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## Numdam

# CURVATURE ON HOLOMORPHIC PLANE CURVES II 

Linda Ness

## Introduction

Let $C: f(y, z)=0$ be a holomorphic curve with an ordinary double point at Pand let $C_{t}: f+t=0$. Let $B$ be an open ball centered at $P$ which is so small that $B \cap C=C_{1} \cup C_{2}$ where $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are nonsingular connected holomorphic curves and $C_{1} \cap C_{2}=\{P\}$. For sufficiently small $t, C_{t} \cap B$ is nonsingular.

As in Part I [3] we will assume that all holomorphic curves are endowed with the metric induced by the Fubini-Study metric on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. The Fubini-Study metric on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ is given by

$$
\frac{2}{1+|y|^{2}+|z|^{2}}\left(\left(1+|z|^{2}\right) d y d \bar{y}-\bar{y} z d y d \bar{z}-y \bar{z} d z d \bar{y}+\left(1+|y|^{2}\right) d z d \bar{z}\right)
$$

where $y$ and $z$ denote the usual coordinates on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$.
Here $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are Riemannian surfaces as well as Riemann surfaces. In Part I we obtained a formula for the Gaussian curvature and studied the Gaussian curvature on $C_{t} \cap B$ in the more general case that $C$ had an ordinary singularity at $P$. In Part II we will study the closed geodesics on $C_{t} \cap B$ and the lines of constant Gaussian curvature on $C_{t} \cap B$, when $C$ has an ordinary double point at $P$.

From Part I [3] we recall
Theorem 1: Let $C \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$ be a holomorphic curve defined by $f(y, z)=0$. The Gaussian curvature at a nonsingular point of $\mathbb{C}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(y, z)=2-\frac{\left(1+|y|^{2}+|z|^{2}\right)^{3}|H(f)|^{2}}{\left(\left|f_{y}\right|^{2}+\left|f_{z}\right|^{2}+\left|y f_{y}+z f_{z}\right|^{2}\right)^{3}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(f)=2 f_{y z} f_{y} f_{z}-f_{y y} f_{z}^{2}-f_{z z} f_{y}^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$(H(f)$ is the affine hessian of $f$.

We now state the first main result in Part II. For convenience in referral, we number the theorems consecutively throughout Parts I and II.

Theorem 3: Let $D$ be a small solid cone with vertex at $P$ and with the line tangent to $C_{1}$ at $P$ as its axis. Let $D_{2}$ be the image of $D_{1}$ under the unitary transformation of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ that fixes $P$ and interchanges the tangents to $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$. Let $\tilde{C}_{t}=C_{t} \cap B-D_{1}-D_{2}$. Given $M>0$ there exists $\epsilon>0$ such that if $-\epsilon<t<\epsilon$
(i) $K$ on $\tilde{C}_{t}<-M<0$.
(ii) $\tilde{C}_{t}$ is homeomorphic to a cylinder.
(iii) $\tilde{C}_{t}$ is geodesically convex.
(iv) In $\tilde{C}_{t}$ there is a smooth closed geodesic $\Gamma$ and every other smooth closed geodesic in $C_{t}$ consists in going around $\Gamma$ more than once.

The second main result in Part II is a picture of the curves of constant curvature on $B \cap C_{t}$ in the case that neither branch of $C_{0}$ has a flex at $P$.

## 1. Proof of Theorem 3

After a unitary transformation we may assume that $P=(0,0)$ and $z=0$ is tangent to $C$ at $P$. We give the proof for the case that the other tangent at $P$ is $y=0$. The proof of the more general case is a straightforward generalization of the following argument. Hence we are assuming that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(y, z)=y z+a y^{3}+b y^{2} z+c y z^{2}+d z^{3}+\text { higher order terms } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that in $B, f$ may be factored as $f=f_{1} \cdot f_{2}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}(y, z)=z+a y^{2}+R_{1}(y, z) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{2}(y, z)=y+d z^{2}+R_{2}(y, z) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ have terms of order 2 or more; $R_{1}$ contains no $y^{2}$ term and $R_{2}$ contains no $z^{2}$ term. Let $C_{t}: f_{t}=0 \cap B$. In this case the cones
are defined by

$$
D_{1}=\{(y, z):|z / y| \leq \alpha\} D_{2}=\{(y, z):|y / z| \leq \alpha\}
$$

for some small positive number $\alpha$.
Part (i) follows immediately from Corollary 2 of Theorem 2.

Proof of ii: In the equation defining $C_{t}$ substitute $z=\beta y$. This is an analytic change of coordinates on $\tilde{C}_{t}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{C}_{t}: 0 & =t+\beta y^{2}+y^{3}\left(a+b \beta+c \beta^{2}+d \beta^{3}\right) \\
& + \text { terms of order at least } 4 \text { in } y .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { In } \tilde{C}_{t}, \beta=\frac{t}{y^{2}} \cdot h(y, \beta)
$$

where $h$ is analytic and bounded away from 0 . Thus $\tilde{C}_{t}$ is topologically equivalent to the annulus

$$
\sqrt{|\alpha|} \leq|\sqrt{\beta}|<\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\beta|}} \operatorname{via}(y, z) \rightarrow \sqrt{\beta}=\frac{z}{y}
$$

Proof of iii: The following is well-known [4]:

Lemma 1: Suppose $M$ is a compact Riemannian surface and $D \subset$ $M$ is open and connected. $D$ is geodesically convex if a geodesic exists through every boundary point of $D$ such that all the points of $D$ in a neighborhood of the boundary point lie on one side of the geodesic.

Hence it suffices to prove that the geodesic curvature on the boundaries of $\tilde{C}_{t}$ has the correct constant sign.

Lemma 2: Let $E_{t}$ denote the boundary curve of $\tilde{C}_{t}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{t}: f\left(y, \alpha e^{i \theta} y\right)+t=0 \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $t$ sufficiently small the sign of the geodesic curvature $k_{g}$ on $E_{t}$ is constant and is given by the sign of $\left(\alpha^{2} / 1+\alpha^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2}$.

Proof: We may take $y$ to be a local coordinate in a neighborhood
of $E_{t}$. Then we may view $E_{t}$ as the image of a real analytic function

$$
\theta \rightarrow y(\theta) \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi
$$

Let $h(y) d y d \bar{y}$ give the induced metric. Then with respect to the arc length parameter $s$

$$
K_{g}=\operatorname{Im} \frac{h_{y}}{h} \frac{d y}{d s}+h \frac{d^{2} y}{d s^{2}} \frac{d \bar{y}}{d s}
$$

This follows by rewriting the classical formula [5] for $k_{g}$ in terms of complex coordinates. With respect to the parameter

$$
k_{g}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{h \cdot} \cdot y^{\prime} \mid} \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{h_{y}}{h} y^{\prime}+\frac{y^{\prime \prime}}{y^{\prime}}\right) \text { where } y^{\prime}=\frac{d y}{d \theta}
$$

We will show the sign of $\sqrt{h} \cdot\left|y^{\prime}\right| k_{g}$ is given by the sign of $\alpha^{2} / 1+\alpha^{2}-$ $\frac{1}{2}$ for $t$ sufficiently small by showing

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{y^{\prime \prime}}{y} \rightarrow \frac{-i}{2} \text { as } t \rightarrow 0  \tag{7}\\
\frac{h_{y} y^{\prime}}{h} \rightarrow \frac{+i \alpha^{2}}{1+\alpha^{2}}
\end{gather*}
$$

We may assume that in a neighborhood of the boundary curve, $C_{t}$ is the graph of a holomorphic function $z_{t}(y)$. Then one can calculate using $z=\alpha e^{i \theta} y$ on $E_{t}$ and writing $z_{t}(y)=z(y)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
y^{\prime}=\frac{i \alpha e^{i \theta} y}{z_{y}-\alpha e^{i \theta}} \\
\frac{y^{\prime \prime}}{y^{\prime}}=i+2 \frac{y^{\prime}}{y}-\frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2} z_{y y}}{i \alpha e^{i \theta} y}
\end{gathered}
$$

Now as $t \rightarrow 0, z_{y} \rightarrow-\alpha e^{i \theta}$ on $E_{t}$ so $\frac{y^{\prime}}{y} \rightarrow i / 2$.
Also as $t \rightarrow 0, y z_{y y} \rightarrow 2 \alpha e^{i \theta}$ hence $\frac{y^{\prime \prime}}{y^{\prime}} \rightarrow i / 2$.
To evaluate (8) recall that

$$
h=\frac{1}{\left(1+|y|^{2}+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}\left(1+\left|z_{y}\right|^{2}+\left|z-y z_{y}\right|^{2}\right) .
$$

Hence $h\left(y, \alpha e^{i \theta} y\right) \rightarrow 1+|\alpha|^{2}$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. By calculating $h_{y}$ one can show $h_{y} y^{\prime} \rightarrow-i \alpha^{2}$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. Q.E.D.

Finally we must check the meaning of the sign of $k_{g}$ on $E_{t}$. Project $E_{t}$ onto the complex line $z=0$; the tangent and geodesic curvature vectors of the projected curve $\pi\left(E_{t}\right)$ are just the projections of the tangent and curvature vectors of $E_{t}$. For $t$ sufficiently small we may assume

$$
\pi\left(E_{t}\right): 0=t+\alpha e^{i \theta} y^{2} \text { and } y^{\prime}=\frac{-i y}{2}
$$

Hence $\pi\left(E_{t}\right)$ is swept out in the clockwise direction. By the lemma $\boldsymbol{k}_{g}$ is negative on $E_{t}$. Thus the curvature vector points toward the origin.

The other bounding curve is the image of $E_{t}$ under a unitary transformation which preserves geodesic curvature. Thus the signs are compatible.

Proof of iv: We will prove the following more general
Proposition: Consider a geodesically convex subdomain M of a Riemannian surface such that $\pi_{1}(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and the Gaussian curvature is bounded away from zero by a negative constant. Then there exists a unique smooth closed simple geodesic $\Gamma$ and every other smooth closed geodesic in $M$ consists in going around $\Gamma$ more than once.

Proof: It is known that if $N$ is a compact Riemannian manifold, then every free homotopy class of loops has a minimal length member which is a smooth closed geodesic. The proof of this result as given in [1] is valid when the hypothesis of compactness is replaced by geodesic convexity. To prove uniqueness, suppose there were two distinct smooth closed geodesics $l_{1}$ and $l_{2}$. If $l_{1}$ and $l_{2}$ intersect, when lifted to the simply connected covering space they bound a lune $L$ with $\int K d A<0$ which contradicts Gauss-Bonnet. If $l_{1}$ and $l_{2}$ do not intersect, there exists a geodesic $\gamma \subset M$ which realizes the minimum distance between $l_{1}$ and $l_{2}$. When $l_{1}, l_{2}$, and $\gamma$ are lifted to the simply connected covering space, we obtain a geodesic rectangle $R$ where $\int_{R} K d A<0$ which again contradicts Gauss-Bonnet.

Remark: For $t \neq 0, H_{1}\left(\tilde{C}_{t}, \mathbb{Z}\right)=\mathbb{Z}$ and any element of $H_{1}\left(\tilde{C}_{t}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$, $t \neq 0$ is called a vanishing cycle [2]. Theorem 3 shows that there exist unique smooth geodesic representatives of the vanishing cycles.

I claim that one can draw the following picture of the curves of constant curvature on $B \cap C_{t}$, for sufficiently small $t$, in the case that neither branch of $C_{0}$ has a flex at the singular point.


In this case for sufficiently small $t, C_{t} \cap B \cap C_{1}$ and $C_{t} \cap B \cap C_{2}$ each contain exactly three flexes. The dots denote the six flexes. The nipples denote the areas of positive curvature.

The other assumptions made on drawing this picture are $\exists c_{0}$ depending on $t$ and the coefficients of the third degree terms of the defining equation such that: (1) for $c_{0}<c<2$ the set $K^{-1}(C)$ has six components each of which is a simple closed curve. (2) If $M$ denotes $\min K$ and then $K^{-1}(M)$ is a simple closed curve in $B \cap C_{t}$ and (3) if $M<c<c_{0}, K^{-1}(c)$ consists of two disjoint simple closed curves, one on each side of $k \equiv M$.

We outline the analytic proof of the picture in the case that the singular point $P=(0,0)$ and the tangent lines are the axes. Hence we are assuming as in Section 1 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(y, z)=y z+a y^{3}+b y^{2} z+c y z^{2}+d z^{3}+\text { higher order terms. } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will denote by $Q(\eta)$ the polycylinder

$$
Q(\eta)=\{(y, z):|y|<\eta \text { and }|z|<\eta\} .
$$

Fix $\eta$ so small that $Q(\eta) \subset B$ and hence $C_{0} \cap Q(\eta)$ is the union of two analytic branches defined by (4) and (5). We consider the following subregions of $Q(\eta)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{1}=Q(\eta) \cap\left\{(y, z): \frac{|y|}{|z|^{2}}<\frac{1}{\eta}\right\} \\
& R_{2}=Q(\eta) \cap\left\{(y, z): \frac{|y|}{|z|^{2}}>\frac{1}{\eta} \text { and } \frac{|z|}{|y|^{2}}>\frac{1}{\eta}\right\} \\
& R_{3}=Q(\eta) \cap\left\{(y, z): \frac{|z|}{|y|^{2}}<\frac{1}{\eta}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have the following diagram of the projection of $Q(\eta)$ into the $|y|,|z|$ plane.


We now introduce a change of coordinate on $R_{1}$ and $R_{3}$. Let $t=\tau^{3}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { On } R_{1} \text { let } y^{\prime}=\frac{y}{\tau^{2}} z^{\prime}=\frac{z}{\tau} \\
& \text { On } R_{3} \text { let } y^{\prime}=\frac{y}{\tau} z^{\prime}=\frac{z}{\tau^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$R_{1}$ and $R_{3}$ are each invariant under these coordinate changes which just expand the regions along the parabolas $y=\gamma z^{2}$ and $z=\gamma y^{2}$ respectively, $|\gamma|<1 / \eta$.

Lemma: With respect to the $\left(y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)$ coordinate system in $R_{1}$ as $t \rightarrow 0$
(i) $\left(C_{t} \cap R_{1}\right)$ the curve $0=1+z^{\prime} y^{\prime}+d\left(z^{\prime}\right)^{3}$
(ii) $K \rightarrow 2-4\left|y^{\prime} / z^{\prime 2}\right|^{2}$ on $C_{t} \cap R_{1}$
(iii) If $d \neq 0$ the three flexes on $C_{t} \cap R_{1}$ approach

$$
\left(y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)=(0, \sqrt[3]{-1 / d})
$$

(iv) The outer boundary of $C_{t} \cap R_{1}$ given by

$$
C_{t} \cap(|y|=\eta) \rightarrow \infty
$$

The convergence in (i) and (ii) is uniform on compact subsets in the ( $y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}$ ) space. The analogous results hold for $C_{t} \cap R_{3}$.

Proof: Straightforward computation.

By the previous lemma, then, we can obtain a picture of the curves of constant curvature

$$
k=2-4 \gamma^{2} \quad 0<\gamma<\frac{1}{\eta}
$$

on $C_{t} \cap R_{1}$ for $t$ very small by considering the curve

$$
C: 0=1+y z+d z^{3}
$$

and the subsets $P_{\gamma} \subset C$ where

$$
P_{\gamma}=\left\{(y, z) \in C:\left|\frac{y}{z^{2}}\right|=\gamma \quad 0<\gamma<\frac{1}{\eta}\right.
$$

Note that if $t$ is small enough the image of $C_{t}$ in the $|y|,|z|$ plane lies in an arbitrarily small neighborhood of the image of $C_{0}$, which if $\eta$ is small enough lies in an arbitrarily small neighborhood of $(|y|=$ $\left.|d||z|^{2} \cup|z|=|a| \quad|y|^{2}\right)$.

Lemma: On $R_{2} \cap C_{t}$, for $t$ sufficiently small

$$
K<\left(2-\frac{2}{\eta^{2}}\right)+\delta(t)
$$

where $0<\delta(t)<1 / \eta^{2}$ and $\delta(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$.

Proof: Straightforward computation.
Lemma: On $C_{t} \cap R_{1}$
(i) For $\gamma>|d|, P_{\gamma}$ is a simple closed curve.

If $d \neq 0$
(ii) When $\gamma=|d|, P_{\gamma}$ is a simple closed curve minus one point at $\infty$.
(iii) For $\gamma<|d|, P_{\gamma}$ consists of three disjoint simple closed curves
(iv) $\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow 0} P_{\gamma}=(0, \sqrt[3]{-1 / d})$ which are the flexes.

Proof: Obvious.

An analogous lemma holds on $C_{t} \cap R_{3}$.
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