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## Numdam

# ON DETERMINANTAL IDEALS WHICH ARE SET-THEORETIC COMPLETE INTERSECTIONS* 

Giuseppe Valla

Let $A$ be an $r \times s(r \leq s)$ matrix with entries in a commutative noetherian ring $R$ with identity. We shall denote by $(A)$ the ideal generated by its subdeterminants of order $r$. If $(A)$ is a proper ideal of $R$, then the height of $(A)$, abbreviated as $h(A)$, is at most $s-r+1$ (see [1], Theorem 3). In this paper we prove that there exist elements $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s-r+1} \in(A)$ such that $\operatorname{rad}(A)=\operatorname{rad}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s-r+1}\right)$ (where $\operatorname{rad}(I)$ means the radical of the ideal $I$ ) in each of the following situations:
(1) $A=\left\|a_{i j}\right\|$ is an $r \times s$ matrix such that $a_{i j}=a_{k l}$ if $i+j=k+l$.
(2) $A$ is an $r \times(r+1)$ partly symmetric matrix, where partly symmetric means that the $r \times r$ matrix obtained by omitting the last column is symmetric.
(3) $A=\left\|\begin{array}{lll}a^{p_{1}} & b^{q_{1}} & c^{r_{1}} \\ b^{q_{2}} & c^{r_{2}} & a^{p_{2}}\end{array}\right\|$ where $(a, b, c)$ is an ideal of height 3 and $p_{i}, q_{i}, r_{i}$ are positive integers not necessarily distinct.
It follows that if $h(A)$ is as large as possible, $s-r+1$, then the above determinantal ideals are set-theoretic complete intersections.

It is interesting to compare these results with the following theorem due to M. Hochster (never published).

Theorem: Let $t<r<s$ be integer, and let $k$ be a field of characteristic 0 . Let $A=k\left[X_{i j}\right]$ be the ring of polynomials in rs variables, and let $I_{t}(X)$ be the ideal generated by the $t \times t$ minors of the $r \times s$ matrix $\left(X_{i j}\right)$. Then $I_{t}(X)$ is not set theoretically a complete intersection.

Let $A=\left\|a_{i j}\right\|$ be an $r \times s$ given matrix, where $a_{i j} \in R$ and $r \leq s$. In

[^0]this section we assume that $a_{i j}=a_{k l}$ if $i+j=k+l$, hence we may write
\[

A=\left\|$$
\begin{array}{llll}
a_{1} & a_{2} & \cdots & a_{s} \\
a_{2} & a_{3} & \cdots & a_{s+1} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\
a_{r} & a_{r+1} & \cdots & a_{r+s+1}
\end{array}
$$\right\|
\]

We shall denote by $(A)$ the ideal generated by the $r$-rowed minors of $A$ and if $\sigma=\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{r}\right)$ is a set of $r$ integers such that $1 \leq \sigma_{1}<$ $\sigma_{2}<\cdots<\sigma_{r} \leq s$, we put

$$
A_{\sigma}=\left\|\begin{array}{llll}
a_{\sigma_{1}} & a_{\sigma_{2}} & \cdots & a_{\sigma_{r}} \\
a_{\sigma_{1}+1} & a_{\sigma_{2}+1} & \cdots & a_{\sigma_{r}+1} \\
\cdot & a_{\sigma_{1}+r-1} & a_{\sigma_{2}+r-1} & \cdots \\
a_{\sigma_{r}+r-1}
\end{array}\right\|
$$

and $d_{\sigma}=\operatorname{det} A_{\sigma}$.
If $i=r, \ldots, s$ let $\mathfrak{A}_{i}$ be the ideal generated by the $d_{\sigma}$ with $\sigma_{r} \leq i$; then $\mathfrak{U}_{s}=(A)$ and, with a self explanatory notation, $\mathfrak{U}_{i}=\left(\mathfrak{A}_{i-1}, d_{\sigma}\right)_{\sigma_{r}=i}$ (where $\mathfrak{Q}_{r-1}=(0)$ ).
Next for all $i=r, \ldots, s$, let $f_{i}$ be the determinant of the $i \times i$ matrix

$$
M_{i}=\left\|\begin{array}{llllll}
a_{1} & \cdots & a_{r} & \cdot & \cdots & a_{i} \\
\cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\
a_{r} & \cdots & a_{2 r-1} & \cdot & \cdots & a_{i+r-1} \\
\cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & 0 \\
\cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\
a_{i} & \cdots & a_{i+r-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{array}\right\|
$$

It is clear that $\mathfrak{A}_{r}=\left(f_{r}\right)$ and $f_{i} \in \mathfrak{A}_{i}$ for all $i=r, \ldots, s$.
Theorem 1.1: With the above notations, we have:

$$
\operatorname{rad}\left(\mathscr{A}_{i}\right)=\operatorname{rad}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{i-1}, f_{i}\right)
$$

for all $i=r, \ldots, s$.
Proof: Since $\left(\mathfrak{A}_{i-1}, f_{i} \subseteq \mathfrak{H}_{i}\right.$ we need only to prove that $\mathfrak{H}_{i} \subseteq$ $\operatorname{rad}\left(\mathscr{A}_{i-1}, f_{i}\right)$. This is true if $i=r$, hence we may assume $i>r$. Now $\mathfrak{A}_{i}=\left(\mathfrak{A}_{i-1}, d_{\sigma}\right)_{\sigma_{r}=i}$, so it is enough to show that $d_{\sigma} \in \operatorname{rad}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{i-1}, f_{i}\right)$ for all $\sigma$ such that $\sigma_{r}=i$. Let $\sigma=\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{r}=i\right)$; then

$$
A_{\sigma}=\left\|\begin{array}{llll}
a_{\sigma_{1}} & a_{\sigma_{2}} & \cdots & a_{i} \\
a_{\sigma_{1}+1} & a_{\sigma_{2}+1} & \cdots & a_{i+1} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\
a_{\sigma_{1}+r-1} & a_{\sigma_{2}+r-1} & \cdots & a_{i+r-1}
\end{array}\right\|
$$

Hence, by expanding the determinant along the last column, we get $d_{\sigma}=\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} a_{i+k} c_{k}$ where $c_{k}$ is the cofactor of $a_{i+k}$ in $A_{\sigma}$. Denote by $\lambda_{m}$ ( $m=1, \ldots, i$ ) the $m$-th row of $M_{i}$ and let $1 \leq \tau_{1}<\tau_{2}<\cdots<\tau_{i-r} \leq$ $i-1$, where $\left\{\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{i-r}\right\}$ is the complement of $\left\{\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{r}=i\right\}$ in $\{1,2, \ldots, i\}$.

Then if $j=1, \ldots, i-r$ we have $j \leq \tau_{j} \leq \tau_{i-r}-(i-r-j) \leq$ $i-1-i+r+j=r+j-1$.

Denote by $N_{i}$ the matrix obtained from $M_{i}$ by replacing, for all $j=1, \ldots, i-r$, the row $\lambda_{\tau_{j}}$ by $\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \lambda_{j+k} c_{k}$; since, as we have seen, $j \leq \tau_{j} \leq r+j-1$, in this linear combination $\lambda_{\tau_{j}}$ has coefficient $c_{\tau_{-}-j}$. It follows that

$$
\operatorname{det} N_{i}=\left\{\prod_{j=1}^{i-r} c_{\tau_{j}-j}\right) f_{i} .
$$

Denote by $m_{p q}$ the entries of the matrix $M_{i}$ and by $n_{p q}$ those of $N_{i}$; then $m_{j+k, l}=a_{j+k+l-1}$ (where $a_{t}=0$ if $t>i+r-1$ ), hence $n_{\tau_{j}}=$ $\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} a_{j+k+l-1} c_{k}$ for all $j=1, \ldots, i-r$ and $l=1, \ldots, i-j+1$. It follows that for all $j=1, \ldots, i-r$ if $1 \leq l \leq i-j+1, n_{\tau_{j} l}$ is the determinant of the matrix obtained by replacing the last column of $A_{\sigma}$ by the ( $j+l-1$ )-th column of $A$. Therefore we get:
(1) $n_{\tau_{j} l}=0$ if $j+l-1 \in\left\{\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{r-1}\right\}$.
(2) $n_{\tau_{j} l}=d_{\sigma}$ if $j+l-1=i$, or, which is the same, $l=i-j+1$.
(3) $n_{\tau_{j} l} \in \mathfrak{A}_{i-1}$ if $j+l-1 \in\left\{\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{i-r}\right\}$ and this because $\tau_{i-r} \leq i-1$ and $\sigma_{r-1} \leq i-1$.
So we get for all $j=1, \ldots, i-r: n_{\tau_{i} l} \in \mathfrak{A}_{i-1}$ if $l=1, \ldots, i-j$ and $n_{\tau_{j} i-j+1}=d_{\sigma}$. Then we can write

$$
\operatorname{det} N_{i}=\operatorname{det}\left\|\begin{array}{lllllllll}
\cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & & \cdots & & \cdot & \cdot \\
n_{\tau_{1} 1} & n_{\tau_{1} 2} & \cdots & n_{\tau_{1} r} & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & n_{\tau_{1} i-1} & n_{\tau_{1} i} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot & \\
n_{\tau_{2} 1} & n_{\tau_{2} 2} & \cdots & n_{\tau_{2} r} & \cdot & \cdots & n_{\tau_{2} i-2} & n_{\tau_{2} i-1} & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot & & \\
n_{\tau_{i-r} 1} & n_{\tau_{i}-r^{2}} & \cdots & n_{\tau_{i-r} r} & n_{\tau_{i-r} r^{r+1}} & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
a_{i} & a_{i+1} & \cdots & a_{i+r-1} & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot
\end{array}\right\|
$$

$$
=\operatorname{det}\left\|\begin{array}{llllllll}
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & . & 0 & d_{\sigma} \\
. & \cdot & \cdots & . & \cdots & . & . & . \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & d_{\sigma} & 0 & \cdot \\
. & . & \cdots & . & \cdots & . & . & . \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & d_{\sigma} & . & . & . \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdots & . & \cdots & . & . & . \\
a_{i} & a_{i+1} & \cdots & a_{i+r-1} & \cdots & . & . & .
\end{array}\right\| \bmod \mathfrak{A}_{i-1} .
$$

By expanding the determinant along the first $r$ columns we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{det} N_{i}= \pm \operatorname{det}\left\|\begin{array}{llll}
a_{\sigma_{1}} & a_{\sigma_{1}+1} & \cdots & a_{\sigma_{1}+r-1} \\
a_{\sigma_{2}} & a_{\sigma_{2}+1} & \cdots & a_{\sigma_{2}+r-1} \\
. & . & \cdots & . \\
a_{i} & a_{i+1} & \cdots & a_{i+r-1}
\end{array}\right\| \operatorname{det}\left\|\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & 0 & \cdots & . & d_{\sigma} \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & d_{\sigma} & \cdot \\
. & . & \cdots & . & . \\
d_{\sigma} & \cdot & \cdots & . & .
\end{array}\right\| \\
& \bmod \mathfrak{A}_{i-1} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

but clearly $A_{\sigma}$ is a symmetric matrix, hence det $N_{i}= \pm d_{\sigma}^{i-r+1} \bmod \mathfrak{A}_{i-1}$. It follows that $d_{\sigma} \in \operatorname{rad}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{i-1}, f_{i}\right)$, since, as we have seen, $\operatorname{det} N_{i} \in\left(f_{i}\right)$; this completes the proof.

Corollary 1.2: With $A$ and $f_{r}, \ldots, f_{s}$ as before, we have:

$$
\operatorname{rad}(A)=\operatorname{rad}\left(f_{r}, \ldots, f_{s}\right)
$$

Proof: By Theorem 1.1,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{rad}(A) & =\operatorname{rad}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{s}\right)=\operatorname{rad}\left(\mathfrak{H}_{s-1}, f_{s}\right)=\operatorname{rad}\left(\operatorname{rad}\left(\mathfrak{H}_{s-1}\right)+\operatorname{rad}\left(f_{s}\right)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{rad}\left(\operatorname{rad}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{s-2}, f_{s-1}\right)+\operatorname{rad}\left(f_{s}\right)\right)=\operatorname{rad}\left(\mathfrak{H}_{s-2}, f_{s-1}, f_{s}\right) \\
& =\cdots=\operatorname{rad}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{r}, f_{r+1}, \ldots, f_{s}\right)=\operatorname{rad}\left(f_{r}, \ldots, f_{s}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

REmARK 1.3: If the elements of the matrix $A$ are indeterminates over an algebraically closed field $k$, the ideal $(A)$ is the defining ideal of the locus $V$ of chordal $[r-2]$ 's of the normal rational curve of $\mathbb{P}^{s+r-2}$, where if $p \geq 2$ a chordal $[p-1]$ of a manifold is one which meets it in $p$ independent points (see [4] pag. 91 and 229). $V$ is a projective variety in $\mathbb{P}^{s+r-2}$ of dimension $2 r-3$ and order $\binom{s}{r-1}$; hence the codimension of $V$ is $s+r-2-2 r+3=s-r+1$ and the above result proves that $V$ is set-theoretic complete intersection. The case $r=2$ is the main result in [5].

In this section $A$ is a partly symmetric $r \times(r+1)$ matrix whose elements belong to $R$. Therefore we may write

$$
A=\left\|\begin{array}{lllll}
a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1 r} & b_{1} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2 r} & b_{2} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot \\
a_{r 1} & a_{r 2} & \cdots & a_{r r} & b_{r}
\end{array}\right\|
$$

where the matrix $S=\left\|a_{i j}\right\|$ is $r \times r$ symmetric.
Let $B=\left\|\frac{A}{b_{1} \ldots b_{r} 0}\right\|, f_{1}=\operatorname{det} S$ and $f_{2}=\operatorname{det} B ;$ next, for all $i=$ $1, \ldots, r+1$, denote by $A_{i}$ the matrix which results when the $i$-th column of $A$ is deleted, and put $d_{i}=\operatorname{det} A_{i}$. Then $f_{1}=d_{r+1},(A)=$ $\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{r+1}\right)$ and $f_{2} \in(A)$.

Theorem 2.1: With the above notations we have:

$$
\operatorname{rad}(A)=\operatorname{rad}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)
$$

Proof: Since $\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right) \subseteq(A)$ and $d_{r+1}=f_{1}$, it is enough to prove that $\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{r}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{rad}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)$. Let $i$ be any integer, $1 \leq i \leq r$; by expanding the determinant of $A_{i}$ along the last column, we get $d_{i}=\sum_{k=1}^{r} b_{k} c_{k i}$ where $c_{k i}$ is the cofactor of $b_{k}$ in $A_{i}$. Denote by $B^{\prime}$ the matrix obtained by replacing the $i$-th row of $B$ by the linear combination of the first $r$ rows of $B$ with coefficients $c_{1 i}, c_{2 i}, \ldots, c_{r i}$. Then it is clear that det $B^{\prime}=$ $c_{i i} \operatorname{det} B$ and the $i$-th row of $B^{\prime}$ is:

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{r} a_{k 1} c_{k i}, \ldots, \sum_{k=1}^{r} a_{k r} c_{k i}, \sum_{k=1}^{r} b_{k} c_{k i}\right)
$$

But $\Sigma_{k=1}^{r} a_{k j} c_{k i}$ is the determinant of the matrix obtained by replacing the last column of $A_{i}$, by the $j$-th column of $A$. Hence $\sum_{k=1}^{r} a_{k j} c_{k i}=0$ if $j \neq i$, while $\sum_{k=1}^{r} a_{k i} c_{k i}= \pm f_{1}$. Therefore we get:

$$
c_{i i} f_{2}=\operatorname{det} B^{\prime}=\operatorname{det}\left\|\begin{array}{llll}
a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1 r} & b_{1} \\
\cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot \\
a_{i-1,1} & \cdots & a_{i-1, r} & b_{i-1} \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & d_{i} \\
a_{i+1,1} & \cdots & a_{i+1, r} & b_{i+1} \\
\cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot \\
a_{r 1} & \cdots & a_{r r} & b_{r} \\
b_{1} & \cdots & b_{r} & 0
\end{array}\right\| \bmod f_{1} \text {. }
$$

By expanding this determinant along the first $r$ columns we get:

$$
c_{i i} f_{2}= \pm d_{i} \operatorname{det}\left\|\begin{array}{lll}
a_{1 r} & \cdots & a_{1 r} \\
\cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\
a_{i-1,1} & \cdots & a_{i-1, r} \\
a_{i+1,1} & \cdots & a_{i+1, r} \\
\cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\
a_{r 1} & \cdots & a_{r r} \\
b_{1} & \cdots & b_{r}
\end{array}\right\| \bmod f_{1}
$$

But $S$ is symmetric, hence $c_{i i} f_{2}= \pm d_{i} \operatorname{det} A_{i}^{t}= \pm d_{i} \operatorname{det} A_{i}= \pm d_{i}^{2} \bmod$ $f_{1}$, and the theorem is proved.

Example 2.2: Let $V$ be the rational cubic scroll in $\mathbb{P}^{4}$; then it is well known that $V$ is the locus where $r k\left\|\begin{array}{lll}X_{0} & X_{1} & X_{3} \\ X_{1} & X_{2} & X_{4}\end{array}\right\|=1$. Hence the above theorem shows that $V$ is set-theoretic complete intersection.

In this last section we will be interested in a particular $2 \times 3$ matrix. Suppose $a, b$ and $c$ are elements of the ring $R$, such that the ideal they generate is of height 3 ; next let $p_{i}, q_{i}, r_{i}(i=1,2)$ positive integers not necessarily distinct. Let us consider the $2 \times 3$ matrix

$$
A=\left\|\begin{array}{lll}
a^{p_{1}} & b^{q_{1}} & c^{r_{1}} \\
b^{q_{2}} & c^{r_{2}} & a^{p_{2}}
\end{array}\right\|
$$

and put $p=p_{1}+p_{2}, q=q_{1}+q_{2}, r=r_{1}+r_{2}$ and $f_{1}=b^{q_{1}} a^{p_{2}}-c^{r}, f_{2}=$ $a^{p}-b^{q_{2}} c^{r_{1}}, f_{3}=a^{p_{1}} c^{r_{2}}-b^{q}$.

We want to show that if $(A)=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$ then $\operatorname{rad}(A)$ is equal to the radical of an ideal generated by 2 elements; but first we shall give some remarks which are useful in the following.

Let $k$ be any integer, $0 \leq k \leq q$; then we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
k q_{1}=t q+s \quad \text { where } 0 \leq s \leq q-1 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we have $k q=k q_{1}+k q_{2}=t q+s+k q_{2}$; it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{2}(q-k)=\left(q_{2}-k+t\right) q+s \quad \text { for all } k=0, \ldots, q \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, since $q_{2}(q-k) \geq 0$, we have $\left(q_{2}-k+t\right) q+s \geq 0$; but $s<q$ by (1), hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{2}-k+t \geq 0 \quad \text { for all } k=0, \ldots, q \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have also
(4) $0 \leq(q-k) r_{1}+r_{2}\left(q_{2}-k+t\right)=(q-k) r+t r_{2}-q_{1} r_{2}$ for all $k=$ $0, \ldots, q$.

This allows us to consider the element

$$
g=\sum_{k=0}^{q}(-1)^{q-k}\binom{q}{k} a^{k p_{2}+t p_{1}} b^{s} c^{(q-k) r+t r_{2}-q_{1} r_{2}} .
$$

Theorem 3.1: With the above notations we have:

$$
\operatorname{rad}(A)=\operatorname{rad}\left(g, f_{3}\right)
$$

Proof: We have

$$
f_{1}^{q}=\left(b^{q_{1}} a^{p_{2}}-c^{r}\right)^{q}=\sum_{k=0}^{q}(-1)^{q-k}\binom{q}{k} a^{k p_{2}} b^{k q_{1}} c^{r(q-k)} ;
$$

since by (1) $k q_{1}=t q+s$ for all $k=0, \ldots, q$ we get

$$
f_{1}^{q}=\sum_{k=0}^{q}(-1)^{q-k}\binom{q}{k} a^{k p_{2}+t p_{1}} b^{s} c^{r(q-k)+t r_{2}} \bmod f_{3}
$$

or $f_{1}^{q}=c^{q_{1} r_{2}} g \bmod f_{3}$. On the other hand

$$
f_{2}^{q}=\left(a^{p}-b^{q_{2}} c^{r_{1}}\right)^{q}=\sum_{k=0}^{q}(-1)^{q-k}\binom{q}{k} a^{k p} b^{q_{2}(q-k)} c^{r_{1}(q-k)},
$$

hence, using (2) and (3) we get

$$
f_{2}^{q}=\sum_{k=0}^{q}(-1)^{q-k}\binom{q}{k} a^{k p+p_{1}\left(q_{2}-k+t\right)} b^{s} c^{r_{1}(q-k)+r_{2}\left(q_{2}-k+t\right)} \bmod f_{3} .
$$

But $k p+p_{1}\left(q_{2}-k+t\right)=k p_{2}+p_{1} q_{2}+t p_{1}$, hence, using (4), we get $f_{2}^{q}=$ $a^{p_{1} q_{2}} g \bmod f_{3}$. This proves that $(A) \subseteq \operatorname{rad}\left(g, f_{3}\right)$.

Next we have seen that $f_{1}^{q}=c^{q_{1} r_{2}} g \bmod f_{3}$; hence $c^{q_{1} r_{2}} g \in(A)$. Let $\mathfrak{B}$
be a minimal prime ideal of $(A)$, then $h(\mathfrak{P}) \leq 2$ by [1, Theorem 3], so $c \notin \mathfrak{P}$, because if $c \in \mathfrak{P}$ then $(a, b, c) \subseteq \mathfrak{B}$ which is a contradiction since we have assumed $h(a, b, c)=3$. It follows that $g \in \operatorname{rad}(A)$; this completes the proof.

Example 3.2: Let $k$ be an arbitrary field, $t$ transcendental over $k$. Let $n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}$ natural numbers with grestest common divisor 1 , and let $C$ be the affine space curve with the parametric equations $X=t^{n_{1}}$, $Y=t^{n_{2}}, Z=t^{n_{3}}$. Let $c_{i}$ be the smallest positive integer such that there exist integers $r_{i j} \geq 0$ with $c_{1} n_{1}=r_{12} n_{2}+r_{13} n_{3}, c_{2} n_{2}=r_{21} n_{1}+r_{23} n_{3}, c_{3} n_{3}=$ $r_{31} n_{1}+r_{32} n_{2}$. In [2] it is proved that if $C$ is not a complete intersection then $r_{i j}>0$ for all $i, j$ and $c_{1}=r_{21}+r_{31}, c_{2}=r_{12}+r_{32}, c_{3}=r_{13}+r_{23}$.

Furthermore if $f_{1}=X^{r_{31}} Y^{r_{32}}-Z^{c_{3}}, f_{2}=X^{c_{1}}-Y^{r_{12}} Z^{r_{13}}$ and $f_{3}=$ $X^{r_{21}} Z^{r_{23}}-Y^{c_{2}}$, then the vanishing ideal $I(C) \subseteq k[X, Y, Z]$ of $C$ is $I(C)=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$. Then it is easy to see that $I(C)$ is the ideal generated by the $2 \times 2$ minors of the matrix

$$
\left\|\begin{array}{lll}
X^{r_{21}} & Y^{r_{32}} & Z^{r_{13}}
\end{array} Y^{r_{12}} \quad Z^{r_{23}} \quad X^{3_{31}}\right\|
$$

It follows, by Theorem 3.1, that $C$ is set-theoretic complete intersection. This result has been proved in [3] by completely different methods; see also [6].

Finally we remark that if $C=\left\{\left(t^{5}, t^{7}, t^{8}\right) \in \mathbb{A}^{3}(k)\right\}$ then the matrix is $\left\|\begin{array}{lll}X & Y^{2} & Z \\ Y & Z^{2} & X^{2}\end{array}\right\|$, which is not partly symmetric; so the conclusion that $C$ is set-theoretic complete intersection cannot be drawn from Theorem 2.1.

Example 3.3: Let $n, p$ be non-negative integers; we have seen (see Example 3.2) that if

$$
C=\left\{\left(t^{2 n+1}, t^{2 n+1+p}, t^{2 n+1+2 p}\right) \in \mathbb{A}^{3}(k)\right\}
$$

the vanishing ideal $I(C)$ in $k\left[X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right]$ is generated by $X_{1}^{n+p} X_{2}-$ $X_{3}^{n+1}, X_{1}^{n+p-1}-X_{2} X_{3}^{n}$ and $X_{1} X_{3}-X_{2}^{2}$. Let $\bar{C}$ be the projective closure of $C$ in $P^{3}$. Since $C$ has only one point at the infinity, it is well known that the homogeneous ideal of $\bar{C}$ in $k\left[X_{0}, X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right]$ is generated by the polynomials $X_{1}^{n+p} X_{2}-X_{0}^{p} X_{3}^{n+1}, X_{1}^{n+p+1}-X_{0}^{p} X_{2} X_{3}^{n}$ and $X_{1} X_{3}-X_{2}^{2}$. It is immediately seen that this ideal is generated by the $2 \times 2$ minors of the matrix
$\left\|\begin{array}{ccc}X_{1} & X_{2} & X_{0}^{p} X_{3}^{n} \\ X_{2} & X_{3} & X_{1}^{n+p}\end{array}\right\|$.
Thus, by Theorem 2.1, $\bar{C}$ is set-theoretic complete intersection of the two hypersurfaces $X_{1} X_{3}-X_{2}^{2}$ and $X_{0}^{2 p} X_{3}^{2 n+1}+X_{1}^{2 n+2 p+1}-$ $2 X_{0}^{p} X_{1}^{n+p} X_{2} X_{3}^{n}$.

Example 3.4: If $C=\left\{\left(t^{3}, t^{7}, t^{8}\right) \in \mathbb{A}^{3}(k)\right\}$, the vanishing ideal $I(\bar{C}) \subseteq k\left[X_{0}, X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right]$ of the projective closure $\bar{C}$ of $C$ in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, needs five generators and our methods do not apply in order to see if $\bar{C}$ is set-theoretic complete intersection.
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