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# On the directions of Borel of meromorphic functions of finite order $>\frac{1}{2}$ 

by

Kwok Ping Lee *)<br>Paris

The object of this paper is to prove the following:
Theorem IV. $f(z)$ is a meromorphic function of finite order $\varrho>\frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{2}}$. Let $V(r)$ be a continuous function satisfying the conditions $\left.(\mathbf{E})^{1}\right)$. Suppose that, in an angle $A$ of vertex 0 and of measure $\frac{\pi}{k}\left(\frac{1}{2}<k<\varrho\right)$, we have

$$
\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, a, A)}{V(r)}=\beta>0
$$

for a value of $a$.
There exists, in an arbitrary angle $A^{\prime}$ containing $A$ and of vertex 0, at least one semi-infinite line $D$ such that for an arbitrary angle $\Omega$ of vertex 0 and of bisector $D$, we have

$$
\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(r, \pi, \Omega)}{V(r)}>0
$$

for all elements $\pi$, except at the most two, in the family $K(\eta, f)$, where $K(\eta, f)$ denotes the aggregate of all the distinct constants and the meromorphic functions $\pi(z)$ satisfying

$$
T(r, \pi)<\eta(r) V(r), \quad r>r_{0}(\pi), \quad \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \eta(r) V(r)=\infty
$$

where $\eta(r)$ is an infinitesimal.
In reality, the foregoing theorem is a complement to the theorem due to Valiron as follows:

Theorem of Valiron ${ }^{2}$ ). $f(z)$ is a meromorphic function of

[^0]finite order $\varrho>\frac{1}{2}$. Let $V(r)$ be a continuous function satisfying the conditions ( $\mathbf{E}$ ). Suppose that, in an angle $A$ of vertex 0 and of measure $\frac{\pi}{k}\left(\frac{1}{2}<k<\varrho\right)$, we have
$$
\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, a, A)}{V(r)}=\beta>0
$$
for a value of $a$, and let $A^{\prime}$ be an arbitrary angle containing $A$ and of vertex 0.

There exist three positive finite numbers $h, h_{1}, h_{2}$ and an infinite sequence of positive numbers $\left(R_{m}\right)$, such that

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T\left(R_{m}, f\right)}{\log R_{m}}=\varrho, \quad R_{m+1}>h R_{m}
$$

in relation with the following property: in the region $\Delta_{m}$, being the common region of $A^{\prime}$ and the circular ring $R_{m}<|z|<h R_{m}$, the function $f(z)$ takes $k T\left(R_{m}, f\right)$ times all values $c$ except at the most two provided that $m>m_{s}$ where $h_{1}<k<h_{2}$.

In the whole paper, $n(r, \varphi, \Omega)$ denotes the number of zeros of the function $f(z)-\varphi(z)$ in the common part of the region $\Omega$ and the circle $|z| \leqq r$; and $N(r, \varphi, \Omega)$ denotes the corresponding density

$$
\int_{0}^{r} \frac{n(r, \varphi, \Omega)-n(0, \varphi, \Omega)}{r} d r+n(\mathbf{0}, \varphi, \Omega) \log r .
$$

1. The present work is based principally upon the following

Theorem of Rauch ${ }^{3}$ ). Let $f(z), P(z), Q(z), R(z)$ be four distinct meromorphic functions in a region ( $\Delta$ ) and ( $D$ ) a region contained in ( $\Delta$ ). Divide the region ( $D$ ) into $p$ partial regions $\left(D_{i}\right)$ and let $\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)$ be the circle concentric to the smallest circle containing $\left(D_{i}\right)$ and radius 20 times larger. Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:
$\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { The number of the seros of } f(z)-c \text { in }(D) \text { is superior to } \\ M \text { for all values of } c \text { in a certain circle of radius } \frac{1}{2} \text { on the } \\ \text { Riemann sphere. }\end{array}\right.$
$\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)\left\{\frac{1}{\text { area of }\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)} \iint_{\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)} \log \left(|P(z)+Q(z)|+\frac{1}{|P(z)-Q(z)|}+\right.\right.$

$$
\left.+\frac{1}{|Q(z)-R(z)|}+\frac{1}{|R(z)-P(z)|}\right) d \sigma<\frac{M}{p} .
$$

[^1]$\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right)\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { The number of the zeros and poles of the functions } P(z), \\ Q(z), R(z), P(z)-Q(z), Q(z)-R(z), R(z)-P(z) \text { in } \\ \text { in }(\Delta) \text { is inferior to } \varepsilon \frac{M}{p}, \text { where } \varepsilon \text { is a numerical constant } \\ <\alpha<1 .\end{array}\right.$
Then there exists at least one circle $\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)$ in which the number of the zeros of the function $f(z)-\pi(z)$ is superior to $c_{1} \frac{M}{p}$ for at least one function $\pi(z)$ out of the three $P(z), Q(z), R(z)$, where $c_{1}$ is a numerical constant.

This theorem is valid when $\frac{M}{p}$ is superior to a numerical constant.
It is to be remarked that, for the condition $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$, the numbers of the zeros and the poles of the constans 0 and $\infty$ are counted as zero.
2. Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function of positive finite order $\varrho$. We are going to show that there exist continuous functions $V(r)$ adjoined to the characteristic function $T(r, f)$ of $f(z)$, satisfying the following conditions:

$$
(\mathbf{E})\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\quad \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{V(h r)}{V(r)}=h^{\varrho}, \quad \text { for every } h>0, \\
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log V(r)}{\log r}=\varrho, \\
T(r, f) \leqq V(r), \quad r>r_{0}, \\
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f)}{V(r)}=1 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

In fact, after Valiron ${ }^{4}$ ), there exist continuous functions $\varrho(r)$ differentiable in adjacent closed intervals of which the end points are finite in number at finite distance, satisfying the following conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \varrho(r)=\varrho, \quad \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left(r \varrho^{\prime}(r) \log r\right)=0,  \tag{1}\\
& T(r, f) \leqq r^{\varrho(r)}, \quad \overline{\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{T(r, f)}{r^{\varrho(r)}}=1
\end{align*}
$$

$\varrho(r)$ is known as a proximate order of $f(z)$. If we put $V(r)=r^{\varrho(r)}$, evidently, the last three conditions in (E) are satisfied. Let us show that it satisfies also the first condition.

[^2]The case $h=1$ is trivial, and the case $h<1$ follows immediately from the case $h>1$. Consider this case. Put

$$
r=e^{x}, \quad V(r)=e^{x \omega(x)}, \quad \omega(x)=\varrho\left(e^{x}\right)
$$

then

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \omega(x)=\varrho
$$

and from (1) the first condition in $(\mathbf{E})$ is equivalent to
(2) $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}[(H+x) \omega(H+x)-x \omega(x)]=\varrho H, \quad H=\log h$.

But

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}[(H+x) \omega(H+x)-x \omega(x)]= \\
& =\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_{x}^{x+H}(x \omega(x))^{\prime} d x=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_{x}^{x+H} x \omega^{\prime}(x) d x+H \varrho
\end{aligned}
$$

and from (1)

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_{x}^{x+H} x\left(\omega^{\prime}(x) d x=0\right.
$$

hence we have (2) and we see that the function $V(r)=r^{\varrho(r)}$ satisfies all the conditions (E).
3. In this section, we shall prove the theorem as follows which is analogous to the foregoing theorem of Valiron and also of fundamental importance:

Theorem I. $f(z)$ is a meromorphic function of finite order $\varrho>\frac{1}{2}$. Let $V(r)$ be a continuous function satisfying the conditions $(\mathbf{E})$. Suppose that for an angle $A$ of vertex 0 and of measure $\frac{\pi}{k}\left(\frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{2}}<k<\varrho\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, a, A)}{V(r)}=\beta>0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a value of $a$.
There eaists at least one sequence $\left(R_{n}\right)$ of values of $r, \lim R_{n}=\infty$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
n[S(n, B), f=c]>K\left(\varrho, k^{\prime}\right) V\left(R_{n}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all values of $c$ in a certain circle $\left(C_{n}\right)$ of radius $\frac{1}{2}$ on the Riemann sphere, where $n[S(n, B), f==c]$ denotes the number of the zeros of $f(z)-c$ in the common part $S(n, B)$ of the ring $\frac{R_{n}}{1+S} \leqq|z| \leqq R_{n}$
and an arbitrary angle $B$ containing $A$, of vertex 0 and of measure $\frac{\pi}{k^{\prime}}\left(\frac{1}{2}<k^{\prime}<k\right)$, and $s$ is a suitably chosen positive constant.

This theorem is established in modifying a method due to Valiron as follows.

From the second fundamental theorem of R. Nevanlinna, we see easily that for every value of a except at the most two, there exists at least one angle $A$ of vertex 0 and of measure $\frac{\pi}{k}\left(\frac{1}{2}<k<\varrho\right)$, such that (3) is satisfied. Hence, that hypothesis is possible.

It follows from (3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(r, a, A)<(1+\varepsilon) \varrho e V(r) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $r>r_{0}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(r, a, A)>\beta H(\varrho) V(r) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a sequence of values of $r$ tending to infinity.
Let $B$ be an arbitrary angle containing $A$, of vertex 0 and of measure $\frac{\pi}{k}\left(\frac{1}{2}<k<\varrho\right)$. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that the bisectors of $A$ and $B$ coincide with the positive real axis. Make the transformation $Z=z^{-k^{\prime}}$, where $Z$ is real when $z$ is so, and then the transformation $Z=1-z$, so that the function $f(z)$ in the angle $B$ corresponds to a meromorphic function $F(z)$ in the unit circle $|z|<1$. From (6) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(r, F=a)>\beta H_{1}(\varrho) V(r) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a sequence of values of $r$ tending to 1 . Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& T\left(r, \frac{1}{F-a}\right) \geqq N(r, a)>(1-r) n(2 r-1, F=a)> \\
& \quad>\beta H_{2}(\varrho)(\mathbf{1}-r) V\left[\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{1}{k^{\prime}}}\right] \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

for a sequence of values of $r$ tending to 1 . But

$$
T\left(r, \frac{1}{F-a}\right)=T(r, F)+h(r, a)
$$

$h(r, a)$ being bounded when $a$ is fixed, therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(r, F)>\beta H_{\mathbf{3}}(\varrho)(\mathbf{1}-r) V\left[\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{1}{k^{\prime}}}\right] \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a sequence of values of $r$ tending to 1 . On the other hand, it is known that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.T(r, F)<H_{4}(\varrho)(1-r) V\left[\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}\right]^{5}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $r>r_{0}$, which shows that the hypothesis is essential and that $F(z)$ is of finite order.

The foregoing calculations are due to Valiron.
Now, from another well known theorem of Valiron ${ }^{6}$ ), we deduce that there exists at least one circle $C(r)$ of radius $\frac{1}{2}$ on the Riemann sphere, such that for all values $c$ in that circle, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(r, F=c)>\frac{\beta}{4} H_{3}(\varrho)(1-r) V\left[\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{1}{k^{\prime}}}\right] \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all values of $r>r_{0}^{1}$ in the sequence for which (9) is satisfied, and that for all values of $R>R_{0}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
T\left(R, \frac{\mathbf{1}}{F-c}\right)<T(R, F)+C(F)  \tag{12}\\
T\left(R, \frac{\mathbf{1}}{f-c}\right)<T(R, f)+C_{1}(f) \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

$C(F), C_{1}(f)$ being constants depending only upon $F(z)$ and $f(z)$ respectively.

From (9), (10), (12), we have for all values of $c$ in $C(r)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(r, F=c)>H\left(\varrho, k^{\prime}\right) V(r) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for at least one sequence of values of $r$ tending toward $\infty$. In passing back to $f(z)$, it follows from (14), for all values of $c$ in $\left(C_{n}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
n\left(R_{n}, c, B\right)>H_{1}\left(\varrho, k^{\prime}\right) V\left(R_{n}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for at least one sequence $\left(R_{n}\right)$ tending toward infinity with $n$. Moreover, from (13) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
n\left(\frac{R_{n}}{1+S}, c, B\right)<\mu\left(\frac{2}{1+S}\right)^{\varrho} V\left(R_{n}\right) \quad\left(\frac{R_{n}}{1+S}>R_{0}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all values of $c$ in ( $C$ ).
It follows from (15) and (16), by choosing $s$ such that $H_{1}\left(\varrho, k^{\prime}\right)-\mu\left(\frac{2}{1+S}\right)^{\varrho}>K\left(\varrho, k^{\prime}\right)$,

[^3]$$
n(S(n, B), f=c)>K\left(\varrho, k^{\prime}\right) V\left(R_{n}\right),
$$
where $n(S(n, B), f-c)$ denotes the number of zeros of the function $f(z)-c$ in the region $S(n, B)$ common to $B$ and the ring $\frac{R_{n}}{1+S}<|z| \leqq R_{n}$, and for all values of $c$ in $\left(C_{n}\right)$.
Thus the foregoing theorem is proved.
4. For the sake of convenience, we shall employ the following notation. Let $T^{*}(r, \varphi)$ be $T(r, \varphi)$ if $\varphi(z) \not \equiv \infty$, and be 0 if $\varphi(z) \equiv \infty$. Let $C^{*}(\varphi)$ be $C(\varphi)=T\left(r, \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\varphi}\right)-T(r, \varphi)$ if $\varphi(z) \not \equiv \mathbf{0}, \infty$, and be 0 if $\varphi(z) \equiv 0, \infty . T(r, \varphi)$ is the characteristic function of $\varphi(z)$.

Theorem II. $f(z)$ is a meromorphic function of finite order $\varrho>\frac{1}{2}$. Let $V(r)$ be a continuous function satisfying the conditions $(\mathbf{E})$. Suppose that for an ansle $A$ of vertex 0 and of measure $\frac{\pi}{k}\left(\frac{1}{2}<k<\varrho\right)$, we have

$$
\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, a, A)}{V(r)}=\beta>\mathbf{0}
$$

for a value of $a$, and let $\left(R_{n}\right)$ be the sequence of values of $r$ in Theorem I.

There exists, in an arbitrary angle $A^{\prime}$ containing $A$ and of vertex 0 , at least one sequence of circles $\Gamma(n)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
|z-x(n)|=\alpha|x(n)|, \quad \frac{R_{n}}{1+S}<|x(n)|<R_{n}, \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that if $P(z), Q(z), R(z)$ are any three distinct meromorphic functions satisfying the conditions

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
T^{*}\left[(1+\alpha) R_{n}, \varphi\right]<\alpha^{4} V\left(R_{n}\right), \quad \varphi(z) \equiv P(z), Q(z), R(z)  \tag{18}\\
C^{*}(\psi)>-\alpha^{4} V\left(R_{n}\right), \\
\psi(z) \equiv P(z), Q(z), R(z), P(z)-Q(z), Q(z)-R(z), R(z)-P(z),
\end{array}\right.
$$

then zee have

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(\Gamma(n), f-\pi)>a^{3} V\left(R_{n}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for at least one function out of the three $P(z), Q(z), R(z)$, where $n(\Gamma(n), f-\pi)$ denotes the number of the zeros of $f(z)-\pi(z)$ in $\Gamma(n)$.

This theorem is valid when $\frac{1}{a}$ and $\alpha^{4} V(r)$ are greater than a certain constant.

Let $B$ be an angle having the properties given in Theorem I, and contained in $A^{\prime}$.

We are going to apply the theorem of Rauch. Let the region $S(n, B)$ defined in theorem I be the region $(D)$ and let $M=K\left(\varrho, k^{\prime}\right) V\left(R_{n}\right)$. Then by Theorem I , the condition $\left(\mathbf{C}_{1}\right)$ in the Theorem of Rauch is satisfied. Divide the angle $B$ into equal sectors of measure $\frac{\pi}{\alpha_{1} k^{\prime}}$ by semi-infinite lines issued from the origin and describe the circles

$$
|z|=\frac{R_{n}}{1+S}\left(1+\alpha_{1}\right)^{i} \quad\left(\frac{R_{n}}{1+S}\left(1+\alpha_{1}\right)^{q}=R_{n} ; i=1,2, \ldots, q\right) .
$$

The region $(D)$ is thus divided into

$$
p=c\left(k^{\prime}\right) \frac{\log (1+S)}{\alpha_{1}^{2}}
$$

similar curvilinear rectangles $D_{i}(n)$, where $\alpha_{1}$ is sufficiently small and $c\left(k^{\prime}\right)$ is a constant depending only upon $k^{\prime}$. Let $D_{i}(n)$ be the partial regions $\left(D_{i}\right)$ in the theorem of Rauch and $\Gamma_{i}(n)$ the corresponding circles $\Gamma_{i}$. Then the circles $\Gamma_{i}(n)$ are contained in the ring

$$
\left(1-15 \alpha_{i}\right) \frac{R_{n}}{1+S}<|z|<\left(1+15 \alpha_{1}\right) R_{n}
$$

which is taken for each $n$ as the region ( $\Delta$ ).
Let $\varrho_{i}(n)$ be the modulus of the center of $\Gamma_{i}(n)$, then its radius is $k_{i}(n) \alpha_{1} \varrho_{i}(n), k_{i}(n)$ lying between two numerical constants $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$.

In modifying suitably a method due to Rauch ${ }^{7}$ ), we see that the conditions $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right),\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$ in his theorem given above are satisfied if the conditions (18) have been imposed, where $\alpha$ is taken to be the largest of $16 \alpha_{1}$ and $h_{2} \alpha_{1}$, and when $\frac{1}{\alpha}$ and $\alpha^{4} V\left(R_{n}\right)$ are greater than a certain constant.

Hence, by the theorem of Rauch, for each large integer $n$, there exists at least one circle defined by (17), such that (19) is satisfied.

It is evident that when $\alpha$ is less than a certain constant, all the circles $\Gamma(n)$ are contained in $A^{\prime}$.

[^4]5. Let $H(\alpha, f)$ be the family of meromorphic functions $\pi(z)$ satisfying the condition
$$
T^{*}[(1+\alpha) r, \pi]<\alpha^{4} V(r), \quad r>r_{0}(\pi)
$$

Consider a certain infinite sequence of circles $\Gamma(n)$ in theorem II. We are going to show that for all functions $\pi(z)$ of the family $H(\alpha, f)$, except at the most two, we have

$$
n\left(\Gamma\left(n^{\prime}\right), f-\pi\right)>\alpha^{3} V\left(R_{n^{\prime}}\right), \quad n^{\prime}>n_{0}(\pi)
$$

Suppose that there are two functions $P(z), Q(z)$ in the family $H(\alpha, f)$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n\left(\Gamma\left(n^{\prime}\right), f-P\right) \leqq \alpha^{3} V\left(R_{n^{\prime}}\right) \\
& n\left(\Gamma\left(n^{\prime}\right), f-Q\right) \leqq \alpha^{3} V\left(R_{n^{\prime}}^{6}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for an infinite sequence of values of $n^{\prime}$. Let $R(z)$ be a function in the family $H(\alpha, f)$ distinct from $P(z)$ and $Q(z)$. Evidently when $n^{\prime}>n_{0}(R)$ the conditions (18) are satisfied, hence by theorem II, we have

$$
n\left(\Gamma\left(n^{\prime}\right), f=R\right)>\alpha^{3} V\left(R_{n^{\prime}}\right), \quad n^{\prime}>n_{0}(R)
$$

The statement is therefore proved and we have the following Theorem III. $f(z)$ is a meromorphic function of finite order $\varrho>\frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{2}} . \operatorname{Let} V(r)$ be a continuous function satisfying the conditions $(\mathbf{E})$. $S$ uppose that in an angle $A$ of vertex 0 and of measure $\frac{\pi}{k}\left(\frac{1}{2}<k<\varrho\right)$, we have

$$
\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, a, A)}{V(r)}=\beta>0
$$

for a value of a. Let $H(\alpha, f)$ be the family of meromorphic functions satisfying the condition

$$
T^{*}[(1+\alpha) r, \pi]<\alpha^{4} V(r), \quad r>r_{0}(\pi)
$$

There exists, in an arbitrary angle $A^{\prime}$ containing $A$ and of vertex 0 , at least one sequence of circles $\Gamma(n)$ defined by (17) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(\Gamma(n), f-\pi)>\alpha^{3} V(r), \quad n>n_{0}(\pi) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all functions $\pi(z)$ of the family $H(\alpha, f)$ except at the most two.
This theorem is valid when $\frac{1}{\alpha}$ and $\alpha^{4} V(r)$ are greater than a certain constant.
6. Let $A_{\alpha}$ be the smallest of the angles contained in $A^{\prime}$ and of vertex 0 in which there are an infinite number of the circles $\Gamma(n)$ in theorem III. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n\left(r, \pi, A_{\alpha}\right)}{V(r)} \geqq \frac{\alpha^{3}}{2(1+\alpha)^{\varrho}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

from (20).
Let $\left(\alpha_{n}\right)$ be a sequence of values of $\alpha$, tending to 0 with $\frac{1}{n}$. Let $\left(D_{\alpha_{n}}\right)$ be the bisector of $A_{\alpha_{n}}$ and $D$ a limit-line of the semilines $D_{\alpha_{n}}$. An arbitrary angle $\Omega$ of vertex 0 and of bisector $D$ contains then an infinity of the angles $A_{\alpha_{n}}$.

Let $K(r, f)$ be the family of meromorphic functions $\pi(z)$ satisfying the condition

$$
T^{*}(r, \pi) \leqq \eta(r) V(r), \quad r>r_{0}(\pi), \quad \lim \eta(r) V(r)=\infty
$$

where $\eta(r)$ is an infinitesimal. It is evident that the family $K(\eta, f)$ is contained in the family $H(\alpha, f)$ for every fixed value of $\alpha$. Hence from (21) we have

$$
\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(r, \pi, \Omega)}{V(r)}>0
$$

for all elements $\pi(z)$ in the family $K(\eta, f)$ except at the most two.
It is also evident that the family $K(\eta, f)$ is the aggregate of all the distinct constants, and the meromorphic functions (nondegenerated to constants) $\pi(z)$ satisfying

$$
T(r, \pi) \leqq \eta(r) V(r), \quad r \geqq r_{0}(\pi) .
$$

We have therefore the following
Theorem IV. ${ }^{8}$ ) $f(z)$ is a meromorphic function of finite order $\varrho>\frac{1}{2}$. Let $V(r)$ be a continuous function satisfying the conditions $\left(\mathbf{E}_{)}\right)$. Suppose that, in an angle $A$ of vertex 0 and of measure $\frac{\pi}{k}\left(\frac{1}{2}<k<\varrho\right)$, we have
for a value of $a$.

$$
\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, a, A)}{V(r)}=\beta>0
$$

There exists, in an arbitrary angle $A^{\prime}$ containing $A$ and of vertex 0 at least one semi-line $(D)$ issued from 0 , such that for an arbitrary angle $\Omega$ of vertex 0 and of bisector $D$, we have

[^5]$$
\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(r, \pi, \Omega)}{V(r)}>0
$$
for all elements $\pi$ of the family $K(r, f)$ excepi at the most two.
It is to be remarked that the foregoing theorem and the theorem IX ${ }^{9}$ ) in the Thèse of Rauch do not contain each other and that the family $K(\alpha, f)$ in the latter must be stated analogously to the family $K(\eta, f)$ in our theorem IV.

Finally, the writer wishes to thank Prof. Valiron for his useful criticisms.
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