

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

K. W. ROGGENKAMP

Projective ideals in clean orders

Compositio Mathematica, tome 22, n° 2 (1970), p. 197-201

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1970__22_2_197_0

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1970, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

PROJECTIVE IDEALS IN CLEAN ORDERS

by

K. W. Roggenkamp

1. Introduction

In [5] Faddeev has treated very explicitly the theory of invertible ideals over orders in separable algebras. In § 2 we review the connections between invertible ideals and progenerators (cf. [7]). In [4], [6], [9] and [10] 'clean orders' have been treated; i.e. orders for which every special projective left module is a generator. Two orders are said to lie on the 'same level' if they are linked by an invertible ideal. The main results of § 3 are: Orders on the same level are simultaneously clear or not clean. An order is 'left clean' if and only if it is 'right clean'.

2. Preliminaries

NOTATION:

- R = Dedekind domain
- K = quotient field of R
- R_p = localization of R at the prime ideal p in R
- A = finite dimensional separable K -algebra
- Λ = R -order in A (cf. [1]).

All modules are assumed to be finitely generated. *Homomorphisms will be written opposite to the scalars.*

(1) DEFINITIONS: Let V be a left A -module.

- (i) If $\Omega(V) = : \text{Hom}_A(V, V)$, then V is an $(A, \Omega(V))$ -bi-module.
- (ii) An R -lattice M in V is a finitely generated R -submodule of V , such that $K \otimes_R M = V$; in particular, M is R -torsion free.
- (iii) $A_l(M) = \{x \in A \mid xM \subset M\}$,
 $A_r(M) = \{x \in \Omega(V) \mid Mx \subset M\}$ are the left and right orders of M resp.

(2) MORITA EQUIVALENCE: Let V be a faithful left A -module, then V is a progenerator and

$$A \cong \text{Hom}_{\Omega(V)}(V, V),$$

and we have a natural isomorphism (cf. [7]),

$$\text{Hom}_A(V, A) \cong \text{Hom}_{\Omega(V)}(V, \Omega(V)). \tag{2^*}$$

We identify both structures and denote this module by V^* . Then V^* is an $(\Omega(V), A)$ -bimodule.

(3) DEFINITIONS: Let V be a faithful left A -module, M an R -lattice in V and N an R -lattice in V^* . Then we have the two natural isomorphisms (cf. [7])

$$\begin{aligned} \mu : V^* \otimes_A V &\rightarrow \Omega(V) \\ v_0(v^* \otimes v)^\mu &= (v_0)v^* \cdot v, v_0, v \in V, v^* \in V^* \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\tau : V \otimes_{\Omega(V)} V^* \rightarrow A, v \otimes v^* \rightarrow (v)v^*, v \in V, v^* \in V^*.$$

Now we define the products $NM = \text{im } \mu|_{N \otimes M}$, $MN = \text{im } \tau|_{M \otimes N}$. Then MN is an R -lattice in A and NM is an R -lattice in $\Omega(V)$.

(4) DEFINITIONS: An R -lattice M in the faithful left A -module V is called *invertible* if there exists an R -lattice M^{-1} in V^* such that

- (i) $\Lambda_r(M) = \Lambda_l(M^{-1}), \Lambda_r(M) = \Lambda_r(M^{-1})$,
- (ii) $MM^{-1} = \Lambda_l(M), M^{-1}M = \Lambda_r(M)$.

M^{-1} is called the inverse to M ; it is uniquely determined by M , if M is invertible.

(5) LEMMA: *An R -lattice M in the faithful left A -module V is invertible if and only if M is a progenerator with respect to the category of left $\Lambda_l(M)$ -modules.*

PROOF. If M is a progenerator, the maps

$$\mu : \text{Hom}_{\Lambda_l(M)}(M, \Lambda_l(M)) \otimes_{\Lambda_l(M)} M \rightarrow \Lambda_r(M)$$

and

$$\tau : M \otimes_{\Lambda_r(M)} \text{Hom}_{\Lambda_l(M)}(M, \Lambda_l(M)) \rightarrow \Lambda_l(M)$$

(for the definition cf. (3)) are natural isomorphisms. Hence $M^{-1} = \text{Hom}_{\Lambda_l(M)}(M, \Lambda_l(M))$. Conversely, let M be invertible. From (4, ii) it follows then, that M is a projective left $\Lambda_l(M)$ -module, and a projective right $\Lambda_r(M)$ -module. From the definition (3) it follows that M is a progenerator for the category of left $\Lambda_l(M)$ -modules and also for the category of left $\Lambda_r(M)$ -modules (cf. [7]).

(6) REMARK: Let Λ be an R -order in A and let $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_A(A^{(n)}, A^{(n)})$ be regular, then the R -lattice $\Lambda^{(n)}\alpha$ is invertible ($X^{(n)}$ denotes the direct sum of n copies of X). In fact, the inverse is $\text{Hom}_A(\Lambda^{(n)}\alpha, \Lambda)$ and $\Lambda_r(\Lambda^{(n)}\alpha) = \alpha^{-1} \text{Hom}_A(\Lambda^{(n)}, \Lambda^{(n)})\alpha$.

(7) **REMARK:** If M is an R -lattice in the faithful left A -module V , then M is invertible if and only if $R_p \otimes_R M$ is invertible for every prime ideal p in R . This follows from (5).

(8) **DEFINITION:** Two R -orders Λ_1 in A and Λ_2 in $\Omega(V)$, where V is a free left A -module with a finite basis are said to lie on the *same level*, if there exists an invertible R -lattice M in V such that $\Lambda_1(M) = \Lambda_1$ and $\Lambda_2(M) = \Lambda_2$. With other words Λ_1 and Λ_2 are Morita equivalent. We remark that every maximal R -order in A and every maximal R -order in $\Omega(V)$ lie on the same level. It is not necessary to define the 'levels' only if V is A -free, it suffices to assume that V is a faithful left A -module of finite type. However, clean orders (cf. § 3) are in general not invariant under Morita equivalences via a A -lattice M ; only if M is a special projective A -module (cf. [8]).

3. Clean orders

(9) **DEFINITION:** An R -order Λ in A is called a *left clean R -order* (cf. [10]), if every special projective left Λ -module (i.e. a projective module which spans a free left A -module) is a progenerator for the category of left Λ -modules.

(10) **THEOREM.** (Strooker [10]. Lam [6]): *For an R -order Λ in A the following are equivalent*

- (i) Λ is a left clean R -order,
- (ii) $R_p \otimes_R \Lambda = : \Lambda_p$ is a left clean R_p -order for every prime ideal p in R ,
- (iii) every special projective left Λ -module is locally free.
- (iv) If P_1, P_2 are projective left Λ -modules such that $KP_1 \cong_A KP_2$, then P_1 and P_2 are locally isomorphic.
- (v) The Cartan-matrix of $\Lambda/p\Lambda$ is non-singular for every prime ideal p in R .

(11) **REMARK:** (10, v) shows that group rings of finite groups are clean. Commutative orders are clean.

(12) **LEMMA:** *Let Λ be a left clean R -order in A and M an R -lattice the free A -module V . If $\Lambda_1(M) = \Lambda$, then M is invertible if and only if M is a projective left Λ -module.*

PROOF. If M is a projective left Λ -module, then M is special projective; i.e. M is a progenerator for the category of left Λ -modules. From (5) it follows that M is invertible and $\Lambda_1(M) = \Lambda$. The other direction of (12) is obvious.

(13) LEMMA: *Let V be a free left A -module with a finite basis. If Λ is a left clean R -order in A , and if Λ_1 is an R -order in $\Omega(V)$, on the same level as Λ , then Λ_1 is also left clean.*

PROOF. By (8) we have a Morita equivalence between Λ and Λ_1 via a special projective Λ -lattice E , which spans V , and we have to show that ‘being clean’ is invariant under such Morita equivalences. $\Lambda_1 = \text{End}_\Lambda(E)$, if M is a special projective Λ_1 -lattice, say $KM = \Omega(V)^{(n)}$, then $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda_1}(E^*, M)$ is a projective Λ -lattice. We have

$$\begin{aligned} K \otimes_R \text{Hom}_{\Lambda_1}(E^*, M) &\cong \text{Hom}_{\Omega(V)}(V^*, KM) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{\Omega(V)}(V^*, \Omega(V)^{(n)}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\Omega(V)}(V^*, \Omega(V))^{(n)} \cong V^{(n)} \cong A^{(n \cdot m)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $V \cong A^{(m)}$. Here $E^* = \text{Hom}_\Lambda(E, \Lambda)$ and $V^* = \text{Hom}_\Lambda(V, A)$. Thus $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda_1}(E^*, M)$ is a special projective Λ -lattice; whence a progenerator, Λ being clean. Consequently, M is a progenerator, since progenerators are preserved under Morita equivalences. In [8] we have shown that in general, clean orders are not preserved under Morita equivalences.

(14) THEOREM: *An R -order Λ is left clean if and only if is right clean (with the obvious definition of right clean).*

PROOF. Let M be a special projective right Λ -module. We have to show that M is a progenerator for the category of right Λ -modules. Since M is a projective right Λ -module, we have the isomorphism

$$\mu : M \otimes_\Lambda \text{Hom}_\Lambda(M, \Lambda) \rightarrow \Lambda_l(M)$$

but M is naturally isomorphic to $\text{Hom}_\Lambda((M, \Lambda), \Lambda)$ and hence $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(M, \Lambda)$ is a projective left Λ -module. Since M was special projective, so is $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(M, \Lambda)$; i.e. $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(M, \Lambda)$ is a progenerator for the category of left Λ -lattices. Using (5) we conclude that $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(M, \Lambda)$ is invertible. But the inverse of $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(M, \Lambda)$ is M , whence M is invertible and thus M is a progenerator for the category of right Λ -lattices.

(15) COROLLARY: *The Cartan matrix for the left $\Lambda/p\Lambda$ -modules is non-singular if and only if the Cartan matrix for the right $\Lambda/p\Lambda$ -modules is non-singular; here Λ is any R -order in A and p a prime ideal in R .*

(16) LEMMA: *Let Λ be a clean R -order in A . Then the two-sided Λ -modules in A which span A and are left Λ -projective form a group.*

PROOF. Claim $\Lambda_r(M) = \Lambda$, if M is a two-sided Λ -module in A , which spans A and is left Λ -projective. From (10, iii) we conclude that $R_p \otimes_R M \cong (R_p \otimes_R \Lambda) \alpha_p$ for a regular element α_p in A . Then $\Lambda_r(R_p \otimes_R M) = \alpha_p^{-1}(R_p \otimes_R \Lambda) \alpha_p$, since $\Lambda_1(R_p \otimes_R M) = R_p \otimes_R \Lambda$. Thus $\alpha^{-1}(R_p \otimes_R \Lambda) \alpha_p \supset R_p \otimes_R \Lambda$. But a proper inclusion is impossible (cf. [5]). Hence

$\Lambda_r(R_p \otimes_R M) = R_p \otimes_R \Lambda$ and hence $\Lambda_r(M) = \Lambda$. This proves the claim. If now M, N are Λ -modules with the above properties, then M and N are invertible R -lattices in A (cf. (12)) with $\Lambda_l(M) = \Lambda_l(N) = \Lambda_r(M) = \Lambda_r(N)$. Moreover, MN is invertible; in fact, the product MN is proper and hence $\Lambda_l(M) = \Lambda_l(MN) = \Lambda$ (cf. [3]). Hence $\Lambda_l(N^{-1}M^{-1}) = \Lambda_r(MN) = \Lambda$ and $\Lambda_r(N^{-1}M^{-1}) = \Lambda_l(MN) = \Lambda$. Thus $(MN)(N^{-1}M^{-1}) = \Lambda$ and $(N^{-1}M^{-1})(MN) = \Lambda$; i.e. MN is invertible; similarly one shows that NM is invertible.

(17) REMARK (i): (16) becomes wrong if Λ is not clean. In fact, Faddeev [5] has given a counter example.

(ii) If Λ is a clean order in A , then among the orders in A which lie on the same level via a projective Λ -ideal I in A there are no inclusion relations; this follows immediately from the claim since I is locally free.

REFERENCES

C. W. CURTIS AND I. REINER

[1] 'Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras'. Interscience, N.Y. 1962.

E. C. DADE, O. TAUSKY AND H. ZASSENHAUS

[2] 'On the theory of orders, in particular on the semigroup of ideal classes and genera of an order in an algebraic number field.' Math. Ann. 148, (1962), 31–64.

M. DEURING

[3] 'Algebren,' Springer, Berlin (1935).

S. ENDO

[4] 'Completely faithful modules and quasi-Frobenius algebras.' J. Math. Soc. Japan, 19, (1967), 437–456.

D. K. FADDEEV

[5] 'An introduction to the multiplicative theory of integral representation modules'. Trudy Math. Inst. Steklov, 80, (1965), 145–182.

T. Y. LAM

[6] Induction theorems for Grothendieck groups and Whitehead groups of finite groups'. Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm. Sup. 4e serie, t.1. (1968), 91–148.

K. MORITA

[7] 'Duality for modules'. Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku, Sect. A, 6 (1958), 83–142.

K. W. ROGGENKAMP

[8] 'Das Krull Schmidt Theorem für projective Gitter über lokalen Ringen'. Mitt. Math. Sem. Giessen 80 (1969), 29–50.

[9] 'Projective modules over clean orders'. Comp. Math. 21 (1969), 185–194.

J. R. STROOKER

[10] 'Faithfully projective modules and clean algebras', Ph. D. thesis, Rijksuniversiteit Utrecht, (1965).

(Oblatum 8-IV-1969)

Math. Institut der Justus Liebig Universität
Arndtstrasse 2
63 - Giessen - Germany