# COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

## H. KISILEVSKY

# Some non-semi-simple Iwasawa modules

Compositio Mathematica, tome 49, nº 3 (1983), p. 399-404 <a href="http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM">http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM</a> 1983 49 3 399 0>

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1983, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (http://http://www.compositio.nl/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.



Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

#### SOME NON-SEMI-SIMPLE IWASAWA MODULES

#### H. Kisilevsky

The purpose of this note is to show that the semisimplicity result of [2] may fail to be true in the cases not covered by the theorem in section 2. We base the examples on the idea of J.F. Jaulent [4] although our method in  $\S 1$  is somewhat different. Theorem 1 of this note gives an alternate proof of Theorem 1 of [2] and Theorem 9 of [4]. We follow the notation in  $\lceil 2 \rceil$ .

Let  $k/\mathbb{Q}$  be a totally complex abelian extension, and denote by  $\Delta = \operatorname{Gal}(k/\mathbb{Q})$ . Let  $J \in \Delta$  be the automorphism given by complex conjugation (under some fixed embedding of an algebraic closure of k into the complex numbers). Fix a prime p, such that  $\delta^{p-1} = 1$  for all  $\delta \in \Delta$ . Let  $\hat{\Delta} = \operatorname{Hom}(\Delta, \mu_{p-1}) = \operatorname{Hom}(\Delta, \mathbb{Z}_p^*)$  and denote by V the set of characters  $\chi$  of  $\Delta$  which are either odd or trivial, i.e.  $V = \{\chi \in \hat{\Delta} \mid \chi(J) = -1 \text{ or } \chi = \chi_0\}$ .

For each  $\chi \in V$ , there exists a (unique)  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension (see [1])  $K_\chi/k$ ,  $\operatorname{Gal}(K_\chi/k) = \Gamma_\chi$  such that  $K_\chi/\mathbb{Q}$  is normal and  $\operatorname{Gal}(K_\chi/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \Gamma_\chi \cdot \Delta$  a semidirect product with

$$\delta\sigma\delta^{-1} = \sigma^{\chi(\delta)}$$
 for all  $\sigma \in \Gamma_{\chi}, \delta \in \Delta$ .

Let  $L/K_{\chi}$  be the maximal abelian unramified *p*-extension of  $K_{\chi}$  so that  $Gal(L/K_{\chi}) = X \simeq \lim_{\leftarrow} A_n$  (where  $A_n$  is the *p*-primary subgroup of the ideal class group of  $k_n \subseteq K_{\chi}$ , and the limit is taken as usual with respect to the norm maps).

Then, as usual, X is a noetherian torsion  $\Lambda$ -module, so we have

$$X/TX \sim {}_TX \sim {}_TX_0 \sim X_0/TX_0$$

<sup>\*</sup> This research was sponsored by an NSERC Grant.

where  $_TX = \{x \in X | Tx = 0\}$  and  $X_0 = \{x \in X | T^kx = 0 \text{ some } k \ge 1\}$  and "~" here denotes pseudo-isomorphism.

Since  $\Gamma_{\chi}$  acts trivially on X/TX and on TX we have a *natural* action of  $\Delta$  on these groups and following [4], we study their  $\Delta$ -decompositions.

If M is a  $\Delta$ -module which is also a (pro) p-group then for  $\phi \in \hat{\Delta}$  write

$$M_{\phi} = \{ m \in M \mid \delta(m) = \phi(\delta) \cdot m \text{ for } \delta \in \Delta \}$$

and call this the  $\phi$ -component of M.

Now  $X_0 \sim \Lambda/T^{a_1} + \ldots + \Lambda/T^{a_r}$  for integers  $a_1, \ldots, a_r \ge 1$ . We say  $X_0$  is semi-simple  $\Leftrightarrow a_1 = a_2, \ldots = a_r = 1$  and in this case it is clear that

$$_TX \sim X_0 \sim X/TX$$
 as  $\Delta$ -modules.

In §1 we compute the  $\Delta$ -decomposition of X/TX and in §2 we obtain some information of the  $\Delta$ -decomposition of  $_TX$ .

## §1. The $\Delta$ -structure of X/TX

Let  $L_0$  be the subfield of L fixed by TX so that  $L_0$  is the largest subfield of L abelian over k, and  $Gal(L_0/k) \simeq X/TX$ . Then  $L_0$  is normal over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and  $L_0 \supseteq \Pi K_{\phi}$  (compositum taken over certain  $\phi$  to be determined) where  $[L_0: \Pi K_{\phi}] < \infty$ . (In fact the Galois group  $Gal(L_0/\Pi K_{\phi})$  is the torsion subgroup of X/TX and has certain interest c.f. [3].) To determine the characters  $\phi$  for which  $K_{\phi} \subseteq L_0$ , we note  $K_{\phi} \subseteq L_0 \Leftrightarrow K_{\phi}K_{\chi}/K_{\chi}$  is unramified at all primes over p and this is a condition which we shall determine locally.

Let  $\mathfrak p$  be a prime of k dividing p, and let  $F=F_0$  be the completion of k at  $\mathfrak p$ . Let  $F_\phi$  be the union of the completions of the finite layers of  $K_\phi$  with respect to some consistent choice of primes over  $\mathfrak p$ . (In the notation of [2],  $\mathfrak p=\mathfrak p_i$  some  $i, F=F_{0,i}$ , and  $F_\phi=\bigcup_{n\geq 1}F_{n,i}$ .) Then  $F_\phi/F$  is a  $\mathbb Z_p$ -extension, infinitely ramified, such that  $F_\phi/\mathbb Q_p$  is Galois, and  $\mathrm{Gal}(F_\phi/\mathbb Q_p)\simeq \mathbb Z_p\cdot D$  a semi-direct product where  $D\subseteq \Delta$  is the decomposition group of p in  $\mathrm{Gal}(k/\mathbb Q)$  and

$$\delta\sigma\delta^{-1} = \sigma^{\phi'(\delta)}$$
for all  $\sigma \in \mathbf{Z}_p = \operatorname{Gal}(F_{\phi}/F)$  and  $\delta \in V$ , and  $\phi' = \phi \mid D$ .

We state the following two lemmas whose proofs we omit:

LEMMA 1: If M is the compositum of all  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extensions of F, and  $G = \operatorname{Gal}(M/F)$ , then  $G \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^{|D|+1}$  and we have the D-decomposition of G for all  $\phi' \in \hat{D}$ ,

$$G_{\phi'} \simeq \mathbf{Z}_p$$
 if  $\phi' \neq \chi'_0$   
 $\simeq \mathbf{Z}_p + \mathbf{Z}_p$  if  $\phi' = \chi'_0$ .

LEMMA 2:  $F_{\phi}F_{\chi}/F_{\chi}$  is unramified if and only if either (a)  $F_{\phi} = F_{\chi}$  or (b)  $F^{nr} \subseteq F_{\phi}F_{\chi}$ , where  $F^{nr}$  is the unique non-ramified  $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$ -extension of F and is equal to  $F \cdot \mathbf{Q}_{p}^{nr}$ , the compositum of F with the non-ramified  $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$ -extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$ .

THEOREM 1:  $K_{\phi}K_{\gamma}/K_{\gamma}$  is unramified if and only if

$$\phi \in V$$
 and  $\phi | D = \chi | D$ .

PROOF: Suppose  $K_{\phi}K_{\chi}/K_{\chi}$  is unramified so that for each p over p, we have  $F_{\phi}F_{\chi}/F_{\chi}$  is unramified. Hence by Lemma 2, either (a)  $F_{\phi}=F_{\chi}$  so that  $\phi|D=\chi|D$  or (b)  $F^{nr}\subseteq F_{\phi}F_{\chi}$ . In this case, (b), we must have  $\mathrm{Gal}(F_{\phi}F_{\chi}/F)_{\chi'_0}$  is non-trivial since  $\mathrm{Gal}(F^{nr}/F)_{\chi'_0}\cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Since both  $F_{\phi}/F$  and  $F_{\chi}/F$  are infinitely ramified it follows that only the  $\chi'_0$  component of  $\mathrm{Gal}(F_{\phi}F_{\chi}/F)$  is non-zero and so  $\phi|D=\chi_0|D=\chi|D$ . Hence in either case,  $\phi\in V$  and  $\phi|D=\chi|D$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\phi \in V$ , and  $\phi | D = \chi | D$ . If  $\chi | D \neq \chi_0 | D$  then  $F_{\phi} = F_{\chi}$  by Lemma 1, and so  $K_{\phi}K_{\chi}/K_{\chi}$  is unramified at primes over  $\mathfrak{p}$ . If  $\phi | D = \chi | D = \chi_0 | D$  then again by Lemma 1 either  $F_{\phi} = F_{\chi}$ ; or  $F^{nr} \subseteq F_{\phi}F_{\chi}$ , so again  $K_{\phi}K_{\chi}/K_{\chi}$  is unramified at primes over  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Since  $K_{\phi}/k$  in unramified outside of primes over p, the conclusion of the theorem follows.

COROLLARY: 
$$(X/TX)_{\phi} \sim \mathbb{Z}_p$$
 for  $\phi \in V$ ,  $\phi \mid D = \chi \mid D$ ,  $\phi \neq \chi$ , and  $\sim 0$  otherwise.

REMARK: This corollary furnishes another proof of Theorem 1 in [2] and Theorem 9 of [4].

We also note if for any  $\phi$  we have  $F_{\phi} = F_{\chi}$ , then it follows that  $K_{\phi}K_{\chi} \subseteq L'$  in the notation of [2] and for each  $\phi$ ,  $(X'/TX')_{\phi}$  has non-zero  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -rank. This gives many examples of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extensions where X'/TX' and TX' are infinite.

### §2. $\triangle$ -structure of $_{T}X$

Let  $\Gamma = \Gamma_{\chi} = \operatorname{Gal}(K_{\chi}/k)$ , and so  $TX = \lim_{\leftarrow} A_n^{\Gamma}$ . Since the limit is taken with respect to the norm maps  $N_{m,n}$  and since  $\delta N_{m,n} = N_{m,n}\delta$  for all  $\delta \in \Delta$ , it follows that

$$(_TX)_{\phi} = \lim_{n \to \infty} (A_n^{\Gamma})_{\phi} \text{ for } \phi \in \hat{\Delta}.$$

We consider the usual exact sequences

$$1 \to P_n \to I_n \to C_n \to 1$$
$$1 \to E_n \to k_n^* \to P_n \to 1$$

where  $I_n$ ,  $C_n$ ,  $P_n$ ,  $E_n$  are the ideal group, class group, group of principal ideals, and unit group of the  $n^{th}$  layer  $k_n$  of  $K_{\gamma}$  respectively.

We obtain the exact sequence

$$1 \to P_n^{\Gamma} \to I_n^{\Gamma} \to C_n^{\Gamma} \xrightarrow{f} NP_n/P_n^{\gamma-1} \simeq E_0 \cap Nk_n^*/NE_n \to 1$$

where the map f is given below. Choose a fixed generator  $\gamma$  of  $\Gamma_{\chi}$ . Then for  $x \in C_n^{\Gamma}$ ,  $\gamma x = x$  and so  $\frac{\gamma A}{A} = (\alpha) \in P_n$  for an ideal  $A \in x$ , define  $f(x) = (\alpha) \mod P_n^{\gamma - 1}$ . This is a group homomorphism which is not a  $\Delta$ -map, (c.f. [4]), but satisfies

$$f: (A_n^{\Gamma})_{\phi} \to ({}_N P_n / P_n^{\gamma - 1})_{\phi \chi}.$$

Also the isomorphism is given by:

$$_{N}P_{n}/P_{n}^{\gamma-1} \cong E_{0} \cap N(k_{n}^{*})/N(E_{n})$$
  
( $\alpha$ ) mod  $P_{n}^{\gamma-1} \to N(\alpha)$  mod  $N(E_{n})$ 

where N denotes the norm map  $N_{n,0}$  from  $k_n$  to  $k=k_0$ . Hence we obtain the exact sequence

$$1 \to \frac{P_n \cap I_0}{I_0} \to P_n^{\Gamma}/P_0 \to I_n^{\Gamma}/I_0 \to C_n^{\Gamma}/j(C_0) \to \frac{E_0 \cap N(k_n^*)}{N(E_n)} \to 1 \tag{*}$$

where  $j(C_0) \subseteq C_n^{\Gamma}$  is the subgroup generated by the ideals of  $k = k_0$ . We shall compute the  $\phi$ -components of the groups  $E_0 \cap N(k_n^*)/E_0^{p^n}$  and  $I_n^{\Gamma}/I_0$ . Since the groups on either side of  $C_n^{\Gamma}/j(C_0)$  are (at worst) quotients

of these, this will describe the set of  $\phi$ -components of  $A_n^\Gamma \sim C_n^\Gamma/j(C_0)$  which are possibly non-trivial. (As in [2], we use the notation  $A_n \sim B_n$  for sequences of groups  $\{A_n\}$  and  $\{B_n\}$  to mean there are homomorphisms  $\phi_n \colon A_n \to B_n$  whose kernels and cokernels have orders bounded independently of n.)

For each prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  of k dividing p, let  $\mathfrak{p} = A(\mathfrak{p})^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}}$  in  $I_n$  where  $e_{\mathfrak{p}} \sim p^n$  is the ramification index of  $\mathfrak{p}$  for  $k_n/k$ . Since  $\Delta$  permutes the primes of k over (p) transitively it follows that

$$I_n^{\Gamma}/I_0 \simeq \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}\mid (p)} \langle A(\mathfrak{p})\rangle/\langle \mathfrak{p}\rangle \sim \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{z}[\Delta/D]$$

where  $\langle A(\mathfrak{p}) \rangle$ ,  $\langle \mathfrak{p} \rangle$  are the multiplicative subgroups of  $I_n^{\Gamma}$  generated by  $A(\mathfrak{p})$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$  respectively.

Hence it follows that 
$$(I_n^{\Gamma}/I_0)_{\phi} \sim \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$$
 if  $\phi \mid D = \chi_0 \mid D$   
  $\sim 0$  otherwise.

On the other hand by [2, Lemma 1] we have

$$(E_0 \cap N(k_n^*)/E_0 p^n)_{\phi_1} \sim \mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z}$$
 if  $\phi_1$  even,  $\phi_1 \neq \chi_0$  and  $\phi_1 | D \neq \chi | D \sim 0$  otherwise.

Since  $(A_n)_{\phi}^{\Gamma} \to (E_0 \cap N(k_n^*)/NE_n)_{\phi\chi}$ , the possible  $\phi$ -components of  $A_n^{\Gamma}$  which have non-trivial image in this group are among those  $\phi$ ,

$$\phi(J) = \chi(J), \ \phi \neq \chi^{-1} \ \text{and} \ \phi|D \neq \chi_0|D.$$

Hence the non-trivial  $\phi$ -components of  $A_n^{\Gamma}$  are among

$$\{\phi | \phi | D = \chi_0 | D\} \cup \{\phi | \phi(J) = \chi(J), \phi \neq \chi^{-1}, \phi | D \neq \chi_0 | D\}.$$

This provides no restriction in the case that  $D \subseteq \ker \chi$  when in fact  $X_0$  is semisimple [2].

If  $\chi | D \neq \chi_0 | D$ , then we see that the  $\chi^{-1}$  component of  $A_n^{\Gamma}$  and that of  $_TX$  must be pseudo-null.

#### §3. Examples

We now describe a set of characters  $\chi$  so that for the  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extensions  $K_{\chi}/k$  the groups X/TX and  $_TX$  have different  $\Delta$ -decompositions. This implies that the corresponding  $X_0$  is not semi-simple.

By Corollary of §1, we see that  $(X/TX)_{\chi^{-1}} \sim \mathbb{Z}_p$  if  $\chi^{-1} \neq \chi$  and  $\chi^{-1}|D = \chi|D$ , i.e. if  $\chi^2 \neq \chi_0$  and  $\chi^2|D = \chi_0|D$ . On the other hand §2 implies that  $(_TX)_{\chi^{-1}} \sim 0$  if  $\chi|D \neq \chi_0|D$  so we have:

For any character  $\chi$ , such that  $\chi^2 \neq \chi_0$ ,  $\chi | D \neq \chi_0 | D$  and  $\chi^2 | D = \chi_0 | D$  we have  $({}_TX)_{\chi^{-1}} \sim 0$  and  $(X/{}_TX)_{\chi^{-1}} \sim \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

The examples of Jaulent [4] are of this type.

I would like to acknowledge several helpful discussions with D. Dummit.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. CARROLL and H. KISILEVSKY: On Iwasawa's  $\lambda$ -invariant for Certain  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extensions. Acta Arithmetica, XL (1981) 1–8.
- [2] J. CARROLL and H. KISILEVSKY: On the Iwasawa Invariants of Certain  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extensions. Compositio Mathematica 49 (1983) 217–229.
- [3] G. Gras: Groupe de Galois de la p-extension abélienne p-ramifiée maximale d'un corps de nombres. Journ. f.d. Reine und Angew. Math. 33 (1982).
- [4] J.F. JAULENT: Sur la théorie des genres dans une extension procyclique métabelienne sur un sous corps. To appear.

(Oblatum 22-II-1982)

Department of Mathematics Concordia University Sir George Williams Campus 1455 De Maisonneuve B1vd. West Montreal Quebec H3G 1M8 Canada