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## Numdam

# A PROPERTY OF THE $\varphi$ AND $\sigma_{j}$ FUNCTIONS 

Robert E. Dressler

## 1. Introduction

As usual, $\varphi$ stands for Euler's function and $\sigma_{j}$ stands for the sum of the $j^{\text {th }}$ powers of the divisors function. The purpose of this note is to answer the following very natural question: If $t$ is a positive integer and $f$ is $\varphi$ or $\sigma_{j}$, when does $t$ divide $f(n)$ for almost all positive integers $n$ ? We also answer this question for the Jordan totient function, $\varphi_{j}$, a generalization of the $\varphi$ function.

We will use the well known formulas:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(n)=n \prod_{p \mid n} \frac{p-1}{p} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{j}(n)=\prod_{p^{e} \| n}\left(p^{e j}+p^{(e-1) j}+\ldots+p^{j}+1\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $p^{e}| | n$ means $p^{e} \mid n$ and $p^{e+1} \nmid n$.

## 2. Results

Our first theorem concerns the $\varphi$ function.
Theorem (1): For any prime $p_{0}$ and any positive integer $k$ we have $p_{0}^{k} \mid \varphi(n)$ for almost all $n$. That is, the set of integers $n$ for which $p_{0}^{k} \nsucc \varphi(n)$ has natural density zero.

Proof: If $p_{0}^{k} \nmid \varphi(n)$ then by (1), no prime divisor $p$ of $n$ satisfies $p \equiv 1$

[^0]$\left(\bmod p_{0}^{k}\right)$. Now, if $N$ and $M$ satisfy $N>p_{1}^{\prime} p_{2}^{\prime} \cdot \ldots \cdot p_{M}^{\prime}$ where the $p_{i}^{\prime}$ $(i=1, \ldots, M)$ are the first $M$ primes congruent to $1\left(\bmod p_{0}^{k}\right)$, then the number of positive integers not exceeding $N$, none of whose prime divisors is congruent to $1\left(\bmod p_{0}^{k}\right)$ is
$$
\leqq 2 N \prod_{i=1}^{M} \frac{p_{i}^{\prime}-1}{p_{i}^{\prime}}
$$

If we let $N$ and $M$ vary together to infinity, then we have, by a strong form of Dirichlet's theorem, that

$$
\left(2 N \prod_{i=1}^{M} \frac{p_{i}^{\prime}-1}{p_{i}^{\prime}}\right) / N \rightarrow 0
$$

This establishes our result.

Since the finite union of sets of natural density zero is a set of natural density zero we may state the following:

Corollary (1): Let $t$ be any positive integer. Then $t \mid \varphi(n)$ for almost all $n$.

It is also worth noting that the $\varphi_{j}$ function where

$$
\varphi_{j}(n)=n^{j} \prod_{p \mid n}\left(1-p^{-j}\right)
$$

also satisfies the conclusions of Theorem 1 and Corollary 1. To see this, observe that if $p \equiv 1\left(\bmod p_{0}^{k}\right)$ then also $p^{j} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p_{0}^{k}\right)$.

The situation for the $\sigma_{j}$ functions is more complicated. We first need the following two lemmas:

Lemma (1): [3, pg. 58]. Let $(c, q)=1$ where $q$ is any integer having primitive roots. The congruence $x^{j} \equiv c(\bmod q)$ is solvable if and only if

$$
c^{\varphi(q) /(\varphi(q), j)} \equiv 1(\bmod q) .
$$

Lemma (2): Given any prime $p_{0}$ and $r$ such that $\left(r, p_{0}\right)=1$ and any positive integer $k$, then almost all $n$ are such that $n$ is divisible by only the first power of some prime congruent to $r\left(\bmod p_{0}^{k}\right)$.

Proof: Let $p_{1}^{\prime}, p_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, p_{M}^{\prime}$ be the first $M$ primes congruent to $r\left(\bmod p_{0}^{k}\right)$.

Let $N$ be greater than $\left(p_{1}^{\prime} p_{2}^{\prime} \cdot \ldots \cdot p_{M}^{\prime}\right)^{2}$. Now for any subset

$$
\left\{p_{i_{1}}^{\prime}, p_{i_{2}}^{\prime}, \ldots, p_{i_{T}}^{\prime}\right\} \text { of }\left\{p_{1}^{\prime}, p_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, p_{M}^{\prime}\right\}
$$

the number of integers $\leqq N$ which are not divisible by any

$$
q \in\left\{p_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, p_{M}^{\prime}\right\} \mid\left\{p_{i_{1}}^{\prime}, \ldots, p_{i_{T}}^{\prime}\right\}=\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{M-T}\right\}
$$

and are divisible by $p_{i_{1}}^{\prime 2} \cdot \ldots \cdot p_{i_{T}}^{\prime 2}$ is less than

$$
2 N \cdot \frac{1}{p_{i_{1}}^{\prime 2} \cdot \ldots \cdot p_{i_{T}}^{\prime 2}}\left(\frac{q_{1}-1}{q_{1}}\right) \cdot \ldots \cdot\left(\frac{q_{M-T}-1}{q_{M-T}}\right)
$$

Thus, the number of integers $\leqq N$ which are divisible by some $p_{i}^{\prime}$ ( $i=1, \ldots, M$ ) only to the first power is greater than

$$
\begin{array}{r}
N-2 N \sum_{\substack{\text { all subsets } \\
\left\{p_{i_{1}}^{\prime}, \ldots, p_{i_{T}}^{\prime} \text { of }\left\{p_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, p_{M}^{\prime}\right\}\right.}} \frac{1}{p_{i_{1}}^{\prime 2} \cdot \ldots \cdot p_{i_{T}}^{\prime 2}}\left(\frac{q_{1}-1}{q_{1}}\right) \cdot \ldots \cdot\left(\frac{q_{M-T}-1}{q_{M-T}}\right) \\
=N-2 N \prod_{i=1}^{M}\left(\frac{1}{p_{i}^{\prime 2}}+\frac{p_{i}^{\prime}-1}{p_{i}^{\prime}}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

If we now let $M, N \rightarrow \infty$ then by a strong form of Dirichlet's theorem we have

$$
(N-2 N) \prod_{i=1}^{M}\left(\frac{1}{p_{i}^{\prime 2}}+\frac{p_{i}^{\prime}-1}{p_{i}^{\prime}}\right) / N \rightarrow 1 .
$$

This completes the proof.
Theorem (2): Let $p_{0}$ be an odd prime and let $k$ and $j$ be any positive integers. Then $p_{0}^{k} \mid \sigma_{j}(n)$ for almost all $n$ if and only if $\varphi\left(p_{0}^{k}\right) /\left(\varphi\left(p_{0}^{k}\right), j\right)$ is even.

Proof: Since $p_{0}$ is odd, $p_{0}^{k}$ has primitive roots. If $\varphi\left(p_{0}^{k}\right) /\left(\varphi\left(p_{0}^{k}\right), j\right)$ is even then, by Lemma $1, x^{j} \equiv-1\left(\bmod p_{0}^{k}\right)$ is solvable. Thus we can find an $x_{0}$ such that $x_{0}^{j} \equiv-1\left(\bmod p_{0}^{k}\right)$. If a prime $p$ satisfies $p \equiv x_{0}\left(\bmod p_{0}^{k}\right)$ and if $p \| n$, then by (2) we have $p_{0}^{k} \mid \sigma_{j}(n)$. To complete this half of the proof we apply Lemma 2 with $r=x_{0}$.

Now, suppose $\varphi\left(p_{0}^{k}\right) /\left(\varphi\left(p_{0}^{k}\right), j\right)$ is odd. Since $\varphi\left(p_{0}^{k}\right)=p_{0}^{k-1}\left(p_{0}-1\right)$, it follows that $\varphi\left(p_{0}^{k}\right) /\left(\varphi\left(p_{0}^{k}\right), j\right)$ is odd, for an odd prime $p_{0}$, if and only if

$$
\frac{p_{0}-1}{\left(p_{0}-1, j\right)}=\frac{\varphi\left(p_{0}\right)}{\left(\varphi\left(p_{0}\right), j\right)}
$$

is odd. Thus, by Lemma $1, x^{j} \equiv-1\left(\bmod p_{0}\right)$ is not solvable. Thus for any square-free integer $n\left(\right.$ since $\left.\sigma_{j}(n)=\prod_{p \mid n}\left(p^{j}+1\right)\right)$ we have $p_{0} \nmid \sigma_{j}(n)$. Since the square-free integers have natural density $6 / \pi^{2}>0$ we are done.

In addition, we have
Theorem (3): For any positive integers $k$ and $j, 2^{k} \mid \sigma_{j}(n)$ for almost all $n$.
Proof: It is known [1] that for any positive integer $k$, almost all integers $n$ have the property that they are divisible only to the first degree by at least $k$ distinct odd primes. For these integers $n$ it follows, from (2), that $2^{k} \mid \sigma_{j}(n)$ and the proof is complete.

We may now capsulize Theorems 2 and 3 with
Theorem (4): Let $p_{0}$ be any prime and let $k$ and $j$ be any positive integers. Then $p_{0}^{k} \mid \sigma_{j}(n)$ for almost all integers $n$ if and only if

$$
\frac{p_{0}\left(p_{0}-1\right)}{\left(p_{0}-1, j\right)}
$$

is even.

Finally, we state

Corollary (2): Let $t$ and $j$ be any positive integers. Then $t \mid \sigma_{j}(n)$ for almost all $n$ if and only if for each prime divisor $p$ of $t$ we have $p(p-1) /(p-1, j)$ is even.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In the case of $\varphi_{j}, j$ arbitrary, and $\sigma_{j}, j$ odd, somewhat stronger results than the ones we give may be obtained by much deeper methods, cf. [2, pg. 167] In the case of $\sigma_{j}, j$ even, our results are new. In all cases, our methods appear to be much simpler than those of [2].

