

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

SHEN-CHI TANG

**A theorem on Riesz summability $(R, \omega, 2)$
on Banach space**

Compositio Mathematica, tome 17 (1965-1966), p. 167-171

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1965-1966__17__167_0

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1965-1966, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

A theorem on Riesz summability $(R, \omega, 2)$ on Banach space

by

Šhen-Chi Tang

A series $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i$ is said to be summable $(R, \omega, 2)$, to sum s , if

$$R_{\omega}^2 = \sum_{i \leq \omega} \left(1 - \frac{i}{\omega}\right)^2 x_i$$

tends to a limit s , as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$. R_{ω}^2 is called the Riesz mean of second order, $(R, \omega, 2)$, of $\sum x_i$. Similarly, we say that $\sum x_i/i^p$ is summable x^* , if

$$R_{\omega}^2(p) = \sum_{i \leq \omega} \left(1 - \frac{i}{\omega}\right)^2 \frac{x_i}{i^p} \rightarrow x^*, \text{ as } \omega \rightarrow \infty.$$

On the other hand, Cèsaro summability, $(C, 2)$, of $\sum x_i$ or $\sum x_i/i^p$ is defined as follows:

$$\sigma_n^2 = \sum_{i=0}^n \left(1 - \frac{i}{n+1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{i}{n+2}\right) x_i$$

or

$$\sigma_n^2(p) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{i}{n+1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{i}{n+2}\right) \frac{x_i}{i^p}.$$

The relationship between these two summabilities in our case can be reduced to the following lemma:

LEMMA.

$$(1) \quad \sigma_n^2 = \frac{2}{(n+1)(n+2)} \left\{ 2\left(n + \frac{1}{4}\right)^2 R_{n+\frac{1}{4}}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 R_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^2 + 4\left(n + \frac{3}{4}\right)^2 R_{n+\frac{3}{4}}^2 \right\},$$

$$(2) \quad R_{\omega}^2(p) = \frac{1}{2\omega^2} \left\{ (n+1)(n+2)\lambda^2 \sigma_n^2(p) + n(n+1)(1+2\lambda-2\lambda^2) \sigma_{n-1}^2(p) + (n-1)n(1-\lambda)^2 \sigma_{n-2}^2(p) \right\}$$

where $\omega = n + \lambda$, $0 \leq \lambda < 1$. Since its truth is trivial, we omit the prove here.

A normed linear space becomes a metric space if the distance $d(x, y)$ is defined as $\|x - y\|$, and it is called a Banach space if it is complete in this metric. Banach space is generally a complex space. However, it is assumed to be a real Banach space throughout this paper. But our conclusions can readily be generalized to complex case.

The purpose of this paper is to prove Theorem A.

THEOREM A. *Assume that $0 \leq q < 1$ and $0 < p < q$. If there exists an element $x \in X$, where X is a Banach space, such that*

$$\|R_\omega^2(q) - x\| = O(\omega^{-q})$$

then we can choose another element x^ from X such that*

$$\|R_\omega^2(-p) - x^*\| = O(\omega^{-q+p}).$$

PROOF. Our proof depends on the following relations. From (1) and hypothesis, we have

$$(3) \quad \|\sigma_n^2 - x\| = O(n^{-q}).$$

If, based on (1), we can choose $x^* \in X$ such that

$$(4) \quad \|\sigma_n^2 - x^*\| = O(n^{-q+p}) = O(\omega^{-q+p}),$$

then,

$$\|R_\omega^2(-p) - x^*\| = O(n^{-q+p}) = O(\omega^{-q+p})$$

follows. The crucial point in the proof is how to deduce (4) from (3). If we suppose $x = 0$, (3) is

$$\|\sigma_n^2\| = O(n^{-q})$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_k^2(-p) - \sigma_{k-1}^2(-p) &= \sum_{i=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{i}{k+1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{i}{k+2}\right) \frac{x_k}{i^{-p}} \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(1 - \frac{i}{k+1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{i}{k+2}\right) \frac{x_k}{i^{-p}} \\ &= 2 \left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^k i^{1+p} x_i}{k(k+2)} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k i^{2+p} x_i}{k(k+1)(k+2)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Set $m > n$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_m^2(-p) - \sigma_n^2(-p) &= \sum_{k=n+1}^m [\sigma_k^2(-p) - \sigma_{k-1}^2(-p)] \\ &= 2 \left[\sum_{k=n+1}^m \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k i^{1+p} x_i}{k(k+2)} - \sum_{k=n+1}^m \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k i^{2+p} x_i}{k(k+1)(k+2)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$Y_i = \sum_{j=1}^i x_j, \quad Y'_i = \sum_{j=1}^i Y_j, \quad \Delta_1(i) = i^{1+p} - (i+1)^{1+p},$$

$$\Delta_j(i) = \Delta_{j-1}(i) - \Delta_{j-1}(i+1).$$

Then,

$$Y'_i = \frac{1}{2}[(i+1)(i+2)\sigma_i^2 - i(i+1)\sigma_{i-1}^2].$$

By the "3rd Abel Transformation", we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^k i^{1+p} x_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k \Delta_3(i) \cdot (i+1)(i+2)\sigma_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} \Delta_2(k+1) \cdot (k+1)(k+2)\sigma_k^2$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \Delta_1(k+1) \{ (k+1)(k+2)\sigma_k^2 - k(k+1)\sigma_{k-1}^2 \} + (k+1)^{1+p} Y_k$$

$$= \sum'_{1k} + \sum'_{2k} + \sum'_{3k} + \sum'_{4k}.$$

From (5) and $\Delta_j(i) = O(i^{p+1-j})$,

$$\left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^m \frac{\sum'_{1k}}{k(k+2)} \right\| = \sum_{k=n+1}^m O\left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right) \left[\sum_{i=1}^k O(i^{p-2}) O(i^2) O\left(\frac{1}{i^q}\right) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{k=n+1}^m O\left(\frac{1}{k^{-p+q+1}}\right) = O(n^{p-q}),$$

$$\left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^m \frac{\sum'_{2k}}{k(k+2)} \right\| = \sum_{k=n+1}^m O\left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right) O(k^{p-1} \cdot k^2 \cdot k^{-q}) = O(n^{p-q}).$$

And

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^m \frac{\sum'_{3k}}{k(k+2)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=n+1}^m \left[\frac{(k+1)^{1+p} - (k+2)^{1+p}}{k(k+2)} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{(k+2)^{1+p} - (k+3)^{1+p}}{(k+1)(k+3)} \right] (k+1)(k+2)\sigma_k^2$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \frac{(n+2)^{1+p} - (n+3)^{1+p}}{(n+1)(n+3)} (n+1)(n+2)\sigma_n^2$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{(m+2)^{1+p} - (m+3)^{1+p}}{(m+1)(m+3)} (m+1)(m+2)\sigma_m^2,$$

$$\frac{(k+1)^{1+p} - (k+2)^{1+p}}{k(k+2)} - \frac{(k+2)^{1+p} - (k+3)^{1+p}}{(k+1)(k+3)} = O(k^{p-3}).$$

Hence,

$$\left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^m \frac{\sum'_{3k}}{k(k+2)} \right\| = O\left(\sum_{k=n+1}^m k^{p-3} \cdot k^2 \cdot k^{-q}\right) + O(n^{p-q}) + O(m^{p-q})$$

$$= O(n^{p-q}).$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=n+1}^m \frac{\sum'_{4k}}{k(k+2)} &= \sum_{k=n+1}^m \frac{(k+1)^{1+p}}{k(k+2)} Y_k \\ &= \sum_{k=n+1}^m \left[\frac{(k+1)^{1+p}}{k(k+2)} - \frac{(k+2)^{1+p}}{(k+1)(k+3)} \right] Y'_k \\ &\quad + \frac{(m+2)^{1+p}}{(m+1)(m+3)} Y'_m - \frac{(n+2)^{1+k}}{(n+1)(n+3)} Y'_n. \end{aligned}$$

Let \sum_{mn} denote the first term of the above equality. And we employ the first Abel Transformation for it.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{mn} &= \sum_{k=n+1}^m \left[\frac{(k+1)^{1+p}}{k(k+2)} - \frac{2(k+2)^{1+p}}{(k+1)(k+3)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{(k+3)^{1+p}}{(k+2)(k+4)} \right] (k+1)(k+2)\sigma_k^2 \\ &\quad + \left[\frac{(m+2)^{1+p}}{(m+1)(m+3)} - \frac{(m+3)^{1+p}}{(m+2)(m+4)} \right] (m+1)(m+2)\sigma_m^2 \\ &\quad - \left[\frac{(n+2)^{1+p}}{(n+1)(n+3)} - \frac{(n+3)^{1+p}}{(n+2)(n+4)} \right] (n+1)(n+2)\sigma_n^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|\sum_{mn}\| = O\left(\sum_{k=n+1}^m k^{-p-3} \cdot k^2 \cdot k^{-q}\right) + O(n^{p-q}) + O(m^{-p-q}) = O(n^{p-q}).$$

From the previous results, we have

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^m \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k i^{1+p} x_i}{k(k+2)} = \sum'_{mn} + \frac{(m+2)^{1+p}}{(m+1)(m+3)} Y'_m - \frac{(n+2)^{1+p}}{(n+1)(n+3)} Y'_n$$

where

$$\|\sum'_{mn}\| = O(n^{p-q}).$$

Similarly, if we set

$$\Delta'_1(i) = i^{2+p} - (i+1)^{2+p}, \quad \Delta'_j(i) = \Delta'_{j-1}(i) - \Delta'_{j-1}(i+1),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k (i)^{2+p} x_i &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k \Delta'_3(i)(i+1)(i+2)\sigma_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} \Delta'_2(k+1) \cdot (k+1)(k+2)\sigma_k^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \Delta'_1(k+1)\{(k+1)(k+2)\sigma_k^2 - k(k+1)\sigma_{k-1}^2\} + (k+1)^{(2+p)} Y_k \\ &= \sum_{1k}^2 + \sum_{2k}^2 + \sum_{3k}^2 + \sum_{4k}^2. \end{aligned}$$

It is ready to prove

$$\left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^m \frac{\sum_{j=k}^2}{k(k+1)(k+2)} \right\| = O(n^{p-a}) \quad (j = 1, 2, 3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=n+1}^m \frac{\sum_{j=k}^2}{k(k+1)(k+2)} &= \sum_{mn}^* + \frac{(m+2)^{2+p}}{(m+1)(m+2)m+3} Y'_m \\ &\quad - \frac{(n+2)^{2+p}}{(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)} Y'_n \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\|\sum_{mn}^*\| = O(n^{p-a}).$$

Hence

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^m \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k i^{2+p} x_i}{k(k+1)(k+2)} = \sum_{mn}^2 + \frac{(m+2)^{1+p}}{(m+1)(m+3)} Y'_m - \frac{(n+1)^{1+p}}{(n+1)(n+3)} Y'_n,$$

where

$$\|\sum_{mn}^2\| = O(n^{p-a}).$$

Finally,

$$\|\sigma_m^2(-p) - \sigma_n^2(-p)\| = 2\|\sum_{mn}^1 - \sum_{mn}^2\| = O(n^{p-a}).$$

Since X is a complete space, there exists $x^* \in X$ such that

$$\|\sigma_m^2(-p) - x^*\| \rightarrow 0,$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$. We have completed our proof.

(Oblatum 18-4-64)