COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

D. R. LEWIS An isomorphic characterization of the Schmidt class

Compositio Mathematica, tome 30, nº 3 (1975), p. 293-297

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1975__30_3_293_0>

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1975, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (http: //http://www.compositio.nl/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

\mathcal{N} umdam

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/ COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA, Vol. 30, Fasc. 3, 1975, pag. 293–297 Noordhoff International Publishing Printed in the Netherlands

AN ISOMORPHIC CHARACTERIZATION OF THE SCHMIDT CLASS

D. R. Lewis¹

This note gives an isomorphic characterization of the Hilbert-Schmidt norm within the class of unitarily invariant crossnorms on the space Rof finite rank operators on l_2 . Specifically if the completion of R under α has an unconditional basis (or more generally local unconditional structure) than α is equivalent to the Hilbert-Schmidt norm.

For convenience only real Banach spaces are considered. Notation and terminology is standard, with the following possible exceptions.

For *E* finite dimensional the unconditional basis constant of *E* is denoted by $\chi(E)$, and the parameter η is defined by $\eta(E) = \inf ||u|| ||v|| \chi(F)$, where the infimum is taken over all spaces *F* and pairs of operators $u : E \to F, v : F \to E$ satisfying vu = identity. The definition of η is extended to infinite dimensional spaces by setting $\eta(G) = \inf_{\mathscr{D}} \sup_{E \in \mathscr{D}} \eta(E)$, with the infimum taken over all confinal collections of finite dimensional subspaces of *G*. *G* has *local unconditional structure* if $\eta(G) < \infty$.

R (respectively, R(n)) is the space of finite rank operators on l_2 (resp., l_2^n). A norm α on *R* (or R(n)) is called a *unitarily invariant crossnorm* if, for each $u \in R$, $||u|| \leq \alpha(u) \leq i_1(u)$ and $\alpha(guh) = \alpha(u)$ for all isometries *g* and *h*. This coincides with Schatten's definition [7]. The completion of *R* under α is written $R(\alpha)$, and $R(\alpha, n)$ is R(n) with norm α . Finally, π_p and i_p denote the *p*-absolutely summing and *p*-integral norms, respectively ([6]).

THEOREM: If α is a unitarily invariant crossnorm on l_2 and if $R(\alpha)$ has local unconditional structure, then α is equivalent to the Hilbert-Schmidt norm.

PROOF: Fix *n* and let *P* (respectively, *Q*) be the natural inclusion of $R(\alpha, n)$ (resp., $R(\alpha, n)'$) into $R(\pi_2, n)$. *G* denotes the group of isometries of l_2^n and dg is the normalized Haar measure on *G*. Let $\omega \in R(n)$ with $\omega \neq 0$, and write

¹ The author was supported in part by NSF GP-42666.

 $(\omega^*\omega)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{i \leq n} \lambda_i e_i \otimes e_i$, where (e_i) is some orthonormal basis for l_2^n and each $\lambda_i \geq 0$. The dual of $R(\alpha, n)$ is naturally identified with $R(\alpha', n)$, where α' is the associate crossnorm of $\alpha([7])$ and the action is the trace of the composition.

Define a probability measure μ on the closed unit ball of $R(\alpha, n)$ by setting

$$\mu(f) = \int_G \int_G f(\alpha(\omega)^{-1} g \omega h^*) dg dh.$$

Then for $u \in R(\alpha, n)$

$$\alpha(\omega)\mu(|\langle u, \cdot \rangle|) = \iint |\text{trace} (ug(\omega^*\omega)^{\frac{1}{2}}h^*)|dgdh$$
$$= \iint |\sum_{i \leq n} \lambda_i(h(e_i), ug(e_i))|dgdh,$$

the first since ω may be translated to $(\omega^* \omega)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ by an isometry. Now in the last integral replace g by gg_{ε} , where $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$ is an *n*-tuple of signs and $g_{\varepsilon}(e_i) = \varepsilon_i e_i$. Averaging over all such *n*-tuples ε and applying Khinchin's inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(w)\mu(|\langle u, \cdot \rangle|) &\geq 3^{-\frac{1}{2}} \iint (\sum_{i \leq n} \lambda_i^2 |(h(e_i), ug(e_i))|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} dg dh \\ &\geq 3^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[\sum_{i \leq n} \lambda_i^2 \left(\iint |h(e_i), ug(e_i)| |dg dh \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\geq 4^{-1} \pi_2(\omega) \iint |(h(e), ug(e))| dg dh \end{aligned}$$

for any vector $e \in l_2^n$ with norm one. Let dm be the normalized (n-1)-dimensional rotational invariant measure on the unit sphere of l_2^n and c_n the constant satisfying

$$||\mathbf{x}|| = c_n \int |(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})| \mathbf{m}(d\mathbf{z}), \ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{l}_2^n.$$

This equality shows that for ||e|| = 1 $\iint |(h(e), ug(e))| dgdh = \int c_n^{-1} ||u(x)|| m(dx)$

294

$$= c_n^{-1} \int (\sum_{i \le n} |(x, u^*(e_i))|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} m(dx)$$

$$\ge c_n^{-1} \left[\sum_{i \le n} \left(\int |(x, u^*(e_i))| m(dx) \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= c_n^{-2} (\sum_{i \le n} ||u^*(e_i)||^2)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and the last is nothing but $c_n^{-2}\pi_2(u)$. Combining inequalities now gives

$$\pi_2(u) \leq 4c_n^2 \alpha(\omega) \pi_2(\omega)^{-1} \mu(|\langle u, \cdot \rangle|)$$

so that

$$\pi_1(Q) \leq 4c_n^2 \alpha(\omega) \pi_2(\omega)^{-1}.$$

To estimate $\pi_1(P)$ let γ be the probability measure on the closed unit ball of $R(\alpha, n)'$ defined by

$$\gamma(f) = \int_G \int_G f(g(e) \otimes h(e)) dg dh,$$

where e is some fixed vector of norm one. The previous inequality shows

$$\pi_2(u) \leq c_n^2 \gamma(|\langle \cdot, u \rangle|)$$

for all $u \in R(n)$, and consequently $\pi_1(P) \leq c_n^2$. By the proof of Theorem 3.5 of [2]

$$n^{2} \leq \eta(R(\alpha, n))\pi_{1}(P)\pi_{1}(Q) \leq \eta(R(\alpha, n))4c_{n}^{4}\alpha(\omega)\pi_{2}(\omega)^{-1}$$

and by [1] $c_n \leq (\pi n/2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, so

$$\pi_2(\omega) \leq \pi^2 \eta(R(\alpha, n)) \alpha(\omega).$$

This first inequality is also valid if α is replaced by its associate α' , and hence by duality (using $\pi'_2 = \pi_2$)

$$\alpha(\omega) \leq \pi^2 \eta(R(\alpha, n)) \pi_2(\omega).$$

The constants in the last two inequalities are both dominated by $\pi^2 \eta(R(\alpha))$, so that α and π_2 are $\pi^4 \eta(R(\alpha))^2$ – equivalent on R. This completes the proof.

295

[3]

D. R. Lewis

REMARK 1: An absolutely summing operator on a subspace of an $L_1(\mu)$ space or a quotient of a C(K)-space must factor through an L_1 -space [2]. Thus the same proof as above shows that α is equivalent to the Hilbert-Schmidt norm if $R(\alpha)$ is isomorphic to a subspace of an L_1 -space or to a quotient of a C(K)-space. In addition, by applying a basis selection theorem of Kadec-Pelczynski [3] and the result of McCarthy [5] that $R(c_p) \neq L_p$, it can be shown that α is equivalent to π_2 if $R(\alpha) \subset L_p$ for some p > 2. It seems a reasonable conjecture that α is equivalent to π_2 whenever $R(\alpha)$ embeds in a space with an unconditional basis.

REMARK 2: Given $\lambda \ge 1$ there is a unitarily invariant crossnorm α on R which is equivalent to π_2 and such that the unconditional basis constant of $R(\alpha)$ is at least λ . To see this fix *n* and let ϕ_n be the symmetric gauge function ([7], Chapter V) on the space of finitely non-zero scalar sequences defined by

$$\phi_n(x) = \max \{ (\sum_{k \ge 1} |x_k|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \max_{|\sigma| = n} \sum_{k \in \sigma} |x_k| \}.$$

The crossnorm α on R induced by ϕ_n is clearly $n^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -equivalent to π_2 and by the inequalities of the proof $\eta(R(\alpha)) \ge \pi^{-2}n^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Similarly it is possible to produce unitary crossnorms β for which $\eta(R(\beta, n))$ increases very slowly. For instance, the crossnorm β induced by the symmetric gauge function

$$\psi(x) = \max_{\sigma} |\sigma|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k \in \sigma} |x|$$

is, asymptotically, $(\log n)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -equivalent to π_2 on l_2^n .

REMARK3: Suppose $R(\alpha, n)$ has a basis with the property that each permutation of the basis vectors naturally defines an isomorphism of norm at most λ . By [4] $\eta(R(\alpha, n)) \leq 3\lambda$, so α is $9\pi^4 \lambda^2$ -equivalent to π_2 on l_2^n .

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. GORDON: On p-absolutely summing constants of Banach spaces. Israeal J. Math. 7 (1969) 151–162.
- [2] Y. GORDON and D. R. LEWIS: Absolutely summing operators and local unconditional structures. *Acta Math.* (to appear).
- [3] M. I. KADEC and A. OELCZYNSKI: Bases, lacunary sequences and complemented subspaces in the space Lp. *Studia Math. 21* (1962) 161–176.
- [4] D. R. LEWIS: A relation between diagonal and unconditional basis constants (to appear
- [5] Ch. A. MCCARTHY: Cp. Israel J. Math. 5 (1967) 249–271.
- [6] A. PLETSCH: Theorie der operatorenideale. Jena, 1972.
- [7] R. SCHATTEN: Norm ideals of completely continuous operators. Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1960.

(Oblatum 11-XI-1974)

Department of Mathematics University of Florida Gainesville, Florida 32601 U.S.A.