# COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

# ENRICO ARBARELLO JOSEPH HARRIS Canonical curves and quadrics of rank 4

*Compositio Mathematica*, tome 43, nº 2 (1981), p. 145-179 <http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM\_1981\_43\_2\_145\_0>

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1981, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (http: //http://www.compositio.nl/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

# $\mathcal{N}$ umdam

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/ COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA, Vol. 43, Fasc. 2, 1981, pag. 145–179 © 1981 Sijthoff & Noordhoff International Publishers – Alphen aan den Rijn Printed in the Netherlands

# **CANONICAL CURVES AND QUADRICS OF RANK 4**

Enrico Arbarello and Joseph Harris

## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper C will denote a compact non-hyperelliptic Riemann surface of genus g > 2.

Let  $H^0(C, K_C)$  be the vector space of holomorphic differentials on C. The image of C under the canonical map

(1.1) 
$$\varphi_K : C \to \mathbb{P}H^0(C, K_C)^* \cong \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$$

is a non-degenerate, non-singular curve of degree 2g - 2. By a fundamental result due to Max Noether, the canonical curve  $\varphi_K(C)$  is projectively normal. In particular the homomorphism

$$S^{2}H^{0}(C, K_{C}) \xrightarrow{\nu} H^{0}(C, 2K_{C})$$

is surjective. From this one deduces that there are exactly

$$\binom{g-2}{2}$$

linearly independent quadrics through  $\varphi_{\kappa}(C)$ . Set

$$I_2 = \mathrm{Ker} \ v.$$

Another beautiful and classical result due to K. Petri (see [8] and [10]) is the following

```
0010-437X/81050145-35$00.20/0
```

(1.2) THEOREM: The homogeneous ideal of  $\varphi_K(C)$  is generated by  $I_2$  with only two exceptions

a) when C is trigonal, b) when C is isomorphic to a plane smooth quintic. (In these cases the ideal of  $\varphi_{K}(C)$  is generated by quadrics and cubics.)

Following Petri's analysis one also sees that it is possible to choose a basis of  $I_2$  consisting of quadrics of rank at most 6.

It is then natural to ask whether one can always find a basis of  $I_2$  consisting of quadrics of smaller rank. This question acquires a real significance as soon as one brings into the picture Riemann's theta function.

Let  $a_1, \ldots, a_g, b_1, \ldots, b_g$  be a sympletic system of generators for  $H_1(C, \mathbb{Z})$ . It is then well known that one may choose a basis

$$\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_g$$

of  $H^{0}(C, K_{C})$  such that the period matrix

$$\left\{\int_{a_j}\omega_i,\int_{b_j}\omega_i\right\}$$

is of the form

(I, z)

where z is a symmetric matrix with positive imaginary part. Let  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}^{g}$  be the integral lattice generated by the columns of the period matrix. The divisor  $\Theta$  of the Riemann theta function

$$\theta(u) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \exp(2\pi i^t n u + \pi i^t n z n), \quad u \in \mathbb{C}^g$$

defines a principal polarization on the Jacobian of C, that is on the complex torus

$$J(C) = \mathbb{C}^{g}/\Lambda$$

Let  $C_d$  denote the *d*-fold symmetric product of *C*. Fixing a base point  $p_0 \in C$ , one can define a mapping

$$u_d: C_d \to J(C)$$

by letting

$$u_d(p_1+\cdots+p_d)=\bigg\{\sum_{i=1}^d\int_{p_0}^{p_i}\omega_1,\ldots,\sum_{i=1}^d\int_{p_0}^{p_i}\omega_g\bigg\}.$$

[2]

Riemann proved (see, for example [1]) that there is a point  $k_{p_0} \in J(C)$  such that the image of  $C_{g^{-1}}$ , under the map

$$\pi = u_{g-1} + k_{p_0}$$

is exactly the theta divisor:

$$\pi: C_{g-1} \to \Theta \subset J(C)$$

and that, given  $D \in C_{g-1}$ , then

$$\pi(K_C(-D)) = -\pi(D).$$

Moreover he proved that

(1.3) 
$$\text{mult. }_{\pi(D)}\Theta = \dim H^0(C, \mathcal{O}(D))$$
$$= \dim H^0(C, K_C(-D)).$$

Let us denote by  $\Theta_{sg}$  the singular locus of  $\Theta$ . It can also be proved (see, for example [1], p. 209) that

$$\dim \Theta_{sg} = g - 4$$

and that

The general point of every component of  $\Theta_{sg}$  is a double point for  $\Theta$ .

Andreotti and Mayer in [1], and Kempf in [6] proved the following

(1.4) THEOREM: Let  $|D| = g_{g-1}^1$  be a complete linear series of degree g-1 and dimension 1 on C and consider the corresponding double point of  $\Theta$ 

$$\pi(D) = \pi(K_C(-D)) \in \Theta_{sg}.$$

Then the projectified tangent cone to  $\Theta$  at  $\pi(D)$  is a quadric of rank less than or equal to 4 which contains the curve and which can be described as follows

(1.5) 
$$\mathbb{P}TC_{\pi(D)}(\Theta = \bigcup_{\Delta \in |D|} \overline{\Delta'} = \bigcup_{\Delta' \in |K_C(-D)|} \overline{\Delta'} \subset \mathbb{P}^{g-1}.$$

Moreover the quadric (1.5) is of rank 3 precisely when  $|2D| = |K_c|$ .

Vice versa a quadric Q of rank less than or equal to four, passing through C, comes from a tangent cone to  $\Theta$  (i.e. is of the form (1.5)) if (and only if) one of its rulings cuts out on C a complete linear series of degree g - 1 and dimension 1, (the base locus of this series being contained in the vertex of Q).

Let now

$$|\mathcal{I}_{C}(2)| = \mathbb{P}^{\binom{s^{-2}}{2}-1}$$

be the linear system of quadrics through the canonical curve  $\varphi_K(C)$ . Let

$$\mathcal{W}_{C,\theta}$$

be the subvariety of  $|\mathscr{I}_C(2)|$  whose points correspond to the projectivized tangent cones to the double points of  $\Theta$ . Let

$$\mathcal{W}_{C}(4) \supseteq \mathcal{W}_{C,\theta}$$

be the subvariety at  $|\mathscr{I}_C(2)|$  whose points correspond to quadrics of rank less than or equal to 4. Denote by

$$\overline{\mathcal{W}_{C}(4)}, \overline{\mathcal{W}_{C,\theta}}$$

the linear spans at  $\mathcal{W}_{C}(4)$  and  $\mathcal{W}_{C,\theta}$ , respectively in  $|\mathcal{I}_{C}(2)|$ .

It may be remarked here that, a priori, there is a significant distinction between the loci  $W_C(4)$  and  $W_{C,\theta}$ . The former is defined in terms of the geometry of the curve C, while the latter is determined solely by the principally polarized Jacobian  $(J(C), \Theta)$  of C. Thus, for example, if it were the case that  $\overline{W_{C,\theta}} = |\mathcal{I}_C(2)|$  for every curve, the Torelli theorem for non-hyperelliptic, non-trigonal curves would be an immediate consequence: such curve C would simply be the intersection at the tangent cones to its theta-divisor at double points

Andreotti and Mayer proved that

(1.6) THEOREM: If C is a general curve of genus g then

$$|\mathscr{I}_C(2)| = \overline{\mathscr{W}_C(4)} = \overline{\mathscr{W}_{C,\theta}}.$$

In this paper we undertake a general analysis of the locus  $\mathcal{W}_{C}(4)$  and, in particular, of its relation with  $\mathcal{W}_{C,\theta}$ . We obtain the following two principal results.

(1.7) THEOREM: Let C be a non-hyperelliptic curve of genus g > 2, then

$$\overline{\mathcal{W}_{C,\theta}} = \overline{\mathcal{W}_C(4)}.$$

(1.8) THEOREM: Let C be a non-hyperelliptic curve of genus  $g \leq 6$  then

$$\overline{\mathcal{W}_{C,\theta}}=|\mathscr{I}_C(2)|,$$

(the case g = 6 being the first non-trivial case).

The approach taken here is to introduce a family of varieties containing a canonical curve, called *rational normal scrolls*. They serve effectively as intermediaries between the curve and the quadrics containing it, in the sense that one can describe fairly completely the linear system of quadrics containing a scroll, and that every quadric of rank less than or equal to 4 containing the canonical curve contains one of these scrolls.

The next two sections of this paper are in fact devoted to a study of rational normal scrolls and the quadrics containing them. In the following sections we apply these results to scrolls containing the canonical curve, to prove our first main result.

Finally in the last section we analyze completely the geometry of the locus  $W_C(4)$  for any canonical curve of genus 6 and prove our second result.

We end this introduction by establishing notation and terminology.

Let X be an algebraic variety. We shall make no distinction between line bundles and invertible sheaves on X.

If X is non-singular we shall denote by  $K_X$  the canonical sheaf on X.

Given a sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  and a divisor D on X we shall set

$$\mathscr{F}(D) = \mathscr{F} \otimes \mathscr{O}_X(D)$$

and

$$h^{0}(X, \mathcal{F}(D)) = \dim H^{0}(X, \mathcal{F}(D)).$$

If

 $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ 

is a subvariety we shall let

 $\overline{X} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ 

be the linear span of X in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  and we shall say that X is nondegenerate if  $\overline{X} = \mathbb{P}^n$ . We shall also let

 $\mathscr{I}_X \subseteq \mathscr{O}_{\mathsf{P}^n}$ 

be the ideal sheaf of X and

 $|\mathscr{I}_X(r)|$ 

will denote the linear system of hypersurfaces of degree r containing X. We also set

(1.9) 
$$I_X(r) = H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathscr{I}_X(r)).$$

A quadric hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  will be simply called a *quadric* in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . Consider the linear system of quadrics in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ 

$$\left|\mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}(2)\right|\cong\mathbb{P}^{\binom{n+2}{2}-1}.$$

For any positive integer r we shall let

$$\begin{aligned} & (1.10) \\ & & \mathscr{W}(r) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n+2}{2}-1} \end{aligned}$$

denote the subvariety whose points correspond to quadrics in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  of rank less than or equal to r. If

$$X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$$

is a subvariety we set

.. . ....

(1.11) 
$$\mathscr{W}_X(r) = \mathscr{W}(r) \bigcap |\mathscr{I}_X(2)|.$$

Finally by a k-plane in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  we shall mean a k-dimensional linear sub-space in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ .

We would like to thank Maurizio Cornalba, David Eisenbud, Phillip Griffiths and David Morrison for many fruitful conversations and especially Herbert Clemens who introduced us to this problem. We

would also like to express our appreciation to the referee whose numerous suggestions effectively helped improve our presentation.

### 2. The geometry of rational normal scrolls

A rational normal scroll of dimension k in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  may be described in three ways.

First, take k complementary linear subspaces

$$V_i \subset \mathbb{P}^n i = 1, \ldots, k$$

with

$$\dim V_i = a_i$$

and such that not all the  $a_i$ 's are equal to zero. If  $a_i \neq 0$  choose a rational normal curve

$$C_i \subset V_i$$

and an isomorphism

$$\varphi_i: \mathbb{P}^1 \to C_i$$

 $C_i = V_i$ 

If  $a_i = 0$ , set

and let

 $\varphi_i: \mathbb{P}^1 \to C_i$ 

be the constant map. The variety

(2.1) 
$$X_{a_1,\ldots,a_k} = \bigcup_{t \in \mathbb{P}^1} \overline{\varphi_1(t),\ldots,\varphi_k(t)}$$

swept out by the (k-1)-planes spanned by the corresponding points of the  $C_i$ 's is then called a rational normal scroll.

Alternatively the variety  $X_{a_1,\ldots,a_k}$  may be described as the image of the projective bundle

$$\mathbb{P}(E) = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-a_1) \bigoplus \cdots \bigoplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-a_k)) \to \mathbb{P}^1$$

under the map given by the dual of the tautological bundle on  $\mathbb{P}(E)$ . Then, for each *i*, the image of the direct summand  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-a_i)$  of *E* maps to the rational curve  $C_i$ .

It is not hard to see that the degree of the scroll  $X_{a_1,\ldots,a_k}$  is given by

(2.2) 
$$\deg X_{a_1,...,a_k} = n - k + 1.$$

This is the smallest possible degree of an irreducible nondegenerate k-fold in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . Conversely in [9], p. 607, and [5] it is proved that

(2.3) THEOREM: Any irreducible non-degenerate k-fold of degree n - k + 1 in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is either a rational normal scroll, a cone over the Veronese surface in  $\mathbb{P}^5$ , or a quadric of rank greater than 4.

There is, finally, a third way of describing the scroll  $X_{a_1,\ldots,a_k}$  which has the advantage of very clearly exhibiting the defining ideal of  $X_{a_1,\ldots,a_k}$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . Assume that  $a_1 = \cdots = a_h = 0$ ,  $a_i \neq 0$ ,  $i = h, \ldots, k$ , where *h* is less than *k*. Choose in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  homogeneous coordinates

$$X_0^{(1)}, \ldots, X_{a_k}^{(1)}, X_0^{(2)}, \ldots, X_{a_k}^{(2)}, \ldots, X_0^{(k)}, \ldots, X_{a_k}^{(k)}$$

in such a way that

$$X_0^{(i)}, \ldots, X_{a_i}^{(i)}$$

are homogeneous coordinates in  $V_i$ , i = 1, ..., k. Consider the matrix

(2.4) 
$$M_{a_1,\ldots,a_k} = \begin{pmatrix} X_0^{(h)} \ldots X_{a_{h-1}}^{(h)} \ldots X_0^{(k)} \ldots X_{a_{k-1}}^{(k)} \\ X_1^{(h)} \ldots X_{a_h}^{(h)} \ldots X_1^{(k)} \ldots X_{a_k}^{(k)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We claim that

(2.5) 
$$X_{a_1,\ldots,a_k} = \{ \operatorname{rank} (M_{a_1,\ldots,a_k}) \leq 1 \}$$

in the sense that

(2.6) The ideal of  $X_{a_1,\ldots,a_k}$  is generated by the 2 by 2 minors of the matrix  $M_{a_1,\ldots,a_k}$ .

To show this we first notice that equality (2.5) holds in the settheoretical sense. This follows immediately from the definition (2.1) and from the very well known fact that, up to a change of coordinates, the rational normal curve

$$C_i \subset V_i \cong \mathbb{P}^{a_i} \quad i = h, \ldots, k$$

is given by

$$C_{i} = \left\{ \operatorname{rank} \begin{pmatrix} X_{0}^{(i)} \dots X_{a_{i}-1}^{(i)} \\ X_{1}^{(i)} \dots X_{a_{i}}^{(i)} \end{pmatrix} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

The set theoretical equality in (2.5) implies, in particular, that the determinantal variety

$$Y = \left\{ \operatorname{rank} \left( M_{a_1, \ldots, a_k} \right) \leq 1 \right\}$$

has the "correct" codimension and this in turn implies that Y is Cohen-Macaulay (see, for example [3] p. 1022). In order to establish (2.5) it then suffices to show that the degree of Y is equal to the degree of X (i.e. equal to n - k + 1). To show this it suffices to check that, if  $Y_{ij}$ , i = 1, 2, j = 1, ..., n - k + 1 are homogeneous coordinates in  $\mathbb{P}^{2n-2k+1}$ , then the determinantal variety

$$\{\operatorname{rank}(Y_{ij}) \leq 1\}$$

has degree equal to n - k + 1. This is a straightforward computation (see, for instance [6], p. 184).

It may be instructive to introduce the matrix (2.4) in a more intrinsic way. For this set

$$(2.7) X = X_{a_1,\ldots,a_k}$$

and let L be the restriction to X of the hyperplane bundle on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . The scroll X is ruled by a pencil of (k-1)-planes which we denote by |E|. We then have

$$h^{0}(X, \mathcal{O}(E)) = 2, h^{0}(X, L(-E)) = n - k + 1.$$

The second equality follows from the first and from the linear normality of X (see (2.9)). Let us consider the multiplication map

$$\mu: H^{0}(X, \mathcal{O}(E)) \otimes H^{0}(X, L(-E)) \to H^{0}(X, L).$$

It is then easy to show that, with a suitable choice of bases, the transpose of the matrix (2.4) represents the dual map

$$\mu^*: H^0(X, L)^* \to \operatorname{Hom}(H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(E)), H^0(X, L(X, L(-E))^*).$$

From now on we shall write the matrix (2.4) in the following simpler form

(2.8) 
$$M_{a_1,\ldots,a_k} = M = \begin{pmatrix} \ell_1 \ldots \ell_{n-k+1} \\ \ell'_1 \ldots \ell'_{n-k+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

One of the basic properties of rational normal scrolls is given by the following

(2.9) PROPOSITION: A rational normal scroll is projectively normal.

**PROOF:** Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be a k-dimensional rational normal scroll. The case k = 1 is well known and we proceed by induction on k. Given an integer  $\nu$ , the cohomology sequency of

$$0 \to \mathcal{I}_X(\nu) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{P}^n}(\nu) \to \mathcal{O}_X(\nu) \to 0$$

shows that the Proposition is equivalent to the statement

(2.10) 
$$H^{1}(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathscr{I}_{X}(\nu)) = (0).$$

Let H be a general hyperplane section. According to (2.3),  $X \cap H$  is again a scroll. The vanishing statement (2.10) follows then from the induction hypothesis by looking at the cohomology sequence of

$$(2.11) \qquad 0 \to \mathscr{I}_X(\nu-1) \to \mathscr{I}_X(\nu) \to \mathscr{I}_{X \cap H}(\nu) \to 0 \qquad Q.E.D.$$

Let us consider the particular case  $\nu = 2$ . Since X is nondegenerate we have that  $h^0(X, \mathcal{I}_X(1)) = 0$ . From (2.10) and (2.11) we get an *injection* 

$$(2.12) I_X(2) \hookrightarrow I_{X \cap H}(2).$$

By taking the intersection of X with a general (n - k)-plane we then see that the dimension of  $I_X(2)$  equals the number of linearly independent quadrics in  $\mathbb{P}^{n-k}$  passing through n - k + 1 points in general position. Hence Canonical curves and quadrics of rank 4

(2.13) 
$$\dim I_X(2) = \binom{n-k+1}{2}.$$

[11]

Combining this with (2.6) we obtain the following

(2.14) PROPOSITION: Let X be a k-dimensional rational normal scroll contained in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . Then there exists a matrix of linear forms in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ .

$$\boldsymbol{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \ell_1 \dots \ell_{n-k+1} \\ \ell'_1 \dots \ell'_{n-k+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

such that the ideal  $I_X$  of X is generated by the 2 by 2 minors of M. Moreover the  $\binom{n-k+1}{2}$  quadrics

(2.15) 
$$\ell_{\alpha}\ell_{\beta}'-\ell_{\beta}\ell_{\alpha}'=0, \quad \alpha<\beta, \quad \alpha,\beta=1,\ldots,n-k+1$$

are linearly independent and (therefore) from a basis of  $I_X(2)$ .

The linear independent quadrics (2.15) are quadrics of rank less than or equal to 4 containing the scroll X. We would now offer a geometrical picture of how these quadrics sit inside the linear system  $|I_X(2)|$  of quadrics through X.

As usual we let L be the hyperplane bundle on X and |E| the pencil of (k-1)-planes sweeping out X. Consider the projective space

$$\mathbb{P}^{\binom{n+2}{2}-1} \cong \mathbb{P}S^2 H^0(X,L)$$

of all quadrics in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . Let

$$\mathcal{W}_X(4)$$

be defined as in (1.11).

Now set

$$V = H^0(X, L(-E))$$

and let  $E_0$  and  $E_1$  be the divisors on |E| defined by

$$E_0 = \{\ell_1 = \cdots = \ell_{n-k+1} = 0\}$$

$$E_1 = \{\ell'_1 = \cdots = \ell_{n-k+1} = 0\}.$$

Consider the vector spaces

$$V_0 = \{s \in H^0(X, L): (s) > E_0\}$$
$$V_1 = \{s \in H^0(X, L): (s) > E_1\}.$$

Of course  $\{\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_{n-k+1}\}$  is a basis of  $V_0$  and  $\{\ell'_1, \ldots, \ell'_{n-k+1}\}$  is a basis of  $V_1$ . Fix sections  $m_0 \in V_0$  and  $m_1 \in V_1$ . The multiplication by  $m_0$  and  $m_1$ , respectively, gives *isomorphisms* 

$$\alpha_0 \colon V \to V_0 \subset H^0(X, L)$$
$$\alpha_1 \colon V \to V_1 \subset H^0(X, L).$$

We then define a linear map

$$\alpha: \wedge^2 V \to S^2 H^0(X, L)$$

by setting

$$\alpha(v \wedge w) = \alpha_0(v) \bigotimes \alpha_1(w) - \alpha_0(w) \bigotimes \alpha_1(v).$$

From the definition of  $\alpha_0$  and  $\alpha_1$  it follows that

$$\alpha_0(v)\alpha_1(w) - \alpha_0(w)\alpha_1(v) = 0$$

is a quadric of rank less than or equal to 4, in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , containing X, and in fact Proposition (2.14) exactly says that  $\alpha$  induces an isomorphism

(2.16) 
$$\alpha : \wedge^2 V \stackrel{\cong}{\to} I_X(2) \subset S^2 H^0(X, L).$$

Consider now the Grassmannian Gr(2, V) of lines in  $\mathbb{P}V$ . If v and w are independent vectors in V we let  $\overline{vw}$  denote the line in  $\mathbb{P}V$  joining the points [v] and [w]. We then define a map

by letting

$$q(\overline{vw}) = [\alpha(v \wedge w)].$$

By definition q is the composition of the Plücker embedding of Gr(2, V) in  $\mathbb{P} \wedge {}^{2}V$  and the linear isomorphism

$$\mathbb{P} \wedge {}^{2}V \rightarrow |\mathcal{I}_{X}(2)|$$

induced by  $\alpha$ .

We shall call the subvariety

$$q(\operatorname{Gr}(2, V)) \subseteq \mathscr{W}_X(4) \subset \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n-k+1}{2}-1}$$

the principal locus of quadrics of rank less than or equal to 4 containing X, or simply the principal locus of  $W_X(4)$ . We just proved that

(2.18) PROPOSITION: Let X be a rational normal scroll of dimension k contained in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . Let L be the hyperplane bundle on X and |E| the pencil of (k-1)-planes on X. Set  $V = H^0(X, L(-E))$ . Then the principal locus of quadrics of rank less than or equal to 4 containing X sits, inside  $|\mathscr{I}_X(2)| \cong \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n-k+1}{2}-1}$ , as the image of the Grassmannian Gr(2, V) under the Plücker embedding. Moreover the quadrics of the principal locus generate the ideal of X.

We finally wish to characterize, in an intrinsic way, the quadrics of the principal locus at  $W_X(4)$  among all quadrics in  $W_X(4)$ .

Let Q be a quadric of rank 4 through X. The two rulings of Q cut out on X, away from the vertex of Q, two pencils of divisors

$$\mathbb{P}V \subset |D|$$
 and  $\mathbb{P}V' \subset |D'|$ 

where V (resp. V') is a 2-dimensional subspace of  $H^{0}(X, \mathcal{O}(D))$  (resp.  $H^{0}(X, \mathcal{O}(D'))$ ), such that

$$|D+D'+F|=|L|$$

where F is the divisor cut out on X by the vertex of Q. If Q is of rank 3 then the ruling of Q cuts out on X a pencil of divisors

$$\mathbb{P}V \subset |D|$$

such that

$$|2D + F| = |L|.$$

Also, since X is non-degenerate, there is no quadric of rank less than 3 through X.

It then follows from the definition of the map q (see (2.17)) that

(2.19) The quadrics of rank 4 belonging to the principal component of  $\mathcal{W}_X(4)$  are exactly those for which

 $\mathbb{P}V = |E|$ 

and

$$\mathbb{P}V'$$
 is a pencil in  $|L(-E)|$ 

(or vice versa). The quadrics of rank 3 belonging to the principal component of  $W_X(4)$  are exactly those for which

$$\mathbb{P}V' = \mathbb{P}V = |E|.$$

#### 3. Examples of scrolls

The geometry of scrolls, specifically of the quadrics containing them, does not become interesting until the codimension of the scroll is 3 or more.

A scroll of codimension 1 is just a quadric of rank three  $(X_{20...0})$  or four  $(X_{110...0})$ .

In codimension 2, if we disregard the operation of coning, there are three scrolls. The twisted cubic  $X_3 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ , the Steiner surface  $X_{21} \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ , (classically the Steiner surface is actually the projection of  $X_{21}$  in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ ) and the Segre threefold  $X_{111} \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ . Each of these three lies on a 2-dimensional system of quadrics, all of which are of rank 4 or less, (what distinguishes these three linear systems is the locus of quadrics of rank 3, which is a plane conic, a point and the empty set, respectively).

As examples of the general phenomenon described in Section 2, we want to offer a brief and informal discussion of the geometry of the surfaces  $X_{22}$  and  $X_{31}$  in  $\mathbb{P}^5$ .

To begin with

$$X = X_{22} \subset \mathbb{P}^5$$

is described as the locus of lines joining corresponding points on two

conics  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , lying in complementary 2-planes in  $\mathbb{P}^5$ . Alternatively, it may be described as the image of a non-singular quadric surface in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ 

$$O \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$$

under the embedding in  $\mathbb{P}^5$  given by the linear system

$$|L| = |\mathcal{O}(2, 1)|$$

of curves of type (2, 1) on Q. In these terms the fibers over the first factor map to the lines of the ruling

#### |E|

of S, while the fibers over the second factor map to a pencil of conics

$$\mathbb{P}V \subset |L(-E)|$$

of which the coincs  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are members.

By Proposition (2.14) and (2.19) the quadrics of the principal locus of  $\mathcal{W}_X(4)$  correspond to the pencils in the system  $|\mathcal{O}(1, 1)| = |L(-E)|$ . Equivalently, once we realize X as the quadric  $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ , the quadrics of the principal locus correspond to the pencil of hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  or, what is the same, to the lines in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ . Alternatively, a quadric of rank 4 of the principal locus can be described as follows. Take two points p and q on X not lying on one line at the ruling |E|. Projection from the line  $\overline{pq}$  maps X birationally to a quadric surface  $T \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ . The cone projecting T from  $\overline{pq}$  is then a quadric of the principal component.

These, however, are not the only quadrics of rank 4 through X. Consider the variety

$$Y = \left(\bigcup_{C \in \mathsf{P}_V} \overline{C}\right) \subset \mathbb{P}^5$$

This variety is the scroll

$$Y \cong X_{111}$$

based on any three of the lines of |E|. Therefore Y lies on a 2-plane of quadrics, all of which are of rank 4. These quadrics are readily described: Y is abstractly  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  and, under the embedding in  $\mathbb{P}^5$ , the

fibers over the second factor are carried into lines (some of which are the lines of X). The cone over Y through any such line is a quadric of rank 4 through Y. Any such quadric belongs to the principal locus of  $\mathcal{W}_Y(4)$  and therefore one of its rulings cuts out, on Y, the pencil  $\{\overline{C}: C \in \mathbb{P}V\}$  and hence, on X, the pencil  $\mathbb{P}V$ . The second ruling will then cut out on X a pencil consisting of pairs of lines. We will call this 2-plane the *secondary* locus of  $\mathcal{W}_X(4)$ . Its intersection with the principal locus consists of a conic  $\Gamma$  whose points correspond to quadrics of rank 4 through X whose vertex is a line of X.

If we realize X as a quadric Q in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  and view the quadrics of the principal locus as the lines in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ , then the points of  $\Gamma$  correspond to the lines of one ruling at Q.

In this context, it may be noted that the quadrics of rank 3 through X are just the cones over X through the plane  $\overline{C}$  of one of the conics C. These also form a conic curve on the principal component. They correspond, in the above picture, to the lines of the other ruling of Q.

Using this picture we may say what happens when the surface  $X_{22}$  degenerates into the surface  $X_{31}$  (cf. [5], p. 34 for a description of this degeneration):

—The quadric  $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  becomes a quadric cone.

-The locus of rank 3 quadrics and the intersection of the principal and secondary component come together.

-The secondary component, in the limit, lies on the principal component.

The scheme of quadrics of rank less than or equal to 4 through  $X_{31} \subset \mathbb{P}^5$  is thus a quadric hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^5$  (or equivalently a Grassmannian G(2, 4)) with an embedded 2-plane.

Finally, because of the lack of a suitable reference, we wish to describe the locus of quadrics of rank less than or equal to 4 containing a Veronese surface

 $S \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ .

First of all we show that

(3.1) Every singular quadric Q containing S has rank less than or equal to 4.

To see this, let p be a singular point of Q, and  $\pi_p$  the projection from p to a hyperplane  $H \subset \mathbb{P}^5$  not passing through p. Let  $\tilde{Q}$  and  $\tilde{S}$  be the images under  $\pi$  of Q and S, respectively.

A priori three cases are possible.

a) p does not lie on the chordal variety of S. This implies that  $\tilde{S}$  is smooth. Suppose  $\tilde{Q}$  non-singular. Then  $\tilde{S}$  would be a smooth divisor on the non-singular hypersurface  $\tilde{Q}$ , and hence  $\tilde{S}$  would be a complete intersection. This is absurd since  $\tilde{S}$  is the regular projection of another variety of the same degree. Therefore  $\tilde{Q}$  is singular. But now projecting  $\tilde{S}$  from the vertex of  $\tilde{Q}$  would give a regular 2-1 map of  $\tilde{S} = \tilde{P}^2$  to a quadric surface. This is again absurd so that case (a) does not occur.

b) p lies on the chordal variety of S but not on S. In this case  $\tilde{S}$  has a double line L which is the image under  $\pi_p$  of a conic in S lying on a 2-plane containing p. Moreover  $\tilde{S}$  is the intersection of a pencil of quadrics, all of which are singular, being the cones over  $\tilde{S}$  through the points  $q \in L$ .

c) p lies on S. Here  $\tilde{S}$  is the Steiner surface,

$$\tilde{S} = X_{21} \subset \mathbb{P}^4$$

and, as we have noticed,  $X_{21}$  lies on a net of quadrics, all of which are singular. In conclusion  $\tilde{Q}$  is singular and therefore Q is of rank less than or equal through 4. Q.E.D.

We can now prove the following

(3.2) PROPOSITION: Let  $S \subset \mathbb{P}^5$  be the Veronese surface. Then  $\mathcal{W}_S(4) \subset |\mathcal{I}_S(2)|$  is a cubic hypersurface.

**PROOF:** Recall that in the linear system

$$|\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{P}^5}(2)|\cong \mathbb{P}^{20}$$

the locus  $\mathcal{W}(5)$  of singular quadrics is a sextic which is singular along the locus  $\mathcal{W}(4)$ . Moreover a quadric Q of rank 4 is a *double* point for  $\mathcal{W}(5)$  and the tangent cone to  $\mathcal{W}(5)$  at Q consists of the quadrics tangent to the vertex of Q. By (3.1) we have

$$|\mathscr{I}_{S}(2)|\bigcap \mathscr{W}(5) \subseteq \mathscr{W}(4)$$

so that

$$|\mathscr{I}_{S}(2)|\bigcap \mathscr{W}(4) = \mathscr{W}_{S}(4).$$

Moreover by (a) and (b) above we know that

$$(3.3) \mathscr{W}_{S}(4) \supseteq \mathscr{W}_{S}(3).$$

Since  $\mathcal{W}(5)$  is a sextic double along  $\mathcal{W}(4) \setminus \mathcal{W}(3)$  it now suffices to show that a general line in  $|\mathscr{I}_S(2)|$  meets  $\mathcal{W}(5)$  in  $\mathcal{W}(4) \setminus \mathcal{W}(3)$  and transversly there. Because of (3.3) a general line in  $|\mathscr{I}_S(2)|$  meets  $\mathcal{W}_S(4)$  outside  $\mathcal{W}_S(3)$ . Finally since there is at most one quadric through S with a given line as a vertex, the quadrics whose vertices are tangent lines to S form a family of dimension at most 3. Therefore a general line in  $|\mathscr{I}_S(2)|$  will not be contained in any tangent cone to  $\mathcal{W}(5)$  at a point of  $\mathcal{W}(4) \setminus \mathcal{W}(3)$ , proving the transversality statement.

## 4. Tangent cones to theta-divisors

In this section we are going to prove Theorem (1.7). Before doing this we need to make some preliminary remarks. Let

$$C \subset \mathbb{P}^{g^{-1}}$$

be a non-hyperelliptic canonical curve of genus g. Let D be a divisor on C of degree  $d \leq g-1$  with  $h^0(C, \mathcal{O}(D)) = r+1 \geq 2$ . Consider a 2-dimensional subspace

$$V \subseteq H^0(C, \mathcal{O}(D))$$

and the corresponding pencil

$$\mathbb{P}V \subseteq |D| = \mathbb{P}H^{0}(C, \mathcal{O}(D))$$

we then define

(4.1) 
$$X_V = \bigcup_{D' \in \mathsf{P}V} \overline{D'} \subset \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$$

and

(4.2) 
$$X_D = \bigcap_{[V] \in Gr(2, r+1)} X_V \subset \mathbb{P}^{g-1}.$$

Clearly

$$(4.3) X_V \supseteq X_D \supset C$$

and, if  $h^0(C, \mathcal{O}(D)) = 2$ 

Canonical curves and quadrics of rank 4

$$(4.4) X_V = X_D$$

It is shown in [4], p. 345, that, in this case  $X_D$  is a rational normal scroll of dimension d-1.

The proof of Theorem (1.7) is based on the following two lemmas.

(4.5) LEMMA: Let  $|\Delta|$  be a complete linear series on C of dimension  $r \ge 1$ . Let

$$F_{\mathcal{O}(\Delta)} = \{ [V] \in \operatorname{Gr}(2, H^{0}(C, \mathcal{O}(\Delta))) : \mathbb{P}V \subset |D| \text{ is a pencil with} r-1 \text{ base points } p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r-1} and h^{0}(C, \mathcal{O}(\Delta - \Sigma p_{1})) = 2 \}.$$

Then the image of  $F_{\mathcal{C}(\Delta)}$  under the Plücker embedding of Gr(2, r+1) is non-degenerate.

**PROOF:** For any divisor  $E \in |\Delta|$  the pencils containing E form a linear subspace

$$\Lambda_E \subset \operatorname{Gr}(2, r+1), \quad \Lambda_E \cong \mathbb{P}^{r-1}.$$

It will then suffice to show that for a general  $E \in |\Delta|$  the intersection of  $\Lambda_E$  with  $F_{\mathcal{O}(\Delta)}$  is non-degenerate. Let B be the fixed divisor of  $|\Delta|$ and set

$$\deg B = d - k$$

so that

 $k \ge r+1$ .

Let E be a general divisor in  $|\Delta|$  so that

$$E = B + p_1 + \cdots + p_k.$$

Since any r of the points  $p_{\alpha}$  impose independent conditions on  $|\Delta|$  the intersection  $\Lambda_E \cap F_{\mathcal{O}(\Delta)}$  consists exactly of the pencils in  $|\Delta|$  with r-1 base points from among the  $p_{\alpha}$ 's. Finally if the intersection  $\Lambda_E \cap F_{\mathcal{O}(\Delta)}$  were degenerate there would exist a proper linear subsystem

$$H \subset |\Delta|$$

containing every divisor in  $|\Delta|$  which contains r-1 of the points  $p_{\alpha}$ .

163

[19]

But this is not possible. In fact since the points  $p_{\alpha}$ 's impose independent conditions on  $|\Delta|$ , for at least one  $p_{\alpha}$  the series  $|\Delta - p_{\alpha}|$ does not lie in H. Since any r-1 of the points  $\{p_{\beta}\}_{\beta\neq 2}$  impose independent conditions on  $|\Delta - p_{\alpha}|$ , for at least one  $p_{\beta}$  the series  $|\Delta - p_{\alpha} - p_{\beta}|$  does not lie in H, and so on. Q.E.D.

(4.6) LEMMA: Let |D| be a complete linear series on C with  $h^0(C, \mathcal{O}(D)) = 2$ . Then the linear system of quadrics containing the scroll  $X_D$  is spanned by projective tangent cones to  $\Theta \subset T(C)$  at double points, i.e.

$$\mathscr{W}_{C,\theta} \bigcap |\mathscr{I}_{X_D}(2)|$$
 spans  $|\mathscr{I}_{X_D}(2)|$ .

**PROOF:** We denote by L the hyperplane bundle on  $X_D$  and let

 $|E| = |\overline{D}|$ 

be the pencil of (d-2)-planes at  $X_D$ . We then have an identification of (g-d+1)-dimensional vector spaces

$$H^{0}(X_{D}, L(-E)) = H^{0}(C, K_{C}(-D)).$$

Recalling (2.17), Proposition (2.18) and (2.19) the natural map

(4.7) 
$$q: \operatorname{Gr}(2, g-d+1) \to |\mathscr{I}_{X_D}(2)| \subset |\mathscr{I}_C(2)|$$

coincides with the Plücker embedding and the image is the *principal* locus of  $\mathcal{W}_{X_D}(4)$ . On the other hand, by Theorem (1.4), given a point  $[V] \in Gr(2, g - d + 1)$  then

$$q[V] \in \mathcal{W}_{C,\theta}$$

if and only if the pencil  $\mathbb{P}V \subset |K_C(-D)|$  has g-d-1 base points  $p_1, \ldots, p_{g-d-1}$  and

$$h^0(C, \mathcal{O}(D+\sum p_i))=2.$$

Setting  $\mathcal{O}(\Delta) = K_{\mathcal{C}}(-D)$ , the lemma follows now from Lemma (4.5).

We are now going to prove Theorem (1.7).

**PROOF OF THEOREM** (1.7): We must prove that

Canonical curves and quadrics of rank 4

[21]

(4.8) 
$$\overline{\mathcal{W}_{C,\theta}} \supseteq \overline{\mathcal{W}_{C}(4)}.$$

Given a quadric Q of rank 4 (resp. 3) one of its rulings (resp. its ruling) cuts out on C a pencil

 $\mathbb{P}V \subseteq |D|$ 

where D is a divisor of degree  $d \leq g-1$  and V a 2-dimensional subspace of  $H^0(C, \mathcal{O}(D))$ . Recalling the definitions (4.1) and (4.2) we have

$$Q\supseteq X_V\supseteq X_D\supset C.$$

It therefore suffices to show that

(4.9) 
$$\overline{\mathcal{W}_{C,\theta}} \supset \overline{\mathcal{W}_C(4)} \bigcap |\mathcal{I}_{X_D}(2)|.$$

Exactly as in Section 1, given two linearly independent sections  $s_0$  and  $s_1$  of  $\mathcal{O}(D)$  the multiplication by  $s_0$  and  $s_1$ , respectively, gives injective homomorphisms

$$\alpha_{s_0}: H^0(C, K_C(-D)) \to H^0(C, K_C)$$
  
$$\alpha_{s_1}: H^0(C, K_C(-D)) \to H^0(C, K_C).$$

We also have a linear map

$$\alpha_{s_0,s_1}: \wedge^2 H^0(C, K_C(-D)) \to S^2 H^0(C, K_C)$$

defined by

$$(4.10) \qquad \alpha_{s_0,s_1}(t_0 \wedge t_1) = \alpha_{s_0}(t_0) \bigotimes \alpha_{s_1}(t_1) - \alpha_{s_0}(t_1) \bigotimes \alpha_{s_1}(t_0).$$

Clearly

$$\operatorname{Im} \alpha_{s_0s_1} \subset \mathcal{W}_C(4) \bigcap |\mathcal{I}_{X_D}(2)|$$

and we can define a morphism

$$h: \operatorname{Gr}(2, r+1) \times \operatorname{Gr}(2, g-d+r+1) \to |\mathcal{I}_{X_D}(2)|$$

by letting

Enrico Arbarello and Joseph Harris

$$h(\overline{s_0s_1}, \overline{t_0t_1}) = [\alpha_{s_0,s_1}(t_0 \wedge t_1)]$$

where  $\overline{s_0s_1}$  (resp.  $\overline{t_0t_1}$ ) denotes the 2-plane generated by  $s_0$  and  $s_1$  (resp.  $t_0, t_1$ ). From the definition of  $X_D$  it follows that

(4.11) 
$$\operatorname{Im} h = W_C(4) \bigcap |\mathscr{I}X_D(2)|.$$

Of course starting from two linearly independent sections  $t_0, t_1$  of  $K_C(-D)$  and from the multiplication maps

$$\beta_{t_0} \colon H^0(C, \mathcal{O}(D)) \to H^0(C, K_C)$$
$$\beta_{t_1} \colon H^0(C, \mathcal{O}(D)) \to H^0(C, K_C)$$

we could have defined, in complete analogy with (4.12), a linear map

$$\beta_{t_0,t_1}: \wedge^2 H^0(C, \mathcal{O}(D)) \to S^2 H^0(C, K_C),$$

and it is immediate to check that

$$h(\overline{s_0s_1}, \overline{t_0t_1}) = [\alpha_{s_0, s_1}(t_0 \wedge t_1)] = [\beta_{t_0, t_1}(s_0 \wedge s_1)].$$

This shows that the restriction maps

$$h\left|\left\{\overline{s_0, s_1}\right\} \times \operatorname{Gr}(2, r+1), \quad h\left|\operatorname{Gr}(2, g-d+r-1) \times \left\{\overline{t_0, t_1}\right\}\right.\right.\right|$$

are obtained by composing the Plücker embedding with a linear map. It then follows from Lemma (4.5) that

(4.13) 
$$\overline{h(F_{\ell(D)} \times F_{K_{C}(-D)})} = \overline{\mathrm{Im} h}.$$

On the other hand from Lemma (4.6) it follows that

$$(4.16) h(F_{\ell(D)} \times F_{K_{C}(-D)}) \subset \overline{\mathcal{W}_{C,\theta}}.$$

The relation (4.9), and therefore Theorem (1.7) follows now from (4.11), (4.13) and (4.14).

Q.E.D.

[22]

#### Canonical curves and quadrics of rank 4

#### 5. Curves of genus $g \leq 6$

In this section we shall prove Theorem (1.8). In view of Theorem (1.7) and of the fact that the trigonal case has been extensively studied in [1], it suffices to prove the following.

(5.1) THEOREM: Let C be a non-hyperelliptic, non-trigonal, canonical curve of genus  $g \leq 6$ , then

(5.2) 
$$\overline{\mathcal{W}_C(4)} = |\mathcal{I}_C(2)|.$$

**PROOF:** Since for  $g \leq 4$  the curve C is trigonal we only have to consider two cases, and the case g = 5 is more or less trivial.

Let then C be a non-hyperelliptic, non-trigonal canonical curve of genus 5. C is then a complete intersection of three quadrics, so that

$$|\mathscr{I}_C(2)|\cong\mathbb{P}^2.$$

On the other hand the locus

$$\mathscr{W}(4) \subseteq |\mathscr{O}_{\mathsf{P}^4}(2)| \cong \mathbb{P}^{14}$$

of singular quadrics in  $\mathbb{P}^4$ , is a quintic hypersurface. Therefore the only way that  $\mathcal{W}_{C}(4) = \mathcal{W}(4) \cap |\mathcal{I}_{C}(2)|$  could fail to span  $|\mathcal{I}_{C}(2)|$  is if  $\mathcal{W}_{C}(4)$  were a line, and in this case there would be no point at which  $\mathcal{W}(4)$  and  $|\mathcal{I}_{C}(2)|$  would meet transversely. Let us show that this cannot happen. Since the theta-characteristics are in finite number and since dim  $\Theta_{sg} = 1$ , there exists a quadric Q, through C, of rank equal to 4. It is well known, and easy to see, that the tangent hyperplane H to  $\mathcal{W}(4)$  at Q is the linear system of quadrics passing through the vertex p of Q. To say that  $\mathcal{W}(4)$  does not meet the 2-plane  $|\mathcal{I}_{C}(2)|$  transversally at the point corresponding to Q means that  $|\mathcal{I}_C(2)| \subset H$ . This implies that every quadric through C contains P so that p lies on C. This however cannot be the case: the projection  $\pi_p$ , from p, would map C to a septic curve in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  lying on the quadric  $\overline{Q} = \pi_p(Q)$ , and at least one of the rulings of  $\overline{Q}$  would cut on C a pencil of degree 3 or less. This is contrary to our assumptions. Therem (5.1) is therefore proved in case g = 5.

Now, and for the rest of this paper, we turn our attention to curves of genus 6.

Let then

be a non-hyperelliptic, non-trigonal canonical curve of genus 6.

From the fundamental theorem on the existence of special divisors, (see [7] and [4], p. 358) we know that there exists, on C, a complete linear series |D| such that

$$(5.3) \qquad \qquad \deg D = 6, \dim |D| \ge 2.$$

Since C is non-hyperelliptic, Clifford's theorem implies that

$$\dim |D| = 2.$$

Let then

(5.4) 
$$\varphi: C \to \varphi(C) \subset \mathbb{P}^2$$

be the morphism defined by |D|. We claim that, under our hypotheses, only the following three cases can occur

a)  $\varphi(C)$  is a smooth plane quintic and  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism.

b)  $\varphi(C)$  is a smooth plane cubic and  $\varphi$  is a 2-sheeted ramified covering.

c)  $\varphi(C)$  is an irreducible plane sextic with no point of multiplicity greater than 2 and  $\varphi$  is a birational map.

Indeed the hypothesis that C is non-hyperelliptic implies that the series |D| has, at most, one fixed point. If |D| has one fixed point we are obviously in case (a). Suppose then that |D| has no fixed point. In this case  $\varphi(C)$  could, a priori, be an irreducible conic, an irreducible cubic or an irreducible sextic. Certainly the first case cannot occur since, otherwise,  $\varphi$  would exhibit C as a trigonal curve. If  $\varphi(C)$  is an irreducible cubic, it must also be non-singular since otherwise C would be, via  $\varphi$ , a 2-sheeted covering of a rational curve. Therefore if  $\varphi(C)$  is a cubic we are in case (b). Suppose finally that  $\varphi(C)$  is an irreducible sextic. Then  $\varphi$  is a birational map. By the genus formula  $\varphi(C)$  cannot have points of multiplicity greater than three. On the other hand if  $\varphi(C)$  had a point p of multiplicity three, the preimage under  $\varphi$  of the variable part of the series cut out on  $\varphi(C)$  by the pencil of lines through p would be a  $g_3^1$  on C, contrary to our hypothesis. This means that we are in case (c).

We are now going to prove Theorem (5.1) in each of the cases (a), (b), (c).

Case a: If  $\varphi(C)$  is a smooth plane quintic the canonical series on

 $\varphi(C)$  is cut out by conics in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . The canonical map

$$\varphi(C) \to C \subset \mathbb{P}^5$$

is then the restriction to  $\varphi(C)$  of the Veronese map  $\mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^5$ . Therefore *C* lies on a Veronese surface *S*. Moreover the linear system of quadrics through *C* is just the linear system of quadrics through *S*, and, as we have seen in Proposition (3.2),  $\mathcal{W}_S(4)$  is a cubic hypersurface in this linear system. This proves Theorem (5.1) in case (a).

Case b: In this case

(5.5) 
$$\varphi: C \to \varphi(C) = E \subset \mathbb{P}^2$$

is a 2-sheeted covering of a plane non-singular (elliptic) cubic E. Given a point  $r \in E$ , set

$$\varphi^*(r) = p + q$$

and let  $\ell_r$  be the linear span, in  $\mathbb{P}^5$ , of the divisor p + q (i.e. the line joining p and q, if p and q are distinct, the tangent line to C at p, if p = q). Consider the surface

$$S = \left(\bigcup_{r\in E} \ell_r\right) \subset \mathbb{P}^5.$$

Let r' be a point in E and set

$$\varphi^*(\mathbf{r}') = p' + q'.$$

Notice that

$$h^{0}(C, \mathcal{O}(p+q+p'+q')) = h^{0}(E, \mathcal{O}(r+r')) = 2.$$

Therefore, by the Riemann-Roch theorem, we conclude that the points p, q, p', q' all lie in a 2-plane. This implies that any two pair of lines  $\ell_r$ ,  $\ell_{r'}$  must meet. Since C is non-degenerate, this can happen only if all the lines  $\ell_r$ 's issue from a common point  $p \in \mathbb{P}^5 \setminus C$ . Since projection from p gives a two-to-one map of C onto an elliptic curve  $\tilde{E} \subset \mathbb{P}^4$  of degree

$$\deg \tilde{E} = \frac{1}{2} \deg C = 5,$$

we conclude that S is a cone over an elliptic quintic curve  $\tilde{E}$  contained in  $\mathbb{P}^4$ .

Now, the linear system of quadrics through S is readily described. To begin with, any quadric containing S is singular at p, and hence a cone over a quadric  $\tilde{Q} \subset \mathbb{P}^4$  containing  $\tilde{E}$ . On the other hand  $\tilde{E}$  lies on, and is cut out by, a four dimensional linear system of quadrics (projection from any point  $q \in \tilde{E}$  maps  $\tilde{E}$  to the complete intersection of two quadrics in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ ). By an argument analagous to that given in the case of a genus 5 canonical curve, the singular elements of this system, (i.e. the quadrics of rank less than or equal to 4 through  $\tilde{E}$ ) from a non-degenerate quintic hypersurface

$$\sum' \subset |\mathscr{I}_{\hat{E}}(2)| \cong \mathbb{P}^4$$

We then see that the cone S is cut out by a quintic threefold of quadrics of rank less than or equal to 4:

$$\sum \subset |\mathscr{I}_S(2)| \cong \mathbb{P}^4.$$

Specifically, these are the  $\infty^1$  2-planes of quadrics corresponding to the  $\infty^1 g_4^{1/3}$  on C pulled back from the  $g_2^{1/3}$  on E. We then have

(5.6) 
$$\sum \subset \mathscr{W}_C(4) \subset |\mathscr{I}_C(2)| \cong \mathbb{P}^5$$

(5.7) 
$$\overline{\sum} \cong \mathbb{P}^4.$$

We now ask: are there quadrics of rank less than or equal to 4 containing C other than those containing S, or have we accounted for all special linear series on C? The answer is that there are others. Let  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ ,  $p_3$  be three general points on C (in particular no two of them lying over the same point of E). Let

$$\varphi^*(\varphi(p_i)) = p_i + q_i, i = 1, 2, 3.$$

The projection  $\pi$  from the 2-plane spanned by  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$  and  $p_3$  maps C to a plane septic curve  $\Gamma$ . This plane septic must then have singularities other than the triple point  $\pi(q_1) = \pi(q_2) = \pi(q_3)$ . If  $p_4$  and  $p_5$  are two points on C, different from the  $q_i$ 's, and mapping to the same point in the plane, i.e. spanning, together with  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$  and  $p_3$  only a 3-plane, we see that the divisor  $p_1 + p_2 + p_3 + p_4 + p_5$  moves in a pencil which does not factor through the map (5.4). Thus the quadric

Q through C, (of rank less than or equal to 4) corresponding to this pencil is not singular at p, and so does not contain S. Therefore this quadric correspond to a point

$$x \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{C}}(4)$$

such that

$$x \notin \overline{\sum}$$
.

From (5.6) and (5.7) it follows that the point x together with  $\Sigma$  span  $|\mathcal{I}_C(2)|$ , proving the theorem in case (b).

Case c: In this case the morphism

$$\varphi: C \to \varphi(C) = \Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^2$$

maps C birationally onto a plane irreducible sextic having no point of multiplicity greater than two. In general the curve  $\Gamma$  will be a sextic with four ordinary double points (nodes),  $p_1, \ldots, p_4$ , no three of which are collinear. Let us start by studying this general situation.

In this case  $\Gamma$  prossesses 5 distinct  $g_4^1$ 's; the ones cut out by the pencils of lines through each of the points  $p_i$ 's and the one cut out by the pencil of conics through  $p_1, \ldots, p_4$ .

Let

$$|D_1|, ..., |D_5|$$

denote these five  $g_4^{l}$ 's, (by [7] we know that these are the only  $g_4^{l}$ 's on C).

The adjoint linear system of  $\Gamma$ , i.e. the linear system of cubics through  $p_1, \ldots, p_4$ , cuts out on  $\Gamma$  the canonical series and also defines a birational map

$$\psi:\mathbb{P}^2\to S\subset\mathbb{P}^5$$

onto a Del Pezzo surface S of degree 5 containing the canonical curve C, (here and in the sequel, by a Del Pezzo surface we shall mean the, possibly singular, image of a rational surface under its anticanonical map).

As in the previous cases our first question will concern the quadrics of rank less than or equal to 4 containing S. To see what these are we look at the five  $g_4^1$ 's on  $\Gamma$  which we previously described, and consider the corresponding scrolls

$$X_{D_i} = \left(\bigcup_{\Delta \in |D_i|} \overline{\Delta}\right) \subset \mathbb{P}^5, \ i = 1, \ldots, 5.$$

We claim that

(5.8) Each of the scrolls  $X_{D_i}$  contains the Del Pezzo surface S.

This is readily seen: under the map  $\psi$  lines  $\ell$  in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  through  $p_i$  are carried into plane conics and the corresponding scroll  $X_{D_i}$  is swept out by the 2-planes spanned by the four points on C which correspond to the four points of intersection of  $\ell$  with  $\Gamma$  other than  $p_i$ , i.e.  $X_{D_i}$  is swept out by the planes of the conics in this pencil. Likewise, the conics in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  through all four of the points  $p_i$ 's are mapped to conics in  $\mathbb{P}^5$ , and the union of their span is the scroll  $X_{D_5}$  associated to the pencil they cut out on  $\Gamma$ .

Our second observation is that

(5.9) The intersection of any two of the scrolls  $X_{D_i}$  is just the surface S.

Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be any two of the five scrolls  $X_{D_i}$ 's. Let  $V \subset \mathbb{P}^5$  be a general 3-plane containing a point  $p \in \mathbb{P}^5 \setminus S$ . Each of the scrolls  $X_i$ , i = 1, 2, intersects V in a twisted cubic curve  $F_i \subset V$ . Since  $X_1 \neq X_2$  these two twisted cubics are distinct. But we know that  $F_1 \cap F_2$  contains the five points of intersection of V with the quintic surface S. If  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  had a sixth point in common they would be equal. Thus  $F_1$  meets  $F_2$  only in the points of  $V \cap S$ . Hence  $p \in X_1 \cap X_2$ .

We then see that the linear system

$$|\mathscr{I}_{S}(2)|\cong\mathbb{P}^{4}$$

contains five 2-planes of quadrics of rank less than or equal to 4

$$(\pi_1 \cup \ldots \cup \pi_5) \subset |\mathscr{I}_S(2)|$$

these being the nets of quadrics through the five scrolls  $X_{D_i}$ 's. We

next note that these are all the quadrics of rank less than or equal to 4 through S, i.e.

(5.10) 
$$\pi_1 \cup \ldots \cup \pi_5 = \mathscr{W}_S(4).$$

In fact if  $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^5$  is any quadric of rank 4 (resp. 3) through S, its two rulings (resp. its ruling) cut (resp. cuts) out on S two pencils (resp. one pencil) of divisors, the sum of whose degrees (resp. the double of whose degree) is at most five. Therefore one of these pencils (resp. this pencil) must consist of conics. But the only pencil of conics on the Del Pezzo S are the images, under  $\psi$ , of the pencils of lines through the  $p_i$ 's, and of the pencil of conics through all four  $p_i$ 's. If the planes of our ruling (resp. of the ruling) of Q cut out one of these pencils then Q must contain the corresponding scroll  $X_{D_i}$ , proving (5.9).

The five planes  $\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_5$  meet pairwise in points. These ten points correspond to the ten quadrics that are obtained by projecting S from any of the 10 lines on S, i.e., whose vertex lies on S. From this and (5.10) it follows that

$$\mathbb{P}^{5} \cong \left| \mathscr{I}_{C}(2) \right| \supseteq \overline{\mathscr{W}_{C}(4)} \supseteq \overline{\mathscr{W}_{S}(4)} \cong \mathbb{P}^{4}.$$

Finally observe that since C is of genus 6 then

$$\dim \Theta_{sg} = 2.$$

On the other hand by the second part of Theorem (1.4), by the proof of Lemma (4.5) and by our description of  $\mathcal{W}_{S}(4)$ , we see that only  $\infty^{1}$ projectivized tangent cones to  $\Theta$ , at double points, are among the quadrics containing S. Therefore there is a quadric Q of rank 4 containing C but not S. Let

$$(5.12) x \in \mathcal{W}_C(4)$$

be the point corresponding to Q. Then

$$x \notin \overline{\mathcal{W}_{s}(4)}.$$

This together with (5.11) implies that

[29]

$$\overline{\mathscr{W}_C(4)} = |\mathscr{I}_C(2)|$$

proving Theorem (5.1) in this case.<sup>1</sup>

Consider now how the plane sextic  $\Gamma$  may degenerate and how this will affect our argument. Eliminating the possibility that  $\Gamma$  acquires a triple point, a possibility which is excluded in case (c), we see that under any degeneration it is still the case that the adjoint system of  $\Gamma$ maps the plane birationally to a quintic Del Pezzo surface  $S \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ , containing the canonical curve C. It is also the case that the quadrics of rank less than or equal to 4 through S are exactly the quadrics containing one of the threefold scrolls  $X_D$  corresponding to the  $g_4^1$ 's on C. Again, as in (5.9), any two of the scrolls  $X_D$  can intersect only in S so that: the above argument continues to hold as long as C possesses two or more  $g_4^1$ 's.

We then see that the only curves C for which the above argument fails to work are those for which the five  $g_4^{1/3}$  all come together or equivalently those for which the plane model  $\Gamma$  of C is a sextic with four infinitely near double points three of which are collinear.

It remains then to treat this one last case. Unfortunately, this requires a somewhat more delicate analysis than the previous ones, since the (degenerate) Del Pezzo surface S containing C is not cut out by quadrics of rank less than or equal to 4. Indeed by our previous analysis (see the proof of (5.8)) the only quadrics of rank less than or equal to 4 containing S contain the threefold scroll  $X_D$  associated to the unique

$$g_4^1 = |D|$$

on *C*.

We start with the scroll  $X_D$ . As before of the net of quadrics containing  $X_D$  only  $\infty^1$  are actually projectivized tangent cones to  $\Theta$ , so that there must be a projectivized tangent cone

$$Q = \mathbb{P}TC_{\lambda}(\Theta)$$

for some (double) point  $\lambda \in \Theta_{sg}$ , not containing the scroll  $X_D$ . Let

$$(5.13) T = X_D \cap Q$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It is amusing to note that the sixth component of  $\mathcal{W}_C(4)$  (the one coming from  $\Theta_{sg}$ ) meets each of the planes  $\pi_i$  in a sextic curve, these curves are in fact the five images of C in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  under the maps given by the nets  $|K_C(-D_i)|$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, 5$ .

be the surface of intersection. The procedure now will be to study T, until we know enough to conclude that the quadrics of rank less than or equal to 4 through C do indeed span  $|\mathscr{I}_C(2)|$ .

To begin with we claim that:

(5.14) C does not lie on any surface  $\Sigma$  of degree 4 or 5 except the degenerate Del Pezzo surface S.

To see this note that a general hyperplane section  $S' = H \cap S$  of S is an elliptic normal curve which is, as mentioned above, cut out by quadrics. If the hyperplane section  $\Sigma' = H \cap C$  had degree 4, every quadric containing S', and hence meeting  $\Sigma'$  in the 10 points of  $(H \cap C) \subset (S' \cap \Sigma')$ , would contain  $\Sigma'$ . Similarly, if  $\Sigma'$  had degree 5, any quadric containing S' and one point  $p \in \Sigma' \setminus S$  would contain  $\Sigma'$ . Since S' lies on five linearly independent quadrics this means that there are four linearly independent quadrics containing both S' and  $\Sigma'$ . This is impossible: three quadrics in  $\mathbb{P}^4$  whose intersection contains an irreducible non-degenerate curve of degree

$$\deg S' + \deg \Sigma' = 10 > 8$$

intersect in an irreducible non-degenerate surface, whose degree is necessarily 3. The fourth quadric, then, would cut this surface in a curve of degree at most 6. This contradiction proves (5.14).

Let us go back to the surface T defined in (5.13). Since every quadric of rank less than or equal to 4 containing S also contains  $X_D$ , the surface T cannot contain S. Therefore, by (5.14) we conclude that:

(5.15) T is an irreducible surface of degree 6.

We now look at the pencil of curves cut out on T by the 2-planes of  $X_D$ . We ask whether they may all be reducible, that is if T may be projectively ruled. If this were the case, each line would have to meet C twice. This in turn would imply that singular points of the conics of the pencil are variable (if two lines from different planes of  $X_D$  met, there would be a second  $g_4^1$  on C) so that T would be singular along a curve. But then the general hyperplane section of T, which is, birationally, the base of the ruled surface T, would be a singular sextic curve in  $\mathbb{P}^4$  and so a curve of genus 0 or 1. Therefore C would

be either hyperelliptic or elliptic-hyperelliptic, contrary to our hypothesis.

The conclusion, then, is that the conics cut out on T by the 2-planes of  $X_D$  are generically irreducible. Therefore by Noether's Lemma we have that:

(5.16) T is rational.

Next we note that if T were the regular projection of a nondegenerate surface  $\tilde{T} \subset \mathbb{P}^6$ , the inverse image  $\tilde{C} \subset \tilde{T}$  of C, would still be a canonical curve of genus 6 and hence lie in a hyperplane section of  $\tilde{T}$ . But the degree of  $\tilde{C}$  would be again given by

$$2g-2=10>\deg T=\deg \tilde{T}$$

and this is absurd. We conclude that:

(5.17) T is not the regular projection of a surface  $\tilde{T} \subset \mathbb{P}^6$ .

Finally we may use the above properties to determine the genus of a general hyperplane section

$$E = H \cap T$$

of T. Let

 $\pi:\tilde{T}\to T$ 

denote the desingularization of T, and set

 $\tilde{E}=\pi^{-1}E.$ 

Consider the exact sheaf sequence

(5.18) 
$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{T}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{T}}(\tilde{E}) \to \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}}(\tilde{E}) \to 0.$$

The linear system  $|\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}}(\tilde{E})|$ , has degree 6 and dimension at least 4; thus by Clifford's theorem is non-special. From (5.16) we get

 $h^{1}(\tilde{T}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{T}}) = 0$ 

while (5.17) gives

$$h^0(\tilde{T}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{T}}(\tilde{E})) = 6.$$

Therefore the long exact cohomonology sequence of (5.18) gives

$$h^0(\tilde{E}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}}(\tilde{E})) = 5.$$

Applying the Riemann-Roch theorem, we find that the genus of  $\tilde{E}$  is equal to 2. It follows that

$$\deg \left| \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}}(\tilde{E}) \right| = 6 > 2g(\tilde{E}) + 1$$

so that the complete linear series  $|\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}}(\tilde{E})|$  gives an embedding of  $\tilde{E}$ . We can then conclude that:

(5.19) The general hyperplane section E of T is a smooth curve of genus 2.

At this point we may quote a result of Castelnuovo (see [2] and [8], p. 155) which gives us a complete description of T:

(5.20) Any surface T satisfying (5.15), (5.16), (5.17) and (5.19) is the image of  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , in  $\mathbb{P}^5$ , under the rational map  $\eta$  given by a fixed-component-free linear system of plane quartics having a double point q and passing through six points  $p_1, \ldots, p_6$ .

The surface T, being the complete intersection of  $X_D$  with the (rank 4) quadric Q, lies on a 3-dimensional linear system of quadrics. We then have the following picture

(5.21) 
$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathcal{I}_{X_D}(2) \right| \subset \left| \mathcal{I}_T(2) \right| \subset \left| \mathcal{I}_C(2) \right| \\ & \| \mathcal{R} \quad \| \mathcal{R} \quad \| \mathcal{R} \\ & \mathbb{P}^2 \quad \mathbb{P}^3 \quad \mathbb{P}^5 \end{aligned}$$

We now ask what are the quadrics of rank less than or equal to 4 in  $|\mathcal{I}_T(2)|$ . Again to answer this question we look for pencils of curves of low degree on T. We easily find that

(i) T contains no pencil of lines.

(ii) T contains one pencil of conics. This is the pencil cut out by the 2-planes of  $X_D$ , or, in other terms, the images under the map  $\eta$  of the pencil of lines through the point q.

(iii) T contains finitely many pencils of twisted cubic curves. These are the images under  $\eta$  of the pencils of lines through each of the points  $p_i$ , i = 1, ..., 6, of the pencils of conics through q and three of

[33]

the points  $p_i$ 's, and of the pencils of cubics, double at q and passing through five of the points  $p_i$ 's.

(iv) T contains no pencil of plane cubics.

Now if Q' is any quadric of rank less than or equal to 4 containing T, the planes of at least one of its rulings must cut out on T a pencil of curves of degree 3 or less. If this pencil is the pencil of conics, then Q' simply belongs to the net of quadrics through  $X_D$ . On the other hand, if this pencil is of degree 3, then it determines Q'. The conclusion then is that, apart from the net  $|\mathscr{I}X_D(2)|$ , T lies on only finitely many quadrics of rank less than or equal to 4. We therefore have

(5.22) 
$$\mathscr{W}_T(4) = |\mathscr{I}X_D(2)| \cup \{\text{finite set}\}$$

(5.23) 
$$\overline{\mathscr{W}_T(4)} = |\mathscr{I}_T(2)| \cong \mathbb{P}^3.$$

In particular we also have that:

(5.24) The point

$$x \in \mathcal{W}_{C,\theta}(4) \cap |\mathcal{I}_T(2)|$$

corresponding to the quadric Q is one of the isolated points of  $\mathcal{W}_{T}(4)$ .

In view of (5.21) and (5.23) in order to conclude the proof of Theorem (5.1) it suffices to show that  $\mathcal{W}_{C,\theta}$  and  $|\mathscr{I}_T(2)|$  are not both contained in a hyperplane of  $|\mathscr{I}_C(2)| \cong \mathbb{P}^5$ . But this is clear, since otherwise  $\mathcal{W}_{C,\theta}$ , which is of pure dimension 2, would not intersect the 3-plane  $|\mathscr{I}_T(2)|$  in any isolated point, contrary to what we just proved in (5.24). The proof of Theorem (5.1) is now complete.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. ANDREOTTI and A. MAYER: On Period Relations for Abelian Integrals on Algebraic curves. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa 21 (1967) 189-238.
- [2] G. CASTELNUOVO, Sulle Superficie Algebriche le cui sezioni piane sono curve iperellittiche. Rend. Palermo IV (1890) 189-202.
- [3] J.A. EAGON and M. HOCHSTER: Cohen-Macaulay Rings, invariant theory, and the generic perfection of Determinantal loci, Amer. Jour. of Math. 93 (1971) p. 1020-1058.
- [4] G. GRIFFITHS and J. HARRIS: Principles of Algebraic Geometry. Wiley-Interscience, (1978).

- [5] J. HARRIS: The geometric genus of projective varieties. Ann. Scu. Norm Pisa, (to appear).
- [6] G. KEMPF: On the geometry of a Theorem of Riemann. Ann. of Math. 98 (1973) 178-185.
- [7] S. KLEIMAN, and D. LAKSOV: Another proof of the Existence of Special divisors. Acta Math 132 (1974) 163-176.
- [8] K. PETRI: Uber die Invariante Darstellung Algebraischer Funktionen einer Veranderlichen. Math. Ann. 88 (1922) 242-289.
- [9] B. SAINT-DONAT: Projective models of K-3 surfaces. Amer. Journ. Math. 96 (1974) 602-639.
- [10] B. SAINT-DONAT: On Petri's analysis of the linear system of quadrics through a canonical curve. Math. Ann. 206 (1973) 157-175.
- [11] SEMPLE and ROTH: Introduction to Algebraic Geometry, Oxford, 1949.

Note: During the preparation of this manuscript, the authors found out that theorems (1.7) and (1.8) for curves of genus g = 5 had been proved independently by Makoto Namba. These appear in his book Families of Meromorphic Functions on Compact Riemann Surfaces. (Lecture notes #767, Springer-Verlag, 1979) as Propositions 2.5.10 (p. 105) and Theorem 2.6.4.

(Oblatum 10-IV-1979, 7-V-1980 6-XI-1980) E. Arbarello Harvard University 1, Oxford St. Cambridge, MA 02138 U.S.A.

Istituto Matematico G. Castelnuovo Città Universitaria Roma 00100 Italia

J. Harris Dept. of Mathematics Brown University Providence, R.I. 02912 U.S.A.