COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

CHRISTIAN FENSKE
EBERHARD SCHOCK

Nuclear spaces of maximal diametral dimension

Compositio Mathematica, tome 26, nº 3 (1973), p. 303-308
<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1973__26_3_303_0>

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA, Vol. 26, Fasc. 3, 1973, pag. 303–308
Noordhoff International Publishing
Printed in the Netherlands

NUCLEAR SPACES OF MAXIMAL DIAMETRAL DIMENSION

by

Christian Fenske and Eberhard Schock

The diametral dimension $\Delta(E)$ of a locally convex vector space E is known to be a measure for the nuclearity of E. Therefore it is of interest to characterize the class Ω of those locally convex vector spaces, the diametral dimension of which is maximal. We show that the class Ω has the same stability properties as the class $\mathcal{N}$ of all nuclear spaces, and characterize the members of Ω, that are contained in the smallest stability class, by a property of their bornology. At first let us define what we mean by a stability class:

DEFINITION. (a) A *stability class* is a class of locally convex vector spaces, which is closed under the operations of forming

(S_1) completions
(S_2) subspaces
(S_3) quotients by closed subspaces
(S_4) arbitrary products
(S_5) countable direct sums
(S_6) tensor products
(S_7) isomorphic images.

(b) If E is a locally convex vector space, we denote by $\sigma(E)$, *the stability class of* E, the smallest stability class containing E.

Let us remark, that in (S_6) we choose the projective ($\pi-$) topology on the tensor product; but as we shall be solely concerned with nuclear spaces, we could equally well have chosen the ($\varepsilon-$) topology of bi-equicontinuous convergence. Note further, that because of (S_4) a stability class (if not empty) will always be a proper class.

Examples of stability classes are

the class $\mathcal{S}$ of all Schwartz spaces (cf. e.g. [4]),
the class $\mathcal{N}$ of nuclear spaces, or more generally,
the class $\mathcal{N}_\phi$ of ϕ-nuclear spaces, which are defined as follows:

DEFINITION. Let Φ denote the set of all continuous, subadditive, strictly increasing functions $\phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ vanishing at 0. Let $\phi \in \Phi$; a locally convex vector space E is a member of $\mathcal{N}_\phi$, the class of

ϕ-nuclear spaces, if for every neighbourhood U of 0 in E there is a neighbourhood V of 0 contained in U, such that $\sum \phi(\delta_n(V, U)) < \infty$, where $\delta_n(V, U)$ denotes the n-th Kolmogorov diameter of V with respect to U [1].

By a theorem of Rosenberger [6] for $\phi \in \Phi \mathcal{N}_\phi$ is a stability class, and so is

$$\mathcal{N}_\Phi := \bigcap_\Phi \mathcal{N}_\phi.$$

A further example of a stability class is given by the spaces of maximal diametral dimension:

DEFINITION. If E is a locally convex space, we denote by $\Delta(E)$, *the diametral dimension of* E, the set of all nonnegative sequences δ, such that for each neighbourhood U of 0 in E there is a neighbourhood V of 0 contained in U, such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \delta_n(V, U) \cdot \delta_n^{-1} = 0$$

(cf. [1]).

Call ω the set of all strictly positive non-increasing sequences of real numbers, and Ω the class of all locally convex vector spaces, such that $\omega \subset \Delta(E)$. The following proposition will show, that Ω is a stability class of nuclear spaces.

PROPOSITION. $\mathcal{N}_\Phi = \Omega$.

PROOF. (a) $\mathcal{N}_\Phi \subset \Omega$: Let $E \in \mathcal{N}_\Phi$, $\delta \in \omega$, U a neighbourhood of 0 in E. Choose $\phi \in \Phi$, such that for all $n \in N$ $\phi(\delta_n) > 1/(n+1)$: such a function may be obtained by considering the 'upper boundary' of the closed convex hull of $\{(0, 0)\} \cup \{(\delta_n, 1/(n+1)); n \in N\}$. As ϕ is subadditive, $\phi(\delta_n/(n+1)) > \phi(\delta_n)/(n+1) > 1/(n+1)^2$. If $\phi \in \Phi$, $\sqrt{\phi}$ will also be in Φ, so there is a neighbourhood W of 0 such that $\sum \sqrt{(\phi(\delta_n(W, U)))} < \infty$, hence

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (n+1)^2 \phi(\delta_n(W, U)) = 0,$$

and so we may find a neighbourhood V of 0, such that for all $n \in N$ $\phi(\delta_n(V, U)) < (n+1)^{-2} < \phi(\delta_n/(n+1))$, which means

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \delta_n(V, U)\delta_n^{-1} = 0$$

and consequently $\delta \in \Delta(E)$.

(b) $\Omega \subset \mathcal{N}_\Phi$: Let $E \in \Omega$, $\phi \in \Phi$, U a neighbourhood of 0 in E. Choose a neighbourhood V of 0, such that $\delta_n(V, U) < \phi^{-1}\,(1/(n+1)^2)$.

COROLLARY. *Ω is a stability class.*

We shall now be investigating the smallest nontrivial stability class, i.e. $\sigma(\boldsymbol{R})$.

THEOREM. *A locally convex vector space is in $\sigma(\boldsymbol{R})$, if and only if E is isomorphic to a subspace of a product of $\oplus_N \boldsymbol{R}$.*

PROOF[1]. It suffices to prove the 'only if' part. We introduce an auxiliary class Σ as follows: A locally convex vector space E belongs to Σ, if E possesses a basis $\mathscr{U}(E)$ of neighbourhoods of 0, such that for all $U \in \mathscr{U}(E)$ $E/\ker p_U$ (with the quotient topology) is isomorphic to a subspace of $\oplus_N \boldsymbol{R}$, where p_U denotes the seminorm associated with U. Note that a subspace of $\oplus_N \boldsymbol{R}$ is again an at most countable sum of real lines. Note further, that E is a subspace of a product of $\oplus_N \boldsymbol{R}$ if and only if $E \in \Sigma$. For, suppose E is subspace of

$$X := (\bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{N}} \boldsymbol{R})^A$$

and choose a neighbourhood U_0 of 0 in X, such that

$$X/\ker p_{U_0} = \bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{N}} \boldsymbol{R}.$$

Let $U = U_0 \cap E$, then $\ker p_U = \ker p_{U_0} \cap E$, and we have a continuous injection $i : E/\ker p_U \to X/\ker p_{U_0}$. But $X/\ker p_{U_0}$ carries the finest locally convex topology, so $E/\ker p_U$ is itself an at most countable sum of real lines. The theorem will be proven, if we show, that the class of locally convex spaces which are subspaces of a product of $\oplus_N \boldsymbol{R}$ is a stability class. So let us check conditions (S_1) to (S_6):

(S_1): If E is a subspace of $(\oplus_N \boldsymbol{R})^A$, then $\tilde{E}$ is just the closure of E in $(\oplus_N \boldsymbol{R})^A$, since $(\oplus_N \boldsymbol{R})^A$ is complete.

(S_2): If E is a subspace of $(\oplus_N \boldsymbol{R})^A$, and F is a subspace of E, then clearly F is a subspace of $(\oplus_N \boldsymbol{R})^A$.

(S_3): Let F be a closed subspace of $E \in \Sigma, U \in \mathscr{U}(E)$, $\pi_F : E \to E/F$, $\pi_V : E/F \to (E/F)/\ker p_{\pi_F(U)}$, $\pi_U : E \to E/\ker p_U$ canonical projections, $V := \pi_F(U)$. We shall show, that any seminorm $q : (E/F)/\ker p_V \to \boldsymbol{R}$ is continuous. $W := q^{-1}([0, 1))$ is absorbing and absolutely convex, and so is $\pi_U \pi_F^{-1} \pi_V^{-1}(W)$. But since $E/\ker p_U$ is isomorphic to a subspace of $\oplus_N \boldsymbol{R}$, $\pi_U \pi_F^{-1} \pi_V^{-1}(W)$ contains an open neighbourhood $\mathcal{O}$ of 0. Then the open neighbourhood $\pi_V \pi_F \pi_U^{-1}(\mathcal{O})$ will be contained in $W + \pi_V \pi_F(\ker p_U)$; and since $F + \ker p_U \subset \ker p_V$, $\pi_V \pi_F(\ker p_U) = 0$.

[1] We are very grateful to the referee for drawing our attention to a slip in the first version of this proof.

So W is indeed a neighbourhood of 0. As $(E/F)/\ker p_V$ is a nuclear space carrying the finest locally convex topology, it must be isomorphic to a subspace of $\oplus_N \boldsymbol{R}$.

(S_4) and (S_5) are clear.

(S_6): Let

$$E, F \in \Sigma, \quad U \in \mathscr{U}(E), \quad V \in \mathscr{U}(F), \quad \pi_U : E \to E/\ker p_U,$$
$$\pi_V : E \to E/\ker p_V, \; \rho : E \otimes_\pi F \to (E \otimes_\pi F)/\ker (p_U \otimes p_V)$$

canonical projections. Consider a seminorm q on $E \otimes_\pi F/\ker (p_U \otimes p_V)$. Then $W := q^{-1}([0, 1))$ is absorbing and absolutely convex; hence $(\pi_U \otimes \pi_V)\rho^{-1}(W)$ is an absorbing and absolutely convex set in

$$E/\ker p_U \otimes_\pi F/\ker p_V \cong \bigoplus_{N'} \boldsymbol{R} \otimes_\pi \bigoplus_{N''} \boldsymbol{R} = \bigoplus_{N' \times N''} \boldsymbol{R},$$

where N' and N'' are at most countable. So $(\pi_U \otimes \pi_V)\rho^{-1}(W)$ contains an open neighbourhood $\mathscr{O}$ of 0, and so does W, since the open neighbourhood $\rho(\pi_U \otimes \pi_V)^{-1}(\mathscr{O})$ is contained in $W + \rho(\ker \pi_U \otimes \pi_V)$ and $\rho(\ker \pi_U \otimes \pi_V) = 0$. That means, that $E \otimes_\pi F/\ker p_U \otimes p_V$ carries the finest locally convex topology, so it is again a subspace of $\oplus_N \boldsymbol{R}$.

Remark. Diestel, Morris and Saxon [2] define a 'variety' of locally convex spaces as a class, which is closed under the operations (S_2), (S_3), (S_4), and (S_7). They show, that $\sigma(\boldsymbol{R})$ is the second smallest variety.

At this stage the question naturally arises, whether Ω actually equals $\sigma(\boldsymbol{R})$. One feels that this should be true, if the diametral dimension of a space is indeed a measure for its 'nuclearity', for this would mean, that maximal diametral dimension should determine the smallest stability class. On the other hand, the following proposition may perhaps provide a method to refute the equality $\sigma(\boldsymbol{R}) = \Omega$.

Proposition. *Let $E \in \Omega$. Then $E \in \sigma(\boldsymbol{R})$, if and only if E has the following property*

(PB) *there is a basis $\mathscr{U}$ of neighbourhoods of* 0 *in E, such that for all $U \in \mathscr{U}$ $E/\ker p_U$ is bornological.*

Proof. If $E \in \sigma(\boldsymbol{R}) = \Sigma$, E clearly has property (PB), since an at most countable sum of real lines is bornological. Conversely, let $E \in \Omega$ and $U \in \mathscr{U}$. Now note, that bounded sets in $E/\ker p_U$ are finite-dimensional. For, if B is bounded in $E/\ker p_U$, for each non-increasing sequence δ of positive reals

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \delta_n^{-1} \delta_n(B, U_0) = 0$$

(where U_0 is the image of U in $E/\ker p_U$), since $E/\ker p_U$ is in Ω, too. This means, that $\delta_n(B, U_0) = 0$ for $n > n_0$, which implies, that B is finite-dimensional, since p_{U_0} is a norm. Now choose an algebraic basis

$$(e_i)_{i \in I} \text{ for } E/\ker p_U.$$

The identity map

$$\mathrm{id} : \bigoplus_I \boldsymbol{R} \cdot e_i \to E/\ker p_U$$

is a continuous bijection; and if $E/\ker p_U$ is bornological, id will be open, too, hence an isomorphism. But then I must be at most countable, since $E/\ker p_U$ is nuclear.

Taking a different approach, one could try to prove the equality $\sigma(\boldsymbol{R}) = \Omega$ by showing, that $\sigma(\boldsymbol{R})$ and Ω are generated by the same ideal of operators. This is, however, not possible, since the equality $\Omega = \mathscr{N}_\Phi$ implies, that neither $\sigma(\boldsymbol{R})$ nor Ω is generated by an ideal:

DEFINITION. Let $\mathscr{I}$ be an ideal of operators. *The class of locally convex vector spaces generated by* $\mathscr{I}$ consists of all locally convex vector spaces E with the following property: For each neighbourhood U of 0 in E there is a neighbourhood V of 0 contained in U, such that the canonical map $E(V, U) : E_V \to E_U$ belongs to $\mathscr{I}$ (E_U denotes the completion of the normed vector space $(E, p_U)/\ker p_U$).

THEOREM. *$\sigma(\boldsymbol{R})$ and Ω are not generated by an ideal.*

PROOF. Suppose, there is an ideal $\mathscr{I}$ generating $\sigma(\boldsymbol{R})$ or Ω. We shall obtain a contradiction by constructing a function $\phi \in \Phi$ and a locally convex vector space E in the class generated by $\mathscr{I}$, such that E is not ϕ-nuclear. As $\sigma(\boldsymbol{R})$ and Ω consist of nuclear spaces, we may assume, that $\mathscr{I}$ is an ideal of compact operators between separable Hilbert spaces. As the ideal of operators with finite-dimensional images does not generate a stability class, $\mathscr{I}$ contains an operator S with infinite-dimensional range. By combining S with a partial isometry, we may obtain a compact self-adjoint operator $T \in \mathscr{I}$, such that the eigenvalues (λ_n) of T form a decreasing sequence of positive reals. By construction, the sequence space

$$\Lambda := \{\xi | \forall k \in \boldsymbol{N} \sum |\xi_n| \lambda_n^{-k} < \infty\}$$

will be in the class generated by $\mathscr{I}$. But clearly, Λ is not ϕ-nuclear, if we choose a function $\phi \in \Phi$, such that for all $n \in \boldsymbol{N}$ $\phi(\lambda_n^n) > 1/(n+1)$.

Finally we observe, that $\mathscr{N}$ and $\sigma(\boldsymbol{R})$ share still another 'restricted' stability property, as is shown by the following

PROPOSITION. *A Fréchet space E belongs to $\sigma(\boldsymbol{R})$, if and only if E_b' belongs to $\sigma(\boldsymbol{R})$.*

This proposition may equally well be stated as

PROPOSITION. *A Fréchet space E belongs to Ω, if and only if E'_b belongs to Ω.*

PROOF. (a) Let $E \in \Omega$. E has property (PB), so $E \in \sigma(\boldsymbol{R})$. As we proved already, this implies, that E possesses a basis $\mathscr{U}$ of neighbourhoods of 0, such that for $U \in \mathscr{U}$ $E/\ker p_U$ is a subspace of $\oplus_N \boldsymbol{R}$. So $E/\ker p_U$ being a Fréchet space, too, is finite dimensional, which means, that E is a closed subspace of $\Pi_N \boldsymbol{R}$. Then E is itself an at most countable product of real lines, so $E' \in \sigma(\boldsymbol{R})$.

(b) If $E' \in \Omega$, E' is nuclear, so E is nuclear and reflexive. Choose a hilbertian neighbourhood U of 0 in E' and a hilbertian bounded set B in E'. Then we have for all $n \in N$ $\delta_n(B, U) = \delta_n(B^0, U^0)$. So, if B is bounded in E and U is a neighbourhood of 0 in E, the image of B in $E/\ker p_U$ is finite-dimensional. As E has property (PB), this implies, as we have already seen, that $E \in \Sigma = \sigma(\boldsymbol{R})$.

We did not include this stability property in our definition of a stability class, since there exist stability classes of nuclear spaces, which do not possess this property, e.g. the class of strongly nuclear spaces (cf. [5]).

REFERENCES

C. BESSAGA, A. PEŁCZYŃSKI and S. ROLEWICZ

[1] On diametral approximative dimension and linear homogeneity of F-spaces, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. 9 (1961) 677–683.

J. DIESTEL, S. A. MORRIS and S. A. SAXON

[2] Varieties of locally convex topological vector spaces, Bull. AMS 77 (1971) 799–803.

A. GROTHENDIECK

[3] Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires, Memoirs AMS 16 (1955)

J. HORVATH

[4] Topological vector spaces and distributions, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1966

A. MARTINEAU

[5] Sur une propriété universelle de l'espace des distributions de M. Schwartz, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 259 (1964) 3162–3164.

B. ROSENBERGER

[6] ϕ-nukleare Räume, Math. Nachr. 52 (1972) 147–160.

(Oblatum 23–VIII–1972)

Mathematisches Institut der Universität Gießen
D-6300 Gießen, Arndtstraße 2

Institut für Angewandte Mathematik
der Universität Bonn
D-5300 Bonn, Wegelerstraße 6