Astérisque

DAVID W. BOYD Pisot sequences, Pisot numbers and Salem numbers

Astérisque, tome 61 (1979), p. 35-42

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AST_1979__61__35_0>

© Société mathématique de France, 1979, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la collection « Astérisque » (http://smf4.emath.fr/ Publications/Asterisque/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

\mathcal{N} umdam

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/ Société Mathématique de France Astérisque 61 (1979) p. 35-42

PISOT SEQUENCES, PISOT NUMBERS AND SALEM NUMBERS

by

DAVID W. BOYD

1. <u>The sets S and H</u>: The well known set S of Pisot (or Pisot-Vijayaraghavan) numbers is the set of algebraic integers $\theta > 1$ all of whose other conjugates lie strictly within the unit circle. The initial interest in S stems from the fact that if $\lambda \in \mathbf{Z}(\theta)$, then $\||\lambda\theta^n\|| = \operatorname{dist}(\lambda\theta^n, \mathbf{Z}) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Let us denote by H the set of real $\theta > 1$ for which there is a $\lambda > 0$ such that $\|\lambda\theta^n\| \to 0$. A still unanswered question is whether S = H. This was considered by Thue [16] and Hardy [17], who showed that if $\|\lambda\theta^n\| = 0(b^n)$ with b < 1, then $\theta \in S$. Hardy also pointed out that the only algebraic elements in H are the elements of S. Generalizations of this result were given by Vijayaraghavan in [17].

Until recently Pisot's result [13], that $\sum ||\lambda\theta^n||^2 < \infty$ implies $\theta \in S$ was essentially the closest approach to a proof that S = H, but Cantor [7] has recently given a substantial improvement of this which is somewhat technical to describe here. Salem [14] used Pisot's result to prove that the set S is closed and hence is nowhere dense in $[1,\infty)$.

An interesting fact about H is that it is a countable set. Thus, if H contains any transcendental numbers then it does not do so for trivial reasons. We will see Pisot's [13] proof that H is countable in what follows. It should be mentioned that Vijayaraghavan [18] proved that the set of θ for which $||\theta^{n}|| \neq 0$ is countable by a somewhat different method.

2. <u>E-sequences</u>: Pisot's method of proof is to examine a certain interesting class of sequences of integers, now called E-sequences or Pisot sequences. To see

how these arise, suppose that $a_n = \lambda \theta^n + \varepsilon_n$, where $\lambda > 0$, $\theta > 1$, $a_n \in \mathbf{Z}$ and ε_n is bounded. We observe that

$$a_{n+1}a_{n-1} - a_n^2 = \lambda \theta^{n-1} (\theta^2 \epsilon_{n-1} - 2\theta \epsilon_n + \epsilon_{n+1}) + (\epsilon_{n+1} \epsilon_{n-1} - \epsilon_n^2) ,$$

so that

$$\limsup |a_{n+1} - a_n^2/a_{n-1}| = \limsup |\theta^2 \varepsilon_{n-1} - 2\theta \varepsilon_n + \varepsilon_{n+1}| = \delta , say.$$

If $\delta < 1/2$, then eventually a_{n+1} is determined uniquely by a_n and a_{n-1} . By deleting some initial terms, we have that

(1)
$$a_{n+1} = N(a_n^2/a_{n-1})$$
, $n = 0, 1, ...$

where N(x) = [x + 1/2] = "the nearest integer to x". The formula (1) defines the E-sequence $E(a_0, a_1)$ for arbitrary integers $0 < a_0 < a_1$. Pisot showed that the limit $a_{n+1}/a_n \neq 0$ always exists, and this defines a certain set E. Clearly E is countable and contains H, ($\delta = 0$), so H is countable. On the other hand E is dense in $[1,\infty)$ so $E \neq S$, since S is nowhere dense by Salem's result.

One can show that $\lambda = \lim a_n/\theta^n$ exists if $\theta > 1$, and if one defines $\varepsilon_n = a_n - \lambda \theta^n$, then the above discussion shows that E is essentially characterized by the inequality

(2)
$$\limsup |\theta^2 \varepsilon_{n-1} - 2\theta \varepsilon_n + \varepsilon_{n+1}| \leq 1/2$$

in the sense that (2) is necessary for a_n to be an E-sequence, while (2) with strict inequality is sufficient for $\{a_{n-n_0}\}$, $n \ge n_0$ to be an E-sequence for some n_0 .

In addition to the set S, E also contains the set T of Salem numbers which are real algebraic integers $\theta > 1$ such that all other conjugates lie within the unit circle, with at least one conjugate on the circle. This in fact implies that θ satisfies a reciprocal equation, so its conjugates are θ^{-1} and a certain set of numbers of modulus one [15]. To see that E > T, just choose $\lambda \in \mathbf{Z}(\theta)$ so that the other conjugates of λ are small enough so that (2) holds.

3. <u>Recurrent E-sequences</u>: The interesting question now is whether $E = S \cup T$, since this would tell us that T is dense in $[1,\infty)$ and hence that inf T = 1, settling Lehmer's conjecture [12]. It would also imply that H = S, settling Pisot's conjecture.

One notes that the proof that $E \supset S \cup T$ shows somewhat more, namely that the corresponding E-sequence satisfies a linear recurrence relation, or equivalently that the generating function of the sequence is rational, so

(3)
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n = A(z)/Q(z) ,$$

where A and Q are polynomials with integer coefficients, and Q(0) = 1. In [9], Flor shows that if $E(a_0, a_1)$ satisfies (3), then θ is in S or in T. We shall refer to these two possibilities as S-recurrence and T-recurrence.

In fact, in [13], Pisot already showed that $E(2,a_1)$ and $E(3,a_1)$ are S-recurrent with $deg(Q) \leq a_0$. For example E(3,5) = 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, ... has degree 2. His proof distinguishes $E(a_0,a_1)$ according to the congruence class $a_1 \pmod{a_0^2}$. Cantor [6] has given the explanation of why this is natural, and has studied the families $E(a_0,ma_0^2 + b)$, giving conditions on a_0 and b in order that this sequence is S-recurrent for all $m \geq m_0$. The corresponding generating function is of the form A(z)/(Q(z) - mzA(z)).

However, Cantor and his student Galyean [5], by use of a computer algorithm designed for testing for linear recurrences showed that if E(4,13) is recurrent, then deg(Q) \geq 100, suggesting strongly that no such recurrence exists. In his thesis [10], Galyean found many examples of $E(a_0, a_1)$ satisfying no recurrence of degree \leq 20, when $4 \leq a_0 \leq 10$.

4. <u>Non-recurrent E-sequences</u>: I was aware only of the example E(4,13) when I proved [1] that indeed there are E-sequences which are non-recurrent, and in fact that the set of θ produced from such sequences is dense in $[(\sqrt{5} + 1)/2, \infty)$. The proof is rather amusing since it concentrates its attention on T-recurrence,

which one might expect to be the difficult case. The point is that, although we have very little quantitative information about T itself, T-recurrent sequences are so distinctive that non-T-recurrence is rather easily detected. In principle, S-recurrence causes no difficulty since one can work in intervals disjoint from S. However, as we shall see later, for specific E-sequences, S-recurrence is more difficult to handle because the intervals in the complement of S are extremely short for even moderately large θ .

To see how T-recurrence is dealt with, suppose then that $E(a_0,a_1)$ is T-recurrent, then, taking into account the structure of the conjugates of θ ,

(4)
$$a_n = \lambda \theta^n + \mu \theta^{-n} + \delta_n , \quad n \ge n_0.$$

where δ_n is a linear combination of powers of numbers of modulus 1 and hence is almost periodic. Using (2) and the almost periodicity of δ_n , we find that, for all n, including negative n,

(5)
$$\left|\theta^2 \delta_{n-1} - 2\theta \delta_n + \delta_{n+1}\right| \leq 1/2$$
.

Furthermore, (4) can be used to define a_n for $n < n_0$, and since Q is reciprocal or antireciprocal, one finds that a_n is an integer for all n. Combining these two facts one then obtains a constructive extimate for n_0 (and this is where the condition $\theta > (\sqrt{5} + 1)/2$ seems unavoidable). For example, if $\theta > 2$ then $n_0 = 0$. Assuming then that $n_0 = 0$ (by shifting the sequence if necessary), the conditions that a_n be an integer for n < 0, combined with (5), produce various inequalities which must be satisfied by T-recurrent sequences. As a simple example, the condition that a_{-1} is an integer implies that

$$\|a_0^2/a_1\| \le (1 + 2\theta)/(2\theta^2) + 1/a_1$$
.

This is an extremely restrictive condition for large θ , and shows that non-recurrent E-sequences produce a set of θ dense in $[1 + \sqrt{2}, \infty)$.

Applying this inequality to a family $E(a_0, ma_0^2 + b)$ with b > 0, we find that $||a_0^2/a_1|| = a_0^2/a_1$ if $m \ge 2$, while on the other hand $(1+2\theta)/(2\theta^2) + 1/a_1$ is approximately $(a_0 + 1)/a_1$. Thus, within such a family, T-recurrence can only occur if m = 0 or 1. As another example consider E(2m,7m) with $m \equiv 1$ (mod 7). Then $||a_0^2/a_1|| = 3/7$ while $(1 + 2\theta)/(2\theta^2) + 16/49 < 3/7$ as $m \neq \infty$. Thus, for sufficiently large m, none of these sequences is T-recurrent. Since $\theta \neq 7/2 \notin S$ as $m \neq \infty$, and since S is closed, it follows that $\theta \notin S$ for sufficiently large m, so $E(a_0,a_1)$ is not S-recurrent either.

5. <u>Specific cases of non-recurrence</u>: In spite of the ease of producing infinitely many non-recurrent E-sequences, one would still like to be able to answer the question of whether any specific $E(a_0, a_1)$ is recurrent or not. In his thesis [10], Galyean conjectured that if $E(a_0, a_1)$ is recurrent, then the degree of the recurrence is at most a_0 . A proof of this would certainly provide the desired criterion. A result of this type seems reasonable when one considers that, in an E-sequence $\lambda \approx a_0$, and in order to make ε_n small enough for (2) to hold, it seems necessary to have the other conjugates of λ small. This in turn forces

 λ to be fairly large since the product of these numbers is at least as large as $1/disc(\theta).$

However, lacking such a quantitative result, we have based our proofs of non-recurrence for specific E-sequences on a different method. Proofs of non-T-recurrence are based on refinements of the ideas discussed above. It seems likely that the infinite set of necessary conditions for T-recurrence so obtained are also sufficient; this has certainly proved to be the case in practice. To prove non-S-recurrence we simply have to show that $\theta \notin S$, a constructively feasible procedure since S is closed and since we can generate arbitrarily good approximations to θ . The practical difficulties grow with θ so our success with this method is confined to $\theta < 2.5$. The main tool is a computer algorithm based on ideas of Dufresnoy and Pisot [8] and described in more detail in [3]. It is capable of finding all the elements in $S \cap (\alpha, \beta)$, provided this number is finite. The idea is that, if P is the minimal polynomial of θ , and $Q(z) = z^{\deg(P)}P(z^{-1})$, then

(6)
$$f(z) = (\operatorname{sgn} P(0))P(z)/Q(z) = u_0 + u_1 z + ...$$

where the u_n are integers and where |f(z)| = 1 on |z| = 1. The u_n are characterized by inequalities obtained from Schur's algorithm:

(7)
$$w_n(u_0, \dots, u_{n-1}) \le u_n \le w_n^*(u_0, \dots, u_{n-1})$$

If in addition $\alpha \leq \theta \leq \beta$, then there are additional inequalities

(8)
$$v_n(u_0, \ldots, u_{n-1}; \alpha) \leq u_n \leq v_n^*(u_0, \ldots, u_{n-1}; \beta)$$

These lead to the search of a finite tree if $S \cap (\alpha, \beta)$ is a finite set.

An instructive example is the sequence E(10,22), with $\theta = 2.190327956...$ The criteria for T-recurrence are easily shown to be violated. A search of a small interval containing θ shows that dist(θ ,S) = .905 × 10⁻⁸, the closest point of S being a root of the following 32nd degree polynomial:

P = 1 -2 0 0 -1 -2 0 0 -2 0 0 0 -1 2 0 0 1 2 0 0 2 0 0 0 1 -2 0 0-1-1 0 0 -1 (notation: a b c ... means $ax^{k} + bx^{k-1} + ...$). Thus E(10,22) is non-recurrent. From Galyean's thesis, we find that E(10,22) is predicted to a_{21} by the generating function $(10 + 2z + 4z^{2} + 9z^{3})/(1 - 2z - 2z^{4})$. However the polynomial $z^{4} - 2z^{3} - 2$, in addition to a root $\phi = 2.190327947$, has roots $\gamma, \overline{\gamma}$ with $|\gamma| \approx 1.0157$. Hence this is not the generating function of an E-sequence. The fact that $|\gamma|^{44} < 2$ makes it clear how this sequence can masquerade as an E-sequence for many terms. Intuitively, it appears that E(10,22) is diverted away from nearby S-numbers of small degree by the presence of this "pseudo"-Snumber of degree 4. Since $a_0 = 10$ is apparently too small to allow E(10,22) to satisfy a recurrence of high degree, the sequence is unable to satisfy any recurrence whatsoever.

An extremely interesting example of this type, mentioned in [5], is E(6,16) which is connected with the polynomial $P(z) = z^5 - 3z^4 + z^3 - z - 1$, which has roots at $\phi = 2.699...$ and $\gamma, \overline{\gamma}$ with $|\gamma| \simeq 1.007$. This polynomial turns out to be a limit point of polynomials with the same properties. Since dist(ϕ ,S) < 10⁻⁴⁶, we have as yet been unable to show E(6,16) is not S-recurrent.

There are in addition many other examples of non-recurrence which are not explainable by this mechanism. For example, the non-recurrence of E(7,15) seems to be explained by our arbitrary choice of "rounding up" in the definition of N(x). For details of this and other examples, the reader may consult [3].

6. <u>Concluding Remarks</u>: Space has not permitted a discussion of the new characterization of T given in [2], nor the application of the above-mentioned computer algorithm to questions concerning the distribution of T in the real line, but this is adequately described in [3].

As far as applications of E-sequences to finding T-numbers, as suggested in [5], it seems that a more fruitful type of sequence to use is given by the following non-linear recurrence:

$$a_{n+2} = N(a_{n+1}(a_{n+1} + a_{n-1})/a_n - a_n)$$
, $n = 1, 2, ...$

If one takes $a_0 = 0$, $a_1 > 0$ and $a_2 \ge 2a_1+1$, then one obtains all Salem numbers as limits of the ratios a_{n+1}/a_n . The criterion for T-recurrence is now valid for all $\theta > 1$, because the inequality (5) is replaced by a more amenable form. Some details concerning these sequences are to be found in [4].

REFERENCES

- D.W. Boyd, Pisot sequences which satisfy no linear recurrence, Acta Arith. 32(1977),pp.89-98.
- 2. _____, Small Salem numbers, Duke Math. Jour. 44(1977), pp.315-328.
- Pisot and Salem numbers in intervals of the real line, Math.of Comp. (to appear in 1978).
- Some integer sequences related to Pisot sequences, Acta Arith.(to appear).
- D.G. Cantor, Investigation of T-numbers and E-sequences, in Computers in Number Theory, ed A.O.L. Atkins and B.J. Birch, Academic Press, N.Y. 1971.

- On families of Pisot E-sequences, Ann.Sci.Éc.Norm.Sup. 4^e Série, 9(1976),pp.283-308.
- 7. _____, On power series with only finitely many coefficients (mod 1): solution of a problem of Pisot and Salem, Acta Arith. 34(1977),pp.43-55.
- B. J. Dufresnoy and Ch. Pisot, Étude de certaines fonctions méromorphes bornées sur le cercle unité, application à un ensemble fermé d'entiers algébriques, Ann.Sci.Éc.Norm.Sup. 3^e Série, 72(1955),pp.69-92.
- P. Flor, Über eine Klasse von Folgen natürlicher Zahlen, Math. Annalen <u>140</u> (1960), pp. 299-307.
- 10. P. Galyean, On linear recurrence relations for E-sequences, Thesis, University of California Los Angeles, 1971.
- G.H. Hardy, A problem of diophantine approximation, Jour.Ind.Math.Soc. <u>11</u> (1919),162-166; Collected works I, pp.124-129.
- D.H. Lehmer, Factorization of certain cyclotomic functions, Ann.Math. <u>34</u>(1933), pp.461-479.
- Ch. Pisot, La repartition modulo 1 et les nombres algébriques, Ann. Scuola Norm.Sup. Pisa 7(1938),205-248.
- R. Salem, A remarkable class of algebraic integers. Proof of a conjecture of Vijayaraghavan, Duke Math. Jour. 11(1944), pp.103-107.
- Power series with integral coefficients, Duke Math. Jour. <u>12</u>(1945), pp.153-171.
- 16. A. Thue, Über eine Eigenschaft die keine transzendente Grösse haben kann, Skrifter Vidensk.I. Kristiania 2(1912), No.20, pp.1-15.
- T. Vijayaraghavan, On the fractional parts of the powers of a number (II), Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 37(1941), pp.349-357.
- ____, On the fractional parts of the powers of a number (III), Jour. Lond. Math. Soc. 17(1942), pp.137-138.

David W. Boyd Department of Mathematics University of British Columbia Vancouver, B.C., Canada V6T 1W5