

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

P. L. CIJSOUW

Transcendence measures of exponentials and logarithms of algebraic numbers

Compositio Mathematica, tome 28, n° 2 (1974), p. 163-178

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1974__28_2_163_0

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1974, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

TRANSCENDENCE MEASURES OF EXPONENTIALS AND LOGARITHMS OF ALGEBRAIC NUMBERS

P. L. Cijsouw

1. Introduction

Let σ be a transcendental number. A positive function f of two integer variables N and H is called a *transcendence measure of σ* if

$$|P(\sigma)| > f(N, H)$$

for all non-constant polynomials P of degree at most N and with integral coefficients of absolute values at most H .

The purpose of the present paper, which covers a part of the authors thesis [2], is to give transcendence measures for the numbers e^α (α algebraic, $\alpha \neq 0$) and $\log \alpha$ (α algebraic, $\alpha \neq 0, 1$, for any fixed value of the logarithm). These transcendence measures will be of the form

$$f(N, H) = \exp \{ -C N^a S^b (1 + \log N)^c (1 + \log S)^d \},$$

where $S = N + \log H$, for an effectively computable constant $C > 0$ and for given constants a, b, c and d . We try to obtain a small total degree in the exponent in N and S together, and to get a minimal contribution of S within this total degree. Such measures are important for certain applications; see e.g. [1] and [14]. On the other hand, we do not try to determine the constant C in the exponent as small as possible. In fact, C will be chosen very large to keep the proof uncomplicated.

As far as we know, no transcendence measure for e^α which contains explicitly both the dependence on N and H was ever published. Earlier transcendence measures of similar types for the special case of the number e and for $\log \alpha$ are given by N. I. FEL'DMAN, namely

$$\exp \{ -C_1 N^2 S (\log S)^3 \}$$

for e , see [5],

$$\exp \{ -C_2 N^2 \log(1+N)(1+N \log N + \log H) \log(2+N \log N + \log H) \}$$

for $\log \alpha$, see [3], and

$$\exp \{ -C_3 N^2 \log H (1 + \log N)^2 \} \text{ if } N < (\log H)^\dagger$$

for $\log \alpha$, see [4].

Transcendence measures of other types are published by several authors. Generally speaking, in their results the height plays a more important rôle while the dependence on the degree is not explicitly given. However, in a recent paper, [7], A. I. GALOČKIN proved a measure for e^z of the form

$$\exp \{-(1+\varepsilon)N \log H\} \text{ if } N \leq \log \log H, H \geq H_0(\alpha, \varepsilon).$$

For more references and information, see [2], [8] and [11]. Finally, we remark that the transcendence of the considered numbers e^z and $\log \alpha$ was proved by F. LINDEMANN in [9].

2. Formulation of results

We shall prove the following theorems, where again $S = N + \log H$:

THEOREM 1: *Let α be a non-zero algebraic number. Then there exists an effectively computable number $C_4 = C_4(\alpha) > 0$ such that $\exp \{-C_4 N^2 S\}$ is a transcendence measure of e^z .*

THEOREM 2: *Let α be algebraic, $\alpha \neq 0, 1$. Let $\log \alpha$ be any fixed value of the logarithm of α . Then there exists an effectively computable number $C_5 = C_5(\alpha) > 0$ such that $\exp \{-C_5 N^2 S(1 + \log N)^2\}$ is a transcendence measure of $\log \alpha$.*

The method of the proofs will be A. O. GEL'FOND'S method; this method was used too by N. I. FEL'DMAN in the quoted papers. From the nature of these proofs it is clear that the constants C_4 and C_5 are effectively computable, so we will make no further reference to this aspect.

3. Notations and lemmas

For any polynomial P with complex coefficients

$$P(z) = a_n z^n + \cdots + a_1 z + a_0 \quad (a_n \neq 0)$$

we call n the *degree* and

$$h = \max_{i=0,1,\dots,n} |a_i|$$

the *height* of P . If α is an algebraic number, then we use the *degree* $d(\alpha)$ and the *height* $h(\alpha)$ as the degree and height of its minimal defining polynomial. We call $s(\alpha) = d(\alpha) + \log h(\alpha)$ the *size* of α . \mathbf{Q} will denote the field of the rational numbers. If a is a real number, then $[a]$ is the greatest integer smaller than or equal to a .

LEMMA 1: Let α be algebraic of height $h(\alpha)$. Then

$$(1) \quad |\alpha| < h(\alpha) + 1.$$

If moreover $\alpha \neq 0$, then we have

$$(2) \quad |\alpha| > (h(\alpha) + 1)^{-1}.$$

PROOF: For the first part, see [11], Hilfssatz 1. For the second part, take into consideration that if

$$a_n z^n + \cdots + a_1 z + a_0$$

is the minimal polynomial of α , then

$$a_0 z^n + a_1 z^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n$$

is the minimal polynomial of α^{-1} , apart from a factor ± 1 .

LEMMA 2: Let α_i be algebraic of degree d_i and height h_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Denote by d the degree of $\mathbf{Q}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ over \mathbf{Q} . Let

$$P(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{i_1=0}^{N_1} \cdots \sum_{i_n=0}^{N_n} p_{i_1 \dots i_n} z_1^{i_1} \cdots z_n^{i_n}$$

be a polynomial with integral coefficients $p_{i_1 \dots i_n}$, such that the sum of the absolute values of the coefficients is at most B . Then $P(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = 0$ or

$$(3) \quad |P(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)| \geq B^{-d+1} \prod_{i=1}^n \{(d_i + 1)h_i\}^{-N_i d / d_i}$$

PROOF: See [6], Lemma 2.

For convenience we formulate the following consequence of Lemma 2, in which occurring empty sums should be omitted:

LEMMA 3: Let ξ be algebraic of degree N and size S . Let $n \geq 0$ be an integer and let α_i be algebraic of degree d_i and size s_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Put $d = [\mathbf{Q}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) : \mathbf{Q}]$ if $n \geq 1$ and $d = 1$ if $n = 0$. Let

$$P(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{i_0=0}^{N_0} \sum_{i_1=0}^{N_1} \cdots \sum_{i_n=0}^{N_n} p_{i_0 i_1 \dots i_n} z_0^{i_0} z_1^{i_1} \cdots z_n^{i_n}.$$

be a polynomial with integral coefficients whose sum of absolute values is at most B . Then $P(\xi, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = 0$ or

$$(4) \quad |P(\xi, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)| > B^{-dN} e^{-dN_0 S} \exp \left\{ -dN \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{N_i s_i}{d_i} \right\}.$$

PROOF: Apply Lemma 2 with n replaced by $n + 1$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ replaced by $\xi, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$. Use the inequalities

$$[\mathbf{Q}(\xi, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) : \mathbf{Q}] \leq dN, \\ (N+1)H < e^S$$

and

$$(d_i+1)h_i < e^{st} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

LEMMA 4: Let r and s be positive integers such that $s > 2r$. Then any set of r linear forms in s variables

$$\sum_{\sigma=1}^s a_{\rho\sigma} x_\sigma \quad (\rho = 1, \dots, r)$$

with complex coefficients $a_{\rho\sigma}$ such that $|a_{\rho\sigma}| \leq A$ ($\rho = 1, \dots, r$; $\sigma = 1, \dots, s$) has the following property: For every positive even integer C there exist integers C_1, \dots, C_s , not all zero, with $|C_\sigma| \leq C$ ($\sigma = 1, \dots, s$) and

$$(5) \quad \left| \sum_{\sigma=1}^s a_{\rho\sigma} C_\sigma \right| \leq \sqrt{2} \cdot sAC^{1-s/(2r)} \quad (\rho = 1, \dots, r).$$

PROOF: See [11], Hilfssatz 28.

LEMMA 5: Let

$$P_{\rho\sigma}(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{i_1=0}^{N_1} \dots \sum_{i_n=0}^{N_n} p_{\rho\sigma i_1 \dots i_n} z_1^{i_1} \dots z_n^{i_n}$$

($\rho = 1, \dots, r$; $\sigma = 1, \dots, s$) be polynomials with integral coefficients $p_{\rho\sigma i_1 \dots i_n}$, such that the sum of the absolute values of the coefficients of each polynomial is at most B . Let α_i be algebraic of degree d_i and height h_{i_1} ($i = 1, \dots, n$) and put $d = [\mathbf{Q}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) : \mathbf{Q}]$. Let C be a positive even integer. If

$$(6) \quad s > 2rd$$

and

$$(7) \quad C^{s/(2r)-d} > \sqrt{2}(Bs)^d \prod_{i=1}^n \{(h_i+1)^{N_i} ((d_i+1)h_i)^{N_i d/d_i}\}$$

then there exist integers C_1, \dots, C_s , not all zero, with $|C_\sigma| \leq C$ for $\sigma = 1, \dots, s$, such that

$$(8) \quad \sum_{\sigma=1}^s C_\sigma P_{\rho\sigma}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = 0 \quad (\rho = 1, \dots, r).$$

PROOF: From Lemma 1 we know that $|\alpha_i| < h_i + 1$.

Hence,

$$|P_{\rho\sigma}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)| < B(h_1+1)^{N_1} \dots (h_n+1)^{N_n}.$$

Define Y_ρ for $\rho = 1, \dots, r$ by

$$Y_\rho = \sum_{\sigma=1}^s C_\sigma P_{\rho\sigma}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n).$$

From Lemma 4 we conclude that there exist integers C_1, \dots, C_s , not all zero, with $|C_\sigma| \leq C$ for $\sigma = 1, \dots, s$ and

$$(9) \quad |Y_\rho| < \sqrt{2s}B(h_1+1)^{N_1} \dots (h_n+1)^{N_n} C^{1-s/(2r)}$$

for $\rho = 1, \dots, r$. From (7) and (9) it now follows that

$$(10) \quad |Y_\rho| < (BsC)^{-d+1} \prod_{i=1}^n \{(d_i+1)h_i\}^{-N_i d/d_i}$$

for $\rho = 1, \dots, r$. However, Y_ρ is a polynomial in $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$, of degree at most N_i in α_i and with sum of absolute values of its coefficients at most BsC . Therefore, according to Lemma 2, the inequality (10) implies that $Y_\rho = 0$ for $\rho = 1, \dots, r$.

LEMMA 6: Let $P_{\rho\sigma}(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n)$ for $\rho = 1, \dots, r$ and $\sigma = 1, \dots, s$ be polynomials with integral coefficients, such that the sum of the absolute values of the coefficients of each polynomial is at most B , and such that the degree in z_i of each polynomial is at most N_i ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n$). Let ξ be algebraic of degree N and size S . Let α_i be algebraic of degree d_i and size s_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$. Put $d = [Q(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) : Q]$ if $n \geq 1$ and $d = 1$ if $n = 0$, and let C be a positive even integer. If

$$(11) \quad s \geq 4rd$$

and

$$(12) \quad C^N \geq (Bs)^N e^{2(N_0+N)S} \exp \left\{ 2N \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{N_i s_i}{d_i} \right\},$$

then there exist integers $C_{\sigma\nu}$ ($\sigma = 1, \dots, s$; $\nu = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$), not all zero, such that $|C_{\sigma\nu}| \leq C$ for $\sigma = 1, \dots, s$ and $\nu = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$ and such that

$$(13) \quad \sum_{\sigma=1}^s \sum_{\nu=0}^{N-1} C_{\sigma\nu} \xi^\nu P_{\rho\sigma}(\xi, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = 0$$

for $\rho = 1, \dots, r$.

PROOF: Define $P_{\rho\sigma\nu}$ for $\nu = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$ by

$$P_{\rho\sigma\nu}(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n) = z_0^\nu P_{\rho\sigma}(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n).$$

Then $P_{\rho\sigma\nu}$ is of degree at most $N_0 + N - 1$ in z_0 and at most N_i in z_i for

$i = 1, \dots, n$. The sum of the absolute values of the coefficients of each $P_{\rho\sigma\nu}$ is at most B . The equations (13) now reduce to

$$(14) \quad \sum_{\sigma=1}^s \sum_{\nu=0}^{N-1} C_{\sigma\nu} P_{\rho\sigma\nu}(\xi, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = 0$$

for $\rho = 1, \dots, r$.

We apply Lemma 5 to the polynomials $P_{\rho\sigma\nu}$; to this end we replace z_1, \dots, z_n by z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n , where the degree in z_0 is at most $N_0 + N - 1$; $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ by $\xi, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$; s by Ns and d by a number that is at most Nd . For all positive integers N we have

$$2\sqrt{2N(N+1)} < e^{2N}.$$

Hence,

$$(15) \quad \sqrt{2N^{Nd}(H+1)^{N_0+N}\{(N+1)H\}^{(N_0+N)d}} \leq \{2\sqrt{2N(N+1)H^2}\}^{d(N_0+N)} < e^{2d(N_0+N)S},$$

where H denotes the height of ξ . Let h_i be the height of α_i . We have

$$2(d_i+1)h_i^2 \leq e^{2d_i}h_i^2 = e^{2s_i}.$$

From this it follows for $i = 1, \dots, n$ that

$$(16) \quad (h_i+1)^{N_i}\{(d_i+1)h_i\}^{N_iNd/d_i} \leq \exp\{2N_iNds_i/d_i\}.$$

The inequalities (11), (12), (15) and (16) imply that conditions (6) and (7) with the appropriate substitutions are satisfied. Hence, it follows from Lemma 5 that the integers $C_{\sigma\nu}$ can be chosen in the required way.

LEMMA 7: *Let F be an entire function and let P and T be integers and R and A be real numbers such that $R \geq 2P$ and $A > 2$. Put*

$$M_r = \max_{|z| \leq r} |F(z)| \quad (r > 0)$$

and

$$E_1 = \max_{\substack{t=0, 1, \dots, T-1 \\ p=0, 1, \dots, P-1}} \frac{1}{t!} |F^{(t)}(p)|.$$

Then

$$(17) \quad M_R \leq 2M_{AR} \left(\frac{2}{A}\right)^{PT} + \left(\frac{9R}{P}\right)^{PT} E_1.$$

PROOF: By the maximum modulus principle we can choose a complex number z with $|z| = R$ and $|F(z)| = M_R$. From the residue theorem of Cauchy we have the following well-known consequence:

$$(18) \quad F(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta|=AR} \frac{F(\zeta)^{P-1}}{\zeta-z} \prod_{p=0}^{P-1} \left(\frac{z-p}{\zeta-p}\right)^T d\zeta + \\ - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{p=0}^{P-1} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \frac{F^{(t)}(p)}{t!} \int_{|\zeta-p|=\frac{1}{2}} \frac{(\zeta-p)^t}{\zeta-z} \prod_{q=0}^{P-1} \left(\frac{z-q}{\zeta-q}\right)^T d\zeta.$$

Let p be one of the numbers $0, 1, \dots, P-1$ and let ζ be a complex number with $|\zeta-p| = \frac{1}{2}$. Let q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{P-1} be the numbers $0, 1, \dots, P-1$, re-arranged in such a way that

$$|\zeta-q_0| \leq |\zeta-q_1| \leq \dots \leq |\zeta-q_{P-1}|.$$

Then

$$|\zeta-q_0| = \frac{1}{2} \text{ and } |\zeta-q_i| \geq \frac{1}{2}i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, P-1.$$

Hence,

$$\prod_{q=0}^{P-1} |\zeta-q| = \prod_{i=0}^{P-1} |\zeta-q_i| \geq \frac{1}{2} \prod_{i=1}^{P-1} \frac{1}{2}i = 2^{-P}(P-1)!.$$

The inequality $(P-1)! > (P/3)^P$ is easily checked for $P = 1, \dots, 10$. For higher values of P it can be proved by induction, using the inequality

$$\left(\frac{P+1}{P}\right)^{P+1} = \frac{P+1}{P} \cdot \left(\frac{P+1}{P}\right)^P < \frac{11}{10} e < 3.$$

It follows that

$$\prod_{q=0}^{P-1} |\zeta-q| > 2^{-P}(P/3)^P = (P/6)^P.$$

From (18) we now obtain the estimate

$$|F(z)| \leq AR \frac{M_{AR}}{AR-R} \left(\frac{R+P}{AR-P}\right)^{PT} + \frac{1}{2\pi} PE_1 \frac{\pi}{R-P} \left(\frac{R+P}{P/6}\right)^{PT} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^t \\ < AR \frac{M_{AR}}{\frac{1}{2}AR} \left(\frac{\frac{3}{2}R}{\frac{3}{4}AR}\right)^{PT} + \frac{1}{2}PE_1 \frac{1}{P} \left(\frac{\frac{3}{2}R}{\frac{1}{6}P}\right)^{PT} \cdot 2 = \\ 2M_{AR} \left(\frac{2}{A}\right)^{PT} + \left(\frac{9R}{P}\right)^{PT} E_1.$$

LEMMA 8: Let

$$F(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} C_{km} z^m e^{\omega_k z}$$

be an exponential polynomial with complex numbers C_{km} and ω_k , such that $\omega_k \neq \omega_l$ if $k \neq l$. Put

$$\Omega = \max(1, \max_{k=0, 1, \dots, K-1} |\omega_k|)$$

and

$$\omega = \min(1, \min_{\substack{k, l=0, 1, \dots, K-1 \\ k \neq l}} |\omega_k - \omega_l|).$$

Let T' and P' be positive integers and put

$$E = \max_{\substack{t=0, 1, \dots, T'-1 \\ p=0, 1, \dots, P'-1}} |F^{(t)}(p)|.$$

If

$$(19) \quad T'P' \geq 2KM + 13\Omega P',$$

then

$$(20) \quad |C_{km}| \leq P' \left\{ \frac{6}{\sqrt{K}} \frac{\Omega}{\omega} \max \left(6, \frac{KM}{\max(1, P'-1)} \right) \right\}^{KM} 72^{T'P'} E$$

for $k = 0, 1, \dots, K-1$ and $m = 0, 1, \dots, M-1$.

Moreover, if in particular $\omega_k = k\theta$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots, K-1$) for some complex number θ , then we may replace (20) by

$$(21) \quad |C_{km}| \leq P' \left\{ \frac{6}{K} \frac{\Omega}{\omega} \max \left(6, \frac{KM}{\max(1, P'-1)} \right) \right\}^{KM} 72^{T'P'} E.$$

PROOF: See [13], Theorem 2.

LEMMA 9: Let $\phi(n, s)$ be a positive function defined for all positive integers n and all $s \geq 1$ with the following properties:

- (i) $\phi(n, s) \geq ns$
- (ii) $\phi(n, s_1) \leq \phi(n, s_2)$ for all n, s_1, s_2 with $s_1 < s_2$
- (iii) $\frac{\phi(n_1, s)}{n_1} \leq \frac{\phi(n_2, s)}{n_2}$ for all n_1, n_2, s with $n_1 < n_2$.

If, for some transcendental number σ ,

$$(22) \quad |\sigma - \xi| > \exp \{-\phi(N, S)\}$$

for all algebraic numbers ξ , where N and S denote the degree and the size of ξ , then

$$(23) \quad |P(\sigma)| > \exp \{-3\phi(N, 2S)\}$$

for all non-constant polynomials P with integral coefficients, where N and H are the degree and height of P and $S = N + \log H$.

PROOF: (compare [3], Proof of Theorem 2) If P is irreducible, it follows by [3], Lemma 5 and by (i) that

$$|P(\sigma)| > \exp \{-\phi(N, S) - 2NS\} \geq \exp \{-3\phi(N, S)\}.$$

In the general case, write $P = aP_1 \cdots P_m$ where P_i is a non-constant irreducible polynomial and $a > 0$ an integer. Denote degree and height of P_i by N_i and H_i and put $S_i = N_i + \log H_i (i = 1, \dots, m)$. Then clearly

$$|P_i(\sigma)| > \exp \{-3\phi(N_i, S_i)\} \quad (i = 1, \dots, m).$$

By e.g. GEL'FOND'S well-known inequality on the height of a product of polynomials (see [8] p. 135, Lemma II; see also [12], Lemma 3 and [10]) we have $H_i \leq e^N H$ and thus,

$$S_i \leq 2S \quad (i = 1, \dots, m).$$

Using (ii) and (iii) it follows that

$$|P_i(\sigma)| > \exp \left\{ -3N_i \frac{\phi(N_i, 2S)}{N_i} \right\} \geq \exp \left\{ -3N_i \frac{\phi(N, 2S)}{N} \right\}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, m$. By multiplying these inequalities we obtain the required expression (23), since $N_1 + \dots + N_m = N$ and $a \geq 1$.

4. Proof of Theorem 1

First we prove

THEOREM 3: *Let $\alpha \neq 0$ be an algebraic number of size $s(\alpha)$. Then there exists an effectively computable number $S_1 = S_1(\alpha)$ such that*

$$(24) \quad |e^\alpha - \xi| > \exp \{-5.10^8 e^{6s(\alpha)} N^2 S\}$$

for all algebraic numbers ξ of degree N and size $S \geq S_1$.

PROOF: All estimates occurring in this proof hold for S sufficiently large. S_1 can be chosen as the maximum of the finitely many bounds thus obtained. Suppose that

$$(25) \quad |e^\alpha - \xi| \leq \exp \{-5.10^8 e^{6s(\alpha)} N^2 S\}$$

for some algebraic number ξ of degree N and size S . It will be shown that this assumption leads to a contradiction if $S \geq S_1$. Observe that (25) implies that $|\xi| < |e^\alpha| + 1$.

Choose the following positive integers:

$$\begin{aligned} K &= [10^3 e^{2s(\alpha)} N] & T &= \left[3.10^4 e^{3s(\alpha)} \frac{NS}{\log S} \right] \\ M &= \left[15.10^4 e^{4s(\alpha)} \frac{NS}{\log S} \right] & P &= [10^3 e^{2s(\alpha)} N] \\ C &= 2 \left[\frac{1}{2} \exp \{4.10^6 e^{4s(\alpha)} NS\} \right] & T' &= \left[45.10^4 e^{4s(\alpha)} \frac{NS}{\log S} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We use the auxiliary exponential polynomial

$$F(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \sum_{v=0}^{N-1} C_{kmv} \zeta^v z^m e^{\alpha kz}$$

where the C_{kmv} are integers of absolute values at most C . Later we shall specify them further.

For $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ we have

$$F^{(t)}(p) = \sum_k \sum_m \sum_v C_{kmv} \zeta^v \sum_{\tau=0}^m \binom{t}{\tau} \frac{m!}{(m-\tau)!} p^{m-\tau} k^t - \tau \alpha^{t-\tau} (e^\alpha)^{kp}.$$

Define Φ_{tp} for the same t and p by

$$\Phi_{tp} = \sum_k \sum_m \sum_v C_{kmv} \zeta^v \sum_{\tau=0}^m \binom{t}{\tau} \frac{m!}{(m-\tau)!} p^{m-\tau} k^t - \tau \alpha^{t-\tau} \zeta^{kp}.$$

Then Φ_{tp} is an algebraic number, approximating $F^{(t)}(p)$ very closely. In fact,

$$|(e^\alpha)^{kp} - \zeta^{kp}| \leq KP(|e^\alpha| + 1)^{KP-1} |e - \zeta| \leq \exp \{-4.5 \times 10^8 e^{6s(\alpha)} N^2 S\}$$

for $k = 0, 1, \dots, K-1$ and $p = 0, 1, \dots, P-1$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} (26) \quad & |F^{(t)}(p) - \Phi_{tp}| \\ & \leq KMNC(|e^\alpha| + 1)^{N2^{T'}} M^M P^M K^{T'} \{\max(1, |\alpha|)\}^{T'} \\ & \times \exp \{-4.5 \times 10^8 e^{6s(\alpha)} N^2 S\} \\ & \leq \exp \{-4.10^8 e^{6s(\alpha)} N^2 S\} \end{aligned}$$

for $t = 0, 1, \dots, T'-1$ and $p = 0, 1, \dots, P-1$.

We are going to choose the integers C_{kmv} such that $\Phi_{tp} = 0$ for $t = 0, 1, \dots, T-1$ and $p = 0, 1, \dots, P-1$. To this end we apply Lemma 6 with $n = 1, \alpha_1 = \alpha, r = TP$ and $s = KM$ to the polynomials

$$P_{tpkm}(z_0, z_1) = \sum_{\tau=0}^m \binom{t}{\tau} \frac{m!}{(m-\tau)!} p^{m-\tau} k^t - \tau \alpha^{t-\tau} z_1^t z_0^{kp}$$

($t = 0, 1, \dots, T-1; p = 0, 1, \dots, P-1; k = 0, 1, \dots, K-1; m = 0, 1, \dots, M-1$). Using the notations of Lemma 6, we have

$$d = d(\alpha) \leq s(\alpha) \leq e^{s(\alpha)}, N_0 + N \leq (K-1)(P-1) + N \leq KP, N_1 \leq T$$

and

$$B \leq 2^T M^M P^M K^T \leq \exp \{10^6 e^{4s(\alpha)} NS\}.$$

By means of these inequalities one easily verifies conditions (11) and (12) of Lemma 6. According to this lemma, we now choose the integers C_{kmv} , not all zero, with $|C_{kmv}| \leq C$ such that

$$(27) \quad \Phi_{tp} = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \sum_{v=0}^{N-1} C_{kmv} \xi^v P_{tpkm}(\xi, \alpha) = 0$$

for $t = 0, 1, \dots, T-1$ and $p = 0, 1, \dots, P-1$. In this way, our function F is completely fixed.

From (26) we obtain with (27)

$$(28) \quad |F^{(t)}(p)| \leq \exp \{-4.10^8 e^{6s(\alpha)} N^2 S\}$$

for $t = 0, 1, \dots, T-1$ and $p = 0, 1, \dots, P-1$. From (1) it follows that $|\alpha| \leq e^{s(\alpha)}$. Using this inequality we see from the definition of F that

$$(29) \quad \max_{|z| \leq 2PS} |F(z)| \leq \exp \{7.10^6 e^{5s(\alpha)} N^2 S\}.$$

We apply Lemma 7 with $R = 2P$ and $A = S$. We obtain by (28) and (29)

$$(30) \quad \max_{|z| < 2P} |F(z)| \leq \exp \{-2.1 \times 10^7 e^{5s(\alpha)} N^2 S\} \\ + \exp \{-3.10^8 e^{6s(\alpha)} N^2 S\} \leq \exp \{-2.10^7 e^{5s(\alpha)} N^2 S\}.$$

Hence, for $t = 0, 1, \dots, T'-1$ and $p = 0, 1, \dots, P-1$ we have

$$(31) \quad |F^{(t)}(p)| = \left| \frac{t!}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=2P} \frac{F(z)}{(z-p)^{t+1}} dz \right| \\ \leq T'^{T'} \cdot 2P \cdot \max_{|z| \leq 2P} |F(z)| \leq \exp \{-1.5 \times 10^7 e^{5s(\alpha)} N^2 S\}$$

and for the same values of t and p , using (26),

$$(32) \quad |\Phi_{tp}| \leq \exp \{-10^7 e^{5s(\alpha)} N^2 S\}.$$

But Φ_{tp} is a polynomial with integral coefficients in ξ and α , of degree at most $(K-1)(P-1)+N-1 \leq KP$ in ξ and less than T' in α . The sum of the absolute values of its coefficients is not greater than

$$KMNC 2^{T'} M^M P^M K^{T'} \leq \exp \{6.10^6 e^{4s(\alpha)} NS\}.$$

From Lemma 3 it follows that $\Phi_{tp} = 0$ or

$$(33) \quad |\Phi_{tp}| > \exp \{-10^7 e^{5s(\alpha)} N^2 S\}$$

for $t = 0, 1, \dots, T'-1$ and $p = 0, 1, \dots, P-1$. Since (32) and (33) are incompatible, it follows that $\Phi_{tp} = 0$ for $t = 0, 1, \dots, T'-1$ and $p = 0, 1, \dots, P-1$. From (26) we now obtain that

$$(34) \quad |F^{(t)}(p)| \leq \exp \{-4.10^8 e^{6s(\alpha)} N^2 S\}$$

for $t = 0, 1, \dots, T'-1$ and $p = 0, 1, \dots, P-1$.

Subsequently we apply Lemma 8 to our exponential polynomial F , with

$$C_{km} = \sum_{v=0}^{N-1} C_{kmv} \xi^v, \omega_k = \alpha k$$

and $P' = P$. Using the notations of Lemma 8 we have, by $|\alpha| \leq e^{s(\alpha)}$, the inequality

$$\Omega < 10^3 e^{3s(\alpha)} N.$$

Thus,

$$T'P \geq 4.10^8 e^{6s(\alpha)} \frac{N^2 S}{\log S} \geq 2KM + 13\Omega P$$

so that condition (19) is satisfied. Further, by Lemma 1 we have $|\alpha| \leq e^{s(\alpha)}$ and $|\alpha| \geq e^{-s(\alpha)}$. Hence

$$\frac{\Omega}{\omega} \leq \frac{\max(1, K|\alpha|)}{\min(1, |\alpha|)} \leq \frac{K e^{s(\alpha)}}{e^{-s(\alpha)}} = K e^{2s(\alpha)}.$$

Thus, it follows from (21) and (34) that

$$(35) \quad \left| \sum_{v=0}^{N-1} C_{kmv} \xi^v \right| \leq \exp \{3.5 \times 10^8 e^{6s(\alpha)} N^2 S\} \\ \times \exp \{-4.10^8 e^{6s(\alpha)} N^2 S\} = \exp \{-5.10^7 e^{6s(\alpha)} N^2 S\}$$

for $k = 0, 1, \dots, K-1$ and $m = 0, 1, \dots, M-1$.

But

$$\sum_{v=0}^{N-1} C_{kmv} \xi^v$$

is a polynomial in ξ of degree less than N and with sum of the absolute values of its coefficients at most NC . It follows from Lemma 3 that

$$\sum_{v=0}^{N-1} C_{kmv} \xi^v = 0$$

or

$$(36) \quad \left| \sum_{v=0}^{N-1} C_{kmv} \xi^v \right| > \exp \{-5.10^6 e^{4s(\alpha)} N^2 S\}$$

for $k = 0, 1, \dots, K-1$ and $m = 0, 1, \dots, M-1$. Hence,

$$\sum_{v=0}^{N-1} C_{kmv} \xi^v = 0$$

for all these k and m . Since ξ is algebraic of degree N , the numbers $1, \xi, \dots, \xi^{N-1}$ are linearly independent over \mathbf{Q} . Thus, $C_{kmv} = 0$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, K-1, m = 0, 1, \dots, M-1$ and $v = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$. This contradicts the choice of the integers C_{kmv} and by this contradiction Theorem 3 is proved.

We now complete the proof of Theorem 1 in the following way:

There are only finitely many algebraic numbers ξ of size $S < S_1$. Since $e^\alpha - \xi \neq 0$ and since $N^2 S > 0$ for all of these numbers, there exists a number $C_6 > 0$ such that

$$|e^\alpha - \xi| > \exp \{-C_6 N^2 S\}$$

for all algebraic numbers ξ of degree N and size $S < S_1$. Then $C_7 = \max \{C_6, 5 \cdot 10^8 e^{6s(\alpha)}\}$ will have the property

$$|e^\alpha - \xi| > \exp \{-C_7 N^2 S\}$$

for all algebraic ξ of degree N and size S . From Lemma 9 we obtain that

$$|P(e^\alpha)| > \exp \{-C_4 N^2 S\}$$

for all polynomials P with integral coefficients, of degree N and height H , with $S = N + \log H$ and $C_4 = 6C_7$. By reasons of monotony, the same inequality holds for polynomials of degree *at most* N and height *at most* H . Hence, $\exp \{-C_4 N^2 S\}$ is a transcendence measure for e^α and Theorem 1 has been proved.

5. Proof of Theorem 2

Let $\log \alpha$ be an arbitrary but fixed value of the logarithm of the algebraic number α . N. I. FEL'DMAN proved the following assertion: (see Theorem 1 of [4], with $m = 1$)

There exists an effectively computable positive number $C_8 = C_8(\log \alpha)$, such that

$$(37) \quad |\log \alpha - \xi| > \exp \{-C_8 N^2 \log H(\log(N+2))^2\}$$

for all algebraic numbers ξ of degree N and height H , provided that $N < (\log H)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

From this, it easily follows that

$$(38) \quad |\log \alpha - \xi| > \exp \{-2C_8 N^2 S(1 + \log N)^2\}$$

for all algebraic numbers ξ of degree N and with size S , provided that $N < (\frac{1}{2}S)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

For the complementary case $N \geq (\frac{1}{2}S)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ we prove the following theorem:

THEOREM 4: *Let $\alpha \neq 0, 1$ be an algebraic number of size $s(\alpha)$ and let $\log \alpha$ be an arbitrary, but fixed, value of the logarithm of α . Then there exists an effectively computable number $S_2 = S_2(\log \alpha)$, such that*

$$(39) \quad |\log \alpha - \xi| > \exp \{-3 \cdot 10^9 (s(\alpha))^7 N^2 S\}$$

for all algebraic numbers ξ of degree N and size $S \geq S_2$, provided that $N \geq (\frac{1}{2}S)^\ddagger$.

PROOF: Since the structure of the proof is the same as that of Theorem 3, only a shorted proof is given. Suppose that

$$(40) \quad |\log \alpha - \xi| \leq \exp \{-3.10^9(s(\alpha))^7 N^2 S\}$$

for some algebraic number ξ of degree N and size S , such that $N \geq (\frac{1}{2}S)^\ddagger$. We shall derive a contradiction in the case of large S .

Choose the integers

$$\begin{aligned} K &= [10^3(s(\alpha))^2 N] & M &= \left[3.10^5(s(\alpha))^5 \frac{NS}{\log S} \right] \\ C &= 2\left[\frac{1}{2} \exp \{4.10^6(s(\alpha))^5 NS\}\right] & T &= \left[7.10^4(s(\alpha))^4 \frac{N^2}{\log S} \right] \\ P &= [10^3(s(\alpha))^2 S] & T' &= \left[7.10^5(s(\alpha))^5 \frac{N^2}{\log S} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$F(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \sum_{v=0}^{N-1} C_{kmv} \xi^v z^m e^{k(\log \alpha)z},$$

where the numbers C_{kmv} are integers of absolute values at most C ; they will be specified later. We have for $t, p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$F^{(t)}(p) = \sum_k \sum_m \sum_v C_{kmv} \xi^v \sum_{\tau=0}^m \binom{t}{\tau} \frac{m!}{(m-\tau)!} p^{m-\tau} k^{t-\tau} (\log \alpha)^{t-\tau} \alpha^{kp}.$$

Put

$$\Phi_{tp} = \sum_k \sum_m \sum_v C_{kmv} \xi^v \sum_{\tau=0}^m \binom{t}{\tau} \frac{m!}{(m-\tau)!} p^{m-\tau} k^{t-\tau} \xi^{t-\tau} \alpha^{kp}.$$

For $t = 0, 1, \dots, T'-1$ and $p = 0, 1, \dots, P-1$ it easily follows from the inequality $|\alpha| \leq e^{s(\alpha)}$ that

$$(41) \quad |F^{(t)}(p) - \Phi_{tp}| \leq \exp \{-2.10^9(s(\alpha))^7 N^2 S\}.$$

Let P_{tpkm} for $t = 0, 1, \dots, T-1, p = 0, 1, \dots, P-1, k = 0, 1, \dots, K-1$ and $m = 0, 1, \dots, M-1$ be the polynomials (taken in the obvious way) such that

$$\Phi_{tp} = \sum_k \sum_m \sum_v C_{kmv} \xi^v P_{tpkm}(\xi, \alpha).$$

We now choose the numbers C_{kmv} according to Lemma 6, applied to the polynomials P_{tpkm} , as integers, not all zero, of absolute values at most C , such that $\Phi_{tp} = 0$ for $t = 0, 1, \dots, T-1$ and $p = 0, 1, \dots, P-1$. It follows from (41) that

$$(42) \quad |F^{(t)}(p)| \leq \exp \{-2.10^9(s(\alpha))^7 N^2 S\}$$

for $t = 0, 1, \dots, T-1$ and $p = 0, 1, \dots, P-1$.

Since

$$\max_{|z| \leq 2PS^{1/5}} |F(z)| \leq \exp \{3.10^6(s(\alpha))^4 |\log \alpha| NS^{6/5}\}$$

and since $N \geq (\frac{1}{2}S)^4$ we see from Lemma 7 applied with $R = 2P$ and $A = S^{1/5}$ that

$$(43) \quad \max_{|z| \leq 2P} |F(z)| \leq \exp \{-10^7(s(\alpha))^6 N^2 S\}.$$

Hence,

$$|F^{(t)}(p)| \leq \exp \{-9.10^6(s(\alpha))^6 N^2 S\}$$

and

$$(44) \quad |\Phi_{tp}| \leq \exp \{-8.10^6(s(\alpha))^6 N^2 S\}$$

for $t = 0, 1, \dots, T'-1$ and $p = 0, 1, \dots, P-1$.

From Lemma 3 we obtain $\Phi_{tp} = 0$ or

$$(45) \quad |\Phi_{tp}| \geq \exp \{-7.10^6(s(\alpha))^6 N^2 S\}$$

for the same values of t and p . Thus, $\Phi_{tp} = 0$ and, from (41),

$$(46) \quad |F^{(t)}(p)| \leq \exp \{-2.10^9(s(\alpha))^7 N^2 S\}$$

for $t = 0, 1, \dots, T'-1$ and $p = 0, 1, \dots, P-1$.

Condition (19) with $P' = P$ and $\Omega \leq 10^3(s(\alpha))^2 |\log \alpha| N$ is satisfied. Further,

$$\frac{\Omega}{\omega} \leq \frac{\max(1, K |\log \alpha|)}{\min(1, |\log \alpha|)} \leq K \frac{\max(1, |\log \alpha|)}{\min(1, |\log \alpha|)}.$$

Using this inequality and (46) we obtain from (21)

$$(47) \quad \left| \sum_{v=0}^{N-1} C_{kmv} \xi^v \right| \leq \exp \{-10^9(s(\alpha))^7 N^2 S\}$$

for $k = 0, 1, \dots, K-1$ and $m = 0, 1, \dots, M-1$.

From Lemma 3 it now follows that

$$\sum_{v=0}^{N-1} C_{kmv} \xi^v = 0$$

for all k and m . This implies that all integers C_{kmv} are zero. By the contradiction to the choice of these integers, Theorem 4 has been proved.

We proceed to prove Theorem 2. From (39) it follows that there exists an effectively computable number $C_9 = C_9(\log \alpha) > 0$ such that

$$(48) \quad |\log \alpha - \xi| > \exp \{-C_9 N^2 S\}$$

for all algebraic numbers ξ of degree N and size S with $N \geq (\frac{1}{2}S)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, since there are only finitely many algebraic numbers of size smaller than S_2 . Taking $C_{10} = \max(2C_8, C_9)$ we see from (38) and (48) that

$$|\log \alpha - \xi| > \exp \{-C_{10} N^2 S(1 + \log N)^2\}$$

for all algebraic numbers ξ of degree N and size S . As before, the application of Lemma 9 completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. BUNDSCHUH: Zu einem Transzendenzsatz der Herren Baron und Braune, To appear in *J.f.d. reine und angew. Math.*
- [2] P. L. CIJSOUW: *Transcendence measures*. (Thesis) University of Amsterdam, 1972.
- [3] N. I. FEL'DMAN: Approximation of certain transcendental numbers. I. The approximation of logarithms of algebraic numbers. (Russian) *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, ser. mat.*, 15 (1951) 53–74. English translation: *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., II ser.*, 59 (1966) 224–245.
- [4] N. I. FEL'DMAN: Approximation of the logarithms of algebraic numbers by algebraic numbers. (Russian) *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, ser. mat.*, 24 (1960) 475–492. English translation: *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., II ser.*, 58 (1966) 125–142.
- [5] N. I. FEL'DMAN: On the problem of the measure of transcendence of e . (Russian) *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* 18 (3) (1963) 207–213.
- [6] N. I. FEL'DMAN: Estimate for a linear form of logarithms of algebraic numbers. (Russian) *Mat. Sbornik (N.S.)* 76 (118)(1968) 304–319. English translation: *Math. USSR Sbornik* 5 (1968) 291–307.
- [7] A. I. GALOČKIN: On the diophantine approximation of values of the exponential function and of solutions of certain transcendental equations. (Russian) *Vestnik Moskov. Univ., Ser. I*, 27 (3) (1972) 16–23.
- [8] A. O. GEL'FOND: Transcendental and algebraic numbers. (translated from the first Russian edition) Dover Publ., New York 1960.
- [9] F. LINDEMANN, Ueber die Zahl π . *Math. Ann.* 20 (1882) 213–225.
- [10] K. MAHLER: An application of Jensen's formula to polynomials. *Mathematika* 7 (1960) 98–100.
- [11] T. SCHNEIDER: Einführung in die Transzendenten Zahlen. Springer Verlag, Berlin 1957.
- [12] R. TIJDEMAN: On the algebraic independence of certain numbers. *Nederl. Akad. Wet. Proc., ser A*, 74 (1971) 146–162 = *Indag. Math.* 33 (1971) 146–162.
- [13] R. TIJDEMAN: An auxiliary result in the theory of transcendental numbers. *J. Number Th.* 5 (1973) 80–94.
- [14] M. WALDSCHMIDT: Un premier cours sur les nombres transcendants. To appear in the Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer Verlag, Berlin.

(Oblatum 22-X-1973)

Instituut voor Propedeutische Wiskunde
Universiteit van Amsterdam
Roetersstraat 15
Amsterdam