

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

LUC DUPONCHEEL

**Non-archimedean (uniformly) continuous
measures on homogeneous spaces**

Compositio Mathematica, tome 51, n° 2 (1984), p. 159-168

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1984__51_2_159_0

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1984, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

NON-ARCHIMEDEAN (UNIFORMLY) CONTINUOUS MEASURES ON HOMOGENEOUS SPACES

Luc Duponcheel

I. Introduction

Let \mathcal{K} be a complete non-archimedean valued field. Let G be a locally compact zero-dimensional group. If G has an open compact q -free subgroup, where q is the characteristic of the residue class field of \mathcal{K} , then for every closed subgroup H of G the homogeneous space of all left cosets of H in G has a \mathcal{K} -valued quasi-invariant measure μ (see [4]). Let $M^\infty(G/H)$ be the space of all measures on G/H . In this paper we will prove the following result: an element λ of $M^\infty(G/H)$ translates continuously for the strong (resp. weak) topology on $M^\infty(G/H)$ if and only if $\lambda = f\mu$ where f is a bounded uniformly continuous (resp. continuous) function on G/H .

II. Functions and measures

Let \mathcal{K} be a complete non-archimedean valued field. Let X be a locally compact zero-dimensional space. Let $B_c(X)$ be the ring of all open compact subsets of X . If A is an element of $B_c(X)$ then $\xi(A)$ will be the \mathcal{K} -valued characteristic function of A . Let $BC(X)$ be the space of all bounded continuous \mathcal{K} -valued functions on X . With the norm $\|f\| = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|$, ($f \in BC(X)$), $BC(X)$ becomes a non-archimedean Banach space over \mathcal{K} . With the seminorms $p_x(f) = |f(x)|$, ($f \in BC(X)$; $x \in X$), $BC(X)$ becomes a non-archimedean locally convex space over \mathcal{K} . The topology (resp. uniformity) on $BC(X)$ induced by the norm $\|\cdot\|$ will be called the uniform topology (resp. uniformity). The topology (resp. uniformity) on $BC(X)$ induced by the seminorms p_x ($x \in X$) will be called the pointwise topology (resp. uniformity). Let $BUC(X)$ be the space of all bounded \mathcal{U} -uniformly continuous \mathcal{K} -valued functions on X where \mathcal{U} is a non-archimedean uniformity on X compatible with the topology on X . Let $C_\infty(X)$ be the space of all continuous \mathcal{K} -valued functions on X which vanish at infinity. Both $BUC(X)$ and $C_\infty(X)$ are subspaces of $BC(X)$. In the same way as $BC(X)$, $BUC(X)$ and $C_\infty(X)$ can be made into a non-archimedean Banach space and a locally convex space over \mathcal{K} . Let $M^\infty(X)$ be

the space of all *bounded additive* \mathcal{X} -valued functions on $B_c(X)$. The elements of $M^\infty(X)$ will be called *measures* on X . With the norm $\|\lambda\| = \sup_{A \in B_c(X)} |\lambda(A)|$ ($\lambda \in M^\infty(X)$), $M^\infty(X)$ becomes a non-archimedean Banach space over \mathcal{X} . ($M^\infty(X)$, $\|\cdot\|$) is the *dual space* of $(C_\infty(X), \|\cdot\|)$. The duality is given by

$$(f, \lambda) \rightarrow \int_X f d\lambda = \int_X f(x) d\lambda(x) \quad (f \in C_\infty(X), \lambda \in M^\infty(X)).$$

Let λ be an element of $M^\infty(X)$. If for every x in X we define $N_\lambda(x) = \inf_{A \ni x} \sup_{B \subset A} |\lambda(B)|$ then for every A in $B_c(X)$ we have $\sup_{B \subset A} |\lambda(B)| = \sup_{x \in A} N_\lambda(x)$. In particular we have $\|\lambda\| = \sup_{x \in X} N_\lambda(x)$. With the seminorms $q_x(\lambda) = N_\lambda(x)$ ($x \in X, \lambda \in M^\infty(X)$), $M^\infty(X)$ becomes a non-archimedean locally convex space over \mathcal{X} . The topology (resp. uniformity) on $M^\infty(X)$ induced by the norm $\|\cdot\|$ will be called the *strong* topology (resp. uniformity). The topology (resp. uniformity) on $M^\infty(X)$ induced by the seminorms q_x ($x \in X$) will be called the *weak* topology (resp. uniformity).

If λ is an element of $M^\infty(X)$ and g is an element of $BC(X)$ we can define an element $g\lambda$ of $M^\infty(X)$ by setting:

$$\int_X f d g\lambda = \int_X f g d\lambda \quad (f \in C_\infty(X)).$$

It is not difficult to see that $N_{g\lambda}(x) = |g(x)| N_\lambda(x)$.

III. PROPOSITION (1): *Let λ be an element of $M^\infty(X)$ with $\inf_{x \in X} N_\lambda(x) \geq m > 0$. The map $g \rightarrow g\lambda$ is a linear homeomorphism from $BC(X)$ with the uniform (resp. pointwise) topology onto a closed subspace of $M^\infty(X)$ with the strong (resp. weak) topology.*

PROOF: It is clear that the map $g \rightarrow g\lambda$ from $BC(X)$ with the uniform (resp. pointwise) topology to $M^\infty(X)$ with the strong (resp. weak) topology is a linear homeomorphism. We only need to prove that its image is closed.

For the the uniform topology on $BC(X)$ and the strong topology on $M^\infty(X)$ this is trivial.

For the pointwise topology on $BC(X)$ and the weak topology on $M^\infty(X)$ this runs as follows: let $(f_\alpha \lambda)_{\alpha \in I}$ be a net in $M^\infty(X)$ converging weakly to μ . It is clear that the net $(f_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ in $BC(X)$ converges pointwise to a function f . We have

$$N_{f(x)\lambda - \mu}(x) \leq \max \left[N_{f(x)\lambda - f_\alpha \lambda}(x), N_{f_\alpha \lambda - \mu}(x) \right] \quad (\alpha \in I, x \in X).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_{f(x)\lambda-\mu}(x) &= 0 \quad (x \in X). \\
 |f(x)| &\leq \frac{1}{m} |f(x)| N_\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{m} N_{f(x)\lambda}(x) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{m} \max[N_{f(x)\lambda-\mu}(x), N_\mu(x)] \leq \frac{N_\mu(x)}{m} \quad (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f(x) - f(y)| &\leq \frac{1}{m} |f(x) - f(y)| N_\lambda(y) = \frac{1}{m} N_{f(x)\lambda-f(y)\lambda}(y) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{m} \max[N_{f(x)\lambda-\mu}(y), N_{f(y)\lambda-\mu}(y)] \\
 &= \frac{1}{m} N_{f(x)\lambda-\mu}(y). \quad (2)
 \end{aligned}$$

From the inequalities (1) and (2) it is easy to conclude that $f \in BC(X)$. (Notice that the function $N_{f(x)\lambda-\mu}$ is uppersemicontinuous and $N_{f(x)\lambda-\mu}(x) = 0$). Now

$$N_{f\lambda-\mu}(x) \leq \max[N_{f\lambda-f(x)\lambda}(x), N_{f(x)\lambda-\mu}(x)] = 0$$

and we may conclude that $\mu = f\lambda$ and we are done.

IV. Groups and homogeneous spaces

Let G be a *locally compact zerodimensional group*. For every *open compact subgroup* K of G we can define an equivalence relation on G by setting:

$$s \sim t \Leftrightarrow sK = tK \quad (\text{resp. } s \sim t \Leftrightarrow Ks = Kt) \quad (s, t \in G).$$

Using those equivalence relations we can define the so called *left (resp. right) group uniformity* on G which is compatible with the topology on G .

Let $BLUC(G)$ (resp. $BRUC(G)$) be the space of all *bounded left (resp. right) uniformly continuous* \mathcal{X} -valued functions on G . If f is an element of $BC(G)$ and s is an element of G , we can define an element $R_s f$ (resp. $L_s f$) of $BC(G)$ by setting:

$$R_s f(t) = f(ts) \quad (\text{resp. } L_s f(t) = f(st)) \quad (t \in G).$$

If f is an element of $BC(G)$ then the functions $s \rightarrow L_s f$ and $s \rightarrow R_s f$ from G to $BC(G)$ are continuous for the pointwise topology on $BC(G)$.

An element f of $BC(G)$ is an element of $BLUC(G)$ (resp. $BRUC(G)$)

if and only if the function $s \rightarrow R_s f$ (resp. $s \rightarrow L_s f$) from G to $BC(G)$ is continuous for the uniform topology on $BC(G)$. It is important to notice that $C_\infty(G)$ is a subspace of $BLUC(G)$ (resp. $BRUC(G)$).

Let H be a closed subgroup of G . Let G/H be the set of all *left cosets* of H in G . Let $\pi: G \rightarrow G/H$ be the *natural quotient map* from G to G/H . With the quotient topology G/M also becomes a locally compact zerodimensional space. G has an *action* on G/H by setting:

$$s(\pi(t)) = \pi(st) \quad (s \in G, \pi(t) \in G/H).$$

For every open compact subgroup K of G we can define an equivalence relation on G/H by setting:

$$\pi(s) \sim \pi(t) \Leftrightarrow \pi(Ks) = \pi(Kt) \quad (\pi(s), \pi(t) \in G/H).$$

Using those equivalence relations we can define the so called *homogeneous uniformity* on G/H which is compatible with the topology on G/H . Let $BUC(G/H)$ be the space of all *bounded uniformly continuous* \mathcal{X} -valued functions on G/H . If f is an element of $BC(G/H)$ and s is an element of G we can define an element $L_s f$ of $BC(G/H)$ by setting:

$$L_s f(\pi(t)) = f(\pi(st)) \quad (\pi(t) \in G/H).$$

If f is an element of $BC(G/H)$ then the function $s \rightarrow L_s f$ from G to $BC(G/H)$ is continuous for the pointwise topology on $BC(G/H)$. An element f of $BC(G/H)$ is an element of $BUC(G/H)$ if and only if the function $s \rightarrow L_s f$ from G to $BC(G/H)$ is continuous for the uniform topology on $BC(G/H)$. It is important to notice that $C_\infty(G/H)$ is a subspace of $BUC(G/H)$.

V. Quasi-invariant measures on homogeneous spaces

Let G be a *locally compact zerodimensional group*. Let H be a *closed subgroup* of G . Let G/H be the *homogeneous space* of all left cosets of H in G . We suppose that G has an open compact q -free subgroup where q is the characteristic of the *residue class field* of \mathcal{X} . In that case G has an *invariant measure* m . H also has an *invariant measure* n . Let Δ be the *modular function* on G . Let δ be the *modular function* on H . Let f be an element of $C_\infty(G)$. We can define an element f^b of $C_\infty(G/H)$ by setting:

$$f^b(\pi(s)) = \int_H f(st) dt \quad (\pi(s) \in G/H).$$

The map $f \rightarrow f^b$ from $C_\infty(G)$ to $C_\infty(G/H)$ is linear and continuous and using duality we can define a linear and continuous map $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda^\#$ from

$M^\infty(G/H)$ to $M^\infty(G)$. We have:

$$\int_G f d\lambda^\# = \int_{G/H} f^b d\lambda.$$

For every s in G we have $N_\lambda(\pi(s)) = N_{\lambda^\#}(s)$.

A quasi-invariant measure on G/H is a measure μ such that $\mu^\# = \rho m$, where ρ is an invertible element of $BRUC(G)$. Such a measure does always exist and it is unique up to an invertible element of $BUC(G/H)$. We can even say more: for every open compact subgroup K of G there exists a quasi-invariant measure μ on G/H with $\mu^\# = \rho m$ where $|\rho| \equiv 1$ and ρ is constant on the right cosets of K (see [4]).

VI. (Uniformly) continuous measures

Let λ be an element of $M^\infty(G/H)$ and s an element of G . We can define an element λ_s of $M^\infty(G/H)$ by setting:

$$\int_{G/H} f d\lambda_s = \int_{G/H} L_s f d\lambda \quad (f \in C_\infty(G/H)).$$

An element λ of $M^\infty(G/H)$ is called a *continuous* (resp. *uniformly continuous*) *measure* if the function $s \rightarrow \lambda_s$ from G to $M^\infty(G/H)$ is weakly (resp. strongly) continuous.

It is clear that the function $s \rightarrow \lambda_s$ from G to $M^\infty(G/H)$ is weakly (resp. strongly) continuous if and only if the function $s \rightarrow (\lambda^\#)_s$ from G to $M^\infty(G)$ is weakly (resp. strongly) continuous. In order to find the continuous (resp. uniformly continuous) measures on G/H it suffices to find the continuous (resp. uniformly continuous) measures on G .

Let $(K_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ be a fundamental system of open compact q -free subgroups of G . We can define functions $(u(K_\alpha))_{\alpha \in I}$ from G to \mathcal{X} by setting

$$u(K_\alpha) = \frac{1}{m(K_\alpha)} \xi(K_\alpha).$$

VII. PROPOSITION (2): *Let λ be an element of $M^\infty(G)$. Let m be an invariant measure on G . λ is a uniformly continuous (resp. continuous) measure if and only if $\lambda = fm$ for some element f of $BRUC(G)$ (resp. $BC(G)$).*

PROOF: (1) If $\lambda = fm$ for some element f of $BRUC(G)$ (resp. $BC(G)$) then the function $s \rightarrow \lambda_s$ from G to $M^\infty(G)$ is strongly (resp. weakly) continuous as can easily be verified.

(2) Suppose now that the function $s \rightarrow \lambda_s$ from G to $M^\infty(G)$ is strongly (resp. weakly) continuous. For every f in $C_\infty(G)$ we can define an element

$f * \lambda$ of $M^\infty(G)$ by setting:

$$f * \lambda = \int_G f(s) \lambda_s ds$$

$$\left(\text{resp. } \int_G g df * \lambda = \int_G f(s) \left[\int_G g d\lambda_s \right] ds \quad g \in C_\infty(G) \right).$$

We will prove that $u(K_\alpha) * \lambda$ converges strongly (resp. weakly) to λ . (*)

From this it follows (using Proposition 1) that it suffices to prove that for every f in $C_\infty(G)$ there exists an element F of $BRUC(G)$ (resp. $BC(G)$) with $f * \lambda = Fm$. Define

$$F(s) = \int_G \Delta(t)^{-1} f(st^{-1}) d\lambda(t)$$

$$\|F\| = \sup_{s \in G} |F(s)| = \sup_{s \in G} \left| \int_G \Delta(t)^{-1} f(st^{-1}) d\lambda(t) \right|$$

$$\leq \|\lambda\| \sup_{s \in G} \sup_{t \in G} |\Delta(t)^{-1} f(st^{-1})| \leq \|\lambda\| \|f\|.$$

$$\|L_t F - F\| = \sup_{s \in G} |F(ts) - F(s)|$$

$$= \sup_{s \in G} \left| \int_G \Delta(q)^{-1} [f(ts q^{-1}) - f(s q^{-1})] d\lambda(q) \right|$$

$$\leq \|\lambda\| \sup_{s \in G} \sup_{q \in G} |\Delta(q)^{-1} [f(ts q^{-1}) - f(s q^{-1})]|$$

$$\leq \|\lambda\| \|L_t f - f\|.$$

It is clear that F is an element of $BRUC(G)$ (in particular it is an element of $BC(G)$). Let g be an element of $C_\infty(G)$.

$$\int_G g df * \lambda = \int_G \int_G f(s) g(t) d\lambda_s(t) ds$$

$$= \int_G \int_G f(s) g(st) d\lambda(t) ds$$

$$= \int_G \int_G f(s) g(st) ds d\lambda(t)$$

$$= \int_G \int_G \Delta(t)^{-1} f(st^{-1}) g(s) ds d\lambda(t)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_G g(s) \left[\int_G \Delta(t)^{-1} f(st^{-1}) d\lambda(t) \right] ds \\
&= \int_G g(s) F(s) ds \\
&= \int_G g dFm
\end{aligned}$$

and we may conclude that $f * \lambda = Fm$. We still need to prove (*):

– For the strong topology this runs as follows:

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists K_\alpha \vdash \forall K_\beta \subset K_\alpha: \sup_{s \in K_\beta} \|\lambda_s - \lambda\| \leq \epsilon.$$

Using the inequality

$$\|u(K_\beta) * \lambda - \lambda\| = \left\| \frac{1}{m(K_\beta)} \int_{K_\beta} (\lambda_s - \lambda) ds \right\| \leq \sup_{s \in K_\beta} \|\lambda_s - \lambda\|$$

we see that

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists K_\alpha \vdash \forall K_\beta \subset K_\alpha: \|u(K_\beta) * \lambda - \lambda\| \leq \epsilon \text{ and we are done.}$$

– For the weak topology this runs as follows:

$$\forall t \in G \forall \epsilon > 0 \exists K_\alpha \vdash \forall K_\beta \subset K_\alpha: \sup_{s \in K_\beta} N_{\lambda_s, -\lambda}(t) \leq \epsilon.$$

If $p \in K_\beta t$ (say $p = q^{-1}t$, $q \in K_\beta$) then

$$\begin{aligned}
N_{\lambda_s, -\lambda}(p) &= N_{\lambda_s, -\lambda}(q^{-1}t) \\
&= N_{\lambda_{sq}, -\lambda_q}(t) \leq \max(N_{\lambda_{sq}, -\lambda}(t), N_{\lambda_q, -\lambda}(t))
\end{aligned}$$

and we see that

$$\forall t \in G \forall \epsilon > 0 \exists K_\alpha \vdash \forall K_\beta \subset K_\alpha: \inf_{A \ni t} \sup_{s \in K_\beta} \sup_{p \in A} N_{\lambda_s, -\lambda}(p) \leq \epsilon$$

thus

$$\forall t \in G \forall \epsilon > 0 \exists K_\alpha \vdash \forall K_\beta \subset K_\alpha: \inf_{A \ni t} \sup_{s \in K_\beta} \sup_{B \subset A} |\lambda_s(B) - \lambda(B)| \leq \epsilon.$$

Using the inequality

$$N_{u(K_\beta) * \lambda, -\lambda}(t) = \inf_{A \ni t} \sup_{B \subset A} |(u(K_\beta) * \lambda - \lambda)(B)|$$

$$\leq \inf_{A \ni t} \sup_{B \subset A} \sup_{s \in K_\beta} |\lambda_s(B) - \lambda(B)|$$

we may conclude that

$$\forall t \in G \forall \epsilon > 0 \exists K_\alpha \vdash \forall K_\beta \subset K_\alpha: N_{u(K_\beta) * \lambda - \lambda}(t) \leq \epsilon$$

and we are done.

VIII. REMARK: *The function f of the foregoing proposition is the uniform (resp. pointwise) limit of the functions $(F_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ defined by:*

$$F_\alpha(s) = \frac{\lambda(K_\alpha s)}{m(K_\alpha s)} \quad (s \in G, \alpha \in I).$$

PROOF: $\lambda = \lim_{\alpha \in I} u(K_\alpha) * \lambda = \lim_{\alpha \in I} F_\alpha m = (\lim_{\alpha \in I} F_\alpha) m$ with

$$\begin{aligned} F_\alpha(s) &= \int_G \Delta(t)^{-1} u(K_\alpha)(st^{-1}) d\lambda(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{m(K_\alpha)} \int_G \Delta(t)^{-1} \xi(K_\alpha s)(t) d\lambda(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{m(K_\alpha)} \int_G \Delta(s)^{-1} \xi(K_\alpha s)(t) d\lambda(t) \\ &= \frac{\lambda(K_\alpha s)}{m(K_\alpha) \Delta(s)} = \frac{\lambda(K_\alpha s)}{m(K_\alpha s)}. \end{aligned}$$

IX. THEOREM: *Let λ be an element of $M^\infty(G/H)$. Let μ be a quasi-invariant measure on G/H . λ is a uniformly continuous (resp. continuous) measure if and only if $\lambda = f\mu$ for some element f of $BUC(G/H)$ (resp. $BC(G/H)$).*

PROOF: Let ρ be the invertible function of $BRUC(G)$ with $\mu^\# = \rho m$. The function $s \rightarrow \lambda_s$ is strongly (resp. weakly) continuous if and only if the function $s \rightarrow (\lambda^\#)_s$ is strongly (resp. weakly) continuous.

(a) If the function $s \rightarrow (\lambda^\#)_s$ from G to $M^\infty(G)$ is strongly (resp. weakly) continuous there exists an element g of $BRUC(G)$ (resp. $BC(G)$) with $\lambda^\# = gm$. It is easy to see that $\lambda = f\mu$ where $f(\pi(s)) = [g(s)/\rho(s)]$ is an element of $BUC(G/H)$ (resp. $BC(G/H)$).

(b) If there exists an element f of $BUC(G/H)$ (resp. $BC(G/H)$) with $\lambda = f\mu$ then it is easy to see that $\lambda^\# = gm$ where $g(s) = \rho(s)f(\pi(s))$ is an element of $BRUC(G)$ (resp. $BC(G)$) and therefore we see that the function $s \rightarrow (\lambda^\#)_s$ from G to $M^\infty(G)$ is strongly (resp. weakly) continuous.

X. REMARK: The function f of the foregoing theorem is the uniform (resp. pointwise) limit of the functions $(F_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ defined by:

$$F_\alpha(\pi(s)) = \frac{\lambda(\pi(K_\alpha s))}{\mu(\pi(K_\alpha s))} \quad (\pi(s) \in G/H, \alpha \in I).$$

PROOF: Let ν be a quasi-invariant measure on G/H with $\nu^\# = \rho m$ where $|\rho| \equiv 1$ and ρ is constant on every right coset of every K_α ($\alpha \in I$). If $\lambda = g\nu$ then g is the uniform (resp. pointwise) limit of the functions $(G_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ where

$$G_\alpha(\pi(s)) = \frac{\lambda^\#(K_\alpha s)}{m(K_\alpha s)\rho(s)}.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^\#(K_\alpha s) &= \int_{G/H} \xi(K_\alpha s)^b d\lambda = \int_{G/H} \int_H \xi(K_\alpha s)(tr) dr d\lambda(\pi(t)) \\ &= n(K_\alpha s \cap H) \int_{G/H} \xi(\pi(K_\alpha s))(\pi(t)) d\lambda(\pi(t)) \\ &= n(K_\alpha s \cap H) \lambda(\pi(K_\alpha s)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} m(K_\alpha s)\rho(s) &= \int_G \xi(K_\alpha s)(t)\rho(t) dt \\ &= \int_{G/H} \int_H \xi(K_\alpha s)(tr) dr d\pi(t) \\ &= n(K_\alpha s \cap H) \int_{G/H} \xi(\pi(K_\alpha s))(\pi(t)) d\pi(t) \\ &= n(K_\alpha s \cap H) \nu(\pi(K_\alpha s)) \end{aligned}$$

so we may conclude that

$$G_\alpha(\pi(s)) = \frac{\lambda(\pi(K_\alpha s))}{\nu(\pi(K_\alpha s))}.$$

If $\mu = h\nu$ for some invertible element h of $BUC(G/H)$ then, in the same way, h is the uniform limit of the functions $(H_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ where

$$H_\alpha(\pi(s)) = \frac{\mu(\pi(K_\alpha s))}{\nu(\pi(K_\alpha s))}.$$

If $\lambda = f\mu = (g/h)\mu$ then we see that f is the uniform (resp. pointwise) limit of the functions $(F_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ where

$$F_\alpha(\pi(s)) = \frac{G_\alpha(\pi(s))}{H_\alpha(\pi(s))} = \frac{\lambda(\pi(K_\alpha s))}{\mu(\pi(K_\alpha s))}$$

and were are finished.

XI. Final remark

The most important results of this paper can be reformulated as follows:

Let μ be a quasi-invariant measure on G/H . The map $f \rightarrow f\mu$ from $BUC(G/H)$ with the uniform topology (resp. $BC(G/H)$ with the pointwise topology) to $M^\infty(G/H)$ with the strong (resp. weak) topology is a linear homeomorphism onto a closed subspace of $M^\infty(G/H)$. This subspace consists exactly of those measures wick translate continuously for the strong (resp. weak) toplogy on $M^\infty(G/H)$.

We can always find a quasi-invariant measure μ on G/H with $N_\mu \equiv 1$. In that case the map $f \rightarrow f_\mu$ from $BUC(G/H)$ to $M^\infty(G/H)$ is a linear isometry.

References

- [1] A.C.M. VAN ROOIJ: Non-archimedean Functional Analysis, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York and Basel (1978).
- [2] N. BOURBAKI: XXIX, Elem. de Math., Livre IV, Intégration chap. 7 et 8, Hermann, Paris (1954).
- [3] L. DUPONCHEEL: Non-archimedean Induced Representations and Related Topics, Thesis (1979).
- [4] L. DUPONCHEEL: Non-archimedean quasi-invariant measures on homogeneous spaces. *Indag. Math.*, Volumen 45, Fasciculus 1 (1983).

(Oblatum 26-I-1981)

Department Wiskunde
Vrije Universiteit Brussel
Pleinlaan 2, F7
1050 Brussel
Belgium