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## Introduction

In this paper we shall treat the Laplace transform of a function $\varphi(t), L_{I}[\varphi, x]$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{I}[\varphi, x] \equiv f(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x t} \varphi(t) d t \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi(t) \in L_{1}(0, R)$ for all $R>0$.
We shall show that the Jump Operator defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J[f, k ; t] \equiv \frac{(2 \pi k)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{k!}\left(-\frac{k}{t}\right)^{k+1}\left[\frac{k+1}{k} f^{(k)}\left(\frac{k}{t}\right)+\frac{1}{t} f^{(k+1)}\left(\frac{k}{t}\right)\right] \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see also [2, p. 91] and [1, p. 369]) is $0\left(k^{-\gamma / 2}\right) k \rightarrow \infty, 0<\gamma \leqq 1$ whenever $\varphi(t)$ satisfies at $t$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t}^{t+h}[\varphi(t+y)-\varphi(t)] d y=0\left(h^{1+\gamma}\right) \quad h \rightarrow 0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a generalization of Lipschitz condition of order $\alpha$ (see [4, p. 42]).

We shall prove that $f(x)=L_{I}[\varphi, x]$ and the asymptotic behavior $J[f, k ; t]=0\left(k^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)$ uniformly in some interval of $t$, implies that $\varphi(t)$ (or an equivalent in Lebesgue sense) satisfies the Lipschitz condition there.

Similar results can be achieved for the Laplace Stieltjes transform $L S_{I}[\alpha, x]$ which is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L S_{I}[\alpha, x] \equiv f(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x t} d \alpha(t) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha(t) \in B \cdot V[0, R]$ (is of bounded variation in $[0, R]$ ) for all $R \geqq \mathbf{0}$.

In the case of the Laplace-Stieltjes transform one should define $I[f, k ; t]$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I[f, k ; t]=\left(-\frac{e}{t}\right)^{k} f^{(k)}\left(\frac{k}{t}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and results analogous to those for the Laplace transform will be achieved in section 4.

## 2. The behavior of the Jump operator

In this section we shall state and prove properties of $J[f, k ; t]$ as a result of a Lipschitz condition on $\varphi(t)$.

Theorem 2.1. Suppose $f(x)=L_{I}[\varphi ; x], t>0$ and $\gamma \geqq 0$. Then:
(a)

$$
\int_{t}^{t+h}[\varphi(t+y)-\varphi(t)] d y=O\left(h^{1+\gamma}\right) \quad h \rightarrow 0
$$

implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
J[t, k ; t]=0\left(k^{-\gamma / 2}\right) \quad k \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b)

$$
\int_{t}^{t+h}[\varphi(t+y)-\varphi(t)] d y=o\left(h^{1+\gamma}\right) \quad h \rightarrow 0
$$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
J[f, k ; t]=0\left(k^{-\gamma / 2}\right) \quad k \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The effect of the Lipschitz condition in an interval on $J[f, k ; t]$ is described by the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. Suppose $f(x) \equiv L_{I}[\varphi, x]$ and that for some real $a, b$ satisfying $0 \leqq a<b<\infty$ there exist $k>0, \delta_{1}>0$ and $\gamma$, $0 \leqq \gamma \leqq 1$, such that for each $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}, a<t_{1} \leqq t_{2}<b$, satisfying $\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|<\delta_{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\varphi\left(t_{1}\right)-\varphi\left(t_{2}\right)\right| \leqq K\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|^{\gamma} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exist $M$ so that for $k \geqq k_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
|J[f, k, t]| \leqq K \cdot M \cdot k^{-\gamma / 2} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly for $t \in[c, d] a<c<d<b$ and in case $a=0$ (2.4) will be valid for $t \in(0 d] . M$ depends on $c$ and $d$.

Remark 2.3. If we replace in assumption (2.3) of Theorem 2.2. $K$ by $\varepsilon$ the result will be for $k \geqq k_{0}|J[f, k ; t]| \leqq \varepsilon \cdot M_{1} k^{-\gamma / 2}$ for $0 \leqq \gamma<1$. The result is not interesting here for $\gamma \geqq 1$ neither is Theorem 2.2 for $\gamma>1$ since in these cases $\varphi(t)$ would be a constant in ( $a, b$ ).

Remark 2.4. Assumption (1.3) uniformly in an interval implies (2.3) uniformly in that interval. Therefore the employment of (2.3) in Theorem 2.2 is not a restriction but a simplification of the notation. The implication in the opposite direction is trivial.

We shall prove Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 together since the skeleton of the proof is the same.

## Proof of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2.

One can first establish the following equality by the integral definition of the $\Gamma$-function

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{(2 \pi k)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{k!}\left(-\frac{k}{t}\right)^{k+1} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-k u / t}\left[\frac{k+1}{k}(-u)^{k}+t^{-1}(-u)^{k+1}\right] d u \\
=\frac{(2 \pi k)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{k!}\left[-\frac{k+1}{k} \Gamma(k+1)+\frac{1}{k} \Gamma(k+2)\right]=0 . \tag{2.5}
\end{array}
$$

Using (2.5), (1.2) and the known formula

$$
\frac{d^{n}}{d x^{n}} L_{I}[\varphi, x]=L_{I}[\psi, x] \text { where } \psi(t)=(-t)^{n} \varphi(t)
$$

one may write
$J[f, k ; t]=J[f, k ; t]-0 \cdot \varphi(t)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{(2 \pi k)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{k!}\left(-\frac{k}{t}\right)^{k+1}\left\{\int_{0}^{\max (t-\delta, 0)}+\int_{\max (t-\delta, 0)}^{t+\delta}+\int_{t+\delta}^{\infty}\right\} \cdot e^{-k u / t} \\
& {\left[\left(\frac{k+1}{k}\right)(-u)^{k}+t^{-1}(-u)^{k+1}\right](\varphi(u)-\varphi(t)) d u \equiv I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(in case $\delta \geqq t_{1}$, which will occur in the choice $a=c=0$, obviously $I_{1}=0$ ).

Since the Laplace transform converges at some real $C$ and $\varphi(t)$ is bounded in $[c, d]$ or in ( $0, d]$ in case $a=0(\varphi(t)$ is just a number for proving Theorem 2.1) we have $\left|\alpha_{i}(u, t, \delta)\right| \leqq M \quad i=1,2$ where

$$
\alpha_{1}(u, t, \delta) \equiv \int_{u}^{t-\delta} e^{-C v}[\varphi(v)-\varphi(t)] d v
$$

and

$$
\alpha_{2}(u, t, \delta) \equiv \int_{t+\delta}^{u} e^{-C v}[\varphi(v)-\varphi(t)] d v .
$$

Using the above we estimate $I_{1}$ in case $t-\delta>0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1}= & \frac{(2 \pi k)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{k!}\left(-\frac{k}{t}\right)^{k+1} \\
& \cdot \int_{0}^{t-\delta} \frac{d}{d u}\left\{e^{-k u / t} e^{C u}\left[\frac{k+1}{k}(-u)^{k}+t^{-1}(-u)^{k+1}\right]\right\} \cdot \alpha_{1}(u, t, \delta) d u .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $k \geqq k_{1}(C)$ and for fixed $C, d / d u\{ \}$ is of fixed sign and therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|I_{1}\right| \leqq & \frac{(2 \pi k)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{k!}\left(\frac{k}{t}\right)^{k+1} M \\
& \cdot e^{-k(t-\delta) / t} e^{C(t-\delta)}\left[\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)(t-\delta)^{k}-t^{-1}(t-\delta)^{k+1}\right] \\
\leqq & e^{C(t-\delta)} \cdot M t^{-1} k\left\{e^{\delta / t}\left(1-\frac{\delta}{t}\right)\right\}^{k} \cdot(t-\delta)^{k+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies for fixed $C, \delta<1 / 2 c$ and $k \geqq k_{2}>k_{1}(C)$ that $\left|I_{1}\right| \leqq q^{k}$ for some $q<1$ (when under the assumptions of Theorem 2.4, uniformly in [ $c, d]$ ).

Similar considerations yield for fixed $C$ and $k \geqq k_{3}$ the result $\left|I_{3}\right| \leqq q^{k}, q<1$ (when under the assumptions of Theorem 2.2, uniformly in [ $c, d]$ or if in addition $a=0$, uniformly in ( $0 d$ ]).

We have to estimate $I_{2}$ and we do it first for Theorem 2.1, for which we define $\alpha(u) \equiv \int_{t}^{u}[\varphi(v)-\varphi(t)] d v$, and have therefore

$$
I_{2}=-\frac{(2 \pi k)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{k!}\left(-\frac{k}{t}\right)^{k+1} \int_{t-\delta}^{t+\delta} \frac{d}{d u}\left\{e^{-k u / t}\left[\frac{k+1}{k}(-u)^{k}+t^{-1}(-u)^{k+1}\right]\right\}
$$

$\alpha(u) d u+0\left(q^{k}\right) k \rightarrow \infty q<1$ fixed for any fixed choice of $\delta>0$. We choose $\delta$ so that for $|u-t|<\delta$ in case (a) $|\alpha(u)| \leqq K|u-t|^{1+\gamma}$ and in case (b) $|\alpha(u)| \leqq \varepsilon|u-t|^{1+\gamma}$. A simple calculation shows that

$$
\frac{d}{d u}\left\{e^{-k u}\left(\frac{k+1}{k}(-u)^{k}+t^{-1}(-u)^{k+1}\right)\right\}
$$

has changes of sign at

$$
u=t\left(1+\frac{1}{k} \pm \sqrt{k^{-1}+k^{-2}}\right)
$$

denoted by $u_{1}(k)$ and $u_{2}(k)\left(u_{1}(k)<u_{2}(k)\right)$. Therefore in case (a) (case (b) is similar) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|I_{2}\right| & \leqq K \frac{(2 \pi k)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{k!}\left(\frac{k}{t}\right)^{k+1}\left\{\int_{t-\delta}^{u_{1}(k)}+\int_{u_{1}(k)}^{0}+\int_{0}^{u_{2}(k)}+\int_{u_{2}(k)}^{t+\delta}\right\} \\
& \left|\frac{d}{d u}\left\{e^{-k v}\left(\frac{k+1}{k}(-u)^{k}+t^{-1}(-u)^{k+1}\right)\right\}\right||u-t|^{1+\gamma} d u \\
& \equiv I_{21}+I_{22}+I_{23}+I_{24} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall estimate $I_{24}$ (the rest are similar or easier)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|I_{24}\right| \leqq & K(1+\gamma) \frac{(2 \pi k)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{k!}\left(\frac{k}{t}\right)^{k+1} \frac{1}{t} \int_{u_{2}(k)}^{t+\delta} e^{-k u / t} u^{k}(u-t)^{\gamma} \\
& \cdot\left[(u-t)+\frac{1}{k} t\right] d u \\
+ & K \frac{(2 \pi k)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{k!}\left(\frac{k}{t}\right)^{k+1}\left\{e^{-k(t+\delta)}(t+\delta)^{k}\right\} \delta^{1+\gamma}\left(\frac{\delta}{t}+\frac{1}{k}\right) \\
+ & K \frac{(2 \pi k)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{k!}\left(\frac{k}{t}\right)^{k+1}\left(e^{-u_{2}(k) t /} u_{2}(k)\right)^{k}\left(u_{2}(k)-t\right)^{1+\gamma} \\
& \cdot\left(\frac{u_{2}(k)}{t}-\frac{k+1}{k}\right) \equiv J_{1}+J_{2}+J_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $z e^{-z+1} \leqq 1$ and therefore $e^{k}\left(e^{-u_{2}(k) / t}\left(u_{2}(k) / t\right)\right)^{k} \leqq 1$; also $u_{2}(k)-t<2 t k^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and

$$
\frac{u_{2}(k)}{t}-\frac{k+1}{k}<2 k^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text { for } k>k_{4}
$$

so we have

$$
J_{3} \leqq 8 K t^{\gamma} k^{-\gamma / 2}
$$

By a method already employed here $J_{2} \leqq q^{k}$ where $q<1$.
Let us estimate $J_{1}$ now

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{1} \leqq & K(1+\gamma) \frac{(2 \pi k)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{k!}\left(\frac{k}{t}\right)^{k+1}\left\{\frac{1}{t} \int_{t}^{t+\delta} e^{-k u / t} u^{k}(u-t)^{1+\gamma} d u\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{k} \int_{t}^{t+\delta} e^{-k u / t} u^{k}(u-t)^{\gamma} d u\right\} \\
= & (1+\eta(k)) K(1+\gamma) t^{\gamma} k\left\{\int_{1}^{1+(\delta / t)} e^{-k(z-1)} z^{k}(z-1)^{1+\gamma} d z\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{k} \int_{1}^{1+(\delta / t)} e^{-k(z-1)} z^{k}(z-1)^{\gamma} d z\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\eta(k)=o(1) k \rightarrow \infty$.
Since $z e^{-(z-1)} \leqq e^{-(z-1)^{2} / 4}$ in $0 \leqq z \leqq 2$ which is derived from the fact that in $0 \leqq z \leqq 2$ the only relative maximum of $z \exp \left(-(z-1)+(z-1)^{2} / 4\right)$ is.at $\left.z=1\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{1} & \leqq(1+\eta(k)) K(1+\gamma) t^{\gamma} k\left\{\int_{1}^{\min (2,1+(\delta / t))} \exp \left(-k(z-1)^{2} / 4\right)\right. \\
& \cdot\left\{(z-1)^{1+\gamma}+\frac{1}{k}(z-1)^{\gamma}\right\} d z \\
& \left.+\int_{\min (2,1+(\delta / t))}^{1+(\delta / t)} e^{-k(z-1)} z^{k}\left\{(z-1)^{1+\gamma}+\frac{1}{k}(z-1)^{\gamma}\right\} d z\right\} \leqq(1+\eta(k)) \\
& \cdot k^{-\gamma / 2} K(1+\gamma) t^{\gamma / 2} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-v^{2} / 4}\left\{v^{1+\gamma}+k^{-\frac{1}{2}} v^{\gamma}\right\} d v+q^{k} \\
& \leqq 2 K(1+\gamma) t^{\gamma} k^{-\gamma / 2} \cdot L .
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $L=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-v^{2} / 4} v^{1+\gamma} d v$ which concludes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Estimating $I_{2}$ for Theorem 2.2 we choose as $\delta$

$$
\delta=\min \left(\delta_{1}, c-a, d-b\right)
$$

or in the case when $a=0 \delta=\min \left(\delta_{1}, d-b\right)$ where $\delta_{1}$ is defined in Theorem 2.2.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|I_{2}\right| \leqq \mid K & \frac{(2 \pi k)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{k!}\left(\frac{k}{t}\right)^{k+1}\left\{\int_{\max (t-\delta, 0)}^{t}+\int_{t}^{t+\delta}\right\} \\
& \cdot e^{-k u / t}\left(\left.\frac{k+1}{k}(u)^{k}+t^{-1}(-u)^{k+1}(\varphi(u)-\varphi(t)) d u \right\rvert\,\right. \\
& \leqq K \frac{(2 \pi k)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{k!}\left(\frac{k}{t}\right)^{k+1}\left(\frac{k+1}{k}\right)\left\{\int_{\max (t-\delta, 0)}^{t} e^{-k u / t} u^{k}(t-u)^{1+\delta} d u\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\frac{1}{t} \int_{t}^{t+\delta} e^{-k u / t} u^{k+1}(u-t)^{1+\delta} d u\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Following similar calculations to those used in estimating $J_{3}$ we conclude the proof.
Q.E.D.

## 3. The behaviour of the determining function and a representation theorem

For the Laplace transform $f(x)=L_{I}[\varphi, x] \varphi$ is called the determining function and $f(x)$ the generating function of the transform. (See also [3]).

The following is the main result of the section.
Theorem 3.1. Suppose $f(x)=L_{I}[\varphi, x]$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
|J[t, k ; t]| \leqq K k^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text { for } t \in(a, b) \text { for some } K>0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exists a function $\psi(t)$ which is equal to $\varphi(t)$ in $L_{1}[a, b]$ norm such that for every $\delta>0$ there exists a $K$ that satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left|\psi\left(t_{1}\right)-\psi\left(t_{2}\right)\right| \leqq K\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right| \cdot \min \left(\frac{2}{t_{1}}, \frac{2}{t_{2}}\right)  \tag{3.2}\\
& t_{i} \in(a, b) i=1,2 .
\end{align*}
$$

We shall need for the proof of Theorem 3.1 the following representation theorem for the Laplace transform (which I have not been able to find in this form) which is a corollary of some well known results.

For the result one has to define the operator $L_{k, t}[f]$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{k, t}[f]=\frac{(-1)^{k}}{k!}\left(\frac{k}{t}\right)^{k+1} f^{(k)}\left(\frac{k}{t}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.2. Necessary and sufficient conditions for $f(x)$ to be a Laplace transform of $\varphi(t)$ with $\varphi(t) \in L_{1}(0, R)$ for all $R>0$ which converges for $x>C$ are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{(k+1) / R}^{\infty} \frac{u^{k}}{k!}\left|f^{(k+1)}(u)\right| d u<N_{R}  \tag{1}\\
& k=k_{0}(R, C)+1, k_{0}+2, \cdots \text { for every } R>0
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\substack{j \rightarrow \infty \\ k \rightarrow \infty}} \int_{0}^{R}\left|L_{j, t}[f]-L_{k, t}[f]\right| d t=0 \text { for every } R>0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3) For each $\varepsilon>0$ there exists an $M_{\varepsilon}$ such that

$$
\left|\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{u^{k}}{k!} f^{(k+1)}(u+C) d u\right| \leqq M_{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{x}{x-\varepsilon}\right)^{k+1} \text { for } x>\varepsilon
$$

Proof. This Theorem is a corollary of various Theorems proved by D. V. Widder. By a slight modification of Theorem 18.b of [3, pp. 322-324] conditions (1) and (3) are necessary and sufficient for $f(x)$ to be a Laplace Stieltjes transform of $\alpha(t)$ of bounded variation in every finite interval.

To prove sufficiency we observe that by Theorem 10 of [3, pp. 299-301] formula (1) is equivalent now to

$$
\int_{0}^{R}\left|L_{k, t}[f]\right| d t \leqq N_{R}^{t} \text { for all } R>0
$$

By formula (2) we obtain that $L_{k, t}[f]$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L_{1}(0, R)$ and therefore there exists a function $\varphi(t)$ which is their limit and $\varphi(t) \in L_{1}(0, R)$, (for all $R>0$ ).

By substitution we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{v} L_{k, t}[f] d t & =\frac{(-1)^{k}}{k!} \int_{0}^{v}\left(\frac{k}{t}\right)^{k+1} f^{(k)}\left(\frac{k}{t}\right) d t \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \int_{0}^{\infty} u^{k-1} f^{(k)}(u) d u
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore $\alpha(t)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{t} L_{k, v}[f] d v=\int_{0}^{t} \varphi(v) d v$.
For all finite $N, x>\varepsilon>0$ one has by the proof of Theorem 8.b of [3. p. 322]

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x)= & \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x t} d \alpha(t)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} x \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x t}\left\{\int_{0}^{t} L_{k, v}[f] d v\right\} d t \\
= & \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} x \int_{0}^{N} e^{-x t}\left\{\int_{0}^{t} L_{k, v}[f] d v\right\} d t+\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} x \int_{N}^{\infty} e^{-x t}\left\{\int_{0}^{t} L_{k, v}[f] d v\right\} d t \\
= & \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{N} e^{-x t} L_{k, t}[f] d t+\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} e^{-x N} \int_{0}^{N} L_{k, v}[f] d v \\
& +\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} x \int_{N}^{\infty} e^{-x t}\left\{\int_{0}^{t} L_{k, v}[f] d v\right\} d t=\int_{0}^{N} e^{-x t} \varphi(t) d t+0(1) N \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

which concludes the proof of sufficiency.
To prove necessity one has to show only that (2) is necessary. We estimate $\left\|L_{k, t}[f]-\varphi(t)\right\|_{L_{1}(0, R)}$ as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{R}\left|L_{k, t}[f]-\varphi(t)\right| d t \leqq \frac{k^{k+1}}{k!} \int_{0}^{R} d t\left\{\int_{0}^{2}+\int_{2}^{\infty}\right\} e^{-k u} u^{k}|\varphi(t u)-\varphi(t)| d u \\
& \quad \leqq \frac{k^{k+1}}{k!} \int_{0}^{2} e^{-k u} u^{k}\left\{\int_{0}^{R}|\varphi(t u)-\varphi(t)| d t\right\} d u+R \max _{0<t<R} \frac{k^{k+1}}{k!} \int_{2}^{\infty} e^{-k u} u^{k} \\
& \quad \cdot|\varphi(t u)-\varphi(t)| d u .
\end{aligned}
$$

While the second term is obviously tending to zero (as $q^{k} q<1$ ) the first term tends also to zero as can be proved by repeating almost verbatim the proof of Theorem 17 (a) of [3, p. 318].
Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. By Theorem 3.2 $L_{k, t}[f]$ converges to $\varphi(t)$ in $L_{1}(0, R)$ (for every $\left.R>0\right)$ and therefore in $L_{1}(a, b)$ and therefore in measure on $(a, b)$. This implies that there exists a subsequence of $L_{k, t}[f]$, say $L_{k(i), t}[f]$, that converges to $\varphi(t)$ almost everywhere in $(a, b)$. We shall prove that the sequence $L_{k(i), t}[f]$ converges pointwise in $(a, b)$ to $\psi(t)$ which obviously is equal to $\varphi(t)$ in $L_{1}(a, b)$. Suppose at $t_{0} L_{k(i), t}[f]$ does not converge, then since $L_{k(i), t}[f]$ converges to $\varphi(t)$ a.e. in $(a, b)$ there exists a sequence
$t_{j} \varepsilon(a, b), t_{j} \rightarrow t_{0}$ where $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} t_{j}=t_{0}$ and the limits $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} L_{k(i), t_{j}}[f]$ exist. We shall prove $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} L_{k(i), t_{j}}[f]$ exists and is equal to $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} L_{k(i), t_{0}}[f]$ (which also exists). To show that $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} L_{k(i), t_{j}}[f]$ is Cauchy sequence in $j$ we use the mean value theorem as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{k, t_{j(1)}}[f]-L_{k, t_{j(2)}}[f]=\left(t_{j(1)}-t_{j(2)}\right)\left\{\frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k!}\left(\frac{k}{\zeta}\right)^{k+1}\right.  \tag{3.3}\\
& \left.\quad \cdot\left[\frac{k+1}{k} f^{(k)}\left(\frac{k}{\zeta}\right)+\frac{k}{\zeta^{2}} f^{k+1}\left(\frac{k}{\zeta}\right)\right]\right\}=\left(t_{j(1)}-t_{j(2)}\right)\left(\frac{k}{2 \pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{\zeta} J[f, k, \zeta]
\end{align*}
$$

where $\zeta$ is between $t_{j(1)}$ and $t_{j(2)}$.
Formula (3.3) is valid for every $k$ and therefore using (3.1) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|L_{k(i), t_{j(1)}}[f]-L_{k(i), t_{j(2)}}[f]\right| \leqq K\left|t_{j(1)}-t_{j(2)}\right|\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{2}{t_{0}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} L_{k(i) t_{j(1)}}[f]-\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} L_{k(i) t_{(2)}}[f]\right| \leqq K\left|t_{j(1)}-t_{j(2)}\right|\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{2}{t_{0}} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequality (3.5) implies that $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} L_{k_{(i)} t_{j}}[f]$ is a Cauchy sequence in $j$.

Define $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} L_{k_{(i)}, t_{j}}[f]=A$. Since one can replace $t_{j(1)}$ by $t_{j}$ and $t_{j(2)}$ by $t_{0}$ in (3.4) it is easy to see that $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} L_{k(i), t_{0}}[f]=A$. Now every point in ( $a, b$ ) can replace $t_{j(i)}$ and $t_{j(2)}$ in (3.4) and (3.5) and therefore (3.2).
Q.E.D.

Remark 3.3. A combination of Theorems 2.2, 3.1 and 3.2 form a kind of representation theorem for functions satisfying Lipschitz conditions.

## 4. The Laplace Stieltjes transform

Analoguos to the theorems of sections 2 and 3 for the Laplace Stieltjes transform can also be obtained.

Theorem 4.1. Suppose $f(s)=L S_{I}[\alpha, x]$ and for some real $a, b$ satisfying $0 \leqq a \leqq b \leqq \infty$ there exists $a \delta, 0 \leqq \delta \leqq 1, K>0$ and $\delta_{1}>0$ such that for each $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}, a<t_{1} \leqq t_{2}<b$ satisfying $\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|<\delta_{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\alpha\left(t_{1}\right)-\alpha\left(t_{2}\right)\right|<K\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|^{\gamma} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists an $M$ so that for $k \geqq k_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
|I[f, k, t]| \leqq K \cdot M \cdot k^{-\gamma / 2} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly for $t \in[c, d] a<c<d<b$.
Theorem 4.2. Suppose $f(x)=L S_{I}[\alpha, x]$ and let for some $K>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
|I[f, k ; t]| \leqq K k^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text { for } t \in(a, b) 0<a<b<\infty \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\beta(t)$ satisfies for some $M<0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\alpha\left(t_{1}\right)-\alpha\left(t_{2}\right)\right|<K M\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right| \quad t_{i} \in(a, b) i=1,2 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of Theorem 4.1 is similar to that of Theorem 2.2 but a little simpler. The proof of Theorem 4.2 is similar to part of the proof of Theorem 3.1 using here Theorem 8.b of [3, p. 322] instead of Theorem 3.2 there.
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