

Astérisque

MARC LEVINE

Bloch's higher Chow groups revisited

Astérisque, tome 226 (1994), p. 235-320

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AST_1994__226__235_0

© Société mathématique de France, 1994, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la collection « Astérisque » (<http://smf4.emath.fr/Publications/Asterisque/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

Bloch's higher Chow groups revisited

Marc LEVINE

Introduction

Bloch defined his higher Chow groups $\mathrm{CH}^q(-, p)$ in [B], with the object of defining an integral cohomology theory which rationally gives the weight-graded pieces $K_p(-)^{(q)}$ of K -theory. For a variety X , the higher Chow group $\mathrm{CH}^q(X, p)$ is defined as the p th homology of the complex $\mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)$, which in turn is built out of the codimension q cycles on $X \times \mathbb{A}^p$ for varying p , using the cosimplicial structure on the collection of varieties $\{X \times \mathbb{A}^p \mid p = 0, 1, \dots\}$. In order to relate $\mathrm{CH}^q(X, p)$ with $K_p(X)$, Bloch used Gillet's construction of Chern classes with values in a Bloch-Ogus twisted duality theory [G]; this requires, among other things, that the complexes $\mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)$ satisfy a Mayer-Vietoris property for the Zariski topology, and that they satisfy a contravariant functoriality. Bloch attempted to prove the Mayer-Vietoris property by proving a localization theorem, identifying the cone of the restriction map

$$\mathcal{Z}(X, *) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(U, *),$$

for $U \rightarrow X$ a Zariski open subset of X , with the complex $\mathcal{Z}(X \setminus U, *)[1]$, up to quasi-isomorphism. There is a gap in Bloch's proof, which left open the localization property and the Mayer-Vietoris property for the complexes $\mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)$; essentially the same problem leaves a gap in the proof of contravariant functoriality. Recently, Bloch [B3] has provided a new argument which fills the gap in the proof of localization; this, together with a new argument for contravariant functoriality, should allow Bloch's original program for relating $\mathrm{CH}^q(X, p)$ with $K_p(X)$ to go through without further problem.

As part of the argument in [B], Bloch defined a map

$$(1) \quad \mathrm{CH}^q(X, p) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow K_p(X)^{(q)}$$

for X smooth and quasi-projective over a field, relying on a λ -ring structure on relative K -theory with supports. It turns out that this approach can be followed and extended to show that the map (1) is an isomorphism, without

relying on Chern classes (Theorem 3.1). An important new ingredient in this line of argument is the computation of certain relative K_0 -groups in terms of the K_0 of an associated iterated double (see Theorem 1.10 and Corollary 1.11). A bit more work then enables us to prove the Mayer-Vietoris property (Theorem 3.3), a weak version of localization (essentially Poincaré duality) (Theorem 3.4), and contravariant functoriality (Corollary 4.9) for the rational complexes $\mathcal{Z}^q(X, *) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. We also construct a product for the rational complexes $\mathcal{Z}^q(X, *) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, and prove the projective bundle formula (Corollary 5.4). The arguments used in [B] then give rational Chern classes

$$c_{q,p}: K_{2q-p}(X) \rightarrow \text{CH}^q(X, 2q - p) \otimes \mathbb{Q},$$

satisfying the standard properties.

It turns out that it is somewhat more convenient to work with a modified version of $\mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)$, using a cubical structure rather than a simplicial structure. We show that the cubical complexes $\mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^c$ are integrally quasi-isomorphic to the simplicial version $\mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)$ (Theorem 4.7), and have a natural exterior product in the derived category (see §5, especially Theorem 5.2). We also consider the “alternating” complexes $\mathcal{N}^q(k)$ defined by Bloch [B2], and used to construct a candidate for a motivic Lie algebra. We show that there is a natural quasi-isomorphism

$$\mathcal{Z}^q(\text{Spec}(k), *)^c \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^q(k)$$

(Theorem 4.11). The product structures are not quite compatible via this quasi-isomorphism; it is necessary to reverse the order of the product in one of the complexes to get a product-compatible quasi-isomorphism (Corollary 5.5).

The paper is organized as follows: We begin in §1 by proving some extensions of the results of Vorst on K_n -regularity, which we use to prove a basic result on the K_0 -regularity of certain iterated doubles. We also recall some basic facts about relative K -theory, and use the K_0 -regularity results to compute certain relative K_0 groups in terms of the usual K_0 of an iterated double. In §2 we use, following Bloch, the λ -operations on relative K -theory with supports to give a cycle-theoretic interpretation of certain relative K_0 groups, analogous to the classical Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem relating the rational Chow ring to the rational K_0 for a smooth variety (see Theorem 2.7). In §3, we use this to show that Bloch’s map

$$\text{CH}^q(X, p) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow K_p(X)^{(q)}$$

is an isomorphism for X smooth and quasi-projective. In §4, we relate the cubical complexes with Bloch's simplicial version, and also with his alternating version. In §5 we define products and prove the projective bundle formula for the rational complexes.

As a matter of notation, a *scheme* will always mean a separated, Noetherian scheme. For an abelian group A , we denote $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ by $A_{\mathbb{Q}}$; for a homological complex C_* , we denote the cycles in degree p by $Z_p(C_*)$, the boundaries by $B_p(C_*)$ and the homology by $H_p(C_*)$.

We would like to thank Spencer Bloch and Stephen Lichtenbaum for their encouragement and suggestions, and thank as well the organizers of the Strasbourg K -theory conference for assembling this volume. We would also like to thank Dan Grayson for his comments on an earlier version of this paper, and especially thank Chuck Weibel for pointing out the need for the K_0 -regularity results in §1, and suggesting the use of his homotopy K -theory functor KH .

§1. NK and relative K_0

In this section, we give a description of relative K_0 , $K_0(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$, in terms of the K_0 of the so-called iterated double $D(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$, under certain assumptions on the scheme X and subschemes Y_1, \dots, Y_n . We begin by extending some of Vorst's results on NK_p of rings (see [V]) to schemes over a ring.

Fix a commutative ring A , and let \mathbf{Alg}_A denote the category of commutative A -algebras, \mathbf{Ab} the category of abelian groups. For a ring R , let $p_R: R[T] \rightarrow R$ be the R -algebra homomorphism $p_R(T) = 0$. For a functor $F: \mathbf{Alg}_A \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$, let $NF: \mathbf{Alg}_A \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ be the functor

$$NF(R) = \ker[F(p_R): F(R[T]) \rightarrow F(R)].$$

Define the associated functors $N^q F$ for $q > 1$ inductively by

$$N^q F = N(N^{q-1} F).$$

We set $N^0 F = F$.

For $R \in \mathbf{Alg}_A$ and $r \in R$, the R -algebra map

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_r: R[T] &\rightarrow R[T] \\ \phi_r(T) &= rT \end{aligned}$$

gives rise to the endomorphism $NF(\phi_r): NF(R) \rightarrow NF(R)$, thus $NF(R)$ becomes a $\mathbb{Z}[T]$ -module with T acting via ϕ_r . Let $NF(R)_{[r]}$ denote the localization $\mathbb{Z}[T, T^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[T]} NF(R)$. If r is a unit, then the map $NF(R) \rightarrow NF(R)_{[r]}$ is an isomorphism; letting R_r denote the localization of R with respect to the powers of r , the natural map

$$NF(R) \rightarrow NF(R_r)$$

factors canonically through $NF(R)_{[r]}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} NF(R) & \rightarrow & NF(R)_{[r]} \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & NF(R_r) & \end{array}$$

For elements r_1, \dots, r_n of R , form the “augmented Čech complex”

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow NF(R) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n} NF(R_{r_i}) \rightarrow \dots \\ \rightarrow \bigoplus_{1 \leq i_0 < i_1 < \dots < i_p \leq n} NF(R_{r_{i_0}, r_{i_1}, \dots, r_{i_p}}) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow NF(R_{r_1, \dots, r_n}) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

where the map

$$\bigoplus_{1 \leq i_0 < i_1 < \dots < i_p \leq n} NF(R_{r_{i_0}, r_{i_1}, \dots, r_{i_p}}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{1 \leq i_0 < i_1 < \dots < i_{p+1} \leq n} NF(R_{r_{i_0}, r_{i_1}, \dots, r_{i_{p+1}}})$$

is given as the direct sum over indices $(1 \leq i_0 < i_1 < \dots < i_{p+1} \leq n)$ of the alternating sums:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{p+1} (-1)^j \delta_j: \bigoplus_{j=0}^{p+1} NF(R_{r_{i_0}, \dots, \widehat{r_{i_j}}, \dots, r_{i_{p+1}}}) \rightarrow NF(R_{r_{i_0}, \dots, r_{i_{p+1}}}),$$

and where

$$\delta_j: NF(R_{r_{i_0}, \dots, \widehat{r_{i_j}}, \dots, r_{i_{p+1}}}) \rightarrow NF(R_{r_{i_0}, \dots, r_{i_{p+1}}})$$

is the canonical map. The map ϵ is the direct sum of the canonical maps

$$NF(R) \rightarrow NF(R_{r_j}).$$

Lemma 1.1. *Suppose R is a commutative A -algebra, r_1, \dots, r_n elements of R which generate the unit ideal. Suppose further that the map*

$$NF(R[T]_{r_{i_0}, \dots, \widehat{r_{i_j}}, \dots, r_{i_p}})_{[r_{i_j}]} \rightarrow NF(R[T]_{r_{i_0}, \dots, r_{i_p}})$$

is an isomorphism, for each set of indices $1 \leq i_0 < \dots < i_p \leq n$. Then the complex (1.1) is exact. In particular, the map

$$\epsilon: NF(R) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^n NF(R_{r_j})$$

is injective.

Proof. This is proved in ([V], Theorem 1.2); there the functor F is a functor from $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ to \mathbf{Ab} , but, as the proof uses only the restriction of F to the category \mathbf{Alg}_R , the argument works as well in the case of a functor $F: \mathbf{Alg}_A \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$. \square

Let X be a scheme. We let \mathcal{P}_Z denote the category of locally free sheaves of finite rank on X , and let $K(X)$ denote the space $\Omega\mathbf{BQ}\mathcal{P}_Z$; the p th K -group $K_p(X)$, $p \geq 0$, is thus defined as the homotopy group $\pi_p(K(X))$. Letting \mathbb{A}_X^1 denote the affine line over X , and $\mathbb{G}m_X$ the open subscheme $\mathbb{A}_X^1 \setminus 0_X$, we have the “fundamental exact sequence” for $p \geq 0$

$$(1.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow K_{p+1}(X) \rightarrow K_{p+1}(\mathbb{A}_X^1) \oplus K_{p+1}(\mathbb{A}_X^1) \rightarrow K_{p+1}(\mathbb{G}m_X) \rightarrow K_p(X) \rightarrow 0$$

where the maps are those arising from a spectral sequence computing the K -groups of \mathbb{P}_X^1 via the standard cover

$$\mathbb{P}_X^1 = \mathbb{A}_X^1 \cup \mathbb{A}_X^1.$$

This allows the inductive definition of the K -groups $K_p(X)$ for $p < 0$ by forcing the exactness of

$$K_{p+1}(\mathbb{A}_X^1) \oplus K_{p+1}(\mathbb{A}_X^1) \rightarrow K_{p+1}(\mathbb{G}m_X) \rightarrow K_p(X) \rightarrow 0$$

for all p ; it then follows (see [T], Theorem 6.6) that the sequence (1.2) is exact for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $i_0: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_X^1$ be the inclusion as the zero section. Recall the inductive definition of the groups $N^q K_p(X)$ as

$$N^q K_p(X) = \begin{cases} K_p(X) & \text{for } q=0, \\ \ker[i_0^*: N^{q-1} K_p(\mathbb{A}_X^1) \rightarrow N^{q-1} K_p(X)] & \text{for } q > 0. \end{cases}$$

We recall that a scheme X is K_p -regular if $N^q K_p(X) = 0$ for each $q > 0$.

Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}$ be a Zariski open cover of X . Then there is a spectral sequence (see [T], Proposition 8.3)

$$(1.3) \quad E_1^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_q)} N^t K_{-p}(U_{\alpha_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{\alpha_q}) \Rightarrow N^t K_{-p-q}(X).$$

The E_2 -term is the Čech cohomology with coefficients in the presheaf $N^q K_{-p}$, $H_{\check{\text{Cech}}}^q(\mathcal{U}, N^t K_{-p})$; the sequence is strongly convergent for finite covers.

For an A -scheme X , and element $f \in A$, we let X_f denote the open subscheme defined by the non-vanishing of f . Let $F_X: \mathbf{Alg}_A \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ be the functor

$$F_X(R) = K_p(X \otimes_A R);$$

in particular, we have $N^q F(R) = N^q K_p(X \otimes_A R)$. For $f \in A$, we use the notation $N^q K_p(X)_{[f]}$ for $N^q F_X(A)_{[f]}$.

Lemma 1.2. *Let A be a commutative ring, $f \in A$ and X an A -scheme. Suppose we have a covering of X by affine open subsets $U_\alpha = \text{Spec}(A_\alpha)$ such that, for each α , either f is a non-zero divisor in A_α , or f is contained in some minimal prime ideal of A_α . Then the natural map*

$$N^q K_p(X)_{[f]} \rightarrow N^q K_p(X_f)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let B be a commutative ring and suppose $g \in B$ is either a non-zero divisor in B , or is contained in some minimal prime ideal of B . Then Vorst ([V], Lemma 1.4) has shown that the natural map

$$N^q K_p(B)_{[g]} \rightarrow N^q K_p(B_g)$$

is an isomorphism (Vorst only proves this for $p \geq 0$, but the general result follows from this and the fundamental exact sequence (1.2)). The general result follows from this and the spectral sequence (1.3). \square

Theorem 1.3. *Let A be a commutative ring, X a reduced A -scheme. Suppose we have elements f_1, \dots, f_n in A generating the unit ideal such that X_{f_j} is K_p -regular for each $j = 1, \dots, n$. Then X is K_p -regular.*

Proof. Take $q > 0$. Let F be the functor $N^{q-1}F_X$. Since X is reduced, the scheme $X \otimes_A B$ is reduced for all flat A -algebras B , in particular, for all B which are localizations of a polynomial ring $A[T]$. By Lemma 1.1 together with Lemma 1.2, the map

$$N^q K_p(X) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^n N^q K_p(X_{f_j})$$

is injective. Since each X_{f_j} is K_p -regular, the groups $N^q K_p(X_{f_j})$ are all zero for all $q > 0$, hence $N^q K_p(X)$ is zero for all $q > 0$, i.e., X is K_p -regular. \square

Corollary 1.4. *Let X be a scheme. If X is K_p -regular, then X is K_{p-1} -regular.*

Proof. The exact sequence (1.2) gives the exact sequence:

$$N^q K_p(\mathbb{A}_X^1) \oplus N^q K_p(\mathbb{A}_X^1) \rightarrow N^q K_p(\mathbb{G}m_X) \rightarrow N^q K_{p-1}(X) \rightarrow 0$$

for all $q \geq 0$. If X is K_p -regular, then \mathbb{A}_X^1 is clearly K_p -regular; applying Lemma 1.2, with $A = \mathbb{Z}[t]$, $f = t$, we see that $\mathbb{G}m_X$ is also K_p -regular. The exact sequence above then shows that X is K_{p-1} -regular, completing the proof. \square

We recall that the n -cube $\langle n \rangle$ is the category associated to the set of subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, ordered under inclusion, i.e., the objects of $\langle n \rangle$ are the subsets I of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, and there is a unique morphism $\iota_{I \subset J}: I \rightarrow J$ if

and only if $I \subset J$. If \mathcal{C} is a category, we have the category of n -cubes in \mathcal{C} , $\mathcal{C}(\langle n \rangle)$, being the category of functors from $\langle n \rangle$ to \mathcal{C} , e.g., n -cubes of sets, schemes, topological spaces, etc. The *split n -cube* is the category $\langle n \rangle_{spl}$, gotten by adjoining to $\langle n \rangle$ morphisms $\rho_{I \subset J}: J \rightarrow I$ if $I \subset J$, with

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_{I \subset I \cup J} \circ \rho_{J \subset I \cup J} &= \rho_{K \subset I} \circ \iota_{K \subset J}; \quad K \subset I \cap J \\ \rho_{I \subset J} \circ \rho_{J \subset K} &= \rho_{I \subset K} \end{aligned}$$

A functor from $\langle n \rangle_{spl}$ to \mathcal{C} is called a *split n -cube*, and an extension of $F: \langle n \rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ to $F_{spl}: \langle n \rangle_{spl} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a *splitting* of F . We note that sending I to its complement I^c defines isomorphisms $\langle n \rangle \rightarrow \langle n \rangle^{op}$ and $\langle n \rangle_{spl} \rightarrow \langle n \rangle_{spl}^{op}$; we often define an n -cube or a split n -cube on the opposite category via these isomorphisms; when we wish to maintain the distinction, we will refer to an *opposite n -cube*, or a *split opposite n -cube*.

Let X be a scheme, Y a closed subscheme. The *double* of X along Y , $D(X; Y)$, is the scheme making the following square co-Cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{i} & X \\ i \downarrow & & \downarrow r_1 \\ X & \xrightarrow{r_2} & D(X; Y); \end{array}$$

i.e., $D(X; Y)$ is two copies of X glued along Y .

If $X = \text{Spec}(R)$ is affine, and Y is defined by an ideal I , then $D(X; Y)$ is $\text{Spec}(D(R; I))$, where $D(R; I)$ is the subring of $R \times R$ consisting of pairs (r, r') with $r - r' \in I$. If R is Noetherian, then the R -submodule $D(R; I)$ of $R \times R$ is thus a finite R -module, hence $D(R; I)$ is Noetherian if R is. Sending the pair $(R; I)$ to the ring $D(R; I)$ is clearly functorial; thus, as every scheme has an affine open cover, the double $D(X; Y)$ exists for each scheme X and closed subscheme Y .

We have the map

$$p: D(X, Y) \rightarrow X$$

splitting the two inclusions $r_i: X \rightarrow D(X; Y)$. If Z is a closed subscheme of X , there is a natural identification of $D(Z; Y \cap Z)$ with $p^{-1}(Z)$; we denote the closed subscheme $p^{-1}(Z)$ by $D(Z, Y)$. This allows us to define the iterated double $D(X; Y_1, Y_2)$ as the double of the $D(X; Y_1)$ along $p^{-1}(Y_2)$. The further iterated double $D(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ is defined inductively along these lines:

$$D(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n) = D(D(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}); D(Y_n; Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1})).$$

Suppose we have closed subschemes Y_1, \dots, Y_n of a scheme X . We form the opposite n -cube of subschemes of X , $(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)_*$, by

$$(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)_I = \bigcap_{i \in I} Y_i$$

for each subset $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$; the map

$$(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)_I \rightarrow (X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)_J$$

for $J \subset I$ is the natural inclusion. We call the collection of closed subschemes Y_1, \dots, Y_n *split* if the resulting opposite n -cube is split. We say that Y_1, \dots, Y_n *define a normal crossing divisor on X* if for each subset I of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, the subscheme $(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)_I$ is a regular scheme of codimension $|I|$ on X (or is empty); we call the resulting divisor $Y_1 + \dots + Y_n$ a *normal crossing divisor*.

Lemma 1.5. *Let X be a scheme, Y a closed subscheme. Suppose that the inclusion $i: Y \rightarrow X$ is split. Then the sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow K_0(D(X; Y)) \xrightarrow{(r_1^*, r_2^*)} K_0(X) \oplus K_0(X) \xrightarrow{i^* \oplus -} i^* K_0(Y) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

Proof. For a scheme Z , let $\text{Iso}\mathcal{P}_Z$ be the set of isomorphism classes in \mathcal{P}_Z ; we let $[E]$ denote the isomorphism class of a locally free sheaf. The category $\mathcal{P}_{D(X; Y)}$ is equivalent to the category of triples (E, E', ϕ) , where E and E' are locally free sheaves on X , and $\phi: i^*E \rightarrow i^*E'$ is an isomorphism. Since the inclusion i is split, each automorphism ρ of i^*E lifts to an automorphism $\tilde{\rho}$ of E ; thus the isomorphism class of (E, E', ϕ) is independent of the choice of isomorphism ϕ . Thus, $\text{Iso}\mathcal{P}_{D(X; Y)}$ is the set of pairs $([E], [E'])$ of isomorphism classes of locally free sheaves on X , such that $i^*[E] = i^*[E']$. Using the splitting of i again, this implies that the sequence

$$\mathbb{Z}[\text{Iso}\mathcal{P}_{D(X; Y)}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\text{Iso}\mathcal{P}_X] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\text{Iso}\mathcal{P}_X] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\text{Iso}\mathcal{P}_Y] \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, and the kernel of the first map is generated by elements of the form

$$(1.4) \quad ([E], [E']) - ([E], [E'']) + ([F], [E''']) - ([F], [E']).$$

For a scheme Z , let \mathcal{R}_Z denote the kernel of the surjection

$$\mathbb{Z}[\text{Iso}\mathcal{P}_Z] \rightarrow K_0(Z);$$

i.e., \mathcal{R}_Z is the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}[\text{Iso}\mathcal{P}_Z]$ generated by expressions of the form $[E] - [E'] - [E'']$, where $0 \rightarrow E' \rightarrow E \rightarrow E'' \rightarrow 0$ is exact. Since i is split, the sequence

$$\mathcal{R}_{D(X;Y)} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_X \oplus \mathcal{R}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_Y \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. On the other hand, for elements $([E], [E']), ([E], [E'']), ([F], [E'']), ([F], [E'])$ in $\text{Iso}\mathcal{P}_{D(X;Y)}$ we have the relations in $K_0(D(X;Y))$:

$$\begin{aligned} ([E], [E']) + ([F], [E'']) &= ([E \oplus F], [E' \oplus E'']) \\ &= ([E \oplus F], [E'' \oplus E']) \\ &= ([E], [E'']) + ([F], [E']). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, elements of the form (1.4) are contained in $\mathcal{R}_{D(X;Y)}$; a diagram chase finishes the proof. \square

Theorem 1.6. *Let X be a reduced A -scheme, A a commutative ring, and let Y_1, \dots, Y_n be subschemes of X , defining a normal crossing divisor on X . Suppose that there are elements f_1, \dots, f_k of A such that the collection of closed subschemes $Y_1 \cap X_{f_j}, \dots, Y_n \cap X_{f_j}$ of X_{f_j} is split for each $j = 1, \dots, k$. Then the iterated double $D(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ is K_p -regular for all $p \leq 0$.*

Proof. By Corollary 1.4, we need only consider the case $p = 0$. If we replace X and Y_1, \dots, Y_n with \mathbb{A}_X^q and $\mathbb{A}_{Y_1}^q, \dots, \mathbb{A}_{Y_n}^q$, the hypotheses of the theorem remain valid; thus, we need only show that

$$N^1 K_0(D(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)) = 0.$$

We have the natural map

$$D(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \rightarrow X;$$

which identifies the iterated double $D(X_f; Y_1 \cap X_f, \dots, Y_n \cap X_f)$ with the localization $D(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)_f$ for each $f \in A$. By Theorem 1.3, and our hypotheses, we may assume that the collection of subschemes Y_1, \dots, Y_n is split. The

split, normal crossing hypotheses pass to the collection of closed subschemes $Y_1 \cap Y_n, \dots, Y_{n-1} \cap Y_n$; by induction we may assume that $D(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1})$ and $D(Y_n; Y_1 \cap Y_n, \dots, Y_{n-1} \cap Y_n)$ are K_0 -regular. Our hypothesis that the collection of subschemes Y_1, \dots, Y_n is split implies that the natural inclusion

$$D(Y_n; Y_1 \cap Y_n, \dots, Y_{n-1} \cap Y_n) \rightarrow D(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1})$$

is split.

We use the notation

$$\begin{aligned} D_n(X; Y_*) &:= D(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \\ D_{n-1}(X; Y_*) &:= D(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \\ D_{n-1}(Y_n; Y_* \cap Y_n) &:= D(Y_n; Y_1 \cap Y_n, \dots, Y_{n-1} \cap Y_n). \end{aligned}$$

The iterated double $D_n(X; Y_*)$ is the same as the double of the scheme $D_{n-1}(X; Y_*)$ along the subscheme $D_{n-1}(Y_n; Y_* \cap Y_n)$. Thus we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_0(D_n(X; Y_*)) & \rightarrow & K_0(D_n(\mathbb{A}_X^1; \mathbb{A}_{Y_*}^1)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_0(D_{n-1}(X; Y_*)) & \rightarrow & K_0(D_{n-1}(\mathbb{A}_X^1; \mathbb{A}_{Y_*}^1)) \\ \oplus & \rightarrow & \oplus \\ K_0(D_{n-1}(X; Y_*)) & \rightarrow & K_0(D_{n-1}(\mathbb{A}_X^1; \mathbb{A}_{Y_*}^1)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_0(D_{n-1}(Y_n; Y_* \cap Y_n)) & \rightarrow & K_0(D_{n-1}(\mathbb{A}_{Y_n}^1; \mathbb{A}_{Y_* \cap Y_n}^1)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

By Lemma 1.3, the columns above are exact; since the iterated doubles $D_{n-1}(X; Y_*)$ and $D_{n-1}(Y_n; Y_* \cap Y_n)$ are K_0 -regular, and we have natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} D_{n-1}(\mathbb{A}_X^1; \mathbb{A}_{Y_*}^1) &\rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{D(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1})}^1 \\ D_{n-1}(\mathbb{A}_{Y_n}^1; \mathbb{A}_{Y_* \cap Y_n}^1) &\rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{D_{n-1}(Y_n; Y_* \cap Y_n)}^1, \end{aligned}$$

the last two horizontal arrows are isomorphisms, hence the first horizontal arrow is an isomorphism. Thus $N^1 K_0(D_n(X; Y_*)) = 0$, completing the proof. \square

For a scheme X , let $K^B(X)$ denote the (possibly non-connective) spectrum defined by Thomason in ([T], §6) with $\pi_n(K^B(X)) = K_n(X)$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If X is regular, all negative homotopy groups vanish. We also will consider the spectrum $KH(X)$ (defined by Weibel [W] for X affine and extended to the case of a scheme by Thomason in [T] §9.11); the n th homotopy group of $KH(X)$ is denoted $KH_n(X)$. We recall from [W] and [T] that there is a natural map

$$K^B(X) \rightarrow KH(X).$$

There is a spectral sequence (Theorem 1.3 of [W] for X affine, extended to the case of a scheme using [T] §9.11)

$$(1.5) \quad E_1^{p,q} = N^{-p} K_{-q}(X) \Rightarrow KH_{-p-q}(X).$$

In particular, if X is K_p -regular for all $p \leq n$, then the map

$$K_p(X) \rightarrow KH_p(X)$$

is an isomorphism for all $p \leq n$. In addition, the “homotopy K -groups of X ”, $KH_n(X)$, satisfy:

KH -1) (Homotopy) the map

$$KH_n(X) \rightarrow KH_n(\mathbb{A}_X^1)$$

is an isomorphism.

KH -2) (Excision) Let $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ be a map of commutative rings, I an ideal of A such that $I = \phi(I)B$. Then, letting $KH(A, I)$ and $KH(B, I)$ denote the respective homotopy fibers of the maps

$$\begin{aligned} KH(A) &\rightarrow KH(A/I) \\ KH(B) &\rightarrow KH(B/I) \end{aligned}$$

the map $KH(A, I) \rightarrow KH(B, I)$ induced by ϕ is a weak equivalence.

KH-3) (Mayer-Vietoris for open subschemes) If $X = U \cup V$, with U and V open subschemes of X , then

$$KH(X) \rightarrow KH(U) \times KH(V) \rightarrow KH(U \cap V).$$

is a homotopy fiber sequence.

We now recall the definition of relative K -theory, using the language of n -cubes.

If $X: \langle n \rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is an n -cube in \mathcal{C} , we form the map of $(n - 1)$ -cubes

$$X^\pm: X^+ \rightarrow X^-$$

by taking

$$X_I^+ = X_I; \quad X_I^- = X_{I \cup \{n\}}; \quad X_I^\pm = X(I \subset I \cup \{n\}).$$

This determines a functor from the category of n -cubes in \mathcal{C} to the category of maps of $(n - 1)$ -cubes in \mathcal{C} . If $X: \langle n \rangle \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}^*$ is an n -cube of pointed spaces, let $\text{Fib}(X): \langle n - 1 \rangle \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}^*$ be the $(n - 1)$ -cube defined by setting $\text{Fib}(X)_I$ equal to the homotopy fiber of the map

$$X_I^\pm: X_I^+ \rightarrow X_I^-.$$

This gives the functor

$$\text{Fib}: \mathbf{Top}^*(\langle n \rangle) \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}^*(\langle n - 1 \rangle);$$

iterating Fib n times defines the *iterated homotopy fiber functor*

$$\text{Fib}^n: \mathbf{Top}^*(\langle n \rangle) \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}^*;$$

we call $\text{Fib}^n(X)$ the *iterated homotopy fiber* of X . A similar construction defines the iterated homotopy fiber of an n -cube of spectra.

Let X be a scheme, and Y_1, \dots, Y_n subschemes. Applying the functor $K(-)$ to the opposite n -cube $(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)_*$ gives the n -cube of spaces $K(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)_*$ with

$$K(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)_I = K(\bigcap_{i \in I} Y_i).$$

Let $K(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ denote the iterated homotopy fiber over this n -cube of spaces. $K(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ is a model for the K -theory of X relative to Y_1, \dots, Y_n and the relative K -groups are given by

$$K_p(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n) = \pi_p(K(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)).$$

Applying the functors $K^B(-)$ and $KH(-)$ to $(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)_*$ and taking iterated homotopy fibers defines the spectra

$$K^B(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \text{ and } KH(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n);$$

denote the n th homotopy groups, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, by

$$K_n^B(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \text{ and } KH_n(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n),$$

respectively. If $Y := Y_1 + \dots + Y_n$ is a normal crossing divisor, we often write $K(X; Y)$, $K_n^B(X; Y)$ and $KH(X; Y)$ for

$$K(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n), K^B(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \text{ and } KH(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n),$$

respectively.

We have the natural map

$$K^B(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \rightarrow KH(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$$

and a natural isomorphism

$$K_n(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \rightarrow K_n^B(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$$

for $n \geq 0$. If all the subschemes $Y_I := \bigcap_{i \in I} Y_i$ are regular, then

$$K^B(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \rightarrow KH(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$$

is a weak equivalence.

Let $D = D(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$, with X reduced. As a topological space, D is quotient of the disjoint union of 2^n copies of X :

$$D = \coprod_{I \in \langle n \rangle} X / \equiv$$

where x in the copy of X indexed by I is identified with x in the copy of X indexed by J if $I \supset J$ and x is in $Y_{I \setminus J}$. We denote the copy of X indexed by $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ by X_I , and let $i_I: X_I \rightarrow D$ denote the inclusion. Let D_1, \dots, D_n be the reduced closed subschemes of D ,

$$D_j = \bigcup_{I \text{ with } j \in I} X_I$$

Then $i_{\emptyset}^*(D_j) = Y_j$ (scheme-theoretically) for each $j = 1, \dots, n$, so the inclusion i_{\emptyset} defines the maps

$$\begin{aligned} i_{\emptyset}^*: K(D; D_1, \dots, D_n) &\rightarrow K(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \\ i_{\emptyset}^*: K^B(D; D_1, \dots, D_n) &\rightarrow K^B(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \\ i_{\emptyset}^*: KH(D; D_1, \dots, D_n) &\rightarrow KH(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \end{aligned}$$

If Z is a closed subscheme of X , the iterated double

$$D(Z; Y_1 \cap Z, \dots, Y_n \cap Z)$$

is naturally a closed subscheme of D ; we denote this closed subscheme of D by $D(Z; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$.

Lemma 1.8. *Let Z be a scheme, W_1, \dots, W_n closed subschemes. Then the map*

$$KH(D(Z; W_n); D(W_1; W_n), \dots, D(W_{n-1}; W_n), D_1) \xrightarrow{i_\emptyset^*} KH(Z; W_1, \dots, W_n)$$

is a weak equivalence.

Proof. We may suppose Z is affine; the general case follows by taking an affine open cover of Z , noting that $D(Z; W_n)$ is a finite Z -scheme, and using Mayer-Vietoris (KH-3) for the resulting open covers of Z and $D(Z; W_n)$.

The spectra

$$KH(D(Z; W_n); D(W_1; W_n), \dots, D(W_{n-1}; W_n), D_1)$$

and

$$KH(Z; W_1, \dots, W_n)$$

are the iterated homotopy fibers over the n -cubes of spectra:

$$\begin{aligned} I &\mapsto KH(D(Z; W_n); D(W_1; W_n), \dots, D(W_{n-1}; W_n), D_1)_I \\ I &\mapsto KH(Z; W_1, \dots, W_n)_I \end{aligned}$$

The map i_\emptyset thus gives the map of n -cubes of spectra

$$KH(D(Z; W); D(W_1; W_n), \dots, D(W_{n-1}; W_n), D_1)_* \xrightarrow{i_\emptyset^*} KH(Z; W_1, \dots, W_n)_*$$

whence the commutative square of $(n - 1)$ -cubes

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} KH(D(Z; W); D(W_1; W_n), \dots, D(W_{n-1}; W_n), D_1)_*^+ & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow^{i_\emptyset^{*+}} & KH(Z; W_1, \dots, W_n)_*^+ \\ KH(D(Z; W); D(W_1; W_n), \dots, D(W_{n-1}; W_n), D_1)_*^- & & \downarrow \\ & \searrow^{i_\emptyset^{*-}} & KH(Z; W_1, \dots, W_n)_*^- \end{array}$$

For each $I \subset \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (D(W_1; W_n), \dots, D(W_{n-1}; W_n), D_1)_I &= D(W_I; W_n) \\ (D(W_1; W_n), \dots, D(W_{n-1}; W_n), D_1)_{I \cup \{n\}} &= D(W_I; W_n) \cap D_1 \end{aligned}$$

Taking $* = I$ in (1.6) thus gives the commutative square

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} KH(D(W_I; W_n)) & \rightarrow & KH(W_I) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ KH(D(W_I; W_n) \cap D_1) & \rightarrow & KH(W_I \cap W_n). \end{array}$$

Since Z is affine, so are W_I and W_n ; thus, (1.7) is gotten by applying the functor KH to the diagram of rings

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D(R; I) & \xrightarrow{p_0} & R \\ p_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ R & \xrightarrow{p} & R/I \end{array}$$

Here, $W_I = \text{Spec}(R)$, and the subscheme $W_I \cap W_n$ of W_I is defined by the ideal I ; the maps p_0 and p_1 are the maps

$$p_0(r, r') = r; \quad p_1(r, r') = r',$$

and $p: R \rightarrow R/I$ is the quotient map. Since p_1 is surjective with kernel $(I, 0)$, we may apply excision to the square (1.7), and conclude that the induced map

$$(1.8)_I \quad KH(D(W_I; W_n); W_I) \rightarrow KH(W_I; W_I \cap W_n)$$

is a weak equivalence. As the iterated homotopy fiber over an n -cube of spectra X is formed by first taking the $(n-1)$ -cube of homotopy fibers $\text{Fib}(X)$ of the map $X^\pm: X^+ \rightarrow X^-$, and then taking the iterated homotopy fiber over the $(n-1)$ -cube $\text{Fib}(X)$, the weak equivalences $(1.8)_I$ for $I \subset \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, together with the Quetzalcoatl lemma, imply that i_\emptyset^* is a weak equivalence, as desired. \square

Proposition 1.9. *Let X be a scheme, Y_1, \dots, Y_n closed subschemes. Then the map*

$$i_{\emptyset}^*: KH(D(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n); D_1, \dots, D_n) \rightarrow KH(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$$

is a weak equivalence.

Proof. Repeatedly applying Lemma 1.8, we have the weak equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} & KH(D(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n); D_1, \dots, D_n) \\ & \rightarrow KH(D(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}); D_1, \dots, D_{n-1}, D(Y_n; Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1})) \\ & \cdot \\ & \cdot \\ & \cdot \\ & \rightarrow KH(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the result. □

Theorem 1.10. *Let X be a scheme, Y_1, \dots, Y_n closed subschemes. Suppose that*

- i) *For each $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ the scheme Y_I is regular.*
- ii) *The iterated double $D(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ is K_m -regular.*

Then the map

$$i_{\emptyset}^*: K_m^B(D(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n); D_1, \dots, D_n) \rightarrow K_m^B(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$$

is an isomorphism. If $m \geq 0$, then the map

$$i_{\emptyset}^*: K_m(D(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n); D_1, \dots, D_n) \rightarrow K_m(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Under the assumption (i), the map

$$K^B(Y_I) \rightarrow KH(Y_I)$$

is a weak equivalence for each $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$. Thus, the natural map

$$K^B(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \rightarrow KH(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$$

is a weak equivalence. Under the assumption of K_m -regularity, it follows from Corollary 1.4 and the spectral sequence (1.5) that the natural map

$$K_m^B(D(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)) \rightarrow KH_m(D(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n))$$

is an isomorphism.

The opposite n -cube of schemes

$$(D(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n); D_1, \dots, D_n)_*$$

is split; thus there are natural projections

$$\begin{aligned} K_m^B(D(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)) &\rightarrow K_m^B(D(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n); D_1, \dots, D_n) \\ KH_m(D(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)) &\rightarrow KH_m(D(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n); D_1, \dots, D_n) \end{aligned}$$

making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_m^B(D(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)) & \rightarrow & K_m^B(D(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n); D_1, \dots, D_n) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ KH_m(D(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)) & \rightarrow & KH_m(D(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n); D_1, \dots, D_n) \end{array}$$

commute. Thus, the natural map

$$K_m^B(D(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n); D_1, \dots, D_n) \rightarrow KH_m(D(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n); D_1, \dots, D_n)$$

is an isomorphism as well. From the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_m^B(D(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n); D_1, \dots, D_n) & \rightarrow & K_m^B(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ KH_m(D(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n); D_1, \dots, D_n) & \rightarrow & KH_m(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \end{array}$$

we see that

$$K_m^B(D(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n); D_1, \dots, D_n) \rightarrow K_m^B(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$$

is an isomorphism, completing the proof of the first assertion. The second follows from the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} K_m^B(D(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n); D_1, \dots, D_n) &= K_m(D(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n); D_1, \dots, D_n) \\ K_m^B(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n) &= K_m(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \end{aligned}$$

for all $m \geq 0$. □

The results of this section fit together to give an explicit description of certain relative K_0 groups, in the following form. Let X be a scheme, Y_1, \dots, Y_n closed subschemes; let

$$i_j: Y_j \rightarrow X, \quad i_{j,k}^1: Y_{j,k} \rightarrow Y_j, \quad i_{j,k}^2: Y_{j,k} \rightarrow Y_k$$

be the inclusions. Let $\mathcal{P}_{X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n}$ be the following category: The objects are pairs $(E_*, \psi_{*,*})$, where E_* is a map from the set of subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ to the objects of \mathcal{P}_X ,

$$I \mapsto E_I \in \mathcal{P}_X,$$

and $\psi_{*,*}$ is a collection of isomorphisms

$$\psi_{I,j}: i_j^*(E_I) \rightarrow i_j^*(E_{I \cup \{j\}})$$

such that $\psi_{I,j} = \text{id}$ if $j \in I$, and

$$i_{j,k}^{2*}(\psi_{I \cup \{j\}, k}) \circ i_{j,k}^{1*}(\psi_{I,j}) = i_{j,k}^{1*}(\psi_{I \cup \{k\}, j}) \circ i_{j,k}^{2*}(\psi_{I,k}).$$

for $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. A map

$$f_*: (E_*, \psi_{*,*}) \rightarrow (F_*, \phi_{*,*})$$

in $\mathcal{P}_{X;Y_1,\dots,Y_n}$ is a collection of maps

$$f_I: E_I \rightarrow F_I$$

in \mathcal{P}_X , with

$$i_j^*(f_{I \cup \{j\}}) \circ \psi_{I,j} = \phi_{I,j} \circ i_j^*(f_I).$$

A sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (E_*, \psi_{*,*})' \rightarrow (E_*, \psi_{*,*}) \rightarrow (E_*, \psi_{*,*})'' \rightarrow 0$$

is exact if the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E_I' \rightarrow E_I \rightarrow E_I'' \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for each $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$. We have the functors

$$\delta_j: \mathcal{P}_{X;Y_1,\dots,Y_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{X;Y_1,\dots,Y_n}$$

defined by

$$\delta_j(E_*, \psi_{*,*}) = (\delta_j(E_*), \delta_j(\psi_{*,*})),$$

with

$$\delta_j(E_*)_I = E_{I \setminus \{j\}}, \delta_j(\psi_{*,*})_{I,k} = \begin{cases} \psi_{I \setminus \{j\},k} & \text{if } k \neq j \\ \text{id} & \text{if } k = j. \end{cases}$$

We have the commuting projections

$$p_j: K_0(\mathcal{P}_{X;Y_1,\dots,Y_n}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{P}_{X;Y_1,\dots,Y_n}),$$

$j = 1, \dots, n$, defined by

$$p_j((E_*, \psi_{*,*})) = (E_*, \psi_{*,*}) - \delta_j((E_*, \psi_{*,*})).$$

Let

$$p: K_0(\mathcal{P}_{X;Y_1,\dots,Y_n}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{P}_{X;Y_1,\dots,Y_n})$$

be the composition

$$p = p_n \circ p_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ p_1.$$

Corollary 1.11. *Let X be a regular A -scheme, Y_1, \dots, Y_n closed subschemes. Suppose*

- i) *The closed subschemes Y_I are regular for each $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$.*
- ii) *There are elements f_1, \dots, f_k of A , generating the unit ideal, such that the opposite n -cube of schemes $(X_f; Y_1 \cap X_f, \dots, Y_n \cap X_f)_*$ is split, for $f = f_1, \dots, f = f_k$.*

Then there is a natural isomorphism

$$K_0(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \rightarrow p(K_0(\mathcal{P}_{X;Y_1,\dots,Y_n}))$$

Proof. Let T denote the iterated double $D(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$. We have the obvious equivalence of exact categories

$$\mathcal{P}_{X;Y_1,\dots,Y_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_T,$$

giving the isomorphism

$$\Psi: K_0(\mathcal{P}_{X;Y_1,\dots,Y_n}) \rightarrow K_0(T)$$

The isomorphism Ψ then induces a natural isomorphism

$$\Psi: p(K_0(\mathcal{P}_{X;Y_1,\dots,Y_n})) \rightarrow K_0(T; D_1, \dots, D_n).$$

By Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 1.10, the natural map

$$K_0(T; D_1, \dots, D_n) \rightarrow K_0(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$$

is an isomorphism, completing the proof. □

§2 Relative cycles and relative K_0

We use Bloch's idea of a relative cycle to give a cycle-theoretic interpretation of the relative K_0 . We start with a discussion of relative K -theory with supports, and the functorial λ -operations on these groups.

If $X = \text{Spec}(R)$ is an affine scheme, Hiller [H] and Kratzer [K] have defined λ -operations $\lambda^k: K_p(X) \rightarrow K_p(X)$, satisfying the special λ -ring identities, by giving maps

$$\lambda_n^k: BGL_n(X)^+ \rightarrow BGL(X)^+$$

which are stable, up to homotopy, in n .

Let Y be a scheme, and U an open subscheme; let Z be the complement $Y \setminus U$. Define the space $K^Z(Y)$ as the homotopy fiber of the restriction map $K(Y) \rightarrow K(U)$. Similarly, if we have closed subschemes D_1, \dots, D_n of Y , define $K^Z(Y; D_1, \dots, D_n)$ as the homotopy fiber of the restriction map

$$K(Y; D_1, \dots, D_n) \rightarrow K(U; U \cap D_1, \dots, U \cap D_n).$$

The group

$$K_p^Z(Y) := \pi_p(K^Z(Y))$$

is the p th K -group of Y with supports along Z ; the group

$$K_p^Z(Y; D_1, \dots, D_n) := \pi_p(K^Z(Y; D_1, \dots, D_n))$$

is the p th K -group of Y with supports along Z , relative to D_1, \dots, D_n .

Suppose that X is a regular scheme over a field. Then, following Gillet [G], we have the following sheaf-theoretic description of $K_p(X)$. Form the sheaf \mathcal{K}_X of simplicial sets on X associated to the pre-sheaf

$$V \mapsto BGL(\Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_V))^+ \times \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then there is a natural isomorphism $K_p(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{-p}(X, \mathcal{K}_X)$. We have as well the sheaves of simplicial sets $\mathcal{K}_{X,n}$ gotten by using BGL_n^+ instead of BGL^+ ; the stability results of Suslin [S] show that, for fixed p , $\mathbb{H}^{-p}(X, \mathcal{K}_{X,n}) = K_p(X)$ for all n sufficiently large.

Soulé [So] has given λ -operations on the sheaf level, $\lambda_n^k: \mathcal{K}_{X,n} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_X$, which satisfy the special λ -ring identities in the closed model category of simplicial sheaves on the big Zariski site over X , and are stable, in the model category, in n . This then gives functorial λ -operations λ^k on the groups $K_p^Z(X)$, satisfying the special λ -ring identities. These operations agree with the λ -operations of Hiller and Kratzer on $K_p(X)$ when X is affine.

Grayson [Gr1] has another approach to the construction of λ -operations, which gives functorial operations for an arbitrary scheme, and agrees with the operations of Soulé or with those of Hiller-Kratzer when defined. It is not known, however, whether Grayson's λ -operations satisfy the special λ -ring identities. We now give a brief sketch of Grayson's construction.

If \mathcal{P} is an exact category, Grayson and Gillet [GG] have constructed a functorial simplicial set $GG(\mathcal{P})$ whose geometric realization is naturally homotopy equivalent to ΩBQP . Grayson constructs the λ -operation λ^k as a simplicial map from a certain subdivision of $GG(\mathcal{P})$ to a certain other subdivision. This gives the operation λ^k on the geometric realization of $GG(\mathcal{P})$, functorial in the category \mathcal{P} . Grayson has shown that these operations agree with those defined by Hiller and Kratzer in the case $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_X$ for X affine; this implies that they agree with the operations of Soulé in the regular case. In any case, we may apply the construction of Grayson to any iterated homotopy fiber as above, giving functorial λ -operations on the relative groups with supports $K_p^Z(X; D_1, \dots, D_n)$, which agree with the operations defined by Hiller-Kratzer or Soulé, when the latter operations are defined. Grayson's λ -operations also agree with the classical λ -operations on the Grothendieck group $K_0(\mathcal{P}_X)$. Since Grayson's operations are functorial, they defines functorial Adams operations ψ^k on $K_p^Z(X; D_1, \dots, D_n)$, although the standard properties of the Adams operations are only known in the cases discussed by Hiller-Kratzer, Soulé, or for $K_0(X)$. Additionally, Grayson [Gr2] has defined a delooping of ψ^k ; in particular, the operations ψ^k on $K_p^Z(X; D_1, \dots, D_n)$ are group homomorphisms for all $p \geq 0$.

We fix an integer $k > 1$, and let $K_p^Z(X; D_1, \dots, D_n)^{(q)}$ denote the k^q -characteristic subspace of ψ^k acting on $K_p^Z(X; D_1, \dots, D_n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$; i.e., the set of $v \in K_p^Z(X; D_1, \dots, D_n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that

$$(\psi^k - k^q \cdot \text{id})^N(v) = 0$$

for some $N > 0$.

Lemma 2.1. *If X is regular and $D_1 + \dots + D_n$ is a normal crossing divisor, we have the functorial finite direct sum decomposition*

$$K_p^Z(X; D_1, \dots, D_n)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \bigoplus_q K_p^Z(X; D_1, \dots, D_n)^{(q)},$$

In addition, there is an integer N such that $K_p^Z(X; D_1, \dots, D_n)^{(q)}$ is the subspace for which $(\psi^k - k^q \cdot \text{id})^N = 0$.

Proof. Let V be a \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces with an endomorphism L , and suppose we have an L -stable flag

$$0 = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \dots \subset V_n = V$$

in V . Suppose further that each quotient $W_i := V_i/V_{i-1}$ breaks up into a finite direct sum of subspaces

$$W_i = \bigoplus_q W_i^{(q)},$$

where L acts on $W_i^{(q)}$ by multiplication by k^q . Then one easily sees that V is a finite direct sum of subspaces $V^{(q)}$, where $V^{(q)}$ is the subspace of V on which $(L - k^q \cdot \text{id})^n = 0$. Thus the finite direct sum decomposition

$$V = \bigoplus_q V^{(q)}$$

is functorial on the full subcategory of the category of $\mathbb{Q}[L]$ -modules consisting of those $\mathbb{Q}[L]$ -modules with finite filtration as above.

By considering the various long exact localization and relativization sequences associated with Z , X and D_1, \dots, D_n , we see that each relative K -group with supports $K_p^Z(X; D_1, \dots, D_n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ has a ψ^k -stable filtration with successive quotients being ψ^k -subquotients of ψ^k -modules of the form $K_q(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, where Y is a regular scheme. Thus, the considerations of the previous paragraph prove the lemma. \square

In the general setting, we have only the functorial subspaces

$$K_p^Z(X; D_1, \dots, D_n)^{(q)} \subset K_p^Z(X; D_1, \dots, D_n)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Let X be a regular k -scheme, and s a finite set of closed subsets of X with $X \in s$. Let $\mathcal{Z}^d(X)$ denote the group of codimension d cycles on X , $\mathcal{Z}_s^d(X)$ the subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}^d(X)$ consisting of cycles which intersect S properly for each $S \in s$. We will always assume that X is in s , if $s = \{X\}$, we note that $\mathcal{Z}_s^d(X) = \mathcal{Z}^d(X)$. If D_1, \dots, D_n are distinct locally principal closed

subschemes of X , and I is a subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, let $D_I = \bigcap_{i \in I} D_i$. Let D be the divisor $D_1 + \dots + D_n$, let $s(D) = \{D_I \mid I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}\}$, and $s(D) \cap s$ the set of closed subsets $D_I \cap S$, for $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $S \in s$. Let $\mathcal{Z}_s^d(X; D)$ be the subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_{s(D) \cap s}^d(X)$ consisting of cycles Z with $Z \cdot D = 0$; we often write $\mathcal{Z}^d(X; D)$ for $\mathcal{Z}_{\{X\}}^d(X; D)$. Bloch [B] has defined a homomorphism

$$\text{cyc}^d: \mathcal{Z}_s^d(X; D) \rightarrow K_0(X; D)^{(d)},$$

which now describe.

If W is a closed subset of X , let $\mathcal{Z}^d(X; D)^W$ denote the subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}^d(X; D)$ consisting of cycles supported on W .

If $W \subset T$ are closed subsets of X , let

$$i_{W, T*}: K_p^W(X; D)^{(q)} \rightarrow K_p^T(X; D)^{(q)}$$

be the natural map. Similarly, suppose we have $W \subset Y \subset X$, where Y is a regular closed subscheme of X , of pure codimension c , with Y intersecting each D_I properly. The natural maps

$$K(Y \cap D_I) \rightarrow K^{Y \cap D_I}(D_I); \quad K(Y \cap D_I \setminus W) \rightarrow K^{Y \cap D_I \setminus W}(D_I \setminus W)$$

followed by the natural maps

$$K^{Y \cap D_I}(D_I) \rightarrow K(D_I); \quad K^{Y \cap D_I \setminus W}(D_I \setminus W) \rightarrow K(D_I \setminus W)$$

defines the map

$$p_{Y \subset X}^W: K^W(Y; Y \cap D) \rightarrow K^W(X; D).$$

Composing $p_{Y \subset X}^W$ with the inclusion

$$K_p^W(Y; Y \cap D)^{(q-c)} \rightarrow K_p^W(Y; Y \cap D)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

and the projection

$$K_p^W(X; D)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow K_p^W(X; D)^{(q)}$$

defines the map

$$p_{Y \subset X}^W: K_p^W(Y; Y \cap D)^{(q-c)} \rightarrow K_p^W(X; D)^{(q)}.$$

Similarly, the inclusions $W \subset T$ and $Y \subset X$ induce the maps

$$i_{W, T*}: \mathcal{Z}^d(X; D)^W \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^d(X; D)^T; \quad p_{Y \subset X}^W: \mathcal{Z}^{d-c}(Y; Y \cap D)^W \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^d(X; D)^W.$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let W be a pure codimension d closed subset of X , such that each irreducible component of W intersects each D_I properly. Then*

i) *There is an isomorphism*

$$cyc^W: \mathcal{Z}^d(X; D)_{\mathbb{Q}}^W \rightarrow K_0^W(X; D)^{(d)},$$

functorial for pull-back by flat maps $X' \rightarrow X$.

ii) *If W' is another pure codimension d closed subset of X with $W \subset W'$, and Z is in $\mathcal{Z}^D(X; D)_{\mathbb{Q}}^W$, then*

$$i_{W, W'*}(cyc^W(Z)) = cyc^{W'}(Z).$$

iii) *Suppose $W \subset Y \subset X$, where Y is a regular codimension c closed subscheme of X such that Y intersects each D_I properly. Then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z}^{d-c}(Y; D \cap Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}^W & \xrightarrow{cyc^W} & K_0^W(Y; D \cap Y)^{(d-c)} \\ p_{Y \subset X}^W \downarrow & & \downarrow p_{Y \subset X}^W \\ \mathcal{Z}^d(X; D)_{\mathbb{Q}}^W & \xrightarrow{cyc^W} & K_0^W(X; D)^{(d)} \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof. (following Bloch) We have $D = D_1 + \dots + D_n$, with each D_j regular. We first show, by induction on n , that

$$(2.1) \quad K_a^W(X; D)^{(b)} = 0; \quad \text{for } a > 0, b \leq d.$$

Suppose first that $n = d = 0$; we may then suppose $W = X$. If F is a field, Soulé [So] has shown that

$$(2.2) \quad K_s(F)^{(q)} = 0 \quad \text{for } s > 0, q \leq 0.$$

Let X^p denote the set of codimension p points of X . Since X is regular over a field, we have the Quillen spectral sequence

$$(2.3) \quad E_1^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{x \in X^p} K_{-q}(k(x))^{(b-p)} \Rightarrow K_{-p-q}(X)^{(b)}.$$

By (2.2), this proves (2.1) for $n = 0, W = X$. Now suppose W is regular of codimension d . By the Riemann-Roch theorem [G], the map

$$(2.4) \quad p_{W \subset X}^W: K_a(W)^{(a)} \rightarrow K_a^W(X)^{(a+d)}$$

is an isomorphism. This proves (2.1) in this case. If W is an arbitrary closed subset of X of pure codimension d , let W' be a closed subset of W such that $W \setminus W'$ is regular, and W' has pure codimension $d+1$. By downward induction on d (starting with $d = \dim(X) + 1$) we may assume that (2.1) is true for W' . Then (2.1) for W follows from the exact localization sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow K_a^{W'}(X)^{(b)} \rightarrow K_a^W(X)^{(b)} \rightarrow K_a^{W \setminus W'}(X \setminus W')^{(b)} \rightarrow \dots$$

This completes the proof of (2.1) for $n = 0$. The general case follows by induction and the exact relativization sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow K_{a+1}^{W \cap D_n}(D_n, D_n \cap D_1, \dots, D_n \cap D_{n-1})^{(b)} &\rightarrow K_a^W(X, D_1, \dots, D_n)^{(b)} \\ &\rightarrow K_a^W(X, D_1, \dots, D_{n-1})^{(b)} \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

We now prove the statement of the lemma, proceeding by induction on n . For $n = 0$, we use (2.4) to give the isomorphism

$$(2.5) \quad p_{W \subset X}^W: K_0(W)^{(0)} \cong K_0^W(X)^{(d)},$$

in case W is regular. Using the spectral sequence (2.3) (with $X = W$), we see that the restriction map

$$(2.6) \quad K_0(W)^{(0)} \rightarrow K_0(k(W))^{(0)}$$

is an isomorphism. As $K_0(k(W))^{(0)} = K_0(k(W))_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the \mathbb{Q} -vector space on the irreducible components of W , the inverse of the isomorphism (2.6) composed with the isomorphism (2.5) defines the isomorphism

$$cyc^W: \mathcal{Z}^0(W)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow K_0^W(X)^{(d)}.$$

If W is an arbitrary closed subset of codimension d , let $W' \subset W$ be a closed subset of codimension $d + 1$ on X such that $W \setminus W'$ is regular. Then the spectral sequence (2.3) implies the map

$$K_0^W(X)^{(d)} \rightarrow K_0^{W \setminus W'}(X \setminus W')^{(d)}$$

is an isomorphism. As $\mathcal{Z}^0(W) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^0(W \setminus W')$ is also an isomorphism, the map $cyc^{W \setminus W'}$ induces the isomorphism

$$cyc^W: \mathcal{Z}^0(W)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow K_0^W(X)^{(d)}.$$

in this case as well. Let $T \supset W$ be a closed subset of X , of pure codimension d . The compatibility

$$(2.7) \quad i_{W,T*} \circ cyc^W = cyc^T \circ i_{W,T*}$$

is obvious if W is a connected component of T ; in general, we may remove a closed subset of T of codimension $d + 1$ on X to reduce the proof of (2.7) to this case.

If Y is a regular closed codimension c subset of X , and $W \subset Y \subset X$ is a regular closed codimension d closed subset of X , we have the homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(W) & \rightarrow & K^W(Y) \\ \searrow & & \swarrow \\ & K^W(X) & \end{array} .$$

This gives the compatibility

$$(2.8) \quad p_{Y \subset X}^W \circ cyc^W = cyc^W \circ p_{Y \subset X}^W$$

in this case; for W an arbitrary closed codimension d closed subset, the compatibility (2.8) follows by localization as above.

In addition, Serre's intersection multiplicity formula shows that, for A a closed regular subscheme of X , intersecting each component of W properly, we have the commutative digram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z}^d(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^W & \xrightarrow{cyc^W} & K_0^W(X)^{(d)} \\ \cdot A \downarrow & & \downarrow i_A^* \\ \mathcal{Z}^d(A)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{W \cap A} & \xrightarrow{cyc^{W \cap A}} & K_0^{W \cap A}(A)^{(d)}. \end{array}$$

For general n , we have the divisor $(D - D_n) \cdot D_n$ on D_n . We have the long exact relativization sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow K_1^{W \cap D_n}(D_n; (D - D_n) \cdot D_n)^{(d)} &\rightarrow K_0^W(X; D)^{(d)} \\ &\rightarrow K_0^W(X; D - D_n)^{(d)} \rightarrow K_0^{W \cap D_n}(D_n; (D - D_n) \cdot D_n)^{(d)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $K_1^{W \cap D_n}(D_n; (D - D_n) \cdot D_n)^{(d)} = 0$, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K_0^W(X; D)^{(d)} \rightarrow K_0^W(X, D - D_n)^{(d)} \rightarrow K_0^{W \cap D_n}(D_n; (D - D_n) \cdot D_n)^{(d)}.$$

This in turn gives the commutative ladder with exact columns

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{Z}^d(X; D)_{\mathbb{Q}}^W & \xrightarrow{cyc^W} & K_0^W(X; D)^{(d)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{Z}^d(X; D - D_n)_{\mathbb{Q}}^W & \xrightarrow{cyc^W} & K_0^W(X; D - D_n)^{(d)} \\ \cdot D_n \downarrow & & \downarrow i_{D_n}^* \\ \mathcal{Z}^d(D_n; (D - D_n) \cdot D_n)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{W \cap D_n} & \xrightarrow{cyc^W} & K_0^{W \cap D_n}(D_n; (D - D_n) \cdot D_n)^{(d)}. \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

The lemma now follows by induction and the five lemma. \square

Let s be a finite set of closed subsets of X , with $X \in s$. Let $K_0^d(X; D)_s^{(d)}$ denote the direct limit of the groups $K_0^W(X; D)^{(d)}$, as W ranges over pure codimension d closed subsets of X which intersect each D_I properly and intersect each $D_I \cap S$ properly for each $S \in s$. From Lemma 2.2, we have the isomorphism

$$\text{cyc}^d: \mathcal{Z}_s^d(X; D)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow K_0^d(X; D)_s^{(d)}.$$

We now investigate the natural map $K_0^d(X; D)_s^{(d)} \rightarrow K_0(X; D)^{(d)}$.

Theorem 2.3. *Suppose X is a regular, quasi-projective scheme over a field k , and the divisor $D = Y_1 + \dots + Y_n$ is a normal crossing divisor. Suppose further that X is an A -scheme for some ring A , and there are elements f_1, \dots, f_n of A , generating the unit ideal, such that, for each $f = f_i$, the collection of closed subschemes Y_{1f}, \dots, Y_{nf} of X_f is split. Let s be a finite collection of closed subsets of X . Then the map*

$$K_0^d(X; D)_s^{(d)} \rightarrow K_0(X; D)^{(d)}$$

is surjective.

Proof. Let G denote the Galois group of \bar{k} over k . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (K_0^d(X_{\bar{k}}; D_{\bar{k}})_s^{(d)})^G &= K_0^d(X; D)_s^{(d)} \\ (K_0(X_{\bar{k}}; D_{\bar{k}})^{(d)})^G &= K_0(X; D)^{(d)}, \end{aligned}$$

so we may assume that k is infinite. We may also suppose that X is irreducible. Let T be the iterated double

$$T := D(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$$

We recall that T has 2^n irreducible components, each isomorphic to X ; as in section 1, we index the components of T by the subsets I of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, and let T_1, \dots, T_n denote the closed subschemes

$$T_j = \cup_{I \text{ with } j \in I} X_I.$$

Via this indexing we have the inclusion $i_\emptyset: X \rightarrow T$, and we have

$$i_\emptyset^*(T_j) = Y_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

By Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 1.10, the map

$$i_\emptyset^*: K_0(T; T_1, \dots, T_n) \rightarrow K_0(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$$

is an isomorphism. The map i_\emptyset^* is therefore an isomorphism of ψ^k -modules.

The group $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^n$ acts on T : for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, we may view T as the double

$$(2.9) \quad T = D(D(X; Y_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_n); D(Y_i; Y_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_n))$$

We then have the involution

$$\tau_i: T \rightarrow T$$

gotten by exchanging the two copies of $D(X; Y_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_n)$ in the above representation of T . Similarly, the representation (2.9) of T defines the i th inclusion

$$\iota_i: D(X; Y_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_n) \rightarrow T$$

identifying $D(X; Y_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_n)$ with T_i , and also defines the i th projection

$$\pi_i: T \rightarrow D(X; Y_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_n)$$

The inclusion

$$K_0(T; T_1, \dots, T_n) \rightarrow K_0(T)$$

is then split by the projection operator

$$\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^n (\text{id} - \pi_i^* \circ \iota_i^*).$$

Similarly, if W is a closed subset of T , invariant under the automorphisms τ_i , we have the splitting of the map

$$K_0^W(T; T_1, \dots, T_n) \rightarrow K_p^W(T),$$

with splitting σ^W defined by the same formula as above i.e., we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_p^W(T) & \xrightarrow{\sigma^W} & K_0^W(T; T_1, \dots, T_n) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_p(T) & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & K_0(T; T_1, \dots, T_n). \end{array}$$

By Grothendieck [Gro], $K_0(T)$ is a special λ -ring; as $K_0(T; T_1, \dots, T_n)$ is a λ -summand of $K_0(T)$, it follows that $K_0(T; T_1, \dots, T_n)$ is a special λ -ring (without identity) as well.

We recall the result of Fulton [F]: Let Z be a quasi-projective scheme over a field k , and let η be an element of $K_0(Z)$. Then there is a map $f: Z \rightarrow H$, where H is a homogeneous space for GL_n/k , for some n , H is proper over $\text{Spec}(k)$, and there is an element ρ of $K_0(H)$ with $f^*(\rho) = \eta$.

Let then η be an element of $K_0(T; T_1, \dots, T_n)^{(d)} = K_0(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)^{(d)}$. Consider η as an element of $K_0(T)^{(d)}$. Take $f: Y \rightarrow H$ and $\rho \in K_0(H)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ as above, so that $f^*(\rho) = \eta$ in $K_0(T)^{(d)}$. We may project ρ to $\rho^{(d)} \in K_0(H)^{(d)}$; since $K_0(T; T_1, \dots, T_n)$ is a special λ -ring, the projection on this subspace is thus functorial, and we have

$$f^*(\rho^{(d)}) = \eta.$$

On the other hand, using the Riemann-Roch theorem on the smooth variety H , there is a pure codimension d closed subset Z of H and an element χ of $K_0^Z(H)$ with image $\rho^{(d)}$ in $K_0(H)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

For $S \in s$, let $T(S)$ denote the subscheme $D(S, Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ of T . We now apply the transversality result of Kleiman [Kl], which states that there is an element g of $GL_n(k)$ such that $f^{-1}(gZ)$ is pure codimension d on T and intersects $X_{I_1} \cap \dots \cap X_{I_t} \cap T(S)$ of T properly, for each collection of indices $I_1, \dots, I_t, I_j \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, and each closed subset $S \in s$. Additionally, $GL_n(k)$ acts trivially on $K_0(H)$, so we may assume $g = \text{id}$, after changing notation. Let W be a pure codimension d closed subset of T containing $f^{-1}(Z)$, intersecting each $X_{I_1} \cap \dots \cap X_{I_t} \cap T(S)$ properly and invariant under

all the τ_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let γ be the element $\sigma(f^*(\chi))$ of $K_0^W(T)$. Then γ is in $K_0^W(T; T_1, \dots, T_n)$ and has image η in $K_0(T; T_1, \dots, T_n)_\mathbb{Q}$. Let $W' = i_\emptyset^*(W)$ and let $\beta = i_\emptyset^*(\gamma)$,

$$\beta \in K_0^{W'}(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n).$$

Then β goes to η in $K_0(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)_\mathbb{Q}$. By Lemma 2.1, we have the functorial finite direct sum decomposition

$$K_0^{W'}(X; D) = \bigoplus_q K_0^{W'}(X; D)^{(q)}.$$

Let α be the projection of β to the factor $K_0^{W'}(X; D)^{(d)}$; then α has image η in $K_0(X; D)_\mathbb{Q}$, proving the theorem. \square

Let

$$cyc: \mathcal{Z}^d(X; D)_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow K_0(X; D)^{(d)}$$

be the composition of the map

$$cyc^d: \mathcal{Z}^d(X; D)_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow K_0^d(X; D)^{(d)}$$

and the natural map

$$K_0^d(X; D)^{(d)} \rightarrow K_0(X; D)^{(d)}.$$

Corollary 2.4. *Suppose X is a regular, quasi-projective scheme over an infinite field, and the divisor $D = D_1 + \dots + D_n$ is a normal crossing divisor. Suppose further that X is an A -scheme for some commutative ring A , and there are elements f_1, \dots, f_n of A , generating the unit ideal, such that, for each $f = f_i$, the collection of closed subschemes D_{1f}, \dots, D_{nf} of X_f is split. Let s be a finite collection of closed subsets of X . Then the map*

$$cyc: \mathcal{Z}_s^d(X; D)_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow K_0(X; D)^{(d)}$$

is surjective.

Proof. This follows directly from Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 2.3. \square

We now investigate the kernel of the map *cyc*. For a set s of closed subsets of X , let $s \times \mathbb{A}^1$ denote the set of closed subsets $S \times \mathbb{A}^1$ of $X \times \mathbb{A}^1$ with $S \in s$. We have the group $\mathcal{Z}_{s \times \mathbb{A}^1}^d(X \times \mathbb{A}^1; D \times \mathbb{A}^1 + X \times 1)$ and the subgroup

$$\mathcal{Z}_{X \times 0 \cup s \times \mathbb{A}^1}^d(X \times \mathbb{A}^1; D \times \mathbb{A}^1 + X \times 1) \subset \mathcal{Z}_{s \times \mathbb{A}^1}^d(X \times \mathbb{A}^1; D \times \mathbb{A}^1 + X \times 1)$$

consisting of cycles which intersect $X \times 0$ properly. This gives the map

$$\mathcal{Z}_{X \times 0 \cup s \times \mathbb{A}^1}^d(X \times \mathbb{A}^1; D \times \mathbb{A}^1 + X \times 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_s^d(X; D)$$

by identifying X with $X \times 0$ and intersecting a cycle in $\mathcal{Z}^d(X \times \mathbb{A}^1; D \times \mathbb{A}^1 + X \times 1)$ with $X \times 0$. We let $\text{CH}_s^d(X; D)$ denote the quotient group

$$\mathcal{Z}_s^d(X; D) / \text{Im}(\mathcal{Z}_{X \times 0 \cup s \times \mathbb{A}^1}^d(X \times \mathbb{A}^1; D \times \mathbb{A}^1 + X \times 1)).$$

Lemma 2.5. *The map*

$$\text{cyc}: \mathcal{Z}_s^d(X; D)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow K_0(X; D)^{(d)}$$

descends to a map

$$\text{cyc}: \text{CH}_s^d(X; D)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow K_0(X; D)^{(d)}$$

Proof. We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z}_{X \times 0}^d(X \times \mathbb{A}^1; D \times \mathbb{A}^1 + X \times 1)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \xrightarrow{(X \times 0)} & \mathcal{Z}^d(X; D)_{\mathbb{Q}} \\ \text{cyc} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{cyc} \\ K_0(X \times \mathbb{A}^1; D \times \mathbb{A}^1 + X \times 1)^{(d)} & \xrightarrow{i_{X \times 0}^*} & K_0(X; D)^{(d)}. \end{array}$$

We have as well the exact relativization sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \dots &\rightarrow K_{p+1}(X \times \mathbb{A}^1; D \times \mathbb{A}^1) \rightarrow K_{p+1}(X \times 1; D \times 1) \\ &\rightarrow K_p(X \times \mathbb{A}^1; D \times \mathbb{A}^1 + X \times 1) \rightarrow K_p(X \times \mathbb{A}^1; D \times \mathbb{A}^1) \\ &\rightarrow K_p(X \times 1; D \times 1) \rightarrow \dots; \end{aligned}$$

since the maps

$$K_p(X \times \mathbb{A}^1; D \times \mathbb{A}^1) \rightarrow K_p(X \times 1; D \times 1)$$

are all isomorphisms by the homotopy property for the K -groups of regular schemes, the groups

$$K_p(X \times \mathbb{A}^1; D \times \mathbb{A}^1 + X \times 1)$$

are all zero. Thus the composition

$$\text{cyc} \circ (- \cdot X \times 0): \mathcal{Z}_{X \times 0}^d(X \times \mathbb{A}^1; D \times \mathbb{A}^1 + X \times 1)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow K_0(X; D)^{(d)}$$

is the zero map, proving the lemma. □

Let U be an open subset of X , W the complement $X \setminus U$, D_U the divisor $D \cap U$. Using the model BQP_- for $\Omega^{-1}K(-)$, we form the spaces

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^{-1}K(X \times \mathbb{A}^1; D \times \mathbb{A}^1 + X \times 1 + X \times 0), \\ \Omega^{-1}K(X \times \mathbb{A}^1; D \times \mathbb{A}^1 + X \times 1 + U \times 0), \\ \Omega^{-1}K^W(X; D) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Omega^{-1}K(U; D_U);$$

$U \times 0$ is not closed, but we define $\Omega^{-1}K(X \times \mathbb{A}^1, D \times \mathbb{A}^1 + X \times 1 + U \times 0)$ as the homotopy fiber of the map

$$\Omega^{-1}K(X \times \mathbb{A}^1, D \times \mathbb{A}^1 + X \times 1) \rightarrow \Omega^{-1}K(U \times 0, D_U \times 0).$$

By the Quetzalcoatl lemma, the homotopy fiber of the map

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^{-1}K(X \times \mathbb{A}^1, D \times \mathbb{A}^1 + X \times 1 + X \times 0) \rightarrow \\ \Omega^{-1}K(X \times \mathbb{A}^1; D \times \mathbb{A}^1 + X \times 1 + U \times 0) \end{aligned}$$

is the homotopy fiber of $*$ \rightarrow $\Omega^{-1}K^W(X; D)$, i.e., $K^W(X; D)$. This gives us the homotopy commutative diagram

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} K^W(X; D) & = & K^W(X; D) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K(X; D) & \rightarrow & \Omega^{-1}K(X \times \mathbb{A}^1; D \times \mathbb{A}^1 + X \times 1 + X \times 0) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K(U; D_U) & \rightarrow & \Omega^{-1}K(X \times \mathbb{A}^1; D \times \mathbb{A}^1 + X \times 1 + U \times 0) \end{array}$$

where the columns are homotopy fiber sequences.

Let

$$E = D_1 \times \mathbb{A}^1 + \dots + D_n \times \mathbb{A}^1 + X \times 1 + X \times 0.$$

Let T be a closed subset of $X \times \mathbb{A}^1$ such that T intersects each E_I properly, let $W \times 0 = T \cap X \times 0$ and let $U = X \setminus W$. Since $T \cap U \times 0 = \emptyset$, we have a canonical lifting of the map

$$\Omega^{-1}K^T(X \times \mathbb{A}^1; D \times \mathbb{A}^1 + X \times 1) \rightarrow \Omega^{-1}K(X \times \mathbb{A}^1; D \times \mathbb{A}^1 + X \times 1)$$

to a map

$$\phi: \Omega^{-1}K^T(X \times \mathbb{A}^1; D \times \mathbb{A}^1 + X \times 1) \rightarrow \Omega^{-1}K(X \times \mathbb{A}^1; D \times \mathbb{A}^1 + X \times 1 + U \times 0).$$

Additionally, the space $\Omega^{-1}K(X \times \mathbb{A}^1; D \times \mathbb{A}^1 + X \times 1)$ is contractible, hence the horizontal arrows in (2.10) are homotopy equivalences.

Lemma 2.6. *Let η be an element of $K_0^T(X \times \mathbb{A}^1; D \times \mathbb{A}^1 + X \times 1)$, and let $\tau \in K_1(U; D)$ be the element going to $\phi(\eta)$ under the natural map*

$$K_1(U; D) \rightarrow K_0(X \times \mathbb{A}^1; D \times \mathbb{A}^1 + X \times 1 + U \times 0)$$

given by the diagram (2.10). Let $\delta: K_1(U, D_U) \rightarrow K_0^W(X; D)$ be the boundary map in the long exact localization sequence

$$K_1(U, D_U) \rightarrow K_0^W(X; D) \rightarrow K_0(X; D) \rightarrow K_0(U; D_U),$$

and let

$$i_0^*: K_0^T(X \times \mathbb{A}^1; D \times \mathbb{A}^1 + X \times 1) \rightarrow K_0^W(X; D)$$

denote the pullback by the zero-section $i_0: X \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{A}^1$. Then

$$\delta(\tau) = i_0^*(\eta).$$

Proof. Let

$$\delta': \pi_1(\Omega^{-1}K(X \times \mathbb{A}^1; D \times \mathbb{A}^1 + X \times 1 + U \times 0)) \rightarrow K_0^W(X; D)$$

be the boundary map coming from the second column in (2.10). Then $\delta(\tau) = \delta'(\phi(\eta))$, by the homotopy commutativity of (2.10). The relevant relativization sequences gives the homotopy commutative ladder

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} K^W(X, D) & = & K^W(X, D) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Omega^{-1}K^T(X \times \mathbb{A}^1; D \times \mathbb{A}^1 + X \times 1 + X \times 0) & \searrow & \Omega^{-1}K(X \times \mathbb{A}^1; D \times \mathbb{A}^1 + X \times 1 + X \times 0) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Omega^{-1}K^T(X \times \mathbb{A}^1; D \times \mathbb{A}^1 + X \times 1 + U \times 0) & \searrow \phi & \Omega^{-1}K(X \times \mathbb{A}^1; D \times \mathbb{A}^1 + X \times 1 + U \times 0) \\ i_0^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Omega^{-1}K^W(X, D) & = & \Omega^{-1}K^W(X; D) \end{array}$$

where the columns are homotopy fiber sequences. This shows that

$$\delta'(\phi(\eta)) = i_0^*(\eta),$$

proving the lemma. □

Theorem 2.7. *Let X be a regular, quasi-projective scheme over an infinite field, and $D = D_1 + \dots + D_n$ a normal crossing divisor. Let s be a finite set of closed subsets of X . Suppose that*

- i) X is an A -scheme for some commutative ring A , and there are elements f_1, \dots, f_n of A , generating the unit ideal, such that, for each $f = f_i$, the collection of closed subschemes D_{1f}, \dots, D_{nf} of X_f is split.
- ii) Let W' be a closed subset of X of pure codimension d , such that W' intersects each Y_I and each $Y_I \cap S$, $S \in s$, properly. Then there is a closed, pure codimension d subset W of X , containing W' , such that W intersects each Y_I and each $Y_I \cap S$ properly, and, for each $f = f_i$, the collection of closed subschemes $D_{1f} \setminus W', \dots, D_{nf} \setminus W$ of $X_f \setminus W$ is split.

Then the map

$$cyc: CH_s^d(X; D)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow K_0(X; D)^{(d)}$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Surjectivity follows from Corollary 2.4. Let then Z be in $Z_s^d(X; D)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and suppose $cyc(Z) = 0$. Let W be the support of Z and let $U = X \setminus W$. We may suppose that W satisfies the conditions of (ii) above. We have the localization sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow K_1(U; D_U)^{(d)} \xrightarrow{\delta} K_0^W(X; D)^{(d)} \rightarrow K_0(X; D)^{(d)}$$

so there is an element τ of $K_1(U; D_U)^{(d)}$ with $\delta(\tau) = cyc^W(Z)$. We have the isomorphism

$$K_0(U \times \mathbb{A}^1; D \times \mathbb{A}^1 + U \times 1 + U \times 0)^{(d)} \rightarrow K_1(U; D_U)^{(d)};$$

let $\tilde{\eta}$ be the element of $K_0(U \times \mathbb{A}^1; D \times \mathbb{A}^1 + U \times 1 + U \times 0)^{(d)}$ corresponding to τ . Let

$$E = D_1 \times \mathbb{A}^1 + \dots, D_n \times \mathbb{A}^1 + X \times 1 + X \times 0,$$

$E_U = E \cap U \times \mathbb{A}^1$. Note that $(X \times \mathbb{A}^1, E)$ and $(U \times \mathbb{A}^1, E_U)$ both satisfy the splitting conditions of Corollary 2.4; indeed, we need only replace the ring A with the ring $A[x]$, and the elements f_1, \dots, f_n of A with the elements $xf_1, \dots, xf_n, (x-1)f_1, \dots, (x-1)f_n$ of $A[x]$. By Corollary 2.4, there is a pure codimension d closed subset T_U of $U \times \mathbb{A}^1$, intersecting each E_{U_I} and each $E_{U_I} \cap S \times \mathbb{A}^1$ properly, and an element η_U of $K_0^{Tv}(U \times \mathbb{A}^1; E_U)^{(d)}$ mapping

to $\tilde{\eta}$ under the natural map. By Lemma 2.2, there is a cycle \tilde{Z}_U in $\mathcal{Z}^d(U \times \mathbb{A}^1; E_U)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{T_U}$ with $\text{cyc}^{T_U}(\tilde{Z}_U) = \eta_U$.

Let T be the closure of T_U in $X \times \mathbb{A}^1$. We claim that T intersects each component of E_I and $E_I \cap S \times \mathbb{A}^1$ properly. Indeed, each E_I is either of the form $D_J \times \mathbb{A}^1$, $D_J \times 0$ or $D_J \times 1$, for some J . Additionally we have

$$T \cap E_I \subset ((W \times \mathbb{A}^1) \cap E_I) \cup \overline{(T_U \cap E_{UI})}.$$

Since T_U intersects E_{UI} properly, the term $\overline{T_U \cap E_{UI}}$ has the proper dimension. Since W intersects each D_J properly on X , it follows that $W \times \mathbb{A}^1$ intersects $D_J \times \mathbb{A}^1$, $D_J \times 0$ and $D_J \times 1$ properly on $X \times \mathbb{A}^1$. Thus the term $(W \times \mathbb{A}^1) \cap E_I$ has the proper dimension as well, proving our claim for E_I ; the proof for $E_I \cap S \times \mathbb{A}^1$ is similar. In particular, we have

$$\mathcal{Z}^d(X \times \mathbb{A}^1)^T = \mathcal{Z}_{E_U \times \mathbb{A}^1}^d(X \times \mathbb{A}^1)^T.$$

Let $i_0: X \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{A}^1$, $i_1: X \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{A}^1$ be the inclusions as the zero-section and the one-section. Let $\tilde{Z} \in \mathcal{Z}_{E_U \times \mathbb{A}^1}^d(X \times \mathbb{A}^1)^T$ be the closure of \tilde{Z}_U . Let $\tilde{Z}_1 = \tilde{Z} \cdot (X \times 1)$. As $\tilde{Z}_U \cdot U \times 1 = 0$, it follows that \tilde{Z}_1 has support contained in W . Replacing \tilde{Z} with $\tilde{Z} - (\tilde{Z}_1 \times \mathbb{A}^1)$, and changing notation, we have $\tilde{Z} \cdot (X \times 1) = 0$ and $\tilde{Z}_U = \tilde{Z} \cap (U \times \mathbb{A}^1)$.

Let i be an integer, $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Z} \cdot (D_i^0 \times \mathbb{A}^1) \cap U &= \tilde{Z}_U \cdot (D_i \times \mathbb{A}^1) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that $\tilde{Z} \cdot (D_i^0 \times \mathbb{A}^1) = Z_i^0 \times \mathbb{A}^1$, for some cycle Z_i^0 supported on W . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (\tilde{Z} \cdot X \times 1) \cdot (D_i^0 \times \mathbb{A}^1) \\ &= (\tilde{Z} \cdot (D_i^0 \times \mathbb{A}^1)) \cdot (X \times 1) \\ &= (Z_i^0 \times \mathbb{A}^1) \cdot (X \times 1) \\ &= Z_i^0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\tilde{Z} \cdot (D_i^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1) = 0$, hence \tilde{Z} is in the subgroup

$$\mathcal{Z}_{X \times 0, s \times \mathbb{A}^1}^d(X \times \mathbb{A}^1; D \times \mathbb{A}^1 + X \times 1)_{\mathbb{Q}}^d$$

of $\mathcal{Z}_{E \cup s \times \mathbb{A}^1}^d(X \times \mathbb{A}^1)_{\mathbb{Q}}^d$. Let

$$\eta = \text{cyc}^T(\tilde{Z}) \in K_0^T(X \times \mathbb{A}^1; D_1 \times \mathbb{A}^1, \dots, D_n \times \mathbb{A}^1, X \times 1)^{(d)}.$$

By Lemma 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cyc}^W(\tilde{Z} \cdot (X \times 0)) &= i_0^*(\text{cyc}(\tilde{Z})) \\ &= i_0^*(\eta) \\ &= \delta(\tau) \\ &= \text{cyc}^W(Z). \end{aligned}$$

Since cyc^W is an isomorphism, we see that

$$\tilde{Z} \cdot (X \times 0) = Z,$$

so $Z = 0$ in $\text{CH}_s^d(X; D)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, proving injectivity. \square

§3 Relative cycles and K_p

Following Bloch [B], we give a cycle-theoretic description of the rational higher K -groups of a regular, quasi-projective scheme over a field. We use a ‘‘cubical’’ version rather than a simplicial version for reasons which will become apparent. We will define an isomorphism of the cubically defined groups with Bloch’s simplicial version in the next section.

Let k be a field, X a k -scheme, and s a finite set of closed subsets of X with $X \in s$. Let $\square^n = \mathbb{A}^n$. Let D_i^1 be the subscheme $x_i = 1$, D_i^0 the subscheme $x_i = 0$, and D_i the subscheme $x_i(x_i - 1) = 0$. Let $\partial \square^n$ be divisor $D_1 + \dots + D_n$, and let $\partial^+ \square^n$ be the divisor $\partial \square^n - D_n^0$. If s is a finite set of closed subsets of X , and $E = E_1 + \dots + E_t$ is a reduced divisor on a k -scheme Y , we let $s \times E$ denote the set of closed subsets

$$\{S \times E_I \mid S \in s, I \subset \{1, \dots, t\}\}$$

of $X \times Y$. By a *face* of $X \times \partial \square^p$, we mean an irreducible component of an intersection of some of the divisors $X \times D_i$, $i = 1, \dots, p$; we also consider $X \times \square^p$ as a face of $X \times \partial \square^p$.

Let $\mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, n)^c$ be the group

$$\mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, n)^c = \mathcal{Z}_{s \times \partial \square^n}^q(X \times \square^n; X \times \partial^+ \square^n).$$

Intersection with the face D_n^0 defines map $d_n: \mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, n)^c \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, n-1)^c$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} d_{n-1} \circ d_n(Z) &= D_{n-1}^0 \cdot (D_n^0 \cdot Z) \\ &= D_n^0 \cdot (D_{n-1}^0 \cdot Z) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

we have the complex $(\mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, *)^c, d)$

$$\dots \xrightarrow{d_{n+1}} \mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, n)^c \xrightarrow{d_n} \dots \xrightarrow{d_0} \mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, 0)^c.$$

By definition, we have

$$H_p(\mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, *)^c) = \text{CH}_s^q(X \times \square^p; X \times \partial \square^p).$$

We define $\text{CH}_s^q(X, p)^c$ to be $H_p(\mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, *)^c)$; we often omit the subscript s when $s = \{X\}$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a smooth, quasi-projective k -scheme, s a finite set of closed subsets of X . Then the map*

$$\text{cyc}: \text{CH}_{s \times \square^p}^q(X \times \square^p; X \times \partial \square^p)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow K_0(X \times \square^p; X \times \partial \square^p)^{(q)}$$

defines an isomorphism

$$\text{cyc}_{q,p}: \text{CH}_s^q(X, p)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c \rightarrow K_p(X)^{(q)}.$$

Proof. Using the homotopy property of K -theory of regular schemes, there is a natural homotopy equivalence

$$K(X \times \square^p; X \times \partial \square^p) \rightarrow \Omega^p(K(X))$$

giving the isomorphism

$$K_0(X \times \square^p; X \times \partial \square^p)^{(q)} \rightarrow K_p(X)^{(q)}.$$

Suppose we have verified the hypotheses of Theorem 2.7 for the normal crossing divisor

$$D = X \times \partial \square^p = D_1 + \dots + D_p$$

on $X \times \square^p$; then the map

$$cyc: \text{CH}_{s, \times \square^p}^q(X \times \square^p; X \times \partial \square^p)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow K_0(X \times \square^p; X \times \partial \square^p)^{(q)}$$

is an isomorphism, proving the theorem.

We now proceed to verify the hypotheses of Theorem 2.7. Let $A = k[x_1, \dots, x_p]$. For each $I \subset \{1, \dots, p\}$, let f_I be the element of A defined by

$$f_I = \prod_{i \in I} x_i \times \prod_{i \notin I} (x_i - 1),$$

and let $v_I = \cap_{i \in I} (x_i = 0) \cap \cap_{i \notin I} (x_i = 1)$. Then, for each I , v_I is a closed point of \square^p (with coordinates either 0 or 1), and the divisor $f_I = 0$ is the sum of components of $\partial \square^p$ passing through v_I . Thus, the n -cubes $(\square_{f_I}^p; D_{1f_I}, \dots, D_{pf_I})$ for different I are all isomorphic; for $I = \{1, \dots, n\}$, this n -cube is the collection of coordinate hyperplanes $x_i = 0$ in the open subscheme $\prod_i (1 - x_i) \neq 0$ of \square^p . In particular, the collection $\{f_I \mid I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}\}$ generate the unit ideal in A . Additionally, the n -cube $(\square_{f_I}^p; D_{1f_I}, \dots, D_{pf_I})_*$ is a split n -cube; for $I = \{1, \dots, n\}$, the splitting is generated by the linear projections

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_i^0: \square^p &\rightarrow D_i^0 \\ \pi_i^0(t_1, \dots, t_p) &= (t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, 0, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_p). \end{aligned}$$

This verifies the condition (i) in Theorem 2.7.

For condition (ii), let π_i^1 be the linear projection

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_i^1: \square^p &\rightarrow D_i^1 \\ \pi_i^1(t_1, \dots, t_p) &= (t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, 1, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_p). \end{aligned}$$

Let W' be a pure codimension d closed subset of $X \times \square^p$, intersecting each face of $\partial \square^p$ properly. From the condition it follows that for each i , the closed subsets W_i^0 and W_i^1 defined by

$$W_i^0 = (\pi_i^0)^{-1}(W' \cap X \times D_i^0); \quad W_i^1 = (\pi_i^1)^{-1}(W' \cap X \times D_i^1)$$

are of pure codimension d on $X \times \square^p$, and intersect each face of $X \times \partial \square^p$ properly. Indeed, for a face F of $X \times \partial \square^p$, the projection $\pi_i^0(F)$ is again face of $X \times \partial \square^p$, and is contained in $X \times D_i^0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{codim}_F(W_i^0 \cap F) &= \text{codim}_{\pi_i^0(F)}((W' \cap X \times D_i^0) \cap \pi_i^0(F)) \\ &= \text{codim}_{\pi_i^0(F)}((W' \cap \pi_i^0(F))) \\ &\geq d \end{aligned}$$

The computation for W_i^1 is similar. Thus, letting W be the closed subset of $X \times \square^p$,

$$W = W' \cup (\cup_{i=1}^p W_i^0) \cup (\cup_{i=1}^p W_i^1),$$

W has pure codimension d on $X \times \partial \square^p$, and intersects each face of $X \times \partial \square^p$ properly. By construction, the linear projections π_i^0 and π_i^1 map $X \times \square^p \setminus W$ into $D_i^0 \setminus W$ and $D_i^1 \setminus W$, respectively. Thus the n -cube

$$((X \times \square^p \setminus W)_{f_I}; (D_1 \setminus W)_{f_I}, \dots, (D_p \setminus W)_{f_I})$$

is split for each $I \in \{1, \dots, p\}$, verifying condition (ii). This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

For a scheme X , the space BQP_X gives the canonical delooping of the space $K(X)$. If we have closed subschemes Y_1, \dots, Y_n , the iterated homotopy fiber over the n -cube

$$I \mapsto BQP_{Y_I}$$

gives the canonical delooping of the iterated homotopy fiber $K(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$; denote this delooping by $\Omega^{-1}K(X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$. We let $BQP_X^q(n)$ denote the connected component of the base point in $\Omega^{-1}K(X \times \square^n; X \times \partial \square^n)$ and let $BQP_X^q(n+1)^+$ denote the connected component of the base point in $\Omega^{-1}K(X \times \square^{n+1}; X \times \partial^+ \square^{n+1})$.

Corollary 3.2. *Let X be a smooth quasi-projective variety over a field k , and let s be a finite set of closed subsets of X . Then the map*

$$\mathcal{Z}_s^q(-, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^q(-, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_p(\mathcal{Z}_s^q(-, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c) & \rightarrow & H_p(\mathcal{Z}^q(-, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c) \\ \text{cyc}_{q,p} \searrow & & \swarrow \text{cyc}^{q,p} \\ & K_p(X)^{(q)} & \end{array}$$

As the maps $\text{cyc}^{q,p}$ are isomorphisms for all p by Theorem 3.1, the map

$$\mathcal{Z}_s^q(-, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^q(-, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c$$

is a quasi-isomorphism, as desired. □

Theorem 3.3. *The complexes $\mathcal{Z}^q(-, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c$ satisfy the Mayer-Vietoris axiom for the Zariski topology, i.e., if U and V are open subsets of X with $X = U \cup V$, then the natural map*

$$\mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c \rightarrow \text{Cone}(\mathcal{Z}^q(U, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c \oplus \mathcal{Z}^q(V, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^q(U \cap V, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c)[-1]$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. Let \mathcal{C} denote the complex

$$\text{Cone}(\mathcal{Z}^q(U, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c \oplus \mathcal{Z}^q(V, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^q(U \cap V, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c)[-1].$$

We first show how the isomorphism

$$\text{cyc}: H_p(\mathcal{Z}^q(X, p)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c) \rightarrow K_p(X)^{(q)}$$

extends to a map

$$\text{cyc}: H_p(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow K_p(X)^{(q)}.$$

Let F^q be the iterated homotopy fiber over the square

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} BQP_U^q(n+1)^+ \times BQP_V^q(n+1)^+ & \rightarrow & BQP_{U \cap V}^q(n+1)^+ \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ BQP_U^q(n) \times BQP_V^q(n) & \rightarrow & BQP_{U \cap V}^q(n). \end{array}$$

As each term in this square can be functorially delooped, the homotopy groups of F^q are all abelian groups, including π_0 .

Let π_{1*}^q denote the complex of abelian groups associated to the double complex

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(BQP_U^q(n+1)^+) \oplus \pi_1(BQP_V^q(n+1)^+) & \rightarrow & \pi_1(BQP_{U \cap V}^q(n+1)^+) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_1(BQP_U^q(n)) \oplus \pi_1(BQP_V^q(n)) & \rightarrow & \pi_1(BQP_{U \cap V}^q(n)), \end{array}$$

with differential decreasing degree and with $\pi_1(BQP_{U \cap V}^q(n))$ in degree -1 . The long exact fibration sequences associated to the square (3.2) then give the following exact sequence describing $\pi_0(F^q)$:

$$(3.3) \quad \pi_2(BQP_{U \cap V}^q(n)) \rightarrow \pi_0(F^q) \rightarrow H_0(\pi_{1*}^q) \rightarrow 0.$$

The Adams operation ψ^k acts on the square (3.2), inducing an action on the homology $H_0(\pi_{1*}^q)$ and a functorial finite decomposition

$$H_0(\pi_{1*}^q)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \bigoplus_a H_0(\pi_{1*}^q)^{(a)};$$

there is also an action on $\pi_0(F^q)$, but this latter action may conceivably be non-additive. On the other hand, the maps cyc^q induces an isomorphism of the square

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z}^q(U, p+1)_{\mathbb{Q}} \oplus \mathcal{Z}^q(V, p+1)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{Z}^q(U, p+1)_{\mathbb{Q}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Z_p(\mathcal{Z}^q(U, *))_{\mathbb{Q}} \oplus Z_p(\mathcal{Z}^q(V, *))_{\mathbb{Q}} & \rightarrow & Z_p(\mathcal{Z}^q(U, *))_{\mathbb{Q}} \end{array}$$

to the square $(\pi_{1*}^q)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(q)}$. Letting $Tot(3.4)$ denote the total (homological) complex of the square (3.4), with $Z_p(\mathcal{Z}^q(U, *))_{\mathbb{Q}}$ in degree -1 , the map cyc^q thus gives an isomorphism

$$H_0(cyc^q)_0: H_0(Tot(3.4)) \rightarrow H_0(\pi_{1*}^q)^{(q)}.$$

Composing this with the surjection $Z_p(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H_0(\text{Tot}(3.4))$ gives the map

$$\overline{Z_p(\text{cyc}^q)}: Z_p(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H_0(\pi_{1*}^q)^{(q)}.$$

Let $F = F^0$. The spaces

$$BQP_U(p+1)^+, BQP_V(p+1)^+ \text{ and } BQP_{U \cap V}(p+1)^+$$

are all contractible, hence we have the homotopy equivalence

$$F \rightarrow \Omega \text{Fib}(BQP_U(p) \times BQP_V(p) \rightarrow BQP_{U \cap V}(p)),$$

compatible with the ψ^k -action. By the Mayer-Vietoris property for the functor $K(-)$, this gives the homotopy equivalence

$$F \rightarrow K(X \times \square^p; X \times \partial \square^p),$$

compatible with the ψ^k -action; similarly, the exact sequence (3.3) for $q = 0$ gives the commutative diagram of abelian groups

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \pi_2(BQP_{U \cap V}^0(n)) & \rightarrow & \pi_0(F^0) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_1(U \cap V \times \square^p; U \cap V \times \partial \square^p) & \rightarrow & K_0(X \times \square^p; X \times \partial \square^p); \end{array}$$

here the map

$$K_1(U \cap V \times \square^p; U \cap V \times \partial \square^p) \rightarrow K_0(X \times \square^p; X \times \partial \square^p)$$

arises from the Mayer-Vietoris sequence for the covering $\{U \times \square^p, V \times \square^p\}$ of $X \times \square^p$. The maps in (3.5) are compatible with the ψ^k -action and the vertical maps are isomorphisms; in particular, the ψ^k -action on $\pi_0(F^0)$ is additive

Let

$$p^q: \pi_0(F^q)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow K_0(X \times \square^p; X \times \partial \square^p)^{(q)}$$

be the composition

$$\pi_0(F^q)_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \pi_0(F^0)_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow K_0(X \times \square^p; X \times \partial \square^p)_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow K_0(X \times \square^p; X \times \partial \square^p)^{(q)},$$

where the first map is induced by the map $F^q \rightarrow F^0$, the second comes from the square (3.5) and the third is the projection of $K_0(X \times \square^p; X \times \partial \square^p)_\mathbb{Q}$ onto the summand $K_0(X \times \square^p; X \times \partial \square^p)^{(q)}$. Suppose we have an element η of $\pi_2(BQP_{U \cap V}^q(n))$ with image $h \in \pi_0(F^q)$ under the map in (3.3). Then $p^q(h)$ can be gotten by applying the composition of maps

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_2(BQP_{U \cap V}^q(n)) &\rightarrow \pi_2(BQP_{U \cap V}^0(n)) \\ &\rightarrow K_0(X \times \square^p; X \times \partial \square^p) \\ &\rightarrow K_0(X \times \square^p; X \times \partial \square^p)^{(q)} \end{aligned}$$

to the element η . As this composition is the same as the composition

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_2(BQP_{U \cap V}^q(n)) &\rightarrow \pi_2(BQP_{U \cap V}^q(n))^{(q)} \\ &\rightarrow \pi_2(BQP_{U \cap V}^0(n))^{(q)} \\ &\rightarrow K_0(X \times \square^p; X \times \partial \square^p)^{(q)} \end{aligned}$$

and as $\pi_2(BQP_{U \cap V}^q(n))^{(q)} = 0$ by (2.1) in the proof of Lemma 2.2, we see that $p^q(h) = 0$. Thus the map p^q factors through the quotient $H_0(\pi_{1*}^q)$ of $\pi_0(F^q)$, and we may define the map

$$Z_p(\text{cyc}): Z_p(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow K_0(X \times \square^p; X \times \partial \square^p)^{(q)}$$

by setting

$$Z_p(\text{cyc})(\alpha) = p^q(h), \quad \alpha \in Z_p(\mathcal{C}),$$

where $h \in \pi_0(F^q)_\mathbb{Q}$ is any lifting of $\overline{Z_p(\text{cyc}^q)}(\alpha) \in H_0(\pi_{1*}^q)^{(q)}$ via the sequence (3.3). One checks easily that this is indeed an extension of the map

$$\text{cyc}_{q,P}: Z_p(\mathcal{Z}^Q(X, *)_\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow K_0(X \times \square^p; X \times \partial \square^p)^{(q)}.$$

Using the argument of Theorem 2.7, we see that $Z_p(cyc)$ descends to the map

$$H_p(cyc): H_p(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow K_0(X \times \square^p; X \times \partial \square^p)^{(q)} = K_p(X)^{(q)}.$$

We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{p+1}(\mathcal{Z}^q(U, *))_{\mathbb{Q}} \oplus H_{p+1}(\mathcal{Z}^q(V, *))_{\mathbb{Q}} & \xrightarrow{cyc^{q,p} \oplus cyc^{q,p}} & K_{p+1}(U)^{(q)} \oplus K_{p+1}(V)^{(q)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_{p+1}(\mathcal{Z}^q(U \cap V, *))_{\mathbb{Q}} & \xrightarrow{cyc^{q,p}} & K_p(U \cap V)^{(q)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_p(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{Q}} & \xrightarrow{H_p(cyc)} & K_p(X)^{(q)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_p(\mathcal{Z}^q(U, *))_{\mathbb{Q}} \oplus H_p(\mathcal{Z}^q(U, *))_{\mathbb{Q}} & \xrightarrow{cyc^{q,p} \oplus cyc^{q,p}} & K_p(U)^{(q)} \oplus K_{p+1}(V)^{(q)}; \end{array}$$

thus $H_p(cyc)$ is an isomorphism by the five lemma. □

For W a closed subset of X , let $j: X \setminus W \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion of the complement, and let $\mathcal{Z}_W^q(X, *)^c$ denote the complex

$$\text{Cone}(j^*: \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^c \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^q(X \setminus W, *)^c)[-1].$$

If W is a closed subscheme of pure codimension d , we have the natural map

$$i_{W*}: \mathcal{Z}^{q-d}(W, *)^c \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_W^q(X, *)^c.$$

We let $\text{CH}_W^q(X, p) = H_p(\mathcal{Z}_W^q(X, *)^c)$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let X be a regular, quasi-projective k -scheme, $i: W \rightarrow X$ a closed subscheme, $j: U \rightarrow X$ the inclusion of the complement $U = X \setminus W$. Then there are natural isomorphisms*

$$cyc_{q,p}^W: \text{CH}_W^q(X, p)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow K_p^W(X)^{(q)}$$

giving the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \rightarrow & \text{CH}^q(U, p+1)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \rightarrow & \text{CH}_W^q(X, p)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \rightarrow & \text{CH}^q(X, p)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \rightarrow \\ & \text{cyc}_{q,p+1} \downarrow & & \text{cyc}_{q,p}^W \downarrow & & \text{cyc}_{q,p} \downarrow & \\ \rightarrow & K_{p+1}(U)^{(q)} & \rightarrow & K_p^W(X)^{(q)} & \rightarrow & K_p(X)^{(q)} & \rightarrow . \end{array}$$

In addition, if W is regular, of pure codimension d on X , then the map

$$i_{W*\mathbb{Q}}: \mathcal{Z}^{q-d}(W, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_W^q(X, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\circ}.$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. The construction of the map $cyc_{q,p}^W$ is similar to that of the map $H_p(cyc)$ in Theorem 3.2. We give a sketch of the construction.

Let $U = X \setminus W$. Let G^q be the iterated homotopy fiber over the commutative square

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} BQP_X^q(n+1)^+ & \rightarrow & BQP_X^q(n) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ BQP_U^q(n+1)^+ & \rightarrow & BQP_U^q(n). \end{array}$$

By considering the square of abelian groups gotten by applying the functor π_1 to the square (3.6) for q and for $q = 0$ as in the proof of Theorem 3.2, we arrive at definition of the map $cyc_{q,p}^W$.

In addition, if W is regular and pure codimension d on X , we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} CH^{q-d}(W, p)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \xrightarrow{i_{W*}} & CH_W^q(X, p)_{\mathbb{Q}} \\ cyc_{q-d,p} \downarrow & & \downarrow cyc_{q,p}^W \\ K_p(W)^{(q-d)} & \xrightarrow{i_{W*}} & K_p^W(X)^{(q)}. \end{array}$$

Since $cyc_{q-d,p}$, $cyc_{q,p}^W$ and

$$i_{W*}: K_p(W)^{(q-d)} \rightarrow K_p^W(X)^{(q)}$$

are isomorphisms, the map

$$i_{W*}: CH^{q-d}(W, p)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow CH_W^q(X, p)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

is an isomorphism as well, proving the second assertion. □

§4 Cubes and simplices

In this section, we show that the higher Chow groups defined via cubes agrees with Bloch's higher Chow groups defined via simplices. To do this we first prove the weak moving lemma and the homotopy property for the cubical complexes $\mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, *)^c$. The proofs are essentially the same as Bloch's proofs of the analogous properties for the simplicially defined complexes $\mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, *)$, only rather easier, as the cubical structure allows us to circumvent the necessity of taking subdivisions, as is required in the simplicial version. For this reason, we will be rather sketchy in our proofs, referring for the most part to Bloch's argument for details. We then use the homotopy property for both complexes to define the desired quasi-isomorphism. We also consider the \mathbb{Q} -complexes Bloch has defined by using *alternating* cycles on $X \times \square^n$, and we show that these complexes are quasi-isomorphic to $\mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c$.

We note that the complexes $\mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, *)^c$ are contravariantly functorial for flat maps, and covariantly functorial (with appropriate shift in codimension) for proper maps. If K is a finite field extension of k , X_K the extension of X to a scheme over K , and $\pi: X_K \rightarrow X$ the projection, then

$$(4.1) \quad \pi_* \circ \pi^* = [K : k] \cdot \text{id}.$$

Let $i_{W_n^X}: W_n^X \rightarrow X \times \square^{n+1} \times \mathbb{P}^1$ be the subvariety of

$$X \times \square^{n+1} \times \mathbb{P}^1 = X \times \text{Spec}(k[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}]) \times \text{Proj}(k[T_0, T_1])$$

defined by the equation

$$T_0(1 - x_n)(1 - x_{n+1}) = T_0 - T_1.$$

Let $\pi_n: W_n^X \rightarrow X \times \square^n$ be the map defined by

$$\pi_n(x, x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}, (t_0 : t_1)) = (x, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n + x_{n+1} - x_n x_{n+1}).$$

Let

$$p_n: X \times \square^{n+1} \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X \times \square^{n+1}$$

be the projection. For a cycle $Z \in \mathcal{Z}^q(X \times \square^n)$, we let $W_n^X(Z)$ denote the cycle $p_{n*}(i_{W_n^X}(\pi_n^*(Z)))$, when $\pi_n^*(Z)$ is defined.

Lemma 4.1. i) The cycle $W_n^X(Z)$ is defined for all Z in $\mathcal{Z}^q(X \times \square^n)$
 ii)

$$Z \in \mathcal{Z}_{s \times \partial \square^n}^q(X \times \square^n) \Rightarrow W_n^X(Z) \in \mathcal{Z}_{s \times \partial \square^{n+1}}^q(X \times \square^{n+1}).$$

iii) For Z in $\mathcal{Z}^q(X \times \square^n)$, we have

$$W_n^X(Z) \cdot (x_{n+1} = 0) = Z = W_n^X(Z) \cdot (x_n = 0).$$

In this last formula, we identify the locus $x_n = 0$ with $X \times \square^n$ by sending x_{n+1} to x_n .

Proof. Let $\mathbb{A}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ be the affine open subset $T_0 \neq 0$. Then W_n^X is contained in $X \times \square^{n+1} \times \mathbb{A}^1$; letting $t = T_1/T_0$ be the coordinate on \mathbb{A}^1 , the subscheme W_n^X of $X \times \square^{n+1} \times \mathbb{A}^1$ is defined by the equation

$$t = x_n + x_{n+1} - x_n x_{n+1}.$$

Thus, W_n^X is regular, the map

$$p_n \circ i_{W_n^X}: W_n^X \rightarrow X \times \square^{n+1}$$

is an isomorphism, and the map π_n can also be given as

$$\pi_n((x, x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}, t)) = (x, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, t).$$

From this latter formula, it follows that π_n is flat with 1-dimensional fibers. This proves (i).

For (iii), let

$$q: X \times \square^{n+1} \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow X \times \square^n$$

be the projection

$$q(x, x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}, t) = (x, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, t).$$

Then the map π_n is the composition $q \circ i_{W_n^X}$. Thus, for $Z \in \mathcal{Z}^q(X \times \square^n)$, we have

$$\pi_n^*(Z) = W_n^X \cdot q^*(Z),$$

the intersction product taking place in $X \times \square^{n+1} \times \mathbb{A}^1$. Since the restriction of the projection p_n to W_n^X is an isomorphism, hence proper, we have

$$W_n^X(Z) = p_{n*}(W_n^X \cdot q^*(Z)).$$

Let $\Delta_n \subset X \times \square^n \times \mathbb{A}^1$, $\Delta_{n+1} \subset X \times \square^n \times \mathbb{A}^1$, denote the “diagonals”

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_n &= \{(x, x_1, \dots, x_n, 0, t) \mid t = x_n\} \\ \Delta_{n+1} &= \{(x, x_1, \dots, 0, x_{n+1}, t) \mid t = x_{n+1}\} \end{aligned}$$

Then, in $X \times \square^{n+1} \times \mathbb{A}^1$, we have

$$W_n^X(Z) \cdot (x_{n+1} = 0) = \Delta_n; \quad W_n^X \cdot (x_n = 0) = \Delta_{n+1}.$$

Thus, for $Z \in \mathcal{Z}^q(X \times \square^n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_n^X(Z) \cdot (x_{n+1} = 0) &= p_{n*}(W_n^X \cdot q^*(Z)) \cdot (x_{n+1} = 0) \\ &= p_{n*}((W_n^X \cdot q^*(Z)) \cdot (x_{n+1} = 0)) \\ &= p_{n*}((W_n^X \cdot (x_{n+1} = 0)) \cdot q^*(Z)) \\ &= p_{n*}(\Delta_n \cdot q^*(Z)) \\ &= Z. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the first formula in (iii); the second is proved similarly.

For (ii) let E be a face of $X \times \partial \square^{n+1}$, let S be in s and suppose Z is in $\mathcal{Z}_{s \times \partial \square^n}^q(X \times \square^n)$. If E is contained in the locus $x_{n+1} = 0$, then the argument proving (iii) shows that

$$\text{supp}(W_n^X(Z)) \cap (x_{n+1} = 0) = \{(x, x_1, \dots, x_n, 1) \mid (x, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \text{supp}(Z)\}.$$

Since Z intersects $E \cap S \times \square^n$ properly in $X \times \square^n$, it follows that $W_n^X(Z)$ intersects $E \cap S \times \square^{n+1}$ properly in $X \times \square^{n+1}$. A similar argument handles the situation in case E is contained in the locus $x_n = 0$. From the equation describing W_n^X , it follows that $W_n^X \cap (x_{n+1} = 1)$ is the locus $x_{n+1} = t = 1$, and $W_n^X \cap (x_n = 1)$ is the locus $x_n = t = 1$. From this, it follows as in the proof of (iii) that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp}(W_n^X(Z)) \cap (x_{n+1} = 1) \\ = \{(x, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n, 1) \mid (x, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 1) \in \text{supp}(Z)\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp}(W_n^X(Z)) \cap (x_n = 1) \\ = \{(x, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 1, x_{n+1}) \mid (x, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 1) \in \text{supp}(Z)\}. \end{aligned}$$

These two identities allow us to verify (ii) in case E is contained in the locus $x_{n+1} = 1$ or $x_n = 1$, completing the proof. \square

We suppose we have an algebraic group G and an action of G on X . Let K be an extension field of k , and let $\psi: \mathbb{A}_K^1 \rightarrow G_K$ be a morphism with $\psi(1) = \text{id}$. Let $\phi: X_K \times \mathbb{A}_K^1 \rightarrow X_K \times \mathbb{A}_K^1$ be the isomorphism

$$\phi(x, t) = (\psi(t) \cdot x, t).$$

Let $q_p: \square^p \rightarrow \square^{p-1}$ be the projection on the first $n - 1$ factors. For a cycle Z in $\mathcal{Z}^q(X \times \square^{p-1})$, we write $Z \times \mathbb{A}^1$ for $q_p^{-1}(Z)$.

We define the map

$$h_n: \mathcal{Z}_{X \times D_0^n}^q(X \times \square^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^q(X_K \times \square^{n+1})$$

by

$$h_n(Z) = Z \times \mathbb{A}^1 - \phi(Z \times \mathbb{A}^1) - W_n^X(dZ \times \mathbb{A}^1) + W_n^{X_K}(\phi(dZ \times \mathbb{A}^1)).$$

Here $dZ = Z \cdot (X \times D_0^n)$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let X be a k -scheme, with finite collections y, s of closed subsets of X . Suppose $G \cdot Y = X$ for each $Y \in y$, and that $\psi(x)$ is k -generic for each $x \in \mathbb{A}^1(\bar{k})$. Then, for each $Z \in \mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, n)^c$, $h_n(Z)$ is in $\mathcal{Z}_s^q(X_K, n+1)^c$ and $\psi(0)(Z)$ is in $\mathcal{Z}_{y \cup s}^q(X_K, n+1)^c$. In addition,*

$$dh_n(Z) = Z - \psi(0)(Z) - dZ \times \mathbb{A}^1 + \phi(dZ \times \mathbb{A}^1).$$

Proof. Let Z be in $\mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, n)^c$. Arguing as in the proof of Lemma(2.2) of [B] shows that $\psi(0)(Z)$ is in $\mathcal{Z}_{y \cup s}^q(X_K, n+1)^c$, that $Z \times \mathbb{A}^1$ and $\phi(Z \times \mathbb{A}^1)$ are in $\mathcal{Z}_{s \times \partial \square^{n+1}}^q(X \times \square^{n+1})$, and that $dZ \times \mathbb{A}^1$ and $\phi(dZ \times \mathbb{A}^1)$ are in $\mathcal{Z}_{s \times \partial \square^n}^q(X \times \square^n)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (Z \times \mathbb{A}^1 - \phi(Z \times \mathbb{A}^1)) \cdot (x_{n+1} = 1) &= 0 \\ (Z \times \mathbb{A}^1 - \phi(Z \times \mathbb{A}^1)) \cdot (x_{n+1} = 0) &= Z - \psi(0)(Z) \\ (Z \times \mathbb{A}^1 - \phi(Z \times \mathbb{A}^1)) \cdot (x_n = 1) &= 0 \\ (Z \times \mathbb{A}^1 - \phi(Z \times \mathbb{A}^1)) \cdot (x_n = 0) &= dZ \times \mathbb{A}^1 - \phi(dZ \times \mathbb{A}^1) \end{aligned}$$

and all other intersections $(Z \times \mathbb{A}^1 - \phi(Z \times \mathbb{A}^1)) \cdot (x_i = 0, 1)$ are zero. Applying Lemma 4.1, we see that $h_n(Z)$ is in $\mathcal{Z}_{s \times \partial \square^{n+1}}^q(X \times \square^{n+1})$. It follows from formula (iii) of Lemma 4.1 that

$$h_n(Z) \cdot (x_i = 0) = h_n(Z) \cdot (x_i = 1) = 0$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$, and

$$h_n(Z) \cdot (x_{n+1} = 1) = 0$$

as well. Thus $h_n(Z)$ is in $\mathcal{Z}_s^q(X_K, n+1)^c$. The formula for $dh_n(Z)$ follows directly from the definition of h_n , the intersection computations made above, and formula (iii) of Lemma 4.1. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose $G \cdot Y = X$ for each $Y \in y$, and that $\psi(x)$ is k -generic for each $x \in \mathbb{A}^1(\bar{k})$. Let $\pi: X_K \rightarrow X$ be the natural projection. Then the map*

$$\bar{\pi}^*: \mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, *)^c / \mathcal{Z}_{y \cup s}^q(X, *)^c \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_s^q(X_K, *)^c / \mathcal{Z}_{y \cup s}^q(X_K, *)^c$$

is null-homotopic. If K is a pure transcendental extension of k , then the inclusion

$$\mathcal{Z}_{y \cup s}^q(X, *)^c \subset \mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, *)^c$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. For the first assertion, the maps h_n define a null-homotopy. For the second, if k is finite, we may find an infinite, algebraic, pure p -power extension k_p , for each prime integer p . If we prove the assertion for k_p and k_q with $p \neq q$, the result then follows for k , using the formula (4.1). We therefore assume k is infinite. Thus, if T_1, \dots, T_r are in $\mathcal{Z}_{y \cup s}^q(X_K, p)^c$, $K = k(t_1, \dots, t_m)$, we can find an open subset U of \mathbb{A}_k^m such that the T_i are the restriction to the generic point of cycles \mathcal{T}_i in $\mathcal{Z}_{y \cup s}^q(X \times U, p)^c$, for $i = 1, \dots, r$. We may then find a k -point $x \in U$ and form the specialization $sp_x(T_i) := i_x^*(T_i)$, arriving at the cycles $sp_x(T_i) \in \mathcal{Z}_{y \cup s}^q(X, p)^c$. We have a similar specialization for $\mathcal{Z}_s^q(X_K, p)^c$.

It suffices to show that $\mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, *)^c / \mathcal{Z}_{y \cup s}^q(X, *)^c$ is acyclic. Since the map $\bar{\pi}^*$ is null-homotopic, it suffices to show that $\bar{\pi}^*$ is injective on homology. If $\bar{\pi}^*(Z) = dW$, then we may specialize to get

$$Z = sp_x(dW) = d(sp_x(W)),$$

proving injectivity. □

Proposition 4.4. *Let X be a k -scheme, with a finite collection s of closed subsets of X . Let $y = \{X \times H_1, \dots, X \times H_r\}$, where H_i is a closed subset of \mathbb{A}^n , $i = 1, \dots, r$, $n > 0$. Then the inclusion*

$$\mathcal{Z}_{y \cup p_1^*(s)}^q(X \times \mathbb{A}^n, *)^c \subset \mathcal{Z}_{p_1^*(s)}^q(X \times \mathbb{A}^n, *)^c$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. Here $p_1^*(s)$ is the collection of subsets $\{S \times \mathbb{A}^n \mid S \in s\}$.

Proof. Let $G = \mathbb{A}^n/k$, acting on \mathbb{A}^n by translation. Let $t_1, \dots, t_n, u_1, \dots, u_n$ be transcendental over k , and map \mathbb{A}_K^1 to G_K by the mapping

$$x \mapsto (t_1 + xu_1, \dots, t_n + xu_n).$$

Applying Lemma 4.3 proves the proposition. □

We now can prove the homotopy property for the complexes $\mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, *)^c$. The proof follows the method of Bloch in [B].

Theorem 4.5. *Suppose X is a k -scheme. Let s be a finite collection of closed subsets of X . Let $p: X \times \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow X$ be the projection. Then the map*

$$p_1^*: \mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, *)^c \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{p_1^*(s)}^q(X \times \mathbb{A}^n, *)^c$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. By induction, we need only consider the case $n = 1$. Let P be a finite set of k -points of \mathbb{A}^1 . By Proposition 4.4, the inclusion

$$\mathcal{Z}_{X \times P \cup p_1^*(s)}^q(X \times \mathbb{A}^1, *)^c \subset \mathcal{Z}_{p_1^*(s)}^q(X \times \mathbb{A}^1, *)^c$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. Next, let $i_0: X \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{A}^1$, $i_1: X \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{A}^1$ the zero-section and the one-section. We claim that the two maps

$$\mathcal{Z}_{X \times \{0,1\} \cup p_1^*(s)}^q(X \times \mathbb{A}^1, *)^c \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{i_0^*} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, *)^c \\ \xleftarrow{i_1^*} \end{array}$$

are homotopic. Indeed, identify $X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \times \square^n$ with $X \times \square^{n+1}$ by sending (x, t, x_1, \dots, x_n) to (x, x_1, \dots, x_n, t) . Let

$$H_n: \mathcal{Z}_{X \times \{0,1\}}^q(X \times \mathbb{A}^1, n)^c \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^q(X, n+1)^c$$

be defined by

$$H_n(Z) = Z - i_1^*(Z) \times \mathbb{A}^1 - W_n^X(dZ) + W_{n-1}^X(i_1^*(dZ)) \times \mathbb{A}^1.$$

By Lemma 4.2, H_n does in fact define a map

$$\mathcal{Z}_{X \times \{0,1\} \cup p_1^*(s)}^q(X \times \mathbb{A}^1, n)^c \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, n+1)^c.$$

We also have

$$dH_n(Z) = i_0^*(Z) - i_1^*(Z) - dZ + i_1^*(dZ) \times \mathbb{A}^1,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} (dH_n + H_{n-1}d)(Z) &= i_0^*(Z) - i_1^*(Z) - dZ + i_1^*(dZ) \times \mathbb{A}^1 \\ &\quad + dZ - i_1^*(dZ) \times \mathbb{A}^1 \\ &= i_0^*(Z) - i_1^*(Z), \end{aligned}$$

giving the desired homotopy.

Finally, let $\tau: \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ be the multiplication map $\tau(x, y) = xy$. τ is flat, hence $\tau^*: \mathcal{Z}^q(X \times \mathbb{A}^1, *)^c \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^q(X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1, *)^c$ is defined. Consider the diagram (we omit the subscripts s etc. for clarity)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^c & \xrightarrow{p_1^*} & \mathcal{Z}^q(X \times \mathbb{A}^1, *)^c & \xrightarrow{\tau^*} & \mathcal{Z}^q(X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1, *)^c \\ p_1^* \searrow & & \nearrow \text{q.iso} & & \uparrow \text{q.iso} \\ \mathcal{Z}^q(X \times \mathbb{A}^1, *)_{X \times \{0,1\}}^c & & \xrightarrow{\tau^*} & & \mathcal{Z}^q(X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1, *)_{X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \times \{0,1\}}^c \\ & & & & \begin{array}{c} i_0^* \downarrow \quad \downarrow i_1^* \\ \mathcal{Z}^q(X \times \mathbb{A}^1, *)^c \end{array} \end{array}$$

For Z in $\mathcal{Z}_{X \times \{0,1\}}^q(X \times \mathbb{A}^1, *)^c$, we have

$$i_1^* \tau^*(Z) = Z, \quad i_0^* \tau^*(Z) = p_1^* i_0^*(Z);$$

since $i_1^* = i_0^*$ on homology, the map p_1^* is surjective on homology. Since $i_0^* p_1^*(Z) = Z$, p_1^* is injective on homology, proving the theorem. \square

Let $\Delta^n = \text{Spec}(k[t_0, \dots, t_n] / \sum_i t_i - 1)$. Let

$$\delta_n^i: \Delta^{n-1} \rightarrow \Delta^n, \quad \sigma_n^i: \Delta^n \rightarrow \Delta^{n-1}$$

be the morphisms with

$$\delta_n^{i*}(t_j) = \begin{cases} t_j & \text{if } j < i \\ 0 & \text{if } j = i \\ t_{j-1} & \text{if } j > i \end{cases}$$

$$\sigma_n^{i*}(t_j) = \begin{cases} t_j & \text{if } j < i \\ t_i + t_{i+1} & \text{if } j = i \\ t_{j-1} & \text{if } j > i \end{cases}$$

This forms the co-simplicial scheme $X \times \Delta^\bullet$. Let $\partial\Delta^n$ be the normal crossing divisor $(t_0 = 0) + (t_1 = 0) + \dots + (t_n = 0)$. Form the simplicial abelian group $\mathcal{Z}_s^q(X \times \Delta^\bullet)$ with n -simplices

$$\mathcal{Z}_s^q(X \times \Delta^\bullet)_n = \mathcal{Z}_{s \times \partial\Delta^n}^q(X \times \Delta^n)$$

and with boundary and degeneracy maps induced by δ_n^{i*} and σ_n^{i*} . Let $\mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, *)$ be the normalized chain complex of $\mathcal{Z}_s^q(X \times \Delta^\bullet)$. Bloch's higher Chow groups, $\text{CH}_s^q(X, p)$ are defined by

$$\text{CH}_s^q(X, p) = H_p(\mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, *));$$

we omit the subscript s in case $s = \{X\}$. Bloch has shown that the complexes $\mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)$ are contravariantly functorial for flat maps, covariantly functorial for proper maps and that

- (1) (Theorem 2.1 of [B]) Let X be a scheme over k , s a finite set of closed subsets of X . The pull-back

$$p_1^*: \mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, *) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{p_1^*(s)}^q(X \times \mathbb{A}^n, *)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

- (2) (Lemma 2.3 of [B]) Let X be a scheme over k , s and y finite sets of closed subsets of X , K an extension field of k . Suppose $G \cdot Y = X$ for each $Y \in y$, and that $\psi(x)$ is k -generic for each $x \in \mathbb{A}^1(\bar{k})$ (notation as above). Let $\pi: X_K \rightarrow X$ be the natural projection. Then the map

$$\bar{\pi}^*: \mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, *) / \mathcal{Z}_{y \cup s}^q(X, *) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_s^q(X_K, *) / \mathcal{Z}_{y \cup s}^q(X_K, *)$$

is null-homotopic. If K is a pure transcendental extension of k , then the inclusion

$$\mathcal{Z}_{y \cup s}^q(X, *) \subset \mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, *)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

□

We now proceed to show that the complexes $\mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, *)$ and $\mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, *)^c$ are quasi-isomorphic. Let

$$\mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, m, n) \subset \mathcal{Z}_{s \times (\partial \square^m \times \Delta^n + \square^m \times \partial \Delta^n)}^q(X \times \square^m \times \Delta^n)$$

be the subgroup consisting of cycles Z such that

$$\begin{aligned} Z \cdot (X \times (x_i = 0) \times \Delta^n) &= 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n-1 \\ Z \cdot (X \times (x_i = 1) \times \Delta^n) &= 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n \\ Z \cdot (X \times \square^m \times (t_i = 0)) &= 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n; \end{aligned}$$

we also assume the cycle Z intersects each $S \times D_I \times \Delta^j$ properly, where S is in s , D_I is a face of \square^m and Δ^j is a face of Δ^n . Let

$$d': \mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, m, n) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, m-1, n)$$

be the map

$$Z \mapsto Z \cdot (X \times (x_m = 0) \times \Delta^n),$$

and let

$$d'': \mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, m, n) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, m, n-1)$$

be the map

$$Z \mapsto Z \cdot (X \times \square^m \times (t_0 = 0)).$$

This gives us a double complex $(\mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, m, n), d', d'')$; we let Tot_* be the associated total complex with differential $d = d' + (-1)^m d''$ on $\mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, m, n)$. We have the augmentations

$$\epsilon': \text{Tot}_* \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, *)^c$$

and

$$\epsilon'': \text{Tot}_* \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, *).$$

Lemma 4.6. For $n, m \geq 1$, the complexes

$$(\mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, m, *), d'') \text{ and } (\mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, *, n), d')$$

are acyclic .

Proof. Let $(I \rightarrow C_{*,I})$ be an n -cube of homological complexes. We consider $C_{*,*}$ as an $(n + 1)$ -dimensional complex, and let $\text{Tot}(C_{*,*})$ denote the associated total complex, with $C_{0,\emptyset}$ in degree zero. Let $C_{*,\emptyset}^0$ denote the intersection of the kernels of the maps

$$C_{*,\emptyset} \rightarrow C_{*,\{i\}} \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then we have the natural map

$$C_{*,\emptyset}^0 \rightarrow \text{Tot}(C_{*,*})$$

which is a quasi-isomorphism if, for each p , the n -cube of abelian groups

$$I \mapsto C_{p,I}; \quad I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$$

is split.

For $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, we let Δ_I denote the face of Δ^n defined by $t_i = 0$ for $i \in I$. We apply the above considerations to the n -cube of complexes $C_{*,I}$:

$$I \mapsto \mathcal{Z}_{s \times \partial \Delta_I}^q(X \times \Delta_I, *)^c.$$

The inclusion maps

$$\Delta_{I \cup \{i\}} \rightarrow \Delta_I$$

are split by linear projections

$$\Delta_I \rightarrow \Delta_{I \cup \{i\}},$$

so the n -cube $C_{*,I}$ is split. Thus we have the quasi-isomorphism

$$C_{*,\emptyset}^0 \rightarrow \text{Tot}(C_{*,*}).$$

The homotopy property Proposition 4.4, together with the weak moving lemma Lemma 4.3 imply that $\text{Tot}(C_{*,*})$ is acyclic for $n \geq 1$. As

$$C_{*,\emptyset}^0 = (\mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, *, n), d'),$$

we have proved this half of the lemma. The proof of the other half is similar (using properties (1) and (2) above instead of Lemma 4.3 and Proposition 4.5), to show the necessary splitting, one uses the construction of the projections π_p defined in (4.2) below. The details are left to the reader. \square

Theorem 4.7. *Let X be a scheme over k , s a finite collection of closed subsets of X with $X \in s$. Then there is a natural quasi-isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, *)^c \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, *).$$

Proof. Consider the (homological) spectral sequence

$$E_{a,b}^1 = H_b(\mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, a, *)) \Rightarrow H_{a+b}(\text{Tot}_*).$$

By Lemma 4.6, the spectral sequence degenerates at E^1 , and the augmentation

$$\epsilon'': \text{Tot}_* \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, *)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. Similarly, the augmentation

$$\epsilon': \text{Tot}_* \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, *)^c$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. Thus

$$\epsilon'' \circ \epsilon'^{-1}$$

is the desired quasi-isomorphism. \square

Corollary 4.8. *Let X be a regular quasi-projective scheme over k , s a finite collection of closed subsets of X . Then the inclusion*

$$\mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, *)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. By Theorem 4.7 we have a commutative diagram, with the vertical arrows quasi-isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c & \rightarrow & \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, *)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}. \end{array}$$

By Corollary 3.2, the top horizontal arrow is a quasi-isomorphism, hence the bottom horizontal arrow is a quasi-isomorphism as well. \square

Corollary 4.9. *The assignments*

$$\begin{aligned} X &\mapsto \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)_{\mathbb{Q}} \\ X &\mapsto \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c \end{aligned}$$

extend to a contravariant functor from the category of smooth quasi-projective k -schemes to the derived category $D_+(\mathbf{Ab})$ of homological complexes which are zero in sufficiently large negative degree.

Proof. If $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is a morphism of quasi-projective k -schemes, with X smooth, let $S_i = \{x \in X \mid \dim f^{-1}(x) \geq i\}$, and let

$$s = s(f) = \{X, S_0, S_1, \dots, S_N = \emptyset\}.$$

One checks (as in [B], proof of Theorem 4.1) that $f^{-1}(Z)$ is defined for each cycle in $\mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c$. Let

$$i_s: \mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c$$

be the inclusion, and let

$$f^*: \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^q(Y, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c$$

be the composition in $D_+(\mathbf{Ab})$

$$\mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c \xrightarrow{i_s^{-1}} \mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c \xrightarrow{f^*} \mathcal{Z}^q(Y, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c.$$

If y is any other set of closed subsets of X such that

$$f^*: \mathcal{Z}_y^q(X, *)^c \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^q(Y, *)^c$$

is defined, then, the commutativity of the diagram of inclusions

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z}_{s \cup y}^q(X, *)^c & \xrightarrow{i_{s, s \cup y}} & \mathcal{Z}_s^q(X, *)^c \\ i_{y, s \cup y} \downarrow & \searrow i_{s \cup y} & \downarrow i_s \\ \mathcal{Z}_y^q(X, *)^c & \xrightarrow{i_y} & \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^c \end{array}$$

shows that

$$f^* \circ i_s^{-1} = f^* \circ i_{s \cup y}^{-1} = f^* \circ i_y^{-1}.$$

This gives the functoriality $f^* \circ g^* = (g \circ f)^*$ for composable maps f and g , completing the proof for the cubical complexes $\mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^c$. The proof for the complexes $\mathcal{Z}^q(\bar{X}, *)$ is the same. \square

Notation. Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a morphism of quasi-projective k -schemes, with X smooth, and let $s(f)$ be the set of closed subsets of X given in the proof of Cor. 4.8. We set $\mathcal{Z}_f^q(X, *)^c = \mathcal{Z}_{s(f)}^q(X, *)^c$.

Bloch [B2] has defined \mathbb{Q} -complexes $\mathcal{N}^q(X)_*$; for $X = \text{Spec}(k)$, Bloch has defined products

$$\cup: \mathcal{N}^q(k)_* \otimes \mathcal{N}^{q'}(k)_* \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{q+q'}(k)_*$$

making the homology $\bigoplus_{p,q} H_p(\mathcal{N}^q(k)_*)$ into a bi-graded ring (graded commutative in the p -grading, commutative in the q -grading). We conclude this section by defining quasi-isomorphisms

$$\text{Alt}^q: \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^q(X)_*.$$

After we define products on the complexes $\mathcal{Z}^q(-, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ in the next section, we will show how Alt^* is compatible with the products when $X = \text{Spec}(k)$ (actually, the two ring structures are opposites of each other).

Let F_p be the subgroup of the the group of k -automorphisms of \square^p generated by the permutations

$$(x_1, \dots, x_p) \mapsto (x_{\sigma_1}, \dots, x_{\sigma_p}),$$

$\sigma \in \Sigma_n$, and the map

$$\tau(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p) = (1 - x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p).$$

F_p is the semi-direct product of $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^p$ with Σ_p , with σ_p acting on $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^p$ by permuting the factors. In particular, the homomorphism

$$\text{sgn}: \Sigma_p \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$$

and the sum

$$(\mathbb{Z}/2)^p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$$

extend uniquely to the homomorphism

$$\text{sgn}: F_p \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}.$$

Let Alt_p be the central idempotent in the rational group ring $\mathbb{Q}[F_p]$:

$$Alt_p = \frac{1}{|F_p|} \sum_{\nu \in F_p} (-1)^{\text{sgn}(\nu)} \nu.$$

F_p acts on $\mathcal{Z}_{X \times \partial \square^p}^q(X \times \square^p)$ in the obvious way; the group $\mathcal{N}^q(X)_p$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{N}^q(X)_p = Alt_p(\mathcal{Z}_{X \times \partial \square^p}^q(X \times \square^p)_{\mathbb{Q}}) \subset \mathcal{Z}_{X \times \partial \square^p}^q(X \times \square^p)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Sending Z to $2p(Z \cdot (x_p = 0))$ defines the map

$$d_p: \mathcal{N}^q(X)_p \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^q(X)_{p-1}$$

giving the complex $(\mathcal{N}^q(k)_*, d)$. The product

$$\cup: \mathcal{N}^q(k)_* \otimes \mathcal{N}^{q'}(k)_* \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{q+q'}(k)_*.$$

is defined by $Z \cup W = \text{Alt}_{p+p'}(\sigma_{23}(Z \times W))$ for $Z \in \mathcal{N}^q(k)_p$, $W \in \mathcal{N}^{q'}(k)_{p'}$, where

$$\sigma_{23}: X \times \square^p \times X \times \square^{p'} \rightarrow X \times X \times \square^p \times \square^{p'}.$$

is the exchange of factors.

We now define a projection

$$(4.2) \quad \pi_p: \mathcal{Z}_{X \times \partial \square^p}^q(X \times \square^p) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^q(X, p)^c$$

in two steps: $\pi_p = q_2 \circ q_1$. To define q_1 , let $i_j: \square^{p-1} \rightarrow \square^p$ be the inclusion

$$i_j(x_1, \dots, x_{p-1}) = (x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, 1, x_j, \dots, x_{p-1}),$$

$j = 1, \dots, p$, and let $p_j: \square^p \rightarrow \square^{p-1}$ be the projection

$$p_j(x_1, \dots, x_p) = (x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_p).$$

For $Z \in \mathcal{Z}_{X \times \partial \square^p}^q(X \times \square^p)$, define $q_1(Z)$ to be the cycle $Z - \sum_{j=1}^p p_j^*(i_j^*(Z))$. This defines

$$q_1: \mathcal{Z}_{X \times \partial \square^p}^q(X \times \square^p) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{X \times \partial \square^p}^q(X \times \square^p; (x_1 = 1) + (x_2 = 1) + \dots + (x_p = 1)).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} q_1(Z) \cdot (x_j = 1) &= 0 \quad j = 1, \dots, p \\ q_1(Z) \cdot (x_j = 0) &= Z \cdot (x_j = 0) - Z \cdot (x_j = 1) \quad j = 1, \dots, p \end{aligned}$$

To define q_2 , we let $\tau_j \in \Sigma_p$ be the permutation

$$\tau_j(i) = \begin{cases} i & \text{if } i < j \\ i - 1 & \text{if } i > j \\ p & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}$$

and let $\rho_j: (x_j = 0) \rightarrow \square^{p-1}$ be the isomorphism

$$\rho_j(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, 0, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_p) = (x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_p).$$

For $Z \in \mathcal{Z}_{X \times \partial \square^p}^q(X \times \square^p)$, let $W_p^j(Z)$ be the cycle $\tau_j^*(W_p^X(\rho_j(Z \cdot (x_j = 0))))$. Define

$$q_2: \mathcal{Z}_{X \times \partial \square^p}^q(X \times \square^p) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{X \times \partial \square^p}^q(X \times \square^p)$$

by

$$q_2(Z) = Z - \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} W_p^j(Z).$$

By Lemma 4.1, we have

$$q_2(Z) \cdot (x_j = 1) = Z \cdot (x_j = 1) \quad j = 1, \dots, p$$

$$q_2(Z) \cdot (x_j = 0) = 0 \quad j = 1, \dots, p-1$$

$$q_2(Z) \cdot (x_p = 0) = Z \cdot (x_p = 0) - \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} Z \cdot (x_j = 0)$$

Letting $\pi_p = q_2 \circ q_1$, we have defined the desired projection.

We form the complex $\mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^{Alt}$ by

$$\mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^{Alt} = \mathcal{Z}_{X \times \partial \square^p}^q(X \times \square^p),$$

with

$$d_p: \mathcal{Z}^q(X, p)^{Alt} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^q(X, p-1)^{Alt}$$

being the map

$$d_p(Z) = \left[\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma_p} (-1)^{\text{sgn}(\rho)} \rho_*(Z) \right] \cdot [(x_p = 0) - (x_p = 1)].$$

Then the inclusions

$$i: \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^c \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^{Alt}, \quad j: \mathcal{N}^q(X)_* \subset \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^{Alt}$$

are maps of complexes, as is the projection

$$\pi: \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^{Alt} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^c.$$

The reader will easily verify that $\pi \circ i = \text{id}$.

The action of F_p on \square^p induces an action of F_p on $Z_p(\mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^c)$. If we let F_p act on \square^{p+1} by identifying \square^{p+1} with $\square^p \times \mathbb{A}^1$, and letting $\rho \in F_p$ act via $\rho \times \text{id}$, we see that the action of F_p on $Z_p(\mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^c)$ descends to an action on $\text{CH}^q(X, p)^c$; for $\rho \in F_p$, let

$$\rho_*: \text{CH}^q(X, p)^c \rightarrow \text{CH}^q(X, p)^c$$

denote the resulting action on $\text{CH}^q(X, p)^c$.

Although a single element $\sigma \in F_p$ does not canonically give rise to an automorphism of the complex $\mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^c$, a compatible family of automorphisms does. For future use we consider on some special examples of compatible families.

For a homological complex C_* , let $C_*^{\tau \geq p}$ be the subcomplex

$$C_n^{\tau \geq p} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } n < p \\ \ker(d: C_p \rightarrow C_{p-1}) & \text{for } n = p \\ C_n & \text{for } n > p, \end{cases}$$

and let $C_*^{*\geq p}$ be the subcomplex

$$C_n^{*\geq p} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } n < p \\ C_n & \text{for } n \geq p. \end{cases}$$

For $0 < i \leq p$, let $\sigma_p^i \in \Sigma_p$ be the permutation (i, p) , and let $\sigma_p = \sigma_p^1 \cdot \sigma_p^2 \cdot \dots \cdot \sigma_p^{p-1}$. We have the inclusion $\Sigma_p \rightarrow \Sigma_n$ for $n > p$, where $\sigma \in \Sigma_p$ acts by the identity on $\{p+1, \dots, n\}$, and by σ on $\{1, \dots, p\}$. The automorphism

$$(-1)^{p-i} \sigma_{p*}^i: \mathcal{Z}^q(X, n)^{Alt} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^q(X, n)^{Alt}; \quad n \geq p,$$

extends to the automorphism

$$\sigma^{i,p}: \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^{Alt} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^{Alt}$$

of the complex $\mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^{Alt}$ by operating by $(-1)^{p-i}\sigma_{p*}^i$ on $\mathcal{Z}^q(X, n)^{Alt}$ for $n \geq p$, by $(-1)^{n-i}\sigma_{n*}^i$ on $\mathcal{Z}^q(X, n)^{Alt}$ for $i < n < p$ and by the identity on $\mathcal{Z}^q(X, n)^{Alt}$ for $n \leq i$. This in turn gives us the endomorphism

$$s_*^{i,p}: \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^c \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^c$$

by

$$s_*^{i,p}(Z) = \pi(\sigma^{i,p}(i(Z))).$$

Finally, since $s_n^{i,p}(Z) = Z$ for $Z \in \mathcal{Z}^q(X, n)^c$, $n \leq i$, the compositions

$$(4.3) \quad s_n^{1,p} \circ s_n^{2,p} \circ \dots \circ s_n^{p-1,p}$$

$p \geq n$, all have the same action on $\mathcal{Z}^q(X, n)^c$. Letting

$$s_n: \mathcal{Z}^q(X, n)^c \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^q(X, n)^c$$

be the composition (4.3) for $p \geq n$, the s_n define the map of complexes

$$s_*: \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^c \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^c.$$

Clearly, $s_p(Z) = (-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}}\sigma_p(Z)$ for $Z \in Z_p(\mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^c)$.

We have a similar construction for the map

$$\tau(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p) = (1 - x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p).$$

Indeed, the automorphisms

$$-\tau_n: \mathcal{Z}^q(X, n)^{Alt} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^q(X, n)^{Alt}; \quad n \geq 1$$

extends to automorphism

$$-\tau_*: \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^{Alt} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^{Alt}$$

by acting by the identity on $\mathcal{Z}^q(X, 0)^{Alt}$. We let

$$t_*: \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^c \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^c$$

be the composition $\pi_* \circ -\tau_* \circ i$.

Lemma 4.10.

(i) The maps

$$\sigma^{i,p} \circ i: \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^c \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^{Alt}$$

$$-\tau_* \circ i: \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^c \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^{Alt}$$

are homotopic to the inclusion i .

(ii) The map

$$s_*: \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^c \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^c.$$

is homotopic to the identity.

(iii) For $\rho \in F_p$, the map

$$(-1)^{\text{sgn}(\rho)} \rho_*: \text{CH}^q(X, p)^c \rightarrow \text{CH}^q(X, p)^c$$

is the identity.

Proof. We begin with the first assertion. We first consider the case of $\sigma = \sigma_p^{p-1} \in \Sigma_p$. Let

$$t_j = \begin{cases} x_j & \text{for } j \neq p-1, p \\ x_{p-1}x_p - x_{p-1} - x_p + 1 & \text{for } j = p-1 \\ x_{p-1}x_p & \text{for } j = p \end{cases}$$

Define the map $q_n: \square^n \rightarrow \square^n$ by $q_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$.

We form the complex $\mathcal{B}(X, *)$ by setting

$$\mathcal{B}(X, n) = \mathcal{Z}_{(x_n=0)}^q(X \times \square^n; X \times \partial \square^n - (x_{p-1} = 1) - (x_p = 1) - (x_n = 0))$$

and defining $d: \mathcal{B}^q(X, n) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^q(X, n-1)$ by $d(Z) = Z \cdot (x_n = 0)$.

The maps

$$q_{n*}: \mathcal{Z}^q(X \times \square^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^q(X \times \square^n)$$

and

$$q_n^*: \mathcal{Z}^q(X \times \square^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^q(X \times \square^n)$$

induce maps

$$q_*: \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^c \text{ }^{*\geq p} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^q(X, *)^{*\geq p}$$

$$q^*: \mathcal{B}^q(X, *)^{*\geq p} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^{Alt \text{ } *\geq p}$$

with

$$q^*(q_*(Z)) = i(Z) + \sigma_*(i(Z))$$

for $Z \in \mathcal{Z}^q(X, p)^{c \text{ } *\geq p}$.

Since the map $i - \sigma^{p-1, p} \circ i$ is the zero map on $\mathcal{Z}^q(X, n)^c$ for $n < p$, we have the factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^c & \xrightarrow{i - \sigma^{p-1, p} \circ i} & \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^{Alt} \\ q_* \searrow & & \nearrow q^* \\ & \mathcal{B}^q(X, *)^{*\geq p}, & \end{array}$$

where we extend q_* and q^* by zero to give the above maps.

Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 4.6, the homotopy property Theorem 4.5, together with Proposition 4.4, shows that the complex $\mathcal{B}^q(X, *)^{*\geq p}$ is acyclic. Since $\mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^c$ is a complex of free \mathbb{Z} -modules, the map

$$q_*: \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^c \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^q(X, *)^{*\geq p}$$

is homotopic to zero. Thus $i - \sigma^{p-1, p} \circ i$ is homotopic to zero, proving (i) in this case. To prove (i) for the map $\sigma^{i, p}$, we use the identity $\sigma^{i, p} = \sigma^{i+1, p} \circ \sigma^{i, i+1}$ to give

$$i - \sigma^{i, p} = \sigma^{i+1, p} \circ (i - \sigma^{i, i+1} \circ i) + i - \sigma^{i+1, p} \circ i.$$

By induction, $i - \sigma^{i+1, p} \circ i$ is homotopic to zero; we have already shown that $i - \sigma^{i, i+1} \circ i$ is homotopic to zero, proving (a) for $\sigma^{i, p}$. We note that we may take the homotopy $h_*(\sigma^{i, p}): \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^c \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *+1)^{Alt}$ of $i - \sigma^{i+1, p} \circ i$ to zero to be zero for $* < i$.

The argument for the map $-\tau_*$ is similar, after replacing the maps q_n with the map

$$r_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (x_1(1 - x_1), x_2, \dots, x_n),$$

and replacing $\mathcal{B}^q(k, *)$ with the complex $\mathcal{A}^q(k, *)$:

$$\mathcal{A}^q(k, n) = \mathcal{Z}^q(X \times \square^n; X \times \partial \square^n - (x_1 = 1) - (x_n = 0))_{(x_n=0)}.$$

For (ii), following the homotopy $h_*(\sigma^{i,p})$ with π gives the homotopy $h_*(s_*^{i,p})$ of $s_*^{i,p}$ with the identity, with $h_n(s_n^{i,p}) = 0$ for $n < i$. These in turn gives the homotopy $h_*(j, p)$ of $s_*^{1,p} \circ s_*^{2,p} \circ \dots \circ s_*^{j,p}$ with the identity. Since $h_n(s_n^{i,p}) = 0$ for $n < i$ and $s_n^{i,p}$ is the identity for $n < i$, we have $h_n(j, p) = h_n(j + l, p + m)$ for $n < j < p$ and for $l, m > 0$. Thus, we may define the homotopy h_* from s_* to the identity by taking $h_n = h_n(n+1, n+2)$, proving (ii).

The assertion (iii) follows directly from (i), the identities

$$\pi \circ \sigma^{i,j} \circ i = (-1)^{\text{sgn}(\sigma_j^i)} \sigma_j^i \text{ on } Z_p(\mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^c), \text{ for } i < j \leq p$$

$$\pi \circ -\tau_* \circ i = -\tau \text{ on } Z_p(\mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)^c),$$

and the fact that F_p is generated by the σ_j^i and τ . This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 4.11. *The map*

$$\text{Alt}^q: \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^q(X)_*$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. For each n , and for each cycle Z on $X \times \square^n$, the cycle $W_n^X(Z)$ on $X \times \square^{n+1}$ is symmetric with respect to the automorphism

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}, x_n).$$

Similarly, the cycle $Z \times \mathbb{A}^1$ is symmetric with respect to the automorphism

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_n, 1 - x_{n+1}).$$

From these facts, together with a simple direct computation, we have

$$Alt^q(\pi(j(Z))) = Z$$

for $Z \in \mathcal{N}^q(k)_*$. On the other hand, by Lemma 4.10, the composition $\pi \circ j \circ Alt^q$ induces the identity map on the homology of $\mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, hence is a quasi-isomorphism. This proves the theorem. \square

§5 Products and the projective bundle formula

In this section, we define, for X and Y smooth and quasi-projective over a field k , a product

$$\mathcal{Z}^a(X, *)^c \otimes \mathcal{Z}^b(Y, *)^c \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^{a+b}(X \times_k Y, *)^c$$

in the derived category. Taking $X = Y$, tensoring with \mathbb{Q} , and pulling back by the diagonal defines a cup product, in the derived category

$$\mathcal{Z}^a(X, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c \otimes \mathcal{Z}^b(X, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^{a+b}(X, *)_{\mathbb{Q}},$$

giving $\oplus_{q,p} CH^q(X, p)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c$ the structure of a bi-graded ring, commutative with respect to the q -grading and graded commutative with respect to the p -grading.

Note. If one had functorial pull-backs, in the derived category, for the complexes $\mathcal{Z}^a(-, *)^c$, the construction of this section would give a cup product for the bi-graded group $\oplus_{q,p} CH^q(X, p)^c$. It seems that the techniques used to prove Chow's moving lemma for cycles modulo rational equivalence give a proof of integral version of our "moving lemma" Corollary 4.8 for either smooth *projective* or smooth *affine* varieties; this would then give the desired contravariant functoriality, and product structure, for $\oplus_{q,p} CH^q(X, p)^c$, among smooth projective and smooth affine varieties. The situation for the general smooth quasi-projective variety seems, at present, to be unclear.

Let Y be a k -scheme, s a finite set of closed subsets of Y , and let

$$\mathcal{Z}_s^q(Y, m, n)^c \subset \mathcal{Z}_{s \times (\partial \square^m \times \square^n + \square^m \times \partial \square^n)}^q(Y \times \square^m \times \square^n)$$

be the subgroup consisting of cycles Z such that

$$\begin{aligned} Z \cdot (Y \times (x_i = 0) \times \square^n) &= 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, m-1 \\ Z \cdot (Y \times (x_i = 1) \times \square^n) &= 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, m \\ Z \cdot (Y \times \square^m \times (x_i = 0)) &= 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n-1 \\ Z \cdot (Y \times \square^m \times (x_i = 1)) &= 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

We also assume that Z intersects $S \times D_I \times D_J$ properly for each $S \in s$, and each face D_I of \square^m and face D_J of \square^n . Let

$$d': \mathcal{Z}_s^q(Y, m, n)^c \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_s^q(Y, m-1, n)^c$$

be the map

$$Z \mapsto Z \cdot (Y \times (x_m = 0) \times \square^n),$$

and let

$$d'': \mathcal{Z}_s^q(Y, m, n)^c \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_s^q(Y, m, n-1)^c$$

be the map

$$Z \mapsto Z \cdot (Y \times \square^m \times (x_n = 0)).$$

This gives us a double complex $(\mathcal{Z}_s^q(Y, m, n)^c, d', d'')$; we let $\text{Tot}(Y)_s^c$ be the associated total complex with differential $d = d' + (-1)^m d''$ on $\mathcal{Z}_s^q(Y, m, n)^c$. We have the map

$$\epsilon: \mathcal{Z}_s^q(Y, *)^c \rightarrow \text{Tot}(Y)_s^c$$

gotten by identifying $\mathcal{Z}_s^q(Y, *)^c$ with $\mathcal{Z}_s^q(Y, 0, *)^c$ and the map

$$\epsilon': \mathcal{Z}_s^q(Y, *)^c \rightarrow \text{Tot}(Y)_s^c$$

gotten by identifying $\mathcal{Z}_s^q(Y, *)^c$ with $\mathcal{Z}_s^q(Y, *, 0)^c$.

Lemma 5.1. *The maps*

$$\epsilon: \mathcal{Z}_s^q(Y, *)^c \rightarrow \text{Tot}(Y)_s^c$$

and

$$\epsilon': \mathcal{Z}_s^q(Y, *)^c \rightarrow \text{Tot}(Y)_s^c$$

are quasi-isomorphisms. The composition $\epsilon' \circ \epsilon^{-1}$ is the identity (in $D_+(\mathbf{Ab})$).

Proof. The proof of the first assertion is essentially the same as the argument used in the proof of Theorem 4.7. We have the spectral sequence

$$E_{a,b}^1 = H_b(\mathcal{Z}_s^q(Y, a, *)^c) \Rightarrow H_{a+b}(\text{Tot}(Y)_s).$$

As in the proof of Lemma 4.6, the homotopy property Theorem 4.5, together with Proposition 4.4, shows that $E_{a,b}^1 = 0$ for $a > 0$, hence the spectral sequence degenerates at E^1 and ϵ is a quasi-isomorphism. The proof for ϵ' is the same.

For the second assertion, let $Z'_{a,b}(\mathcal{Z}_s^q(Y, *, *)^c)$ and $Z''_{a,b}(\mathcal{Z}_s^q(Y, *, *)^c)$ denote the kernel of d' and d'' , respectively, on $\mathcal{Z}_s^q(Y, a, b)^c$. Take an element $\eta \in Z_p(\mathcal{Z}_s^q(Y, *)^c)$, and let $\eta_{0,p} \in \mathcal{Z}_s^q(Y, 0, p)^c$, $\eta_{p,0} \in \mathcal{Z}_s^q(Y, p, 0)^c$ be the elements

$$\eta_{0,p} = \epsilon(\eta), \quad \eta_{p,0} = \epsilon'(\eta).$$

Identify $\square^a \times \square^{b+1}$ with \square^{a+b+1} by

$$((x_1, \dots, x_a), (y_1, \dots, y_{b+1})) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_{a-1}, y_1, \dots, y_b, x_a, y_{b+1}),$$

and let $W_{a,b}^Y \subset Y \times \square^a \times \square^{b+1}$ be the image of $W_{a+b}^Y \subset Y \times \square^{a+b+1}$ under this identification. Using the obvious modification of the construction of the map

$$W_n^Y: \mathcal{Z}^q(Y \times \square^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^q(Y \times \square^{n+1})$$

we construct the map

$$W_{a,b}^Y: \mathcal{Z}^q(Y \times \square^a \times \square^b) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^q(Y \times \square^a \times \square^{b+1})$$

satisfying the analog of Lemma 4.1. In particular, $W_{a,b}^Y$ defines the map

$$W_{a,b}^Y: Z''_{a,b}(\mathcal{Z}_s^q(Y, *, *)^c) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_s^q(Y, a, b+1)^c$$

with

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} d''(W_{a,b}^Y(Z)) &= (-1)^a Z \quad \text{for } Z \in Z''_{a,b}(\mathcal{Z}_s^q(Y, a, b)^c) \\ d'(W_{a,b}^Y(Z)) &= \tau_{a,b*}(Z) \quad \text{for } Z \in Z''_{a,b}(\mathcal{Z}_s^q(Y, a, b)^c), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tau_{a,b}((x_1, \dots, x_a), (y_1, \dots, y_b)) = (((x_1, \dots, x_{a-1}), (x_a, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_b))).$$

This gives us the elements

$$\begin{aligned} W_{p,0}(\eta_{p,0}) &\in \mathcal{Z}_s^q(Y, p, 1)^c \\ W_{p-1,1}(d'(W_{p,0}(\eta_{p,0}))) &\in \mathcal{Z}_s^q(Y, p-1, 2)^c \\ W_{p-2,2}(d'(W_{p-1,1}(d'(W_{p,0}(\eta_{p,0})))) &\in \mathcal{Z}_s^q(Y, p-2, 3)^c \\ &\vdots \\ &\vdots \\ &\vdots \\ W_{1,p-1}(\dots(d'(W_{p,0}(\eta_{p,0})))\dots) &\in \mathcal{Z}_s^q(Y, 1, p)^c \end{aligned}$$

Define $h_p^{p-a, a+1}(\eta)$ inductively by $h_p^{p,1}(\eta) = (-1)^p W_{p,0}(\eta_{p,0})$, and

$$h_p^{p-a, a+1}(\eta) = (-1)^{p-a+1} W_{p-a,a}(d' h_p^{p-a+1, a}(\eta))$$

for $a = 1, \dots, p-1$. Letting

$$h_p(\eta) = \sum_{a=0}^{p-1} h_p^{p-a, a+1}(\eta),$$

we have

$$(d' + d'')(h_p(\eta)) = \eta_{p,0} - (-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}} \sigma_p(\eta_{0,p})$$

for $\eta \in Z_p(\mathcal{Z}_s^q(Y, *)^c)$. We now proceed to extend h_p to all of $\mathcal{Z}_s^q(Y, p)^c$.

For $Z \in \mathcal{Z}_s^q(Y, p)^c$, let $h_p^{p,1}(Z) = (-1)^p W_{p,0}(Z)$. Then $h_p^{p,1}(Z)$ is in $\mathcal{Z}_s^q(Y, p, 1)^c$, and

$$d''(h_p^{p,1}(Z)) = Z$$

$$d'(h_p^{p,1}(Z)) = -h_{p-1}^{p-1,1}(dZ).$$

Define $h_p^{p-a,a+1}(Z)$ inductively, satisfying

$$d''(h_p^{p-a,a+1}(Z)) = -d' h_p^{p-a+1,a}(Z) - h_{p-1}^{p-a,a}(dZ).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} d'' \circ d'(h_p^{p-a,a+1}(Z)) &= d' h_{p-1}^{p-a,a}(dZ) \\ &= -d'' h_{p-1}^{p-a-1,a+1}(dZ), \end{aligned}$$

so $d''(d' h_p^{p-a,a+1}(Z) + h_{p-1}^{p-a-1,a+1}(dZ)) = 0$. Thus, if we define

$$h_p^{p-a-1,a+2}(Z) = (-1)^{p-a} W_{p-a-1,a+1}(d' h_p^{p-a,a+1}(Z) + h_{p-1}^{p-a-1,a+1}(dZ)),$$

we have

$$d''(h_p^{p-a-1,a+2}(Z)) = -d' h_p^{p-a,a+1}(Z) - h_{p-1}^{p-a-1,a+1}(dZ)$$

and the induction goes through.

Let $h_p(Z) = \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} h_p^{p-a,a}(Z)$, for $Z \in \mathcal{Z}_s^q(Y, p)^c$. Then this extends our earlier definition of h_p on $Z_p(\mathcal{Z}_s^q(Y, *)^c)$. Let σ_p^i be the permutation $(i, p) \in \Sigma_p$. Then $\sigma_p = \sigma_p^1 \dots \sigma_p^{p-2} \sigma_p^{p-1}$; let

$$Z' = (-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}} \pi \circ \sigma_{p*}^1 \circ i(\dots(\pi \circ \sigma_{p*}^{p-2} \circ i(\pi \circ \sigma_{p*}^{p-1} \circ i(Z) \dots)) = s_*(Z),$$

where s_* is the map defined in (4.3). Then a direct computation gives

$$(d' + d'')h_p(Z) + h_{p-1}(dZ) = \epsilon(Z) - \epsilon'(Z') = \epsilon(Z) - \epsilon'(s(Z)).$$

By Lemma 4.10(ii), the map $Z \mapsto s_*(Z)$ is homotopic to the identity. Thus ϵ' and ϵ are homotopic, completing the proof. \square

The complex $\text{Tot}(Y)^c$ is covariantly functorial for proper maps, and $\text{Tot}(Y)_s^c$ contravariantly functorial for appropriate maps (depending on s).

Suppose we have non-negative integers q, q' and q'' with $q' + q'' = q$, and k -schemes X and Y . Let

$$\times_{m,n}: \mathcal{Z}^{q'}(X, m)^c \otimes \mathcal{Z}^{q''}(Y, n)^c \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^q(X \times Y, m, n)^c$$

be the map $\times_{m,n}(Z \otimes W) = \sigma_{23*}(Z \times W)$, where

$$\sigma_{23}: (X \times \square^m) \times (Y \times \square^n) \rightarrow (X \times Y) \times (\square^m \times \square^n)$$

is the exchange of factors. Then the maps $\times_{m,n}$ give rise to a map of total complexes

$$\text{Tot}(\times)^{q',q''}: \mathcal{Z}^{q'}(X, *)^c \otimes \mathcal{Z}^{q''}(Y, *)^c \rightarrow \text{Tot}(X \times Y)^c.$$

Suppose X and Y are smooth and quasi-projective over k . Composing the map $\text{Tot}(\times)^{q',q''}$ with the inverse of the quasi-isomorphism ϵ defines the map in $D_+(\mathbf{Ab})$

$$\times_{X,Y}^{q',q''}: \mathcal{Z}^{q'}(X, *)^c \otimes^L \mathcal{Z}^{q''}(Y, *)^c \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^q(X \times Y, *)^c.$$

Let $\Delta_X: X \rightarrow X \times X$ be the diagonal. If X is smooth and quasi-projective over k , we have the pull-back map

$$\Delta_X^*: \mathcal{Z}^q(X \times X, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c$$

in $D_+(\mathbf{Ab})$; define

$$\cup^{q',q''}: \mathcal{Z}^{q'}(X, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c \otimes \mathcal{Z}^{q''}(X, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c$$

as the composition $\Delta_X^* \circ \times_{X, X}^{q', q''}$. This gives product maps

$$\cup_{p', p''}^{q', q''}: \mathrm{CH}^{q'}(X, p')_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \mathrm{CH}^{q''}(X, p'')_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^{q'+q''}(X, p'+p'')_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

Theorem 5.2. *Let X be smooth and quasi-projective over k . The maps $\cup_{p', p''}^{q', q''}$ define the structure of a bi-graded ring (graded commutative with respect to p and commutative with respect to q) on the bi-graded group $\bigoplus_{p, q} \mathrm{CH}^q(X, p)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that*

- (a) *for each morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of smooth quasi-projective varieties, the map f^* is a ring homomorphism.*
- (b) *if $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a proper morphism of smooth quasi-projective varieties, we have the projection formula*

$$f_*(\alpha \cup f^*(\beta)) = f_*(\alpha) \cup \beta$$

for $\alpha \in \mathrm{CH}^*(X, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, $\beta \in \mathrm{CH}^*(Y, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

- (c) *the restriction of \cup to $\bigoplus_q \mathrm{CH}^q(X, 0)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the usual product structure on the rational Chow ring of X .*
- (d) *Suppose we have $Z \in \mathcal{Z}^q(X, p)^c$, $W \in \mathcal{Z}^{q'}(X, p')^c$ representing classes in $\mathrm{CH}^q(X, p)$, $\mathrm{CH}^{q'}(X, p')$, resp. Then*

$$Z \cup W = (-1)^{pp'} \Delta_X^*(\sigma_{23*}(Z \times W)) = \Delta_X^*(\sigma_{23*}(W \times Z))$$

Proof. We first verify that \cup is graded commutative with respect p and commutative with respect to q . Let

$$tr_{a, b}: \mathcal{Z}^q(X \times X \times \square^a \times \square^b) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^q(X \times X \times \square^b \times \square^a)$$

be the automorphism induced by exchanging the factors X and X , and the factors \square^a and \square^b . The maps $tr_{a, b}$ give rise to the automorphism tr of $\mathrm{Tot}(X \times X)^c$ defined by

$$tr(Z) = (-1)^{ab} tr_{a, b}(Z)$$

for $Z \in \mathcal{Z}^q(X \times X \times \square^a \times \square^b)$. Let τ be the canonical isomorphism

$$\tau: \text{Tot}(\mathcal{Z}^{q'}(X, *) \otimes \mathcal{Z}^{q''}(X, *)) \rightarrow \text{Tot}(\mathcal{Z}^{q''}(X, *) \otimes \mathcal{Z}^{q'}(X, *))$$

induced by the exchange of factors in the tensor product. Then we have

$$\text{Tot}(\times) \circ \tau = tr \circ \text{Tot}(\times)$$

and

$$\epsilon' = \epsilon \circ tr$$

From Lemma 5.1, it follows that $\cup \circ \tau = \cup$ on homology; as

$$\tau(A \otimes B) = (-1)^{ab}(B \otimes A)$$

for $A \in \mathcal{Z}^{q'}(X, a)$, $B \in \mathcal{Z}^{q''}(X, b)$, we have

$$A \cup B = (-1)^{ab}(B \cup A)$$

for $A \in \text{CH}^{q'}(X, a)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, $B \in \text{CH}^{q''}(X, b)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Associativity of the product \cup follows by considering the triple complex analogue of the double complex considered in Lemma 5.1; we leave the details to the reader.

To prove (a), note that the exterior product $\text{Tot}(\times)$ clearly satisfies

$$f^*(\text{Tot}(\times)(Z \otimes W)) = \text{Tot}(\times)(f^*(Z) \otimes f^*(W))$$

The result then follows from the naturality of the quasi-isomorphism ϵ and the relation

$$\Delta_X^* \circ (f \times f)^* = f^* \circ \Delta_Y^*.$$

We now prove the projection formula (b). Let Z be in $\mathcal{Z}^q(Y \times X, p)$ such that $((f \times \text{id}) \circ \Delta_X)^*(Z)$ is defined. Then $\Delta_Y^*((\text{id} \times f)_*(Z))$ is also defined, and we have the identity of cycles

$$(5.2) \quad \Delta_Y^*((\text{id} \times f)_*(Z)) = ((f \times \text{id}) \circ \Delta_X)^*(Z).$$

The maps $(\text{id} \times f)_*$ and $(f \times \text{id})^*$ induce maps

$$(f \times \text{id})^*: \text{Tot}_f(Y \times X)^c \rightarrow \text{Tot}_s(X \times X)^c$$

and

$$(\text{id} \times f)_*: \text{Tot}_f(Y \times X)^c \rightarrow \text{Tot}_s(Y \times Y)^c.$$

By the naturality of the quasi-isomorphisms ϵ , we have the commutative diagram

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{Tot}(X \times X)^c & \xleftarrow{(f \times \text{id})^*} & \text{Tot}_f(Y \times X)^c & \xrightarrow{(\text{id} \times f)_*} & \text{Tot}(Y \times Y)^c \\ \epsilon_{X \times X} \downarrow & & \epsilon_{Y \times X} \downarrow & & \epsilon_{Y \times Y} \downarrow \\ \mathcal{Z}^q(X \times X, *)^c & \xleftarrow{(f \times \text{id})^*} & \mathcal{Z}_{f \times \text{id}}^q(Y \times X, *)^c & \xrightarrow{(\text{id} \times f)_*} & \mathcal{Z}^q(Y \times Y, *)^c \end{array}$$

We have as well the commutative diagram

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c \otimes \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c & \xrightarrow{\text{Tot}(\times)} & \text{Tot}(X \times X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c \\ f^* \otimes \text{id}^* \uparrow & & \uparrow \text{Tot}(\times) \\ \mathcal{Z}_f^q(Y, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c \otimes \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c & \xrightarrow{\text{Tot}(\times)} & \text{Tot}_{f \times \text{id}}(Y \times X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c \\ \text{id} \otimes f_* \downarrow & & \downarrow (\text{id} \times f)_* \\ \mathcal{Z}^q(Y, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c \otimes \mathcal{Z}^q(Y, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c & \xrightarrow{\text{Tot}(\times)} & \text{Tot}(Y \times Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c \end{array}$$

Putting (5.2), (5.3) and (5.4) together proves (b).

For (d) we retain the notation of the proof of Lemma 5.1. Let

$$\tau: \square^{p+p'} \rightarrow \square^{p+p'}$$

be the automorphism

$$\tau_{p,p'}(x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_{p'}) = (y_1, \dots, y_{p'}, x_p, \dots, x_1).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & (d' + d'')(W_{p,p'}(\times_{p,p'}(Z \otimes W) - W_{p-1,p'+1}(d'(W_{p,p'}(\times_{p,p'}(Z \otimes W)))) + \dots \\ & \quad + (-1)^{p-1}W_{1,p+p'-1}(\dots(d'(W_{p,0}(\times_{p,p'}(Z \otimes W)))) \dots)) \\ & = (-1)^p(\times_{p,p'}(Z, W) - (-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}} \times_{0,p+p'}(\tau_{p,p'*}(Z \times W))). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\text{sgn}(\tau_{p,p'}) = (-1)^{pp' + \frac{p(p+1)}{2}},$$

we have

$$\epsilon^{-1}(\times_{p,p'}(Z, W)) = (-1)^{pp'}(Z \times W).$$

By Lemma 4.10, $(-1)^{pp'}(Z \times W) = W \times Z$ in homology. The formula (d) then follows from the definition of the product \cup . The assertion (c) follows from (d). \square

Let X and Y be smooth quasi-projective varieties, with X projective. Let

$$d_{X/Y} = \dim(X) - \dim(Y).$$

For a codimension d cycle W on $Y \times X$, form the homomorphism

$$W_*: \bigoplus_{q,p} \text{CH}^q(X, p)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{q,p} \text{CH}^{q+d-d_{X/Y}}(Y, p)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

by $W_*(\eta) = p_{1*}(W \cup p_2^*(\eta))$. We recall the pairing

$$\circ: \text{CH}^a(Z \times Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} \times \text{CH}^b(Y \times X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{CH}^{a+b}(Z \times X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

defined by

$$W_2 \circ W_1 := pr_{Z \times X*}(pr_{Z \times Y}^*(W_2) \cup pr_{Y \times X}^*(W_1))$$

This is defined if Y is projective and X, Y and Z are smooth and quasi-projective over k , and gives $\text{CH}^*(X \times X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ the structure of a graded ring, if X is smooth and projective over k . In addition, we have $(W_2 \circ W_1)_* = W_{2*} \circ W_{1*}$. Finally, if W is the graph of a morphism $f: Y \rightarrow X$, then $W_*(\eta) = f^*(\eta)$.

Corollary 5.3. *Suppose X and Y are smooth and quasi-projective over k , and X is projective. Sending Z to γ_Z descends to a homomorphism*

$$\gamma: \bigoplus_d \mathrm{CH}^d(X \times Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{q,p} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{CH}^q(X, p)_{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathrm{CH}^{q+d-d_{X/Y}}(Y, p)_{\mathbb{Q}}).$$

This makes $\bigoplus_{p,q} \mathrm{CH}^q(X, p)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ into a graded $\mathrm{CH}^(X \times X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -module.*

Proof. This follows directly from Theorem 5.2. □

Corollary 5.4. *Let $E \rightarrow X$ be a vector bundle of rank $n + 1$ over a smooth, quasi-projective variety X , and let $\pi: P \rightarrow X$ be the associated projective space bundle. Let ζ be the class of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ in $\mathrm{CH}^1(P)$. Then the maps*

$$\alpha_i: \mathrm{CH}^{q-i}(X, *)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^q(P, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i(\eta) &= \pi^*(\eta) \cup \zeta^i \\ i &= 0, \dots, n \end{aligned}$$

define an isomorphism for each p :

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i: \bigoplus_{i=0}^n \mathrm{CH}^{q-i}(X, p)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^q(P, p)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Proof. That $\sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i$ gives an isomorphism for $p = 0$ is well-known. In particular, the $\mathrm{CH}^n(P \times_X P)$ -class of the diagonal $\Delta \subset P \times_X P$ can be written as

$$[\Delta] = \sum_{i=0}^n p_1^*(a_i) \cup p_2^*(\zeta^{n-i}).$$

Let η be in $\mathrm{CH}^q(P, p)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \eta &= [\Delta]_*(\eta) \\ &= p_{2*}(p_1^*(\eta) \cup \Delta) \\ &= p_{2*}(p_1^*(\eta) \cup \sum_{i=0}^n p_1^*(a_i) \cup p_2^*(\zeta^{n-i})) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n \zeta^{n-i} \cup (p_{2*}(p_1^*(\eta \cup a_i))), \end{aligned}$$

so $\sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i$ is in general surjective. Suppose $\sum_{i=0}^{n-j} \alpha_i(\tau_i) = 0$ for $\tau_i \in \text{CH}^{q-i}(X, p)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, $i = 0, \dots, n-j$ with $\tau_{n-j} \neq 0$. Then $\zeta^j \cup \sum_{i=0}^{n-j} \pi^*(\tau_i) \cup \zeta^i = 0$, so

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \pi_* \left(\sum_{i=j}^n \pi^*(\tau_{i-j}) \cup \zeta^i \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=j}^n \tau_{i-j} \cup \pi_*(\zeta^i) \\ &= \tau_{n-j}, \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\pi_*(\zeta^i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq i < n \\ [X] & \text{if } i = n. \end{cases}$$

Thus all the τ_i were zero, and $\sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i$ is injective. □

We recall from §4 the product

$$\cup: \mathcal{N}^q(k)_* \otimes \mathcal{N}^{q'}(k)_* \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{q+q'}(k)_*$$

defined by

$$Z \cup W = \text{Alt}^{q+q'}(Z \times W).$$

Corollary 5.5. *Let*

$$t: \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c \otimes \mathcal{Z}^{q'}(X, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^{q'}(X, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c \otimes \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c$$

be the canonical isomorphism induced by the exchange of factors in \otimes . Then the diagram

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z}^q(X, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c \otimes \mathcal{Z}^{q'}(X, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c & \xrightarrow{\cup \circ t} & \mathcal{Z}^{q+q'}(X, *)_{\mathbb{Q}}^c \\ \text{Alt}^q \otimes \text{Alt}^{q'} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Alt}^{q+q'} \\ \mathcal{N}^q(k)_* \otimes \mathcal{N}^{q'}(k)_* & \xrightarrow{\cup} & \mathcal{N}^{q+q'}(k)_* \end{array}$$

commutes in $D_+(\mathbf{Ab})$.

Proof. By Theorem 5.2(d), we have

$$Z \cup W = (-1)^{pp'}(Z \times W) = W \times Z$$

for $Z \in \mathrm{CH}^q(k, p)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, $W \in \mathrm{CH}^{q'}(k, p')_{\mathbb{Q}}$. From this and the definition of the product on $\mathcal{N}^*(k)_*$, the diagram (5.4) induces a commutative diagram after taking homology. Since the complexes in (5.5) are complexes of \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces, this implies that (5.5) commutes in $D_+(\mathbf{Ab})$. \square

References

- [B] S. Bloch, *Algebraic cycles and higher K-theory*, Adv. in Math. **61** No. 3(1986) 267-304.
- [B2] ———, *Algebraic cycles and the Lie algebra of mixed Tate motives*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **4**(1991) No.4, 771-791.
- [B3] ———, *The moving lemma for higher Chow groups*, preprint (1993).
- [F] W. Fulton, *Rational equivalence on singular varieties*, Publ. Math. IHES **45**(1975) 147-167.
- [G] H. Gillet, *Riemann-Roch theorems for higher algebraic K-theory*, Adv. in Math. **40**(1981) 203-289.
- [GG] ——— and D. Grayson, *On the loop space of the Q-construction*, Ill. J. Math **31**(1987) 574-597.
- [Gr1] D. Grayson, *Exterior power operations on algebraic K-theory*, K-theory **3**(1989) 247-260.
- [Gr2] D. Grayson, *Adams operations on higher K-theory*, K-Theory **6**(1992), no. 2, 97-111.
- [Gro] A. Grothendieck et. al., *Théorie des intersections et théorème de Riemann-Roch*, SGA 6, Springer Lect. Notes Math. **225**(1971).
- [H] H. Hiller, *λ -rings and algebraic K-theory*, JPAA **20**(1981) 241-266.
- [K1] S. Kleiman, *The transversality of a general translate*, Comp. Math. **28**(1974) 287-297.

- [K] C. Kratzer, λ -structure en K -théorie algébrique, *Com. Math. Helv.* **55** No. 2(1980) 233-254.
- [So] C. Soulé, *Opérations en K -théorie algébrique*, *Can. J. Math.* **37** No. 3(1985) 488-550.
- [S] A.A. Suslin, *Stability in algebraic K -theory*, Springer Lect. Notes Math. **966**(1982) 304-333.
- [T] R. Thomason and T. Trobaugh, *Higher algebraic K theory of schemes and of derived categories*, in **The Grothendieck Festschrift**, vol. 3, Birkäuser (1990) 247-423.
- [V] T. Vorst, *Localization of the K -theory of polynomial extensions*, *Math. Ann.* **44**(1979) 33-53.
- [W] C. Weibel, *Homotopy algebraic K -theory*, in **Algebraic K -theory and algebraic number theory**, AMS Contemp. Math. **83**(1989) 461-488.

Marc Levine
Department of Mathematics
Northeastern University
Boston, MA 02115
USA

partially supported by the NSF