

# COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

JOHN GUCKENHEIMER

**Hartman's theorem for complex flows in  
the Poincaré domain**

*Compositio Mathematica*, tome 24, n° 1 (1972), p. 75-82

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM\\_1972\\_\\_24\\_1\\_75\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1972__24_1_75_0)

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1972, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

**HARTMAN'S THEOREM FOR COMPLEX FLOWS  
 IN THE POINCARÉ DOMAIN**

by

John Guckenheimer <sup>1</sup>

We are interested in studying the topological behavior of a complex flow near a generic singular point. Recall the classical analytic theories of Poincaré and Siegel [3, 5]:  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  are standard complex coordinates in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .  $\Phi$  is the holomorphic complex flow generated by the vector field

$$X(x) = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}; a_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}^n, \alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^n, x^{\alpha} = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}.$$

We have made the canonical identification of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with the tangent space at each of its points in writing this formula. It is assumed that  $X(x)$  has an isolated zero at the origin. One then wishes to know when there is a holomorphic change of coordinates defined in some neighbourhood of the origin which 'linearizes'  $X$ . Precisely, this means the following: If  $h$  is a holomorphic isomorphism, then  $h$  acts on the space of holomorphic vector fields by the conjugation  $\gamma_h$ :

$$\gamma_h(X)(x) = Dh_{h^{-1}(x)}X(h^{-1}(x)).$$

If there is an  $h$  defined in a neighborhood of the origin so that

$$\gamma_h(X)(x) = \sum_j b_j x_j, b_j \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

then we say  $h$  linearizes  $X$ .

The theories of Poincaré and Siegel begin by formally trying to solve recursion formulas for the Taylor coefficients of a linearization of  $X$ . Let  $A$  be the matrix of linear coefficients of  $X$ :

$$A = (a_{\alpha})_{\sum \alpha_j = 1}.$$

In formally solving for a linearization  $h$ , one finds that if the eigenvalues  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$  of  $A$  satisfy a relation of the form

$$(*) \quad \xi_i = \sum_j \alpha_j \xi_j, (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^n - \{(0 \cdots 0, 1, 0 \cdots 0)\},$$

<sup>1</sup> Research partially supported by the National Science Foundation (GP-7952X2) and the British Science Research Council.

then one cannot even formally solve the recursion formulas for the Taylor expansion of  $h$ . This corresponds to the geometrical fact that  $X_1(x) = Ax$  has a holomorphic first integral while  $X(x)$  generally will not.

At this point the theories of Poincaré and Siegel diverge, depending upon the location of the  $\xi_i$  in the complex plane. If all of the  $\xi_i$  lie in a half plane whose boundary contains the origin, then Poincaré proves without difficulty that the formal linearization of  $X$  converges if no relation (\*) holds; thus the formal linearization defines a linearization  $h$ . The points of  $\mathbf{C}^n$  which satisfy a relation (\*) and whose coordinates lie in a half plane containing the origin in its boundary form an isolated set. Following Arnold [1], we call  $\{z \in \mathbf{C}^n : 0 \notin \text{convex hull } \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}\}$  the Poincaré domain  $\prod$ .

The complement of  $\prod$  in  $\mathbf{C}^n$  is the Siegel domain  $\Sigma$ . The set of points of  $\Sigma$  satisfying a relation (\*) is not isolated in  $\Sigma$ . If a formal linearization of  $X(x)$  exists with  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \Sigma$ , it is no longer an easy task to determine whether the formal linearization converges. Siegel's theorem asserts that there is a set  $T \subset \Sigma$  of measure zero such that if  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \Sigma - T$ , then  $X$  does have a linearization.

Analogous theorems have been proved in the real  $C^\infty$  category by Sternberg [7]. Sternberg proves that if the linear part of a smooth vector field  $X$  with isolated zero at the origin in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  has eigenvalues which do not satisfy a relation (\*), then there is a local  $C^\infty$  diffeomorphism  $h$  such that  $X$  conjugated by  $h$  is a linear vector field near the origin.

Our concern is with cruder results which reflect only the topological structure of a flow. Especially, we want to investigate equivalence relations whose equivalence classes contain open sets in a space of vector fields having a zero at the origin. More specifically, consider the following:

**HARTMAN'S THEOREM (Pugh [4]).** *Let  $E$  be a Banach space and  $L$  an isomorphism of  $E$  with spectrum disjoint from the unit circle. There exists a  $\mu > 0$  such that if  $\lambda$  is a uniformly continuous map from  $E$  to  $E$ , uniformly bounded by  $\mu$  and Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant bounded by  $\mu$ , then there exists a unique homeomorphism  $h$  of  $E$  such that  $h \circ (L + \lambda) = L \circ h$ .*

Pugh states that if  $\phi_t$  is a linear flow of  $E$  and  $\psi_t$  is a flow of  $E$  such that  $\psi_1$  satisfies the above hypotheses of Hartman's theorem with respect to the isomorphism  $\phi_1$ , then the  $h$  given in the conclusion of Hartman's theorem satisfies  $h \circ \psi_t = \phi_t \circ h$  for all  $t$ . This follows from the uniqueness of  $h$ . Pugh also remarks that one obtains a local theorem at the expense of a uniqueness statement for the conjugacy  $h$ .

Our goal is to obtain an analogue of Hartman's theorem for complex flows. Throughout  $X$  will denote the linear vector field defined on  $\mathbf{C}^n$  by

$X(z) = Az$ ;  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  complex matrix.  $\Phi$  will denote the complex flow  $\Phi : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  obtained from integrating  $X$ .

$$\Phi(z, t) = e^{tA}z.$$

Pugh's global formulation of Hartman's theorem is *not* suitable as a model for a theorem about complex flows because non-trivial bounded holomorphic perturbations of  $X$  do not exist. Notice that Pugh's version of Hartman's theorem does allow perturbation of the linear part of a vector field, and it is a feature which does admit a complex analogue. Thus, the following question about complex flows is more reasonable if  $X$  and  $\tilde{X}$  are nearby linear holomorphic vector fields (in the sense that the matrices defining  $X$  and  $\tilde{X}$  are sufficiently close to one another in  $\mathbb{C}^{n^2}$ ), when are the singular foliations of the corresponding flows  $\Phi$  and  $\tilde{\Phi}$  topologically conjugate? Our partial answer to this question is contained in the theorem stated below.

Note that we have asked only for a conjugacy mapping  $\Phi$  orbits to  $\tilde{\Phi}$  orbits and *not* for a simultaneous conjugacy of the isomorphisms  $\Phi(\cdot, t)$  and  $\tilde{\Phi}(\cdot, t)$ , for all  $t$ . It is not possible to have such a time preserving conjugacy generally. This is evident from the proof of the theorem.

I have succeeded in establishing an analogue to Hartman's theorem only when the eigenvalues of the matrix  $A$  defining  $X$  lie in the Poincaré domain  $\square$ . Our primary results are the following:

**THEOREM.** *Suppose  $\Phi$  is the flow defined by  $X(z) = Az$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $A$  an  $n \times n$  matrix. If the eigenvalues of  $A$  are distinct and do not contain the origin in their convex hull, and if no two eigenvalues of  $A$  lie on the same line through the origin, then  $\Phi$  is globally stable with respect to linear perturbations and locally stable with respect to arbitrary holomorphic perturbation.*

'Stability' in the conclusion of the theorem means precisely that if  $\tilde{A}$  is a matrix sufficiently close to  $A$  and  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is the flow corresponding to the vector field  $\tilde{X}(z) = \tilde{A}(z)$ , then there is a homeomorphism  $h$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  mapping  $\Phi$  orbits to  $\tilde{\Phi}$  orbits.  $\hat{X}$  is a holomorphic vector field  $C^1$  close to  $X$  in a neighborhood  $U$  of the origin, with corresponding flow  $\hat{\Phi}$ , then there is a local homeomorphism  $h$  defined in a neighborhood  $V$  of the origin mapping  $\Phi$  orbits to  $\hat{\Phi}$  orbits.

A converse to the theorem is the following proposition:

**PROPOSITION.** *If  $X(z) = Az$  is a linear vector field on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and if two eigenvalues of  $A$  lie on the same line through the origin in  $\mathbb{C}$ , then  $X$  is not stable.*

**PROOF.** Let  $\xi_1, \xi_2$  be two eigenvalues lying on the same line through the origin.  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  are real multiples of one another. Let  $P$  be the plane

corresponding to the eigenvalues  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$ .  $P$  is invariant under the flow  $\Phi$  determined by  $X$ . If  $\xi_1/\xi_2$  is irrational, then most  $\Phi$  orbits in  $P$  are homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}$ . But, if  $\xi_1/\xi_2$  is rational, all  $\Phi$  orbits in  $P$  are not simply connected. Furthermore, there is not another plane near  $P$  invariant under  $\Phi$ . Since we can pass from  $\xi_1/\xi_2$  rational to  $\xi_1/\xi_2$  irrational and vice-versa by arbitrarily small perturbations, it follows that  $X$  is not stable. Even the topological type of orbits is not stable under perturbation.

In the theorem, the eigenvalues of  $A$  are assumed to be distinct. By a linear change of coordinates, we may assume that  $A$  is a diagonal matrix. A vital observation for the proof of the theorem is contained in the following lemma, also observed by Arnold [1]:

**LEMMA.** *If  $X(z) = Az$  is a linear holomorphic vector field on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and if  $A$  is a diagonal matrix all of whose eigenvalues lie in a half plane bounded by a line through the origin, then the integral curves of  $X$  are transverse to each of the spheres  $S_r$  defined by*

$$S_r = \left\{ z \mid \sum_{j=1}^n |z_j|^2 = r \right\}, \quad r > 0.$$

**PROOF.** Let  $\{\xi_j\}$  be the eigenvalues of  $A$  and  $r > 0$ . An integral curve of  $X$  can fail to be transverse to  $S_r$  at  $z \in S_r$  only if the complex multiples of  $X(z)$  all lie in the tangent space to  $S_r$  at  $z$ . Let  $\omega$  be a normal to  $S_r$  at  $z$ . As a 1-form,

$$\omega(z) = \sum_j (\bar{z}_j dz_j + z_j d\bar{z}_j),$$

up to a real constant factor. If  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ , then the real inner product of  $\alpha X$  with  $\omega$  is

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \sum_j \alpha \xi_j |z_j|^2 \right).$$

(Here  $\operatorname{Re}$  denotes ‘the real part of’.) If the tangent space to the integral curve of  $X$  lies in the tangent space to  $S_r$  at  $z$ , then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \sum_j \alpha \xi_j |z_j|^2 \right) = 0$$

for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . This clearly implies

$$\sum_j \xi_j |z_j|^2 = 0.$$

But if

$$z \neq 0, \quad \sum_j \xi_j |z_j|^2$$

is a positive multiple of a point in the convex hull of  $\{\xi_j\}$ . Since 0 does not lie in the convex hull of  $\{\xi_j\}$ , we conclude that

$$\sum_j \xi_j |z_j|^2 \neq 0$$

and  $S_r$  is transverse to the integral curves of  $X$ .

REMARK. The lemma remains true if the hypothesis that  $A$  be a diagonal matrix is omitted.

It follows from this lemma that the intersections of the integral curves of  $\Phi$  with  $S_r$  form a real, orientable 1-dimensional foliation of  $S_r$ . This foliation is defined by a real, non-zero vector field  $X_r$  on  $S_r$ .

LEMMA. *If  $X(z) = Az$  is a linear holomorphic vector field such that the eigenvalues of  $A$  all lie in a half plane whose boundary contains the origin, and if no two of the eigenvalues of  $A$  lie on the same line through the origin, then the real vector field  $X_r$  constructed above is Morse-Smale [6]. This means that  $X_r$  has a finite number of closed orbits, each is generic, and the stable and unstable manifolds of these closed orbits intersect transversely. There are no recurrent points of  $X_r$  other than the closed orbits.*

PROOF. Assume the matrix  $A$  is diagonal. Then the intersection of each complex coordinate axis with  $S_r$  is a closed orbit of  $X_r$ . Since no two eigenvalues of  $A$  are rational multiples of one another, all of the integral curves of  $X$ , except those lying on the coordinate axis are homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{C}$ . Therefore, if  $\gamma$  were a closed orbit of  $X_r$  not given as the intersection of  $S_r$  with a coordinate axis, then  $\gamma$  bounds a disk  $D$  contained in an integral curve of  $X$ . The Euclidean distance function of  $\mathbf{C}^n$  restricted to  $D$  is constant on  $\partial D = \gamma$  and hence has a critical point in  $D$ . This contradicts the previous lemma, so the only closed orbits of  $X$  lie in the coordinate axes.

Next we prove that there is no non-trivial recurrence of  $X_r$ . Suppose  $w$  and  $z \in S_r$  lie on the same integral curve of  $X$ , which is not a closed orbit. Choose two indices  $k, l$  so that  $z_k z_l \neq 0$ . These exist because  $z$  is not on a coordinate axis. There is a  $z \in \mathbf{C}^n$  such that  $w = e^{At}z$  or  $w_j = z_j e^{\xi_j t}$  since  $A$  is a diagonal matrix. If  $w$  and  $z$  are close to each other in  $\mathbf{C}^n$ ,  $t$  is close to

$$\frac{2\pi n\sqrt{-1}}{\xi_k} \text{ and } \frac{2\pi m\sqrt{-1}}{\xi_l}$$

for some  $m, n \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Since  $\xi_k$  and  $\xi_l$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbf{R}$ , this implies  $t$  is near zero. Therefore, given  $z \in S_r$  such that  $z$  is not on a closed orbit of  $X_r$ , there is a small neighborhood  $U$  of  $z$  such that the integral curve of  $X$  through  $z$  has connected intersection with  $U$ . It follows that there is no non-trivial recurrence of orbits of  $X_r$ , and the non-wandering set of  $X_r$  is a finite union of closed orbits.

Next we prove that each closed orbit has a Poincaré transformation with no eigenvalues of modulus 1. The flow determined by  $X$  is

$$\Phi(z_1, \dots, z_n; t) = (z_1 e^{\xi_1 t}, \dots, z_n e^{\xi_n t}).$$

Thus as  $t$  runs over the interval from 0 to  $2\pi\sqrt{-1}/\xi_1$ , the flow traverses the first closed orbit of  $X_r$ . The real hypersurface  $H = \{z|z_1 \in \mathbf{R}\}$  is mapped into itself by  $\Phi(\cdot, 2\pi\sqrt{-1}/\xi_1)$ .  $H \cap S_r$  is a transverse section to the flow  $X_r$ , so that the Poincaré transformation  $\Theta$  of the first closed orbit of  $X_r$  at  $(r, 0, \dots, 0)$  on  $S_r \cap H$  is computed explicitly to be

$$\Theta(z_1, \dots, z_n) = r - \left(\sum_{j=2}^n |z_j \eta_j|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, z_2 \eta_2, \dots, z_n \eta_n$$

with

$$\eta_j = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\xi_j/\xi_1}.$$

The derivative of  $\Theta$  at  $(r, 0, \dots, 0)$  is

$$\begin{pmatrix} * & | & 0 \\ \hline & & \eta_2 \\ * & | & \\ & & \eta_n \end{pmatrix}$$

In this matrix,  $\eta_j$  represents a real  $2 \times 2$  matrix obtained from the standard embedding of  $\mathbf{C}$  into the ring of  $2 \times 2$  real matrices. Since  $\xi_j/\xi_1 \notin \mathbf{R}$  if  $j \neq 1$ , the eigenvalues of  $D\Theta$  have modulus different from 1. The first closed orbit of  $X_r$  is generic. Similarly, all the closed orbits of  $X_r$  are generic.

It remains to check that the stable and unstable manifolds of  $X_r$  have transverse intersection. One sees directly that the stable and unstable manifolds of a closed orbit are each the difference of two linear spans of coordinate axes intersected with  $S_r$ . The point  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_k, 0, \dots, 0)$  lies in the stable manifold of the first closed orbit and the unstable manifold of the  $k^{\text{th}}$  closed orbit if

$$\arg \xi_1 - \arg \xi_j < 0 \text{ for } 1 < j \leq k, \text{ and}$$

$$\arg \xi_j - \arg \xi_k < 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq j < k.$$

Since the eigenvalues of  $A$  lie on a half plane containing the origin in its boundary, for  $j > k$  either  $\arg \xi_1 - \arg \xi_j < 0$  or  $\arg \xi_j - \arg \xi_k < 0$ . Now the stable manifold of the first closed orbit is open and dense in the linear span of those coordinate axes  $j$  for which  $\arg \xi_1 - \arg \xi_j < 0$ . Similarly, the unstable manifold of the  $k^{\text{th}}$  closed orbit is open and dense in the linear span of those coordinate axes  $j$  for which  $\arg \xi_j - \arg \xi_k < 0$ . It follows that these unstable manifolds intersect transversely at  $z$ . This proves the lemma.

**PROOF OF THE THEOREM.** A theorem of Palis-Smale [2] implies that  $X_1$  is structurally stable. If  $\tilde{X}$  is a linear holomorphic vector field close to  $X$ ,  $\tilde{X}_1$  will be  $C^1$  close to  $X_1$ . The theorem of Palis-Smale states that there is a topological conjugacy  $h_1 : S_1 \rightarrow S_1$  from  $X_1$  to  $\tilde{X}_1$ . Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  be such that the eigenvalues of  $\alpha A$  and  $\alpha \tilde{A}$  lie in the right half plane bounded by the imaginary axis. Consider the flows  $\Phi$  and  $\tilde{\Phi}$  along the line determined by  $\alpha$ . For  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , define  $R_t = \Phi(S_1, t_\alpha)$ ,  $\tilde{R}_t = \tilde{\Phi}(S_1, t\alpha)$ .  $R_t$  and  $\tilde{R}_t$  each form a disjoint family of nested spheres whose union is  $\mathbb{C}^n - \{0\}$  and which contracts uniformly to 0 as  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ . Define  $h : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  by

$$h(0) = 0 \text{ and}$$

$$h|R_t = \tilde{\Phi}_{t\alpha} \circ h_1 \circ \Phi_{-t\alpha} : R_t \rightarrow \tilde{R}_t.$$

Since  $\Phi_{-t\alpha}(R_t) = S_1$ ,  $h$  is well-defined. Clearly,  $h$  is a homeomorphism mapping  $\Phi$ -orbits to  $\tilde{\Phi}$ -orbits. This proves the global assertion of the theorem.

The local assertion is proved in the same way. A  $C^1$  perturbation  $\tilde{X}$  of  $X$  will be transverse to  $S_r$  for all sufficiently small  $r$ . For small enough  $r$ , there will be a direction  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  such that as  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ ,  $\Phi(S_r, t_\alpha)$  and  $\tilde{\Phi}(S_r, t_\alpha)$  contract uniformly to the origin. Thus we can apply the above argument on some neighborhood of the origin, starting the argument with  $X_r$  (for some sufficiently small  $r$ ) rather than with  $X_1$ .

**REMARK.** I have been unable to prove the theorem when the eigenvalues of  $A$  lie in the Siegel domain. Such a flow corresponds to a real saddle point in the sense that there are orbits which do not contain the origin in their closure. The spheres  $S_r$  are no longer transverse to the integral curves of the flow. It is true, however, that the real quadrics

$$V_r = \left\{ z \mid \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_j |z_j|^2 = r \right\}$$

are transverse to the integral curves of  $X$  if one chooses  $\sigma_j = \pm 1$  so that  $(\sigma_j \xi_j)$  lies in the Poincaré domain. But now the  $V_r$  are no longer compact, so the Palis-Smale theorem does not apply directly. Furthermore, there are continuity difficulties which arise because the  $V_r$  do not form a nested family of spheres.

#### REFERENCES

V. I. ARNOLD

[1] Remarks on Singularities of Finite Codimension in Complex Dynamical Systems, *Functional Analysis and its Applications*, v. 3 (1969) 1-5.

J. PALIS AND S. SMALE

[2] Structural Stability Theorems, *AMS Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics*, v. XIV (1970) 223-232.



H. POINCARÉ

[3] Oeuvres, v. I.

C. PUGH

[4] On a Theorem of P. Hartman, Am. Journal of Math., v. XCI, (1969) 363–367.

C. L. SIEGEL

[5] Über die Normalform analytischer Differentialgleichungen in der Nähe einer Gleichgerichtslösung, Göttinger Nachrichten der Akademie der Wissenschaften, (1952) 21–30.

S. SMALE

[6] Differentiable Dynamical Systems, Bulletin of the Am. Math. Soc. 73 (1967) 747–817.

S. STERNBERG

[7] On the Structure of Local Homeomorphisms of Euclidean  $n$ -space II, Am. Journal Math., v. 80 (1958) 623–631.

(Oblatum 3–XII–70)

Department of Mathematics  
The Institute for Advanced Study  
Princeton, New Jersey 08540  
U.S.A.