective morphism with geometrically irreducible fibers;
(ii) the morphism $u \otimes k: U^{\prime} \otimes k \rightarrow V \otimes k$ is birational.
(iii) Let $\overline{U^{\prime} \otimes k}$ denote the closure of $U^{\prime} \otimes k$ in $V^{\prime}$. Then $\overline{U^{\prime} \otimes k}$ is smooth and the induced rational map $\phi^{\prime}: \overline{U^{\prime} \otimes k} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}, \phi^{\prime}=\phi \circ(u$ $\otimes k)$, is a morphism. Furthermore

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(\phi^{\prime}\left(\overline{U^{\prime} \otimes k}-U^{\prime} \otimes k\right)\right) \leqslant n-2 .
$$

(iv) Let $\mathscr{E} \subseteq U^{\prime}$ be the exceptional divisor of the morphism $u: U^{\prime} \rightarrow V$. Write $\mathscr{E}$ as a sum of irreducible divisors

$$
\mathscr{E}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \mathscr{E}_{i}
$$

and denote the divisor $\mathscr{E}_{i} \otimes k$ by $\mathscr{E}_{i}^{0}$. If $E$ is an irreducible component of $\mathscr{E} \cap U^{\prime} \otimes k$, then we can write the divisor $1 \cdot E$ as

$$
1 \cdot E=\sum_{i=1}^{r} n_{i} \mathscr{E}_{i}^{0}
$$

for suitable integers $n_{l}$.

We note that condition (iv) is trivially satisfied if all the $\mathscr{E}_{1}^{0}$ are irreducible; in general, (iv) states that the subgroup of the group of divisors on $U^{\prime} \otimes k$ generated by the $\mathscr{E}_{1}^{0}$ is the same as the subgroup generated by their irreducible components. We also note that (iv) refers to divisors on $U^{\prime} \otimes k$, not divisors modulo linear equivalence.

Example: Let $V=\mathbb{P}^{2} \times \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$. Blow up $V \otimes k$ at a point $p$, and then blow up this surface at a point $q$ lying on the exceptional curve. Let $S$ be the resulting surface, let $v: S \rightarrow V \otimes k$ be the blowing up morphism and let $E=E_{1}+E_{2}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}\right.$-irreducible) be the exceptional divisor of $v$, where $E_{2}$ is the exceptional divisor of the blowup at $q$. Let $\phi: V \otimes k \rightarrow S$ be the inverse to $v$. Now let $C_{1} \subseteq V$ be the image of a section $s_{1}: \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow V$ that passes through $p$, and let $u_{1}: V_{1} \rightarrow V$ be the blow up of $V$ along $C_{1}$. We identify $V_{1} \otimes k$ with the blow up of $V \otimes k$ at $p$. Let $C_{2} \subseteq V_{1}$ be the image of a section $s_{2}: \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow V_{1}$ that passes through $q$, but is not contained in the exceptional divisor of $u_{1}$. Let $u_{2}: V_{2} \rightarrow V_{1}$ be the blow up of $V_{1}$ along $C_{2}$ and let $u: V_{2} \rightarrow V$ be the composition $u_{1} \circ u_{2}$. Then ( $u$ : $\left.V_{2} \rightarrow V, V_{2}\right)$ is a good resolution of the singularities of $\phi$ for the family $V$. In fact (i)-(iii) are obvious since $V_{2}$ is smooth over $\mathcal{O}$ and since $V_{2} \otimes k$ is isomorphic to $S$. To check (iv), we note that the exceptional divisor $\mathscr{E}$ of $u: V_{2} \rightarrow V$ consists of two irreducible components $\mathscr{E}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{E}_{2}$, where $\mathscr{E}_{2}=u_{2}^{-1}\left(C_{2}\right)$ and $\mathscr{E}_{1}$ is the proper transform $u_{2}^{-1}\left[u_{1}^{-1}\left(C_{1}\right)\right]$. We have $\mathscr{E}_{1} \otimes k=E_{1}+E_{2}$ and $\mathscr{E}_{2} \otimes k=E_{2}$, so (iv) is satisfied.

Our main object is to show that a good resolution exists for each family and each rational map. Our procedure will be inductive; to help the induction along we require a slightly different notion: that of the replica of a birational morphism.

Definition 1.3: Let $p: V \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$ be a smooth quasi-projective morphism with geometrically irreducible fibers. Let $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ be smooth varieties and let $g: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ be a projective birational morphism. Suppose we have a birational morphism $f: V \otimes k \rightarrow X$ with

$$
\operatorname{codim}_{X} \overline{(X-f(V \otimes k))} \geqslant 2
$$

A replica of $g$ for the family $V$ is a pair $\left(u: V^{\prime} \rightarrow V, U^{\prime}\right)$ where $u: V^{\prime} \rightarrow V$ is a projective birational morphism, and $U^{\prime}$ is open subscheme of $V^{\prime}$ satisfying
(i) $p^{\prime}=p \circ u: U^{\prime} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$ is a smooth quasi-projective morphism with geometrically irreducible fibers,
(ii) the morphism $p^{\prime} \otimes k: U^{\prime} \otimes k \rightarrow V \otimes k$ is birational,
(iii) the induced rational map

$$
f^{\prime}: U^{\prime} \otimes k \rightarrow X^{\prime}, \quad f^{\prime}=g^{-1} \circ f \circ(u \otimes k)
$$

is a morphism and

$$
\left.\operatorname{codim}_{X^{\prime}} \overline{\left(X^{\prime}-f^{\prime}\left(U^{\prime} \otimes k\right)\right.}\right) \geqslant 2
$$

(iv) Let $\mathscr{E}$ be the exceptional divisor of $u: U^{\prime} \rightarrow V$.

Write $\mathscr{E}$ as a sum of irreducible divisors

$$
\mathscr{E}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \mathscr{E}_{i}
$$

and let $\mathscr{E}_{t}^{0}$ denote the divisor $\mathscr{E}_{i} \otimes k$. If $E$ is an irreducible component of $\mathscr{E} \otimes k$, then we can write the divisor $1 \cdot E$ as

$$
1 \cdot E=\sum_{i=1}^{r} n_{t} \mathscr{E}_{i}^{0}
$$

for suitable integers $n_{i}$.
Lemma 1.4 (Severi's method of the projecting cone): Let X be a smooth quasi-projective variety contained in a projective space $\mathbb{P}^{N}$. Let $Z, B_{1}, \ldots, B_{s}$ be subvarieties of $X$. Then there is a subvariety $\mathscr{Z}$ of $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ such that
(i) $\mathscr{Z}$ and $X$ intersect properly in $\mathbb{P}^{N}$.
(ii) $\mathscr{Z} \cdot X=Z+\sum_{i=1}^{r} Z_{i}$ as a cycle, with $Z \neq Z_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, r$ and $Z_{1} \neq Z_{\text {, }}$ for all $i \neq j ; i, j=1, \ldots, r$.
(iii) $Z_{\imath} \cap B_{j}$ is of codimension at least one on both $B_{j}$ and $Z_{l}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, r ; j=1, \ldots, s$.

Proof (see [3], [12], or [13]): In each of these works, $\mathscr{Z}$ is the cone over $Z$ with vertex a suitably general linear subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^{N}$.

The next lemma provides the key step in the inductive argument.
Lemma 1.5: Suppose $\mathcal{O}$ is equi-characteristic. Let $p: V \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$ be $a$ smooth quasi-projective morphism with geometrically irreducible fibers.

Let $X$ be a smooth variety, $C$ a smooth subvariety of $X$ of codimension at least two, $u_{C}: X_{C} \rightarrow X$ the monoidal transformation with center $C$; let $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{s}$ be irreducible subvarieties of $X_{C}$ and let $B_{i}^{\prime}$ denote the image $u_{C}\left(B_{i}\right)$ in $X$. Suppose there is a birational morphism $f: V \otimes k \rightarrow X$. Letting $P=\overline{X-f(V \otimes k)}$ and $X^{0}=X-P$, we further suppose that
(a) $\operatorname{codim}_{X}(P) \geqslant 2$,
(b) $C \cap X^{0}$ and $B_{i}^{\prime} \cap X^{0}, i=1, \ldots, s$, are nonempty,
(c) $f^{-1}$ is a morphism in a neighborhood of $C \cap X^{0}$ and $B_{i}^{\prime} \cap X^{0}, i=$ $1, \ldots, s$.

Then there is an open subset $U$ of $V$, and a subvariety $\bar{W}$ of $V$ such that
( $A$ ) ( $\left.u_{\bar{W}}: V_{\bar{W}} \rightarrow V, U_{W}\right)$ is a replica of $u_{C}$ for the family $V$, where $W=\bar{W} \cap U$.
(B) Letting $f_{W}: U_{W} \otimes k \rightarrow X_{C}$ be the induced morphism, and letting $P_{C}$ $=\overline{X_{C}-f_{W}\left(U_{W} \otimes k\right)}$ and $X_{C}^{0}=X_{C}-P_{C}$, then $B_{1} \cap X_{C}^{0}$ is nonempty for $i=1, \ldots, s$, and $f_{W}^{-1}$ is an isomorphism in a neighborhood of $B_{t} \cap X_{C}^{0}$.
(C) $W$ is smooth over $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$.

Proof: We first note that we may replace $V \otimes k$ with $V \otimes k-f^{-1}(P)$, and $V$ with $V-f^{-1}(P)$. Having done so, and changing notation, we may assume that $f(V \otimes k)$ is contained in $X^{0}$. We may also assume $X=X^{0}$.

Noting that $f^{-1}$ is an isomorphism in a neighborhood of $C$ and the $B_{i}^{\prime}$, we let $\tilde{C}=f^{-1}(C), \tilde{B}_{t}=f^{-1}\left(B_{i}^{\prime}\right)$.

We may assume that $V$ is a $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$ subscheme of $\mathbb{P}_{k}^{N} \times{ }_{k} \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$. By Lemma 1.4 , there is a subvariety $Z$ of $\mathbb{P}_{k}^{N}$ such that
(1) $Z \cap V \otimes k$ is proper; $Z \cdot(V \otimes k)=\tilde{C}+\sum_{t=1}^{r} D_{t}$,
(2) $D_{1} \neq D_{J}$ for $i \neq j$ and $D_{1} \neq \tilde{C}$ for all $i$,
(3) $D_{l} \cap \tilde{B}_{J}$ is of codimension at least one on both $D_{l}$ and $\tilde{B}_{J}$, for all $i=1, \ldots, r$ and $j=1, \ldots, s$.
Let $\bar{W}$ be the closed subscheme $(Z \times \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})) \cap V$ of $V$ and let $T$ be the closed subset of $V$

$$
T=\operatorname{Sing}(\bar{W}) \cup\left(\bigcup_{\imath} D_{\imath}\right)
$$

Note that (b) and (c), together with statements (1)-(3) imply that (4) $\operatorname{codim}_{V}(T \otimes k) \geqslant 2$; neither $C$ nor any $B_{\prime}^{\prime}$ is contained in $f(T \otimes k)$.

Let $U$ be the open subscheme $V-T$ of $V$. Let $\bar{C}$ and $\bar{B}$, denote the intersection of $U$ with $\tilde{C}$ and $\tilde{B}_{J}$ respectively. Then from (1)-(3) it follows that
(5) $\bar{W} \cap U \otimes k$ is proper; $\bar{W} \cdot(U \otimes k)=\bar{C} ; \bar{B}_{J} \cap(U \otimes k) \neq \emptyset$.

If $\bar{W}$ is reducible, replace $\bar{W}$ with an irreducible component $\bar{W}^{0}$ such that $\bar{W}^{0} \cap(U \otimes k)=\bar{C}$; changing notation, we may assume that $\bar{W}$ is irreducible.

Let $W=U \cap \bar{W}$ and let $u_{W}: U_{W} \rightarrow U, u_{\bar{W}}: V_{\bar{W}} \rightarrow V$ be the respective monoidal transformations. We claim that $\left(u_{\bar{W}}: V_{\bar{W}} \rightarrow V, U_{W}\right)$ is a replica of $u_{C}$.

Conditions (i) and (ii) of Definition 1.3 are immediate from our construction. For condition (iii) we note the following diagram of rational maps

where $f_{W}$ is defined to make the diagram commute.
Since $f^{-1}$ is an isomorphism in a neighborhood of $C \cap X^{0}$ and since

$$
f_{\mid U \otimes k}^{-1}\left(C \cap X^{0}\right)=\bar{C}=W \cap(U \otimes k),
$$

it follows that $u_{W} \otimes k: U_{W} \otimes k \rightarrow U \otimes k$ is the monoidal transformation with center $\bar{C}$, and $f_{W}$ is a birational morphism. Let $P_{C}$ be the closed subset $\overline{X_{C}-f_{W}\left(U_{W} \otimes k\right)}$ of $X_{C}$. By (6), we see that

$$
P_{C} \subseteq u_{C}^{-1}(P) \cup u_{C}^{-1}(f(T))
$$

Suppose there was an irreducible component $A$ of $P_{C}$ of codimension one on $X_{C}$. Then

$$
u_{C}(A) \subseteq P \cup f(T)
$$

and as $\operatorname{codim}_{X}(P \cup f(T)) \geqslant 2$, it follows that

$$
u_{C}(A) \subseteq C \cap(P \cup f(T))
$$

and hence

$$
A \subseteq u_{C}^{-1}(C \cap(P \cup f(T)))
$$

But by (b), (c), and (4), $P \cup f(T)$ does not contain $C$. Thus $\operatorname{codim}_{X_{C}}\left[u_{C}^{-1}(C \cap(P \cup f(T)))\right]$ is at least two, and no such $A$ could exist. This completes the verification of (iii) in Definition 1.3. We now check (iv).

As $W$ is smooth and irreducible, the exceptional divisor $\mathscr{E}$ of $u_{W}$ is the irreducible subvariety $u_{W}^{-1}(W)$ taken with multiplicity one. From the diagram (6), we have

$$
\mathscr{E} \otimes k=\left(u_{W} \otimes k\right)^{-1}(\bar{C}) .
$$

As the right hand side is irreducible, property (iv) is clear and the proof of (A) is complete.
(B) follows easily from (5) and diagram (6); to show (C), we note that $W$ is flat over $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$ since $W \otimes K$ and $W \otimes k$ have the same dimension. As both $W \otimes K$ and $W \otimes k$ are smooth, $W$ is smooth over $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$ by $[6$, exp. II, thm. 2.1]. This completes the proof of the lemma.
Q.E.D.

Proposition 1.6: Assume $\mathcal{O}$ is equi-characteristic. Let p: V $\rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$ be a smooth quasi-projective morphism with geometrically irreducible fibers. Let $X$ be a smooth variety and let

$$
v_{t}=u_{C_{i}}: X_{i+1} \rightarrow X_{i}, \quad i=0, \ldots, n-1
$$

be a sequence of monoidal transformations with smooth center $C_{1} \subseteq X_{1}$. Let $C_{\imath}^{\prime}$ denote the image of $C_{1}$ in $X_{0}$ for $i=0, \ldots, n-1$ and let $v: X_{n} \rightarrow X$ denote the composition $v=v_{0} \circ \ldots \circ v_{n-1}$. Suppose there is a birational morphism $f: V \otimes k \rightarrow X$. Letting $P=X-f(V), X^{0}=X-P$, we further suppose that (a) $\operatorname{codim}_{X}(P) \geqslant 2$;
(b) $C_{t}^{\prime} \cap X^{0}$ is non-empty, for $i=0, \ldots, n-1$;
(c) $f^{-1}$ is an isomorphism in a neighborhood of $C_{i}^{\prime} \cap X^{0}$, for $i=0, \ldots, n-1$.

Then there is a sequence of monoidal transformations

$$
u_{t}=u_{W_{i}}: V_{t+1} \rightarrow V_{i} ; \quad i=0, \ldots, n-1 ; \quad V_{0}=V,
$$

with irreducible center $W_{1} \subseteq V_{i}$, and open subsets $U_{1}$ of $V_{1}$ such that $U_{i}$ and $U_{1} \cap W_{t}$ are smooth over $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}), u_{i}\left(U_{1+1}\right)$ is contained in $U_{i}$, and

$$
\left(u: V_{n} \rightarrow V ; U_{n}\right)
$$

is a replica of $v$ for the family $V$, where $u$ is the composition, $u=$ $u_{0} \circ \ldots \circ u_{n-1}$.

Proof: We proceed by induction on $n$, the case $n=0$ being trivial. Let $C_{\imath}^{\prime \prime}$ denote the image of $C_{1}$ in $X_{1}$, for $i \geqslant 1$. By Lemma 1.5, there is a subvariety $W_{0}$ of $V$, and an open subscheme $U_{0}$ of $V$, such that,
(1) $\left(u_{W_{0}}: V_{1} \rightarrow V ; U_{1}\right)$ is a replica of $v_{0}: X_{1} \rightarrow X$ for $V$, where $V_{1}=V_{W_{0}}$, $U_{1}=\left(U_{0}\right)_{\left(W_{0} \cap U_{0}\right)}$.
(2) Let $f_{1}: U_{1} \otimes k \rightarrow X_{1}$ be the morphism, $f_{1}=v_{0}^{-1} \circ f \circ\left(u_{W_{0}} \otimes k\right)$, let $P_{1}=X_{1}-f_{1}\left(U_{1} \otimes k\right)$, and let $X_{1}^{0}=X_{1}-P_{1}$. Then $X_{1}^{0} \cap C_{1}^{\prime \prime} \neq \emptyset$ and $f_{1}^{-1}$ is an isomorphism in a neighborhood of $X_{1}^{0} \cap C_{1}^{\prime \prime}$, for each $i=1, \ldots, n-1$.
(3) $W_{0} \cap U_{0}$ is smooth over $\mathcal{O}$.

From (1) and (2), we see that the family $p_{1}: U_{1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}), p_{1}=p \circ u_{W_{0}}$, the sequence of monoidal transformations

$$
v_{l}: X_{i+1} \rightarrow X_{l}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n-1
$$

and the birational morphism

$$
f_{1}: U_{1} \otimes k \rightarrow X_{1}
$$

satisfy the hypotheses of the proposition. Let $v^{1}$ be the composition $v^{1}=v_{1} \circ \ldots \circ v_{n}$. By induction, there is a sequence of monoidal transformations

$$
u_{t}^{1}=u_{W_{1}^{1}}: V_{t+1}^{1} \rightarrow V_{i}^{1} ; \quad i=1, \ldots, n-1 ; \quad V_{l}^{1}=U_{1}
$$

with irreducible center $W_{l}^{1} \subseteq V_{t}^{1}$, and open subsets $U_{l}^{1}$ of $V_{l}^{1}$ such that $U_{1}{ }^{1}$ and $U_{1}{ }^{1} \cap W_{t}^{1}$ are smooth over $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$, and

$$
\left(u^{1}: V_{n}^{1} \rightarrow U_{1} ; U_{n}^{1}\right) ; \quad u^{1}=u_{n-1}^{1} \circ \ldots \circ u_{1}^{1},
$$

is replica of $v^{1}$ for the family $U_{1}$. Define $\mathcal{O}$-varieties $V_{1}, i=1, \ldots, n$, and subvarieties $W_{l}, i=1, \ldots, n-1$, of $V_{l}$ inductively by letting $W_{l}$ be the closure of $W_{1}^{1}$ in $V_{1}$ and letting $V_{t+1}$ be the monoidal transform of $V_{l}$ with center $W_{l}$. Clearly $V_{t}^{1}$ is a subscheme of $V_{i}$; let $U_{t}$ be the open subscheme $U_{1}{ }^{1}$ of $V_{i}$, and let $u: V_{n} \rightarrow V$ be the composition of the monoidal transformations

$$
u_{t}=u_{W_{1}}: V_{t+1} \rightarrow V_{t}
$$

We claim that ( $u: V_{n} \rightarrow V ; U_{n}$ ) is a replica of $v$ for the family $V$. Indeed, properties (i)-(iii) of Definition 1.3 follow immediately from the fact that $\left(u^{1}: V_{n}^{1} \rightarrow U_{1} ; U_{n}\right)$ and ( $u_{W_{0}}: V_{1} \rightarrow V ; U_{1}$ ) are replicas for $v^{1}$ and $v_{0}$ respectively. It remains to check condition (iv).

Let $\mathscr{E}$ be the exceptional divisor of $u: U_{n} \rightarrow V$, and write $\mathscr{E}$ as a sum of irreducible divisors

$$
\mathscr{E}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \mathscr{E}_{i}
$$

Let $\mathscr{E}^{1}$ be the exceptional divisor of $u^{1}: U_{n} \rightarrow U_{1}$. From the factorization of $u$,

$$
U_{n} \rightarrow u^{1} U_{1} \rightarrow V
$$

we see that we may take one of the irreducible components of $\mathscr{E}$, say $\mathscr{E}_{r}$, to be the proper transform of $u_{W_{0}}^{-1}\left(W_{0}\right)$ under $\left(u^{1}\right)^{-1}$. In addition, we can write $\mathscr{E}^{1}$ as

$$
\mathscr{E}^{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \mathscr{E}_{i} .
$$

Furthermore, since $U_{i}$ and $U_{i} \cap W_{i}$ are smooth over $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$, the exceptional locus of $u^{1} \otimes k: U_{n} \otimes k \rightarrow U_{1} \otimes k$ is $\mathscr{E}^{1} \cap\left(U_{n} \otimes k\right)$, as one sees by an easy induction.

Let $E$ be an irreducible component of $\mathscr{E} \otimes k$. If $E$ is an exceptional subvariety for $u^{1} \otimes k$, then $E$ is a component of $\mathscr{E}^{1} \otimes k$. As $\left(u^{1}: V_{n}^{1} \rightarrow U_{1}\right.$; $U_{n}$ ) is a replica of $v^{1}$, we can write $1 \cdot E$ as a sum

$$
1 \cdot E=\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} n_{i}\left(\mathscr{E}_{i} \otimes k\right)
$$

for suitable integers $n_{l}$. In case $u^{1} \otimes k$ does not collapse $E$, we must have

$$
\overline{\left(u^{1} \otimes k\right)(E)}=u_{W_{0}}^{-1}\left(W_{0}\right) \cap\left(U_{1} \otimes k\right) .
$$

Thus each irreducible component of $1 \cdot E-\mathscr{E}_{r} \otimes k$ is exceptional for $u^{1} \otimes k$, and we are reduced to the preceeding case. This completes the verification of (iv), and the proof of the proposition.
Q.E.D.

We now prove the main result of this section.
Theorem 1.7: Let p: $V \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$ be a smooth projective fiber variety of fiber dimension n. Suppose $\mathcal{O}$ is equi-characteristic and that either $\operatorname{char}(\mathcal{O})$ $>5$ and $n \leqslant 3$, or $\operatorname{char}(\mathcal{O})=0$. Suppose there is a smooth complete variety $S$ and a dominant rational map $\phi: V \otimes k \rightarrow S$. Then there is a good resolution of the singularities of $\phi$ for the family $V$.

Proof: By [2] in case $\operatorname{char}(\mathcal{O})>5$ and $n \leqslant 3$, or by [7] in case $\operatorname{char}(\mathcal{O})=0$, there is a birational morphism $v: X \rightarrow V \otimes k$ that can be factored into a sequence of monoidal transformations with smooth center, such that the induced rational map $\tilde{\phi}: X \rightarrow S$ is a morphism. Let $\left(u_{1}: V_{1} \rightarrow V, U_{1}\right)$ be a replica of $v$ for the family $V$. Let $\overline{U_{1} \otimes k}$ be the closure of $U_{1} \otimes k$ in $V_{1}$, and let

$$
\overline{\phi_{1}}: \overline{U_{1} \otimes k} \rightarrow S
$$

be the induced rational map $\bar{\phi}_{1}=\phi \circ v^{-1} \circ\left(u_{1} \otimes k\right) . U_{1}$ and $U_{1} \otimes k$ are smooth and $\phi_{1}: U_{1} \otimes k \rightarrow S$ is a morphism. Applying [2] or [7] again, there is a projective birational morphism $u_{2}: V^{\prime} \rightarrow V_{1}$ that resolves the singularities of the variety $\overline{U_{1} \otimes k}$ and the indeterminacy of the map $\bar{\phi}_{1}$. In addition, $u_{2}^{-1}$ is an isomorphism when restricted to $U_{1}$. Let $U^{\prime}=$ $u_{2}^{-1}\left(U_{1}\right)$, and let $u^{\prime}: V^{\prime} \rightarrow V$ be the composition $u^{\prime}=u_{1} \circ u_{2}$. We claim that ( $\left.u^{\prime}: V^{\prime} \rightarrow V, U^{\prime}\right)$ is a good resolution of the singularities of $\phi$ for the family $V$.

Let $\phi^{\prime}: U^{\prime} \otimes k \rightarrow S$ be the induced morphism $\phi^{\prime}=\bar{\phi}_{1} \circ\left(u_{2} \otimes k\right)$.
Let $f_{1}: U_{1} \otimes k \rightarrow X$ be the birational morphism, $f_{1}=v^{-1} \circ\left(u_{1} \otimes k\right)$. Let $F \subseteq X$ be the closure of the fundamental locus of $f_{1}^{-1}$. Then

$$
\phi^{\prime}\left(\overline{U^{\prime} \otimes k}-U^{\prime} \otimes k\right) \subseteq \tilde{\phi}\left[\left(X-f_{1}\left(U_{1} \otimes k\right)\right) \cup F\right]
$$

But $\operatorname{codim}_{X}\left(X-f_{1}\left(U_{1} \otimes k\right)\right)$ is at least two, since $\left(u_{1}: V_{1} \rightarrow V ; U_{1}\right)$ is a replica of $v$. Also $\operatorname{codim}_{X}(F)$ is at least two. Thus

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(\phi^{\prime}\left(\overline{U^{\prime} \otimes k}-U^{\prime} \otimes k\right)\right) \leqslant n-2
$$

This verifies condition (iii) in definition 1.2. Conditions (i), (ii), and (iv)
follow from the isomorphism $u_{2}: U^{\prime} \rightarrow U_{1}$ and the fact that $\left(u_{1}: V_{1} \rightarrow V\right.$, $\left.U_{1}\right)$ is a replica of $v$. Q.E.D.

## §2. Ruled, quasi-ruled, and strongly uni-ruled varieties

In this section we define our notion of stability, we introduce the classes of varieties which are natural higher dimensional generalizations of the class of ruled surfaces, and we verify the easy half of a criterion for stability of these classes.

Definition 2.1: Let $X$ be a variety of dimension $n$, and let $Y$ be a variety of dimension $n-1$.
(i) $X$ is said to be ruled over $Y$ if $X$ is birationally isomorphic to $Y \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. The induced rational map $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ is called a ruling of $X$.
(ii) $X$ is said to be quasi-ruled over $Y$ if there is a dominant separable rational map $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\phi^{-1}(y)$ is an irreducible rational curve for all $y$ in a Zariski open subset of $Y$.
(iii) $X$ is called uni-ruled if there is a ruled variety $W$ of dimension $n$, and a dominant rational map $\phi: W \rightarrow X$.
(iv) $X$ is called strongly uni-ruled if there is a variety $W$, birationally isomorphic to $X$, a variety $Z$ of dimension $n-1$, and a subvariety $\mathscr{Z}$ of $Z \times W$ such that
(a) $p_{1}: \mathscr{Z} \rightarrow Z$ is smooth and proper,
(b) $p_{1}^{-1}(z)$ is a rational curve for all $z$ in $Z$,
(c) $p_{2}: \mathscr{Z} \rightarrow W$ is etale.

The notions of ruledness, quasi-ruledness, uni-ruledness and strong uniruledness are birational in nature.

Before discussing these varieties further, we will prove a lemma that will aid in their description.

Lemma 2.2: Let $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a dominant rational map of varieties with $X$, $Y$, and $\phi$ defined over a field $k$. Let $y$ be a generic point of $Y$ over $k$. Suppose that $\phi^{-1}(y)$ is a complete non-singular rational curve, say $C_{y}$. If there is a divisor $D \subseteq X$ such that $C_{y} \cap D$ is contained in the smooth locus of $X$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(C_{y} \cdot D\right)=1$, then $X$ is ruled over $Y$ via $\phi$.

Proof: Let $K \supseteq k$ be a field of definition for $D$ and let $y^{\prime}$ be a generic point of $Y$ over $K$. By our assumptions, $C_{y^{\prime}}=\phi^{-1}\left(y^{\prime}\right)$ is defined over the field $K\left(y^{\prime}\right)$. Let $x$ be a generic point of $C_{y^{\prime}}$ over $K\left(y^{\prime}\right)$, hence $x$ is also a generic point of $X$ over $K$. The divisor $\mathscr{A}=D \cdot C_{y^{\prime}}$ is rational over $K\left(y^{\prime}\right)$, hence by Riemann-Roch, there is a function $t_{1} \in K\left(y^{\prime}\right)(x)$ such that

$$
\left(t_{1}\right)+\mathscr{A}=1 \cdot x_{0}, \quad x_{0} \in C_{y^{\prime}}
$$

Thus $x_{0}$ is a $K\left(y^{\prime}\right)$ rational point of $C_{y^{\prime}}$. Again by Riemann-Roch, there is a function $t \in K\left(y^{\prime}\right)(x)$ with

$$
(t)=x_{0}-x_{\infty}, \quad x_{\infty} \in C_{y^{\prime}}
$$

Thus $t: C_{y^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ is an isomorphism and hence

$$
K(x)=K\left(y^{\prime}\right)(x)=K\left(y^{\prime}\right)(t)
$$

which proves the lemma.
Q.E.D.

Remark: Suppose $X$ is a variety defined over $k$. If $\operatorname{char}(k)=0$, or if $\operatorname{char}(k)>5$ and $\operatorname{dim}(X) \leqslant 3$, then
(i) if $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ is a quasi-ruling then $\phi$ is a ruling if and only if $\phi$ admits a rational section $s: Y \rightarrow X$. This follows from the lemma once we replace $X$ with a smooth projective variety $X^{*}$, birationally isomorphic to $X$, such that the induced rational map $\phi^{*}$ : $X^{*} \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism;
(ii) if $X$ is a ruled variety when $X$ is a quasi-ruled variety;
(iii) if $X$ is a quasi-ruled variety, then $X$ is a strongly uni-ruled variety, for suppose $X$ is quasi-ruled over $Y$ via $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$. As above there is a smooth projective $X^{*}$ birationally ismorphic to $X$ such that the induced rational map $\phi^{*}: X^{*} \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism. If $Y_{0}$ is an open subset of $Y$ such that $\phi^{*}$ is smooth over $Y_{0}$, then the graph of $\phi^{*}$, restricted to $X^{*}, Y_{0}$, exhibits $X$ as a strongly uni-ruled variety.
(iv) If $X$ is a strongly uni-ruled variety then $X$ is a uni-ruled variety, for suppose $X$ is strongly uni-ruled via a family $\mathscr{Z}$ of curves on $W$ parametrized by $Z$,

$$
\mathscr{Z} \subseteq Z \times W
$$

where $W$ is a variety birationally isomorphic to $X$. Let $p_{1}: \mathscr{Z} \rightarrow Z$, $p_{2}: \mathscr{Z} \rightarrow W$ be the restrictions to $\mathscr{Z}$ of the projections on the first and second factor, respectively. Let $q: Z^{\prime} \rightarrow Z$ be a quasi-finite morphism such that the pullback $\mathscr{Z}^{\prime}$,

$$
\mathscr{Z}^{\prime}=\mathscr{Z} \times{ }_{Z} Z^{\prime}
$$

admits a rational section to the morphism $p_{Z^{\prime}}: \mathscr{Z}^{\prime} \rightarrow Z^{\prime}$. By (i) $\mathscr{Z}^{\prime}$ is ruled over $Z^{\prime}$, furthermore the morphism $p_{2}{ }^{\circ} p: \mathscr{Z}^{\prime} \rightarrow W$ exhibits $W$, and hence $X$, as a uni-ruled variety.
(v) Suppose that $X$ is a projective variety defined over $k$, and let $x$ be a generic point of $X$ over $k$. Then $X$ is uni-ruled if and only if there is a rational curve on $X$ containing $x$. See Lemma 2 of [10] for proof.
The celebrated example of the cubic threefold was shown by Clemens-Griffiths to be uni-rational but not rational; one easily deduces
from this that the cubic threefold is not ruled. It is quasi-ruled (in fact a conic bundle) by the conics cut out by the $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ of planes passing through a line contained in the threefold, which gives an example of a quasi-ruled variety that is not ruled. More generally, it is easy to show that any non-trivial conic bundle over a base $S$, where $S$ is not uni-ruled, is quasi-ruled but not ruled. See the discussion following Theorem 3.4 for an example of a strongly uni-ruled variety that is not quasi-ruled.

We do not know of an example of a uni-ruled variety that is not strongly uni-ruled. It is not difficult, however, to construct a family of rational curves on a variety $V$ which exhibit $V$ as a uni-ruled variety, but not as a strongly uni-ruled variety. For example, if $x$ is a general point of a general quartic threefold $V$, then the intersection of $V$, the tangent hyperplane to $V$ at $x$, and the tangent cone to $V$ at $x$ gives a degree eight rational curve $C_{x}$ with an eight-tuple point at $x$. If $S$ is a general surface section of $V$, then the curves $C_{x}$, as $x$ runs over $S$, gives a uni-ruling of $V$. This is not a strong uni-ruling, since in a strong uni-ruling the $k$-closure of the singular points of the generic curve in the uni-ruling must have codimension at least two in the ambient variety.

Definition 2.3: Let $\mathscr{P}$ be a class of nonsingular projective varieties. We say that $\mathscr{P}$ is stable under small deformations (in the algebraic sense) if, given a variety $X_{0}$ in $\mathscr{P}$ and smooth projective fiber variety

$$
p: X \rightarrow M
$$

over a variety $M$, with

$$
X_{0}=p^{-1}\left(m_{0}\right), \quad m_{0} \in M
$$

then there is a Zariski neighborhood $U$ of $m_{0}$ in $M$ such that the variety $p^{-1}(m)$ is in $\mathscr{P}$ for all $m$ in $U$.

Lemma 2.4: Let $\mathscr{P}$ be a class of smooth projective varieties such that
(i) If $p: X \rightarrow M$ is a smooth projective fiber variety over a variety $M$, and if $X \otimes k(M)$ is in $\mathscr{P}$, then there is an open subset $U$ of $M$ such that $p^{-1}(u)$ is in $\mathscr{P}$ for all $u$ in $U$;
(ii) if $p: X \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(\mathscr{D})$ is a smooth projective fiber variety over an equicharacteristic DVR $\mathscr{D}$, with quotient field $L$ and algebraically closed residue field $K_{0}$, and if $X \otimes K_{0}$ is in $\mathscr{P}$, then $X \otimes L$ is in $\mathscr{P}$. Then $\mathscr{P}$ is stable under small deformations.

Proof: This follows by a simple noetherian induction.
Lemma 2.5: Let $p: X \rightarrow M$ be a proper fiber variety over a variety $M$. Suppose there is a variety $Y_{M}$, defined and proper over $k(M)$, and a
dominant rational (resp. birational) map $\psi_{M}: X \otimes k(M) \rightarrow Y_{M}$, also defined over $k(M)$. Then there is an open subset $U$ of $M$, a flat and proper morphism $q: Y \rightarrow U$ and a subvariety $\Gamma$ of $p^{-1}(U) \times_{U} Y$ such that
(i) $Y \otimes k(U)$ is $k(U)=k(M)$ isomorphic to $Y_{M} ; \Gamma \otimes k(U)$ is the graph of $\psi_{M}$;
(ii) $Y \otimes k(u)$ is reduced and geometrically irreducible for each $u$ in $U$;
(iii) $\Gamma \otimes k(u)$ is the graph of a dominant rational (resp. birational) map $\psi_{u}: X \otimes k(u) \rightarrow Y \otimes k(u)$, for each $u$ in $U$.

Proof: $Y_{M}$ defines by specialization a proper fiber-variety $\bar{Y}_{M}$ over an open subset $U_{1}$ of $M$. Let $U_{2}$ be an open subset of $M_{1}$ over which $\bar{Y}_{M}$ is flat, and such that $\bar{Y}_{M} \otimes k(u)$ is reduced and geometrically irreducible for each $u$ of $U_{1}$. Let $\Gamma_{M}$ denote the graph of $\psi_{M}$, and let $\bar{\Gamma}_{M}$ denote the $k$-closure of $\Gamma_{M}$ in $X \times_{M} \bar{Y}_{M}$. As $\Gamma_{M}$ is $k(M)$-closed, $\bar{\Gamma}_{M} \otimes k(M)=\Gamma_{M}$. If $\psi_{M}$ is a birational map, we take $U$ to be an open subset of $U_{2}$ such that $\bar{\Gamma}_{M}$ is flat over $U, \bar{\Gamma}_{M} \otimes k(u)$ is reduced and geometrically irreducible for each $u$ of $U$, and such that

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(\bar{\Gamma}_{M} \otimes k(u) / X \otimes k(u)\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(\bar{\Gamma}_{M} \otimes k(u) / \bar{Y}_{M} \otimes k(u)\right)=1
$$

for each $u$ in $U$. If $\psi_{M}$ is merely a dominant rational map, we take $U$ to be an open subset as above, only we require that

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(\bar{\Gamma}_{M} \otimes k(u) / X \otimes k(u)\right)=1
$$

and that $p_{2}: \bar{\Gamma}_{M} \otimes k(u) \rightarrow \bar{Y}_{M} \otimes k(u)$ is dominant for each in $U$. Letting $\Gamma=\bar{\Gamma}_{M} \times{ }_{M} U$ and $Y=\bar{Y}_{M} \times{ }_{M} U$ completes the proof.
Q.E.D.

Proposition 2.6: Let $\mathscr{P}_{1}$ be the class of strongly uni-ruled varieties, $\mathscr{P}_{2}$ the class of quasi-ruled varieties, and $\mathscr{P}_{3}$ the class of ruled varieties. Then $\mathscr{P}_{1}$, $\mathscr{P}_{2}$, and $\mathscr{P}_{3}$ satisfy condition (i) of Lemma 2.4.

Proof: Let $p: X \rightarrow M$ be a smooth projective fiber variety over a variety $M$. Let $X_{M}$ denote the generic fiber $X \otimes k(M)$
(1) Suppose $X_{M}$ is strongly uni-ruled. Then there is a variety $W_{M}$, a variety $Z_{M}$ and a subvariety $\mathscr{Z}_{M}$ of $Z_{M} \times W_{M}$ satisfying conditions (a)-(c) of Definition 2.1 (iv). We may assume that $W_{M}, Z_{M}$ and $\mathscr{Z}_{M}$ are defined over a finite field extension $L$ of $k(M)$, and that $X_{M} \otimes L$ and $W_{M}$ are birational over $L$. Replacing $M$ with its normalization in $L$, and changing notation, we may assume that $L=k(M)$. Let $\bar{W}_{M}$ and $\bar{Z}_{M}$ be varieties proper over $k(M)$, containing $W_{M}$ and $Z_{M}$ respectively, as $k(M)$-open subsets. By Lemma 2.5, there is an open subset $U$ of $M$, and proper morphisms $q: \bar{Z} \rightarrow U, r: \bar{W} \rightarrow U$ such that
(i) $\bar{Z} \otimes k(U)=\bar{Z}_{M} ; \bar{W} \otimes k(U)=\bar{W}_{M}$;
(ii) $\bar{Z} \otimes k(u)$ and $\bar{W} \otimes k(u)$ are reduced and geometrically irreducible for each $u$ in $U$;
(iii) $\bar{W} \otimes k(u)$ is birational to $X \otimes K(u)$ for each $u$ in $U$.

Let $\overline{\mathscr{Z}}$ be the $k$-closure of $\mathscr{Z}_{M}$ in $\bar{Z} \times{ }_{U} \bar{W}$. As $\mathscr{Z}_{M}$ is smooth and proper over $Z_{M}$, and etale over $W_{M}$, there is an open subset $Z$ of $\bar{Z}$ such that
(iv) (a) $\overline{\mathscr{Z}} \cap p_{1}^{-1}(Z)$ is smooth and proper over $Z$;
(b) $\overline{\mathscr{Z}} \cap p_{1}^{-1}(Z)$ is etale over $\bar{W}$.

By Lemma 5 of [13], we have
(v) $p_{1}^{-1}(z)$ is a rational curve, for each $z$ in $Z$. Let $\mathscr{Z}=\overline{\mathscr{Z}} \cap p_{1}^{-1}(Z)$.

As smooth, proper, and etale morphisms are stable under base change, we have
(vi) (a) $\mathscr{Z} \otimes k(u)$ is smooth and proper over $Z \otimes k(u)$;
(b) $\mathscr{Z} \otimes k(u)$ is etale over $\bar{W} \otimes k(u)$.

By (iii), (v), and (vi), $X \otimes k(u)$ is strongly uni-ruled.
(2) Suppose $X_{M}$ is quasi ruled via $\phi_{M}: X_{M} \rightarrow Y_{M}$. Arguing as in (1), we may assume that $Y_{M}$ and $\phi_{M}$ are defined over $k(M)$, and that $Y_{M}$ is proper over $k(M)$. Apply Lemma 2.5 to $Y_{M}$ and $\phi_{M}$, and let $p: Y \rightarrow U$, $\Gamma \subseteq p^{-1}(U) \times_{U} Y$ be as given by that lemma. By assumption, the generic fiber of $\phi_{M}$ is an irreducible rational curve, and $\phi_{M}$ is separable. In particular, there is an open subset of $X_{M}$ that is smooth over $Y_{M}$; thus there is an open subset $\Gamma_{0}$ of $\Gamma$, smooth over $Y$. Shrinking $U$ if necessary, we may assume that the rational map $\phi_{u}: X \otimes k(u) \rightarrow Y \otimes k(u)$ defined by the graph $\Gamma \otimes k(u)$ is separable, and that the generic fiber of $\phi_{u}$ is irreducible. By Lemma 5 of [13], the generic fiber of $\phi_{u}$ is a rational curve, hence $X \otimes k(u)$ is quasi-ruled for each $u$ in $U$.
(3) If $X_{M}$ is a ruled variety, then our result follows from Theorem 1.1 of [11].
Q.E.D.

A similar result also holds for the class of uni-ruled varieties. As the uni-ruled varieties (in characteristic zero) have been shown to be stable under smooth deformation (see [4] and [10]), we omit the proof.

## §3. Stability

In this section we will prove our main results on the stability of ruled, quasi-ruled and strongly uni-ruled varieties. We first prove a result about the deformations of rational curves.

Definition 3.1: Let $p: V \rightarrow M$ be a flat projective morphism of schemes. Let $O$ be a point of $U$, and let $Z$ be a subscheme of $p^{-1}(0)$. A locally closed irreducible subset $Y$ of $\operatorname{Hilb}(V / M)$ is called a maximal algebraic family of deformations of $Z$ in $V$ if
(i) $h(Z) \in Y$;
(ii) if $T \rightarrow M$ is an $M$-scheme, $O_{T}$ a point of $T$ over $O, Z \subset T \times{ }_{M} V$ a subscheme flat over $T$ such that $k\left(O_{T}\right) \otimes \mathscr{Z}=Z$, and $f: T \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Hilb}(V / M)$ the morphism induced by $\mathscr{Z}$, then there is an open neighborhood $U$ of $O_{T}$ in $T$ such that $f(U)$ is contained in $Y$.

Proposition 3.2: Let $p: V \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$ be a projective morphism and let $U$ be an open subset of $V$, smooth over $\mathcal{O}$ with geometrically irreducible fibers of dimension $n$. Suppose $U \otimes k$ contains a complete smooth rational curve $X$ with

$$
N_{X / U \otimes k} \cong\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)^{n-1}
$$

Then there is a subvariety $Y$ of $\operatorname{Hilb}(V / \mathcal{O})$ such that, letting $\mathscr{Y}$ be the pullback $Y \times_{\text {Hılb }} H(V / \mathcal{O})$, we have
(i) $Y$ is a maximal algebraic family of deformations of $X$ in $V$;
(ii) the morphism $g: Y \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$ induced by the inclusion of $Y$ into $\operatorname{Hilb}(V / \mathcal{O})$ is smooth, and the fibers of $g$ have dimension $n-1$.
(iii) $\mathscr{Y}$ is a subscheme of $Y \times_{\text {spec }(0)} U$;
(iv) $\mathscr{Y}$ is smooth and proper over $Y$ and etale over $U$;
$(v)$ each fiber of $p_{1}: \mathscr{Y} \rightarrow Y$ is a rational curve.
In particular, $U \otimes k$, and $U \otimes K$ are strongly uni-ruled.
Proof: Let $Y^{\prime}$ be an irreducible component of $\operatorname{Hilb}(V / \mathcal{O})$ containing $h(X)$. Since

$$
h^{1}\left(X ; N_{X / U \otimes k}\right)=h^{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1} ;\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}\right)^{n-1}\right)=0
$$

and since $U$ is smooth over $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$, we have
(a) $Y^{\prime}$ is the only component of $\operatorname{Hilb}(V / \mathcal{O})$ passing through $h(X)$;
(b) $h(X)$ is smooth on $Y^{\prime}$;
(c) $T_{h(X)}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)$ is isomorphic to $H^{0}\left(X, N_{X / U}\right)$,
by corollary 5.2, exp. III of [6]. We now give a description of the isomorphism in (c).

Let $\mathscr{Y}^{\prime} \subseteq Y^{\prime} \times{ }_{\mathrm{Spec}(0)} V$ be the subscheme induced by the inclusion of $Y^{\prime}$ in $\operatorname{Hilb}(V / \mathcal{O})$.

Denoting $h(X)$ by 0 , we note that $\mathscr{Y}_{0}^{\prime}=\mathscr{Y}^{\prime} \otimes k(0)$ is isomorphic to $X$, hence is a smooth variety. As $\mathscr{Y}^{\prime}$ is flat over $Y^{\prime}$, this implies that there is a neighborhood of $\mathscr{Y}_{0}^{\prime}$ in $\mathscr{Y}^{\prime}$, smooth over $Y^{\prime}$. In particular, the normal sheaf of $\mathscr{Y}_{0}^{\prime}$ in $\mathscr{Y}^{\prime}$ is the trivial sheaf,

$$
N_{\mathscr{O}_{0}^{\prime} / \mathscr{O}^{\prime}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{O}_{0}^{\prime}} \otimes_{k} T_{0}\left(Y^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Thus, if $v$ is a tangent vector, $v \in T_{0}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)$, there is a unique section $S_{v}$ in $H^{0}\left(\mathscr{Y}_{0}^{\prime} ; N_{\mathscr{Y}_{0}^{\prime} / \mathscr{Y}}\right)$ such that, for each $x$ in $\mathscr{Y}_{0}^{\prime}$,

$$
\mathrm{d} \bar{p}_{1}(x)\left(S_{v}(x)\right)=v
$$

where $\mathrm{d} \bar{p}_{1}(x): N_{\mathscr{Y}_{0}^{\prime} / \mathscr{O}} \otimes k(x) \rightarrow T_{0}(Y)$ is the homomorphism induced by the tangent map $\mathrm{d} p_{1}$.

The tangent map $\mathrm{d} p_{2}: T\left(\mathscr{Y}_{0}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow T(X)$ induces a map $\mathrm{d} \bar{p}_{2}$ : $H^{0}\left(\mathscr{Y}_{0}^{\prime} ; N_{\mathscr{Y}}^{0} / \mathscr{Y}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(X ; N_{X / U}\right)$.

We define the map $\rho: T_{0}\left(Y^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(X ; N_{X / U}\right)$ by

$$
\rho(v)=\mathrm{d} \bar{p}_{2}\left(S_{v}\right) .
$$

This described the isomorphism of (c).
We have the exact sheaf sequence on $X$ :

$$
0 \rightarrow N_{X / U \otimes k} \rightarrow N_{X / U} \rightarrow N_{U \otimes k / U} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow 0
$$

As $N_{X / U \otimes k}=\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)^{n-1}$, and $N_{U \otimes k / U} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X}=\mathcal{O}_{X}$, and since $\operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X},\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)^{n-1}\right)=0$, the above sequence splits, and

$$
N_{X / U} \cong\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)^{n}
$$

Thus, by (c), $\operatorname{dim}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=n$.
Next, let $u$ be a non-zero vector in the Zariski tangent space of $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$ at the closed point, and let $S_{u}$ be a global section of $N_{X / U}$ such that

$$
\mathrm{d} \bar{p}(x)\left(S_{u}(x)\right)=u
$$

for each $x$ in $X$. Let $v \in T_{0}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)$ be such that

$$
\rho(v)=S_{u} .
$$

Let $g: Y \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$ be the morphism induced by the inclusion of $Y^{\prime}$ into $\operatorname{Hilb}(V / \mathcal{O})$. We note that $g$ is given by the map of $\mathcal{O}$ into $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$ defined by

$$
\underset{p^{*}}{\underset{\rightarrow}{\rightarrow}} H^{0}\left(V, \mathcal{O}_{V}\right) \underset{p_{2}^{*}}{\rightarrow} H^{0}\left(\mathscr{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{O}}\right) \underset{p_{1}^{*}}{\underset{\sim}{\mathcal{O}^{\prime}}}
$$

Thus, we see that

$$
\mathrm{d} g(v)=\mathrm{d} \bar{p}(\rho(v))=u
$$

and hence the map $g$ is smooth at $h(X)$.
Furthermore, we claim that $\mathscr{Y}^{\prime}$ is etale over $V$ in a neighborhood of $\mathscr{Y}_{0}^{\prime}$. As $p_{2}: \mathscr{Y}_{0}^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ is an isomorphism, it is enough to show that

$$
\mathrm{d} \bar{p}_{2}(x): N_{\mathscr{Y}_{0}^{\prime} / \mathscr{O}} \otimes k(x) \rightarrow N_{X / V} \otimes k\left(p_{2}(x)\right)
$$

is an isomorphism for each $x$ in $\mathscr{Y}_{0}^{\prime}$. Let $v$ be a non-zero element of $N_{\mathscr{Y _ { 0 }} / \mathscr{y}^{\prime}} \otimes k(x)$. As $N_{\mathscr{O} y_{j} / \mathscr{O}}$, is a free sheaf, there is a unique global section $S_{v}$ of $N_{\mathscr{G}_{0}^{\prime} / \mathscr{O}}$, such that $S_{v}(x)=v$. Clearly we have

$$
\mathrm{d} \bar{p}_{2}\left(S_{v}\right)=\rho\left(\mathrm{d} \bar{p}_{1}(v)\right) \neq 0
$$

since $\mathrm{d} \bar{p}_{1}(v) \neq 0$. As $N_{X / U}$ is the trivial sheaf $\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)^{n}$, this implies that

$$
0 \neq \mathrm{d} \bar{p}_{2}\left(S_{v}\right)\left(p_{2}(x)\right)=\mathrm{d} \bar{p}_{2}(x)(v)
$$

Thus $\mathrm{d} p_{2}(x)$ is an injection, hence an isomorphism as desired.
Thus there is an open neighborhood $Y$ of $h(X)$ in $Y^{\prime}$ such that $Y$ is smooth over $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$, $\mathscr{Y}^{\prime} \cap p_{1}^{-1}(Y)$ is smooth over $Y$ and $\mathscr{Y}^{\prime} \cap p_{1}^{-1}(Y)$ is etale over $V$.

As $X$ is contained in $U$, we may take $Y$ so that $p_{2}\left(\mathscr{Y}^{\prime} \cap p_{1}^{-1}(Y)\right)$ is contained in $U$. Let $\mathscr{Y}=\mathscr{Y}^{\prime} \cap p_{1}^{-1}(Y)$. Since $Y$ is open in $Y^{\prime}$, (i) is clear, as is (ii) and (iii), noting that $\operatorname{dim}(Y)=\operatorname{dim}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=n$. The previous paragraph proves (iv). As for (v), the fiber $\mathscr{Y} \otimes k(0)$ is isomorphic to the rational curve $X$. Since the genus of a smooth complete curve is a deformation invariant all smooth deformations of $\mathscr{Y} \otimes k(0)$ are also rational, proving (v). Our final assertion follows from (iv), (v), and the base change theorems for smooth, proper, and etale morphisms. Q.E.D.

We also require the following basic result on extensions of invertible sheaves. As the result is well known, we merely sketch the proof.

Lemma 3.3: Let $f: V \rightarrow M$ be a smooth, projective morphism of integral schemes with geometrically irreducible fibers, $O$ a point of $M$, and $L_{0}$ an invertible sheaf on $f^{-1}(O)=V_{0}$. Suppose that $h^{2}\left(V_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{V_{0}}\right)=0$. Then there is an etale neighborhood $r: M^{\prime} \rightarrow M$ of $O$, an invertible sheaf $L^{\prime}$ on $V^{\prime}=V \times_{M} M^{\prime}$, and a point $O^{\prime}$ of $r^{-1}(O)$ such that $L^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{V_{0}^{\prime}}$, is isomorphic to $p_{1}^{*}\left(L_{0}\right)\left(V_{0^{\prime}}^{\prime}=p_{2}^{-1}\left(O^{\prime}\right)\right)$.

Proof: Let $\hat{M}$ denote the formal completion of $M$ at $m_{0}$ and let $\hat{V}$ denote the formal scheme $V \times{ }_{M} \hat{M}$. By proposition 7.1 of [5], there is an invertible sheaf $\hat{L}$ on $\hat{V}$ with $\hat{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{V_{0}}$ isomorphic to $L_{0}$. Let $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ denote the completion of the local ring of $O$ in $M$ at its maximal ideal, $\bar{M}$ the scheme $\operatorname{Spec}(\hat{\mathcal{O}})$. By Grothendieck's existence theorem [EGA III, 5.4.5], $L$ extends to an invertible sheaf $\bar{L}$ on $V \times{ }_{M} \bar{M}$. Finally, Artin's algebraization theorem [2] applied to the functor $F$,

$$
F(T)=\operatorname{Pic}\left(T \times_{M} V\right) ; \quad T \text { an } M \text {-scheme, }
$$

yields the desired sheaf $L^{\prime}$ and etale neighborhood $r: M^{\prime} \rightarrow M$. Q.E.D.
Theorem 3.4: Let $\mathscr{U}_{k}(n)$ denote the class of smooth projective strongly uni-ruled varieties of dimension $n$, defined over a field containing $k$. If $\operatorname{char}(k)=0$, or if $\operatorname{char}(k)>5$ and $n \leqslant 3$, then $\mathscr{U}_{k}(n)$ is stable under small deformations.

Proof: By Proposition 2.6, we need only verify (ii) in Lemma 2.4. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an equi-characteristic DVR with quotient field $K$ and algebraically
closed residue field $K_{0}$, and let $p: V \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$ be a smooth projective morphism with geometrically irreducible fibers of dimension $n$. Changing notation, we may assume $K_{0}=k$. Suppose $V \otimes k$ is strongly uni-ruled. Then there is a variety $W$, birational to $V \otimes k$, a variety $Y$ and a family of curves $\mathscr{Y} \subseteq Y \times W$ such that
(a) $p_{1}: \mathscr{Y} \rightarrow Y$ is smooth and proper;
(b) $p_{2}: \mathscr{Y} \rightarrow W$ is etale;
(c) each fiber of $p_{1}: \mathscr{Y} \rightarrow Y$ is a rational curve.

Let $v: W^{\prime} \rightarrow V \otimes k$ be a projective birational morphism such that $W^{\prime}$ is smooth, and the induced map $v: W^{\prime} \rightarrow W$ is a morphism. Shrinking $Y$ if necessary, we may replace $W$ with $W^{\prime}$; changing notation we may assume $W=W^{\prime}$. Let ( $u^{\prime}: V^{\prime} \rightarrow V ; U^{\prime}$ ) be a good resolution for the singularities of the map $v^{-1}$ for the family $V$. Let $\psi: U^{\prime} \otimes k \rightarrow W$ denote the induced morphism, $\psi=v^{-1} \circ\left(u^{\prime} \otimes k\right)$. Let $P=\overline{W-\psi\left(U^{\prime} \otimes k\right)}$ and let $F$ be the closure of the fundamental locus of $\psi^{-1}$. Since ( $u^{\prime}: V^{\prime} \rightarrow V ; U^{\prime}$ ) is a good resolution, we have

$$
\operatorname{codim}_{W}(P \cup F) \geqslant 2
$$

Let $O$ be a point of $Y$ such that

$$
p_{2}\left(p_{1}^{-1}(O)\right) \cap(P \cup F)=\phi
$$

and let $X=p_{2}\left(p_{1}^{-1}(O)\right)$. Since $\mathscr{Y}$ is etale over $W$, the formal neighborhood of $p_{1}^{-1}(O)$ in $\mathscr{Y}$ is isomorphic to the formal neighborhood of $X$ in $W$; thus

$$
N_{X / W} \cong\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)^{n-1}
$$

Furthermore, since $X \cap(P \cup F)=\phi, X$ is contained in $\psi\left(U^{\prime} \otimes k\right)$ and $\psi^{-1}$ is an isomorphism in a neighborhood of $X$. Letting $X^{\prime}=\psi^{-1}(X)$, we have

$$
N_{X^{\prime}, U \otimes k} \cong\left(\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}\right)^{n-1}
$$

and $X^{\prime}$ is a smooth complete rational curve. Applying Proposition 3.2, we see that $V^{\prime} \otimes K$ and hence $V \otimes K$, is strongly uni-ruled, which completes the verification of (ii) and the proof of the theorem.
Q.E.D.

We now turn to stability results for quasi-ruled and ruled varieties. The reader will note that we require additional hypotheses in Theorems $3.8,3.9$, and 3.10 to yield stability. These hypotheses are actually quite necessary. Regarding Theorem 3.8, we give in [9] an example of a family $p: V \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$, with $V \otimes k$ quasi-ruled over a ruled threefold, such that $V \otimes K$ is not quasi-ruled.

We also give an example of a family $q: W \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$, with $W \otimes k$ ruled over, a base $Y$ via a morphism, but with $h^{2}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right) \neq 0$, such that $W \otimes K$ is not ruled, which illuminates the final hypothesis of theorem 3.10. The variety $V \otimes K$ above, being the deformation of the quasi-ruled (hence strongly uni-ruled) $V \otimes k$ is, in virtue of the above theorem, a strongly uni-ruled variety. This gives an example of a variety which is strongly uni-ruled, but not quasi-ruled, as mentioned in Section 2.

We first prove some basic results about extending rational maps to a family of varieties.

Definition 3.5: Let $p: U \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$ be a smooth morphism with geometrically irreducible fibers. Suppose there is a variety $Y_{0}$ and a dominant rational map $f: U \otimes k \rightarrow Y_{0}$. An extension of $f$ to the family $U$ is a pair $(g: Y \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}) ; F)$ where $g: Y \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$ is a smooth morphism with geometrically irreducible fibers, and $F: U \rightarrow Y$ is a dominant rational map over $\mathcal{O}$, defined along $U \otimes k$, such that
(i) $Y_{0}$ is birational to $Y \otimes k$, by some $\xi: Y_{0} \rightarrow Y \otimes k$;
(ii) $F_{\mid U \otimes k}=\xi \circ f$.

The following lemma gives a criterion for a subvariety $\mathscr{Y}$ of $U \times_{\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})} Y$ to be the graph of the extension of a rational map.

Lemma 3.6: Let $p: U \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$ be a smooth quasi-projective morphism with geometrically irreducible fibers, let $Y_{0}$ be a variety and let $f: U \otimes k \rightarrow Y_{0}$ be a dominant rational map. Suppose there is a smooth morphism $g$ : $Y \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$, with geometrically irreducible fibers, a subvariety $\mathscr{Y}$ of $U$ $\times_{0} Y$, a birational map $\xi: Y_{0} \rightarrow Y \otimes k$, and a point $x_{0}$ of $U \otimes k$ such that
(i) $\mathscr{Y} \otimes K$ and $\mathscr{Y} \otimes k$ are irreducible and reduced;
(ii) $\mathscr{Y} \otimes k$ is the graph of $\xi \circ f: U \otimes k \rightarrow Y \otimes k$;
(iii) $\xi \circ f$ is a morphism at $x_{0}$.
(iv) Let $x_{t}$ be a point of $U$ and $x_{t} \rightarrow x_{0}$ a specialization. Let $y_{t}$ be a point of $p_{2}\left(\left(x_{t} \times Y\right) \cap \mathscr{Y}\right)$. If we extend $x_{t} \rightarrow x_{0}$ to a specialization $y_{t} \rightarrow \bar{y}$, then $\bar{y}=\xi \circ f\left(x_{0}\right)$.
Then $\mathscr{Y}$ is the graph of a dominant rational map $F: U \rightarrow Y$ over $\mathcal{O}$, and $(g$ : $Y \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}) ; F)$ is an extension of $f$ to the family $U$.

Proof: Since $p_{2}: \mathscr{Y} \otimes k \rightarrow Y \otimes k$ is dominant, and $\mathscr{Y}$ and $Y$ are irreducible, it follows that $p_{2}: \mathscr{Y} \otimes K \rightarrow Y \otimes K$ is also dominant. To complete the proof, we need only show that there is an open subset $W$ of $\mathscr{Y}$, such that $W$ is isomorphic to $p_{1}(W)$ via $p_{1}$.

Since $\mathscr{Y}$ is integral and dominates $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}), \mathscr{Y}$ is flat over $\mathcal{O}$. Furthermore, since $\xi \circ f$ is morphism at $x_{0}$, the graph $\mathscr{Y} \otimes k$ of $\xi \circ f$ is smooth at $\left(x_{0}, \xi \circ f\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$. Thus $\mathscr{Y}$ is smooth over $\mathcal{O}$ in a neighborhood of $\left(x_{0}, \xi \circ f\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$, hence there is a neighborhood $W$ of $\left(x_{0}, \xi \circ f\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$ on $\mathscr{Y}$ such that
(a) $g \circ p_{2}: W \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$ is a smooth morphism;
(b) $p_{1}: W \rightarrow U$ is quasi-finite and dominant.

Our assumption (iv), together with the valuative criterion for properness, shows that the map $p_{1}: W \rightarrow U$ is proper over a neighborhood of $x_{0}$ in $U$. Shrinking $W$ if necessary, we may assume that $W$ is proper over its image $p_{1}(W)$. Thus, since $p_{1}: W \rightarrow p_{1}(W)$ is both quasi-finite and proper,

$$
p_{1}: W \rightarrow p_{1}(W)
$$

is a finite morphism.
In particular, if $x$ is a point of $p_{1}(W)$, specializing to $x_{0}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim}_{k(x)}\left(\mathcal{O}_{W} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{U}} k(x)\right) & \leqq \operatorname{dim}_{k\left(x_{0}\right)}\left(\mathcal{O}_{W} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{U}} k\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{dim}_{k\left(x_{0}\right)}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y \otimes k} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{U \otimes k}} k\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \\
& =1
\end{aligned}
$$

where "dim" refers to the vector space dimension. Thus $p_{1}: W \rightarrow p_{1}(W)$ is an isomorphism, as desired

Most of the work in verifying the conditions of Lemma 3.6 for the situation at hand has already been done, as is shown below. We recall that the Hilbert point of a subvariety $X$ of a projective variety $V$ is denoted $h(X)$.

Lemma 3.7: Let $p: V \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$ be a smooth projective fiber space of fiber dimension $n$. Suppose $\operatorname{char}(k)=0$, or that $\operatorname{char}(k)>5$ and $n \leqslant 3$. Suppose that $V \otimes k$ is quasi-ruled over a variety $Y_{0}$ via $\phi: V \otimes k \rightarrow Y_{0}$. Then there is a local extension $\mathcal{O}^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{O}$, with quotient field $K^{\prime}$ and residue field $k$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^{\prime}=V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}^{\prime} & \xrightarrow{p_{1}} \quad \mathrm{~V} \\
& p_{2} \downarrow \\
& \operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathcal{O}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}),
$$

a good resolution ( $\left.u^{*}: V^{*} \rightarrow V^{\prime} ; U^{*}\right)$ of the singularities of $\phi \circ p_{1}: V^{\prime} \otimes k$ $\rightarrow Y_{0}$ for the family $V^{\prime}$, a smooth quasi-projective fiber variety $g: Y \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathcal{O}^{\prime}\right)$, and a subvariety $\mathscr{Y}$ of $U^{*} \times \times_{\operatorname{spec}\left(\mathcal{O}^{\prime}\right)} Y$ such that
(i) $\mathscr{Y}$ is smooth over $\mathcal{O}^{\prime} ; \mathscr{Y} \otimes K^{\prime}$ and $\mathscr{Y} \otimes k$ are geometrically irreducible;
(ii) there is a birational map $\xi: Y_{0} \rightarrow Y \otimes k$ such that $\mathscr{Y} \otimes k$ is the proper transform under id $\times \xi$ of the graph of the morphism $\phi^{*}$ : $U^{*} \otimes k \rightarrow Y_{0}$ induced by $\phi \circ{ }^{\circ}{ }_{2}$;
(iii) $\mathscr{Y}$ is smooth and proper over $y$, and each fiber of $p_{2}: \mathscr{Y} \rightarrow Y$ is a rational curve;
(iv) $Y$ is a locally closed subset of $\operatorname{Hilb}\left(V^{*} \mid \mathcal{O}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathscr{Y}=Y$ $\times_{\text {Hilb }} H\left(V^{*} / \mathcal{O}^{\prime}\right)$. Furthermore, if $\phi$ is a morphism, we may take $U^{*}=V^{\prime}$.

Proof: Let $\left(u_{1}: V_{1} \rightarrow V ; U_{1}\right)$ be a good resolution of the singularities of $\phi: V \otimes k \rightarrow Y_{0}$. Let $\overline{U_{1} \otimes k}$ be the closure of $U_{1} \otimes k$ in $V_{1}$ and let $\phi_{1}$ : $\overline{U_{1} \otimes k} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}_{0}$ be the induced morphism. Since ( $u_{1}: V_{1} \rightarrow V ; U_{1}$ ) is a good resolution, we have
(a) $\overline{U_{1} \otimes k}$ is smooth;
(b) $\operatorname{codim}_{Y_{0}}\left[\phi_{1}\left(\overline{U_{1} \otimes k}-\mathrm{U}_{1} \otimes \mathrm{k}\right)\right] \geqslant 1$.

Thus there is a smooth point $y_{0}$ of $Y_{0}$ such that $\phi_{1}^{-1}\left(y_{0}\right)$ is a smooth complete rational curve contained in $U_{1} \otimes k$, with trivial normal bundle. Denote $\phi_{1}^{-1}\left(y_{0}\right)$ by $X$.

We apply Proposition 3.2, to give a maximal irreducible algebraic family, $Y_{1}$, of deformations of $X$ in $U_{1}$. Let $g_{1}: Y_{1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$ and $\mathscr{Y}_{1} \subseteq Y_{1} \times{ }_{\mathrm{Spec}(0)} U_{1}$ be as given by that proposition.

Let $K^{\prime}$ be a finite extension of $K$ such that $Y_{1} \otimes K^{\prime}$ is a union of geometrically irreducible components defined over $K^{\prime}$. Let $\mathcal{O}^{\prime}$ be a local extension of $\mathscr{O}$ with quotient field $K^{\prime}$. Take the various pull-backs


Both $U_{1}^{\prime}$ and $V^{\prime}$ are smooth over $\mathcal{O}^{\prime}$. Let $\phi_{1}^{\prime}: \overline{U_{1}^{\prime} \otimes k} \rightarrow Y_{0}$ denote the rational map induced by $\phi_{1}$. We note that $\phi_{1}^{\prime}$ restricted to $U_{1}^{\prime} \otimes k$ is a morphism.

Just as in Theorem 1.7, we resolve the singularities of $\overline{U_{1}^{\prime} \otimes k}$ and $\phi_{1}^{\prime}$ to yield a good resolution $\left(u^{*}: V^{*} \rightarrow V^{\prime} ; U^{*}\right)$ of the singularities of $\phi \circ p_{2}$ : $V^{\prime} \otimes k \rightarrow Y_{0}$.

Let $\phi^{*}: \overline{U^{*} \otimes k} \rightarrow Y_{0}$ be the morphism induced by $\phi \circ p_{2}$, and let $X^{*}$ be the curve $\phi^{*-1}\left(y_{0}\right)$. By construction, $U^{*} \otimes k$ is isomorphic to $U_{1} \otimes k$, and hence $X^{*}$ is a smooth rational curve, with trivial normal bundle in $U^{*} \otimes k$.

We identify $Y_{1}^{\prime}$ with a locally closed subset of $\operatorname{Hilb}\left(V^{*} / \mathcal{O}^{\prime}\right)$ in the obvious fashion, so that $\mathscr{Y}_{1}^{\prime}=\mathscr{Y}_{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}^{\prime}$ is identified with the restriction to $Y_{1}^{\prime}$ of the universal family $H\left(V^{*} / \mathcal{O}^{\prime}\right)$. One of the irreducible components of $Y_{1}^{\prime}$, say $Y^{\prime}$ contains $h\left(X^{*}\right)$.

Let $g: Y \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathcal{O}^{\prime}\right)$ be a maximal algebraic family of deformations of $X^{*}$ in $U^{*}$ as given by Proposition 3.2. Counting dimensions, we find that $Y$ contains an open subset of $Y^{\prime}$, and vice versa. As $Y^{\prime} \otimes K^{\prime}$ is geometrically irreducible so is $Y \otimes K^{\prime}$. Shrinking $Y$ if necessary we may assume that $Y \otimes k$ is irreducible.

Let $\mathscr{Y}=Y \times_{\text {Hilb }\left(V^{*} / O^{\prime}\right)} H\left(V^{*} / \mathcal{O}^{\prime}\right)$. $\mathscr{Y}$ satisfies (iii) by Proposition 3.2; as $Y$ is smooth over $\mathcal{O}$ with irreducible fibers, so is $\mathscr{Y}$, which proves (i). We
note that $Y \otimes k$ is a maximal algebraic family of deformations of $X^{*}$ in $U^{*} \otimes k$. Thus the rational map

$$
\xi: Y_{0} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hilb}\left(V^{*} \otimes k / k\right) ; \quad \xi(y)=h\left(\phi^{*-1}(y)\right)
$$

factors through $\overline{Y \otimes k}$, and is easily seen to be birational. Clearly id $\times \xi$ : $\left(U^{*} \otimes k\right) \times Y_{0} \rightarrow\left(U^{*} \otimes k\right) \times(Y \otimes k)$ transforms the graph of $\phi^{*}$ to $\mathscr{Y} \otimes k$. This verifies (ii) and completes the proof.
Q.E.D.

Theorem 3.8: Let p: $V \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$ be a smooth projective fiber variety, of fiber dimension $n$. Suppose $\operatorname{char}(k)=0$, or that $\operatorname{char}(k)>5$ and $n \leqslant 3$. Suppose that $V \otimes k$ is quasi-ruled via $\phi: V \otimes k \rightarrow Y_{0}$. Suppose further that $Y_{0}$ is not uni-ruled. Then $V \otimes K$ is a quasi-ruled variety.

Proof: Let $\mathcal{O}^{\prime}, p^{\prime}: V^{\prime} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathcal{O}^{\prime}\right),\left(u^{*}: V^{*} \rightarrow V^{\prime} ; U^{*}\right), \xi: Y_{0} \rightarrow Y \otimes k, g:$ $Y \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathcal{O}^{\prime}\right)$, and $\mathscr{Y} \subseteq U^{*} \times{ }_{\mathcal{O}^{\prime}} Y$ be as given by Lemma 3.7. We need only show that $\mathscr{Y} \otimes K^{\prime} \subseteq\left(U^{*} \otimes K^{\prime}\right) \times\left(Y \otimes K^{\prime}\right)$ is the graph of a rational $\operatorname{map} \phi_{K^{\prime}}: U^{*} \otimes K^{\prime} \rightarrow Y \otimes K^{\prime}$. By Lemma 3.6 and 3.7 , we need only verify (iv) of Lemma 3.6.

Since $Y \otimes k$ is not uni-ruled, there is a point $y_{0}$ of $Y \otimes k$ that is not contained in any rational curve lying in $Y \otimes k$. We may choose $y_{0}$ so that the rational map $\tilde{\phi}=\xi \circ \phi^{*}: U^{*} \otimes k \rightarrow Y \otimes k$ is a morphism in a neighborhood of $\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\left(y_{0}\right)$. Let $X$ denote the smooth rational curve $\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\left(y_{0}\right)$ and let $x_{0}$ be a point of $X$. Let $x_{t} \rightarrow x_{0}$ be a specialization, let $y_{t}$ be a point of $p_{2}\left(\mathscr{Y} \cap\left(x_{t} \times Y\right)\right)$, and let $y_{t} \rightarrow \bar{y}$ be a specialization extending $x_{t} \rightarrow x_{0}$. We must show $\bar{y}=\tilde{\phi}\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}$.

Let $X_{t}$ be the smooth rational curve $p_{1}\left(\mathscr{Y} \cap\left(U^{*} \times y_{t}\right)\right)$. Clearly $x_{t}$ is in $X_{t}$, and $h\left(X_{t}\right)=y_{t}$. As $y_{t}$ is in $\operatorname{Hilb}\left(V^{*} / \mathcal{O}^{\prime}\right)$, which is a union of components projective over $\mathcal{O}^{\prime}, \bar{y}$ is also in $\operatorname{Hilb}\left(V^{*} / \mathcal{O}^{\prime}\right)$. Thus the specialization $y_{t} \rightarrow \bar{y}$ defines a specialization of subschemes of $V^{*}, X_{t} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ with

$$
h(\bar{X})=\bar{y} .
$$

As $x_{t}$ is a point of $X_{t}, x_{0}$ is a point of $\bar{X}$; furthermore, by Lemma 5 of [13] each irreducible component of $\bar{X}$ is a rational curve. Let $\bar{X}_{0}$ be a component of $\bar{X}$ containing $x_{0}$. Then either $\bar{X}_{0}=X$, or $\tilde{\phi}\left(\bar{X}_{0}\right)$ is a rational curve on $Y \otimes k$ containing $y_{0}$. Since there are no such curves on $Y \otimes k$, we have $\bar{X}_{0}=X$. Also, $X, X_{t}$, and $\bar{X}$ have the same Hilbert polynomials, which forces $X=\bar{X}$. Thus $\bar{y}=h(\bar{X})=h(X)=y_{0}$, and (iv) is verified. This completes the proof of the theorem.
Q.E.D.

Theorem 3.9: Let $p: V \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$ be a smooth projective fiber variety. Suppose that $V \otimes k$ is quasi-ruled over a projective variety $Y_{0} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{m}$ via a morphism $\phi: V \otimes k \rightarrow Y_{0}$. Then $V \otimes K$ is quasi-ruled.

Proof: Let $\mathcal{O}^{\prime}, p^{\prime}: V^{\prime} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathcal{O}^{\prime}\right), g: Y \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathcal{O}^{\prime}\right), \xi: Y_{0} \rightarrow Y \otimes k$, and $\mathscr{Y} \subseteq V^{\prime} \times{ }_{0}, Y$ be a given by Lemma 3.7 for the morphism $\phi$. As above, we need only verify (iv) in Lemma 3.6.

Let $y_{0}$ be a point of $Y$ such that $\phi^{\prime}=\xi \circ \phi: V^{\prime} \otimes k \rightarrow Y \otimes k$ is a morphism in a neighborhood of $\phi^{\prime-1}\left(y_{0}\right)$. Let $x_{0}$ be a point of $X=$ $\phi^{\prime-1}\left(y_{0}\right)$, let $x_{t} \rightarrow x_{0}$ be a specialization, let $y_{t}$ be a point of $p_{2}\left(\mathscr{Y} \cap\left(x_{t} \times\right.\right.$ $Y)$ ), and let $y_{t} \rightarrow \bar{y}$ be a specialization extending $x_{t} \rightarrow x_{0}$. Let $\bar{Y}$ denote the closure of $Y$ in $\operatorname{Hilb}\left(V^{\prime} / \mathcal{O}^{\prime}\right)$.

As $y_{t}$ is in $\bar{Y}, \bar{y}$ is in $\bar{Y} \otimes k$, which is connected by Zariski's connectedness theorem.

Let $X_{t}$ be the subscheme of $V^{\prime}$ with $h\left(X_{t}\right)=y_{t}$, let $\bar{X}$ be the subscheme with $h(\bar{X})=\bar{y}$ and let $X_{t} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ be the specialization defined by $y_{t} \rightarrow \bar{y}$. $X_{t} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ defines a specialization of positive cycles $\left|X_{t}\right| \rightarrow|\bar{X}|$. Since $\bar{Y} \otimes k$ is connected, we have

$$
|\bar{X}| \sim_{a I_{8}}|X| \quad \text { on } \quad V^{\prime} \otimes k
$$

Thus $\phi_{*}(|\bar{X}|) \sim_{\text {alg }} \phi_{*}(X) \sim_{\text {alg }} 0$ on $\mathbb{P}^{M}$. As supp $|\bar{X}|$ contains $x_{0}$, and is connected, this forces

$$
\operatorname{supp}(\bar{X})=X
$$

Since $\bar{X}$ and $X$ have the same Hilbert polynomial, we have

$$
\bar{X}=X
$$

and

$$
\bar{y}=y_{0},
$$

which completes the proof.
Q.E.D.

We now consider the case of ruled varieties
Theorem 3.10: Let $p: V \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$ be a smooth projective fiber variety of fiber dimension $n$. Suppose that $V \otimes k$ is ruled over a variety $Y_{0}$ via $\phi$ : $V \otimes k \rightarrow Y_{0}$. Suppose that either
(a) $\phi$ is a morphism and $Y_{0}$ is projective, or
(b) $Y_{0}$ is not uni-ruled and $\operatorname{char}(k)=0$, or
(c) $Y_{0}$ is not uni-ruled and char $(k)>5$ and $n \leqslant 3$.

Finally suppose that $h^{2}\left(V \otimes k, \mathcal{O}_{V \otimes k}\right)=0$. Then $V \otimes K$ is ruled.
Proof: Let $\mathcal{O}^{\prime}, p: V^{\prime} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathcal{O}^{\prime}\right),\left(u^{*}: V^{*} \rightarrow V^{\prime}, U^{*}\right), g: Y \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathcal{O}^{\prime}\right), \xi:$ $Y_{0} \rightarrow Y \otimes k$, and $\mathscr{Y} \subseteq U^{*} \times_{\mathcal{O}^{\prime}} Y$ be as given by Lemma 3.7 for the map $\phi$.

Let $\phi^{*}: U^{*} \otimes k \rightarrow Y_{0}$ be the map induced by $\phi$. Just as in Theorems 3.8 and 3.9 , we have that

$$
\left(g: Y \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathcal{O}^{\prime}\right), F\right)
$$

is an extension of $\phi^{*}: U^{*} \otimes k \rightarrow Y_{0}$ to the family $U^{*}$, where $F: U^{*} \rightarrow Y$ is the map with graph $\mathscr{Y}$.

Let $\sigma: Y_{0} \rightarrow \overline{U^{*} \otimes k}$ be a rational section to $\overline{\phi^{*}}: \overline{U^{*} \otimes k} \rightarrow Y_{0}$. Let $D$ be the divisor $1 \cdot \overline{\sigma\left(Y_{0}\right)}$ on $\overline{U^{*} \otimes k}$, and let $D^{\prime}$ be the divisor $\left(u^{*}\right)_{*}(D)$ on $V^{\prime} \otimes k$.

Since $h^{2}\left(V^{\prime} \otimes k, \mathcal{O}_{V^{\prime} \otimes k}\right)=0$, Lemma 3.3 implies there is a local extension $\mathcal{O}^{\prime \prime}$ of $\mathcal{O}^{\prime}$ and an invertible sheaf $L$ on $V^{\prime} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}}, \mathcal{O}^{\prime \prime}$ such that $L \otimes k \equiv$ $\mathcal{O}_{V^{\prime} \otimes k}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$. Changing notation, we may assume $\mathcal{O}^{\prime}=\mathcal{O}^{\prime \prime}$.

Let $f$ be a rational section of $L$ over $V^{\prime}$ and let $Z$ be the divisor of $f$. Choosing $f$ appropriately, we may assume that $\operatorname{supp}(Z)$ does not contain $V^{\prime} \otimes k$. Then $Z \otimes k$ is linearly equivalent to $D^{\prime}$ on $V^{\prime} \otimes k$. Let $Z^{*}$ denote the divisor $\left(u^{*}\right)^{-1}(Z)$ on $U^{*}$.

Let $\mathscr{E} \subseteq U^{*}$ be the exceptional divisor of $u^{*}: U^{*} \rightarrow V^{\prime}$. Write $\mathscr{E}$ as a sum of irreducible divisors

$$
\mathscr{E}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \mathscr{E}_{i}
$$

and write $\operatorname{supp}(\mathscr{E} \otimes k)$ as a union of irreducible components

$$
\operatorname{supp}(\mathscr{E} \otimes k)=\bigcup_{j=1}^{e} E_{j}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
Z^{*} \otimes k & =\left(u^{*}\right)^{-1}(Z \otimes k)  \tag{1}\\
& \sim_{l}\left(u^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(D^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { on } \quad U^{*} \otimes k \\
& =\left(u^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(\left(u^{*}\right)(D)\right) \\
& =D+\sum_{j=1}^{s} r_{j} E_{j} \quad \text { for suitable integers } r_{j} \\
& =D+\sum_{i=1}^{r} n_{i}\left(\mathscr{E}_{i} \otimes k\right) \quad \text { for suitable integers } n_{i}
\end{align*}
$$

the last line following from Definition 1.2 (iv).
Let $y_{t}$ be a generic point of $Y \otimes \bar{K}^{\prime}$ over $\bar{K}^{\prime}$. Let $X_{t}$ be the fiber

$$
\left(F \otimes K^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(y_{t}\right)=p_{1}\left(\mathscr{Y} \cap\left(U^{*} \times y_{t}\right)\right)
$$

Let $y_{0}$ be a generic point of $Y \otimes k$ over $k$, and let $X_{0}$ be the fiber

$$
(\xi \circ \phi)^{-1}\left(y_{0}\right)=(F \otimes k)^{-1}\left(y_{0}\right)=p_{1}\left(\mathscr{Y} \cap\left(U^{*} \times y_{0}\right)\right)
$$

$X_{0}$ and $X_{t}$ are smooth complete rational curves on $U^{*} \otimes k$ and $U^{*} \otimes K^{\prime}$ respectively, and $X_{t}$ is algebraically equivalent to $X_{0}$ on $U^{*}$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I\left(X_{t} \cdot\left(Z^{*} \otimes K^{\prime}-\Sigma n_{t}\left(\mathscr{E}_{1} \otimes K^{\prime}\right)\right) ; U^{*} \otimes K^{\prime}\right) \\
& \quad=I\left(X_{t} \cdot\left(Z^{*}-\Sigma n_{t} \mathscr{E}_{t}\right) ; U^{*}\right) \\
& \quad=I\left(X_{0} \cdot\left(Z^{*}-\Sigma n_{t} \mathscr{E}_{t}\right) ; U^{*}\right) \\
& \quad=I\left(X_{0} \cdot\left(Z^{*} \otimes k-\Sigma n_{i} \mathscr{E}_{i} \otimes k\right) ; U^{*} \otimes k\right) \\
& \quad=I\left(X_{0} \cdot D ; U^{*} \otimes k\right), \text { by }(1) \\
& \quad=1
\end{aligned}
$$

(The intersection numbers are preserved since $X_{0}$ and $X_{t}$ are both members of a family of complete curves on $U^{*}$.)

By Lemma 2.2, $F \otimes \bar{K}: U^{*} \otimes \bar{K} \rightarrow Y \otimes \bar{K}$ is a ruling, which completes the proof.
Q.E.D.

We summarize our results in the following theorem.
Theorem 3.11: Let $p: V \rightarrow M$ be a smooth projective fiber variety of fiber dimension $n$ over a variety $M$. Let $O$ be a point of $M$. Suppose $V \otimes k$ is quasi-ruled over a variety $Y_{0}$ via $\phi: V \otimes k \rightarrow Y_{0}$. Suppose that either
(a) $\phi$ is a morphism and $Y_{0}$ is projective, or
(b) $Y_{0}$ is not uni-ruled and $\operatorname{char}(k)=0$,
or
(c) $Y_{0}$ is not uni-ruled and char $(k)>5$ and $n \leqslant 3$.

Then there is an open neighborhood $U$ of $O$ in $M$ such that $V \otimes k(u)$ is quasi-ruled for each $u$ in $U$.

If in addition $\phi$ is a ruling and $h^{2}\left(V \otimes k, \mathcal{O}_{V \otimes k}\right)=0$, then $V \otimes k(u)$ is ruled for each $u$ in $M$.

Proof: Our first conclusion follows from Lemma 2.5, Theorems 3.8 and 3.9 and a simple noetherian induction. The second conclusion follows from Lemma 2.5, Theorem 3.8, and the following result of Matsusaka (Theorem 1.1 [11]):

Let $V$ be a smooth ruled variety in a projective space $V^{\prime}$ a variety in a projective space, and $\mathcal{O}$ a $D V R$ such that $V^{\prime}$ is a specialization of $V$ over $\mathcal{O}$. Then $V^{\prime}$ is also a ruled variety.
Q.E.D.
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