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## Numbam

# THE RADIUS OF UNIVALENCE AND STARLIKENESS OF SOME CLASSES OF REGULAR FUNCTIONS 

by<br>Emanuel G. Calys

## 1.

The writing of this paper has been motivated by recent results of A. E. Livingston [7] and S. D. Bernardi [2].

Let $S$ denote the class of functions $f(z)=z+\sum_{2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ which are regular and univalent in $E\{z:|z|<1\}$ and which map $E$ onto domains $D(f)$. We denote by $S^{*}$ and $K$ the subclasses of $S$ where $D(f)$ are, respectively, starlike with respect to the origin, and convex. Let $P$ denote the class of functions $p(z)$ which are regular and satisfy $p(0)=1$, $\operatorname{Re}(p(z))>0$, for $z$ in $E$. In [1] the following theorem was proven.

Theorem A. Let $f(z)=z+\sum_{2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ be a member of $S^{*}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=(c+1) z^{-c} \int_{0}^{z} t^{c-1} f(t) d t=z+\sum_{2}^{\infty}\left(\frac{c+1}{c+n}\right) a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is also a member of the same class for $c=1,2,3, \cdots$.
Theorem A represents a generalization of the corresponding theorem by R. J. Libera [6] for the case $c=1$. Solving the relation (1.1) for the inverse function $f(z)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\left(\frac{1}{1+c}\right) z^{1-c}\left[z^{c} F(z)\right]^{\prime} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [2] S. D. Bernardi proved that if $F(z) \in S^{*}$, then $f(z)$, defined by (1.2), is univalent and starlike for $|z|<r_{0}$, where

$$
r_{0}=\left[-2+\left(3+c^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] /(c-1) \text { for } c=2,3,4, \cdots
$$

This result is sharp. For $c=1, r_{0}=\frac{1}{2}$ and this result is due to A . E. Livingston [7].

In this paper we determine the radius of univalence and starlikeness of functions $f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$ which are regular in $E$ and satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\frac{2}{z} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{f(t) g(t)}{t} d t \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F(z) \in S^{*}$ and (i) $g(z) \in K$, (ii) $g(z) \in S$ and (iii) $g(z) / z \in P$. We shall employ the same techniques used in [7].

## 2.

Theorem 1. If $f(z)$ is regular in $E$ and satisfies (1.3), where $F(z) \in S^{*}$ and $g(z) \in K$, then $f(z)$ is univalent and starlike for $|z|<2-\sqrt{ } 3$. This result is sharp.

Proof. Since $F$ is in $S^{*}, \operatorname{Re}\left\{z F^{\prime}(z) / F(z)\right\}>0$ for all $z$ in $E$. Hence there exists $w$, regular in $E$, such that $|w(z)| \leqq 1$ for $z$ in $E$ and such that

$$
\frac{f(z) g(z)-\int_{0}^{z} \frac{f(t) g(t) d t}{t}}{\int_{0}^{z} \frac{f(t) g(t) d t}{t}}=\frac{z F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)}=\frac{1-z w(z)}{1+z w(z)}
$$

Thus

$$
f(z) g(z)=\frac{2}{1+z w(z)} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{f(t) g(t) d t}{t}
$$

and

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=\frac{2-z w(z)-z^{2} w^{\prime}(z)}{1+z w(z)}-\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\} \geqq \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{2-z w(z)-z^{2} w^{\prime}(z)}{1+z w(z)}\right\}-\left|\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right| \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $f(z)$ will be univalent and starlike for those values of $z$ for which the right-hand side of $(2.1)$ is positive. Since $g(z)$ is in $K$,

$$
\left|\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right| \leqq \frac{1}{1-|z|}
$$

Therefore the right-hand side of (2.1) will be positive if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{2-z w(z)-z^{2} w^{\prime}(z)}{1+z w(z)}\right\}-\frac{1}{1-|z|}>0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Condition (2.2) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\left[1+(|z|-2) z w(z)+(|z|-1) z^{2} w^{\prime}(z)-2|z|\right][1+\overline{z w(z)}]\right\}>0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

or
(2.4) $\operatorname{Re}\left\{(1-|z|) z^{2} w^{\prime}(z)[1+\overline{z w(z)}]\right\}<\{1-(1+|z|) \operatorname{Re}(z w(z))$

$$
\left.-2|z|+(-2+|z|)|z|^{2}|w(z)|^{2}\right\}
$$

Using the well known result

$$
\left|w^{\prime}(z)\right| \leqq \frac{1-|w(z)|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}}
$$

and the fact that $\operatorname{Re}(z w(z)) \leqq|z||w(z)|$, we see that (2.4) will be satisfied if

$$
\begin{align*}
& (1-|z|)|z|^{2} \frac{\left(1-|w(z)|^{2}\right.}{1-|z|^{2}}(1+|z||w(z)|)  \tag{2.5}\\
& \quad<\left\{1-(1+|z|)|w(z)||z|-2|z|+(|z|-2)|z|^{2}|w(z)|^{2}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $|w(z)| \leqq 1,(1+|z||w(z)|) /(1+|z|) \leqq 1$ and $(2.5)$ will be satisfied if

$$
|z|^{2}\left(1-|w(z)|^{2}\right)<1-2|z|-(1+|z|)|z||w(z)|+(|z|-2)|z|^{2}|w(z)|^{2}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(|z|^{2}+2|z|\right)+(1-|z|)|z|^{2}|w(z)|^{2}+(1+|z|)|z||w(z)|<1 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, it suffices to show that (2.6) holds for all functions $w$, regular in $E$ and satisfying $|w(z)| \leqq 1$, provided $|z|<2-\sqrt{ } 3$.

In (2.6) put $a=|z|, x=|w(z)|$ and consider the function

$$
p(x)=a^{2}+2 a+a(1+a) x+a^{2}(1-a) x^{2}
$$

Clearly, $p(x)$ is increasing in $[0,1]$ and $p(1)=3 a+3 a^{2}-a^{3}$ is less than one for $0 \leqq a<2-\sqrt{ } 3$. Condition (2.2) is thus seen to be satisfied if $|z|<2-\sqrt{ } 3$. Hence $f(z)$ is univalent and starlike for $|z|<2-\sqrt{ } 3$.

To see that the result is sharp, let $F(z)=z /(1-z)^{2}$ and $g(z)=z /(1+z)$. Then $F(z)$ is in $S^{*}, g(z)$ is in $K$ and $f(z)=\left(z^{2}+z\right) /(1-z)^{3}$. Thus $f^{\prime}(z)=$ $\left(z^{2}+4 z+1\right) /(1-z)^{4}$ and $f^{\prime}(-2+\sqrt{ } 3)=0$. Hence $f(z)$ is not univalent in $|z|<r$ if $r>2-\sqrt{ } 3$.

Theorem 2. If $f(z)$ is regular in $E$ and satisfies (1.3), where $F(z) \in S^{*}$ and $g(z) \in S$, then $f(z)$ is univalent and starlike for $|z|<\frac{1}{5}$. This result is sharp.

The proof of this theorem is similar to that of Theorem 1. The only essential difference is the estimate

$$
\left|\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right| \leqq \frac{1+|z|}{1-|z|}
$$

To see that the result is sharp, let $F(z)=z /(1-z)^{2}$ and $g(z)=z /(1+z)^{2}$. Then $f(z) g(z)=z^{2} /(1-z)^{3}$ and we have

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=\frac{2+z}{1-z}-\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}=\frac{2+z}{1-z}-\frac{1-z}{1+z}=\frac{1+5 z}{1-z^{2}}=0
$$

for $z=-\frac{1}{5}$. Thus $f(z)$ is not starlike in $|z|<r$ if $r>\frac{1}{5}$.

Remark. The above example shows that we cannot improve on the result of Theorem 2 if instead of $g(z)$ in $S$ we assume $g(z)$ in $S^{*}$.

Theorem 3. If $f(z)$ is regular in $E$ and satisfies (1.3), where $F(z) \in S^{*}$ and $g(z) / z \in P$, then $f(z)$ is univalent and starlike for $|z|<(5-\sqrt{ } 17) / 4$. This result is sharp.

Proof. Let $h(z)=g(z) / z$. Then

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=\frac{1-2 z w(z)-z^{2} w^{\prime}(z)}{1+z w(z)}-\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{h(z)}
$$

where $w(z)$ is regular in $E$ and $|w(z)| \leqq 1$. Using the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{h(z)}\right| \leqq \frac{2|z|}{1-|z|^{2}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the techniques of Theorem 1, the result follows.
To see that the result is sharp, let $F(z)=z /(1-z)^{2}$ and $g(z)=$ $z(1-z) /(1+z)$. Then $f(z) h(z)=z /(1-z)^{3}, f(z)=\left(z^{2}+z\right) /(1-z)^{4}$ and $f^{\prime}(z)=\left(2 z^{2}+5 z+1\right) /(1-z)^{5}=0$ for $z=(-5+\sqrt{ } 17) / 4$. Hence $f(z)$ is not univalent in any disk $|z|<r$ if $r+(5-\sqrt{ } 17) / 4$.
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